

# Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

---

Said R. Grace; Bikkar S. Lalli

Oscillation theorems for nonlinear second order differential equations with a damping term

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 30 (1989), No. 4,  
691--697

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106789>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://project.dml.cz>

## Oscillation theorems for nonlinear second order differential equations with a damping term

S.R. GRACE AND B.S. LALLI

*Abstract.* Sufficient conditions for the oscillation of nonlinear second order differential equation

$$(a(t)\psi(x(t))\dot{x}(t))' + p(t)\dot{x}(t) + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0$$

are established. These results complement our earlier results.

*Keywords:* Oscillation, nonlinear, differential equations, damping, nonoscillation.

*Classification:* 34C10

### 1. Introduction.

Recently, the present authors [1], [2] studied the oscillatory behaviour of nonlinear second order differential equations of the form

$$(*) \quad (a(t)\psi(x(t))\dot{x}(t))' + p(t)k(t, x(t)\dot{x}(t))\dot{x}(t) + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0 \quad (\cdot = \frac{d}{dt}),$$

where  $p$  and  $q$  are nonnegative continuous functions on  $[t_0, \infty)$ , and proposed an open problem.

The purpose of this note is to consider such a problem concerning equation (\*) with  $k = 1$  and when both  $p$  and  $q$  are continuous functions of varying signs. For related results we refer to [1] - [7].

### 2. Main results.

Consider the nonlinear second order differential equation

$$(1) \quad (a(t)\psi(x(t))\dot{x}(t))' + p(t)\dot{x}(t) + q(t)f(x(t)) = 0,$$

where  $a : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ ,  $p, q : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow R = (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $\psi : R \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $f : R \rightarrow R$  are continuous.

We are concerned with only continuable solutions of equation (1) which exist on some half line  $[t_0, \infty)$ . A solution  $x(t)$  of equation (1) will be called oscillatory if it has arbitrarily large zeros and it is called nonoscillatory otherwise. We assume that there exist positive constants  $c, c_1$  and  $k$  so that

$$(2) \quad xf(x) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f'(x) \geq k > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \neq 0, \quad (\cdot = \frac{d}{dx}) :$$

$$(3) \quad 0 < c \leq \psi(x) \leq c_1 \quad \text{for all} \quad x \in R.$$

It will be convenient to make use of the following notations. For all  $t \geq t_0$  we let

$$Q(t) = q(t) - \frac{1}{4k} \left( \frac{1}{c} - \frac{1}{c_1} \right) \frac{p^2(t)}{a(t)},$$

$$\gamma(t) = a(t)\dot{\rho}(t) - \frac{1}{c_1} p(t)\rho(t).$$

**Theorem 1.** *Let conditions (2) and (3) hold, and suppose that*

$$(4) \quad \int^{\infty} \frac{du}{f(u)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int^{-\infty} \frac{du}{f(u)} < \infty.$$

*Suppose that there exists a differentiable function  $\rho : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$(5) \quad \int^{\infty} \frac{1}{a(s)\rho(s)} ds = \infty.$$

*Then each of the following conditions ensures the oscillation of continuable solutions of equation (1):*

$$(I) \quad \int^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^2(s)}{a(s)\rho(s)} ds < \infty, \quad \text{and}$$

$$(6) \quad \int^{\infty} \rho(s)Q(s) ds = \infty;$$

(II)  $\gamma(t) \geq 0$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$  and condition (6) holds;

(III)  $\gamma(t) > 0$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , and

$$(7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma(t)} \int^t \rho(s)Q(s) ds = \infty.$$

**PROOF :** Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of (1). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $x(t) \neq 0$  for all  $t \geq t_0$ . Furthermore, we suppose that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ , since the substitution  $u = -x$  transforms (1) into an equation of the same form subject to the assumptions of the theorem.

Now, we define

$$w(t) = \rho(t) \frac{a(t)\psi(x(t))\dot{x}(t)}{f(x(t))}, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Then for every  $t \geq t_0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w}(t) &= -\rho(t)q(t) - \frac{p(t)}{a(t)} \frac{1}{\psi(x(t))} w(t) + \frac{\dot{\rho}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \frac{1}{a(t)\rho(t)} \frac{f'(x(t))}{\psi(x(t))} w^2(t) \\ &= -\rho(t)q(t) + \frac{\dot{\rho}(t)}{\rho(t)} w(t) - \frac{1}{\psi(x(t))} \left[ \frac{f'(x(t))}{a(t)\rho(t)} w^2(t) + \frac{p(t)}{a(t)} w(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By completing the square we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w}(t) = & -\rho(t)q(t) + \frac{1}{\psi(x(t))} \frac{p^2(t)\rho(t)}{4a(t)f'(x(t))} + \frac{\dot{\rho}(t)}{\rho(t)}w(t) \\ & - \frac{1}{\psi(x(t))} \left[ \sqrt{\frac{f'(x(t))}{a(t)\rho(t)}}w(t) + \frac{p(t)\sqrt{\rho(t)}}{2\sqrt{a(t)f'(x(t))}} \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using conditions (2) and (3), we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{w}(t) \leq & -\rho(t)Q(t) + \gamma(t) \frac{\psi(x(t))\dot{x}(t)}{f(x(t))} \\ & - \frac{1}{c_1} a(t)\rho(t)f'(x(t)) \left( \frac{\psi(x(t))\dot{x}(t)}{f(x(t))} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} w(t) \leq & w(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t \rho(s)Q(s) ds + \int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s) \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} ds \\ & - \frac{1}{c_1} \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\rho(s)f'(x(s)) \left( \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} \right)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following cases:

**Case 1.** Let (I) hold. It follows from the Schwarz inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s) \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} ds \right| & \leq \left( \int_{t_0}^t \frac{\gamma^2(s)}{a(s)\rho(s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\rho(s) \left( \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} \right)^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \leq K \left( \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\rho(s) \left( \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} \right)^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = \left( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^2(s)}{a(s)\rho(s)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is finite. Thus (10) gives

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) \leq & w(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t \rho(s)Q(s) ds + K \left( \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\rho(s) \left( \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} \right)^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & - \frac{k}{c_1} \int_{t_0}^t a(s)\rho(s) \left( \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} \right)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the sum of the last two integrals in the right hand side of the above inequality remains bounded above as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus, in view of (6),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} w(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(t)\rho(t)\psi(x(t))\dot{x}(t)}{f(x(t))} = -\infty.$$

Consequently there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  such that

$$\dot{x}(t) < 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1.$$

This means that there exists a  $t_2 \geq t_1$  such that

$$(11) \quad 1 + k_1 \int_{t_2}^t a(s)\rho(s)f'(x(s))\psi(x(s))\left(\frac{\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))}\right)^2 ds \leq a(t)\rho(t) \frac{\psi(x(t))(-\dot{x}(t))}{f(x(t))},$$

where  $k_1 = kc/c_1$ .

**Case 2.** If (II) holds, then by the Bonnet theorem, for any  $t \geq t_0$ , there exists a  $\xi \in [t_0, t]$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s) \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} ds &= \gamma(t_0) \int_{t_0}^{\xi} \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} ds = \gamma(t_0) \int_{x(t_0)}^{x(\xi)} \frac{\psi(u)}{f(u)} du \\ &\leq c_1 \gamma(t_0) \int_{x(t_0)}^{\infty} \frac{du}{f(u)} = M < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As in Case 1, there exists a  $t_2 \geq t_0$  so that (11) holds.

**Case 3.** Let (III) hold. Once again, by Bonnet's theorem, for some  $M_1 > 0$ ,  $t \geq t_0$ , we have

$$\left| \int_{t_0}^t \gamma(s) \frac{\psi(x(s))\dot{x}(s)}{f(x(s))} ds \right| \leq M_1 \gamma(t),$$

and as in case 2 of Theorem 7 in [1], we obtain inequality (11).

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 7 in [1] and hence is omitted. ■

**Remark 1.** Condition (3) of Theorem 1 can be replaced with  $\psi(x) \geq c > 0$  for all  $x \in R$ , only if we are concerned with the bounded solutions of equation (1), and in general it seems not to be true. This is illustrated by the following example.

**Example 1.** Consider the differential equations

$$(12) \quad \left(\frac{1}{t} e^{|\alpha(t)|} \dot{x}(t)\right) - \frac{1}{t^3} \dot{x}(t) + \frac{1+t^2}{t^4 \ln t (1 + \ln^2 t)} (x(t) + x^3(t)) = 0, \quad t > e^e$$

and

$$(13) \quad \left( \left( \frac{1}{1 + \sin^2 t} \right) (1 + x^2(t)) \dot{x}(t) \right)' + \frac{\sin t}{t^2} \dot{x}(t) + \left( 1 - \frac{\cos t}{t^2} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 + \sin^2 t} \right) (x(t) + x^3(t)) = 0, \quad t > 0.$$

All conditions of Theorem 1 (I) are satisfied if we take  $c = 1$ ,  $\rho(t) = t$  in equation (12) and  $\rho(t) = 1$  in (13) except that the upper bound of the function  $\psi$  does not exist. We note that (12) has an unbounded nonoscillatory solution  $x(t) = \ln t$  while (13) has the bounded oscillatory solution  $x(t) = \sin t$ .

**Example 2.** Consider the differential equation

$$(14) \quad (t\psi(x(t))\dot{x}(t))' + \frac{\sin t}{t} \dot{x}(t) + \left( \frac{1}{t} + \sin t \right) (x(t) + x^3(t)) = 0, \quad t > 0,$$

where  $\psi(x) = 1 + e^{-|x|}$  or  $2 - \sin x$ . The hypotheses of Theorem 1 (I) are satisfied with  $\rho(t) = 1$  and hence every solution of (14) is oscillatory. We note that some of the oscillation criteria in ([1] - [7]) fail to apply to (14).

**Theorem 2.** In addition to conditions (2) and (3) let

$$(15) \quad \int_{+0} \frac{du}{f(u)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-0} \frac{du}{f(u)} < \infty.$$

Suppose that there exists a differentiable function  $\rho : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  such that

$$(16) \quad \int \rho(s) Q^*(s) ds = \infty$$

and

$$(17) \quad \int \frac{1}{a(s)\rho(s)} \int_T^s \rho(\tau) Q^*(\tau) d\tau ds = \infty, \quad T \geq t_0,$$

where

$$Q^*(t) = q(t) - \frac{1}{4k} \left[ \frac{p^2(t)}{ca(t)} - 2p(t) \frac{\dot{\rho}(t)}{\rho(t)} + c_1 a(t) \left( \frac{\dot{\rho}(t)}{\rho(t)} \right)^2 \right].$$

Then every solution of (1) is oscillatory.

**PROOF :** Let  $x(t)$  be a nonoscillatory solution of equation (1). Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Furthermore, we consider the function  $w$  defined in the proof of Theorem 1. Then for every  $t \geq t_0$  we obtain

$$w(t) \leq -\rho(t)q(t) - \frac{1}{\psi(x(t))} \left[ \frac{k}{a(t)\rho(t)} w^2(t) + \left( \frac{p(t)}{a(t)} - \frac{\dot{\rho}(t)}{\rho(t)} \psi(x(t)) \right) w(t) \right].$$

Completing the square and using condition (3) we have

$$\dot{w}(t) \leq -\rho(t)Q^*(t) \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Thus

$$(18) \quad a(t)\rho(t) \frac{\psi(x(t))\dot{x}(t)}{f(x(t))} \leq C - \int_{t_0}^t \rho(s)Q^*(s) ds,$$

where

$$C = a(t_0)\rho(t_0) \frac{\psi(x(t_0))\dot{x}(t_0)}{f(x(t_0))}.$$

It follows from condition (16) that there exists a  $t_1 \geq t_0$  so that

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \rho(s)Q^*(s) ds = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_1}^t \rho(s)Q^*(s) ds \geq 2|C| \quad \text{for } t \geq t_1.$$

Thus inequality (18) leads to

$$\frac{\dot{x}(t)}{f(x(t))} \leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\psi(x(t))} \frac{1}{a(t)\rho(t)} \int_{t_1}^t \rho(s)Q^*(s) ds \leq -\frac{1}{2c_1} \frac{1}{a(t)\rho(t)} \int_{t_1}^t \rho(s)Q^*(s) ds,$$

which implies that

$$G(x(t)) \leq G(x(t_1)) - \frac{1}{2c_1} \int_{t_1}^t \frac{1}{a(s)\rho(s)} \int_{t_1}^s \rho(\tau)Q^*(\tau) d\tau ds,$$

where

$$G(x(t)) + \int_0^{x(t)} \frac{du}{f(u)}.$$

Consequently  $G(x(t)) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , contradicting the fact that  $G(x(t)) \geq 0$ . This completes the proof. ■

### Remarks.

1. In this paper we have put no sign restriction on the function  $p$  and we have discarded condition on  $p$  of the type (7) required in [1].
2. As illustrated by Example 1, condition (3) cannot be relaxed to  $\psi(x) \geq c > 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .
3. It is easy to check that the results of this paper are related to many of the known oscillation criteria in [1] - [7].

## REFERENCES

- [1] S.R.Grace and C.C.Yeh, *Oscillation theorems for nonlinear second order differential equations with a nonlinear damping term*, Siam J.Math.Anal. **15** (1984), 1082-1093.
- [2] S.R.Grace, B.S.Lalli and C.C.Yeh, *Addendum: Oscillation theorems for nonlinear second order differential equations with a nonlinear damping term*, Siam J.Math.Anal. (to appear).
- [3] S.R.Grace and B.S.Lalli, *Oscillatory behaviour of solutions of second order differential equations with alternating coefficients*, Math. Nachr. **127** (1986), 165-175.
- [4] S.R.Grace and B.S.Lalli, *Oscillation theorems for perturbed nonlinear differential equations*, J.Math.Anal.Appl. **77** (1980), 205-214.
- [5] T.Kusano, H.Onose and H.Tobe, *On the oscillation of second order nonlinear ordinary differential equations*, Hiroshima Math.J. **4** (1974), 419-499.
- [6] J.S.W.Wong, *On second order nonlinear oscillation*, Funkc. Ekvac. **11** (1968), 207-234.
- [7] J.S.W. Wong, *Oscillation theorems for second order nonlinear differential equations*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **3** (1975), 283-309.

Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 1682, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

Department of Mathematics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Sask., S7N 0W0 Canada

(Received September 16, 1988, revised April 20, 1989 )