

István Juhász; William Weiss  
On the tightness of chain-net spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 27 (1986), No. 4, 677--681

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106486>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

**ON THE TIGHTNESS OF CHAIN-NET SPACES**  
**I. JUHASZ and W. WEISS**

**Abstract:** We give a general construction that yields (in ZFC)  
 1) a 0-dimensional  $T_2$  chain net space of countable tightness  
 that is not sequential;

2) a 0-dimensional  $T_2$  chain net space  $X$  for which  $t(X) \neq t_s(X)$ .

1) answers a problem from [1] and 2) from [2].

Key words: Chain-net space, sequential space, tightness.

Classification: 54A20, 54A25

The aim of this paper is to solve two problems raised in [1] and [2], respectively, both connected with the tightness of chain-net spaces. The first problem asks whether a chain-net space of countable tightness is sequential. This problem was partially solved in [3], where consistent  $T_2$  counter examples were given. The example given below has the advantage over these that it is both constructed in ZFC and  $T_3$  (in fact, 0-dimensional  $T_2$ ). The second problem asks whether  $t(X) = t_s(X)$  holds for a chain-net space  $X$ . (We recall that  $t_s(X)$  is the smallest cardinal  $\kappa$  such that whenever  $p \in \bar{A}$  in  $X$  then there is a family  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $A$  such that  $p \in \overline{\cup \mathcal{A}}$  but  $p \notin \cup \{ \bar{B} : B \in \mathcal{A} \}$ .) The example will again be 0-dimensional  $T_2$  and obtained in ZFC. It is known (cf. [4]) that the size of a counter example must be bigger than  $\aleph_\omega$ , and our example has cardinality  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$ . The question whether an example of size  $\aleph_{\omega+1}$  may be obtained in ZFC thus remains open.

Both examples will be obtained from a general construction that will now be given.

Theorem. Let  $\kappa, \lambda$  be cardinals such that  $cf(\kappa) = \omega$ , if  $\kappa > \omega$  then  $\mu^\omega < \kappa$  for each  $\mu < \kappa$ , furthermore  $\kappa^{\aleph_0} = \lambda$ . Let

$\langle X, \tau \rangle$  be a topological space with  $|X| = \lambda$  and satisfying properties 1)-4) below:

- 1) for every closed set  $F \subset X$  we have either  $|F| \leq \aleph$  or  $|F| = \lambda$ ;
- 2)  $\text{hd}(X) \leq \aleph$ ;
- 3)  $X$  is  $T_2$  and first countable (in fact., for each  $p \in X$  we fix a countable  $\tau$ -neighbourhood base  $\{U_n(p) : n \in \omega\}$ );
- 4) if  $F \subset X$  is closed with  $|F| \leq \aleph$  then  $F$  is the intersection of countably many  $\tau$ -clopen sets.

Then there is a locally countable and locally compact topology  $\rho \supset \tau$  on  $X$  such that

- (i) if  $S \subset X$  and  $|\overline{S}^\tau| = \lambda$  then  $|\overline{S}^\rho| = \lambda$  as well, and
- (ii) if  $F \subset X$  is  $\rho$ -closed and  $|F| \leq \aleph$  then there is a  $\rho$ -clopen set  $Z$  with  $S \subset Z$  and  $|Z| \leq \aleph$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the family of all sets  $S \in |X|^\aleph$  with  $|\overline{S}^\tau| = \lambda$ , then by  $\lambda^\aleph = \lambda$  we can write  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda\}$  where for each  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  we have  $|\{\alpha \in \lambda : S = S_\alpha\}| = \lambda$ . We also fix a well-ordering  $\rightarrow$  of  $X$  in type  $\lambda$ .

Our aim is now to define, by induction on  $\alpha \in \lambda$  points  $p_\alpha \in X$  and topologies  $\rho_\alpha$  on  $X_\alpha = \{p_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\}$  that satisfy the following inductive hypotheses:

$I(\alpha)$ :  $\rho_\alpha$  is a locally countable and locally compact refinement of  $\tau_\alpha = \tau \upharpoonright X_\alpha$  (i.e.  $\rho_\alpha \supset \tau_\alpha$ ):

$J(\alpha)$ : for all  $\beta \in \alpha$  we have  $\rho_\beta = P(X_\beta) \cap \rho_\alpha$ .

If  $\alpha \in \lambda$  is limit and  $p_\beta, \rho_\beta$  have been suitably defined for every  $\beta \in \alpha$  then  $\rho_\alpha$  is the topology generated by  $\cup\{\rho_\beta : \beta \in \alpha\}$  on  $X_\alpha$ . Clearly,  $I(\alpha)$  and  $J(\alpha)$  will hold then.

Now, if  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ , we distinguish two cases. If  $S_\beta \subset X_\beta$  then we choose  $p_\beta$  as the  $\rightarrow$ -first element of  $\overline{S_\beta}^\tau \setminus X_\beta$  and then choose a sequence  $q_n \in S_\beta$  such that  $q_n \in U_n(p_\beta)$ . Using  $I(\beta)$  we can choose for each  $n \in \omega$  a compact open (hence countable)  $\rho_\beta$ -neighbourhood  $K_n$  of  $q_n$  with  $K_n \subset U_n(p_\beta)$ . A  $\rho_\alpha$ -neighbourhood base of  $p_\beta$  in  $X_\alpha$  is then formed by the sets  $\cup\{K_i : i \in \omega \setminus n\} \cup \{p_\beta\}$  for all  $n \in \omega$ . If  $S_\beta \not\subset X_\beta$  then we take as  $p_\beta$  the  $\rightarrow$ -first element of  $X \setminus X_\beta$  and define  $\rho_\alpha$  by declaring  $p_\beta$  isolated in  $X_\alpha$ . It is easy to check that  $I(\alpha)$  and  $J(\alpha)$  will be valid in either case

Having finished the induction we then define  $\rho$  as the topology generated by  $\cup\{\rho_\alpha : \alpha \in \lambda\}$  on  $X$ . Since we made sure that every point of  $X$  occurs as some  $p_\alpha$  we clearly have that  $\rho$  is a locally countable and locally compact refinement of  $\tau$ . (i) follows since for every  $S \in \mathcal{S}$  we have  $S = \cup_{\beta \in \lambda} S_\beta$  for many  $\beta \in \lambda$  (this makes use of the fact that  $\text{cf}(\lambda) > \aleph$  since  $\lambda = \lambda^\aleph$ ), moreover, by 2), for every  $A \subset X$  there is an  $S \subset A$  with  $|S| \leq \aleph$  such that  $\overline{A}^\tau = \overline{S}^\tau$ .

To prove (ii), let us first observe that for every  $\rho$ -closed  $F$  with  $|F| \leq \aleph$  we have by (i) that  $|\overline{F}^\tau| \leq \aleph$  as well, hence it suffices to prove that every  $\tau$ -closed set  $F$  with  $|F| \leq \aleph$  can be covered by a  $\rho$ -clopen set  $Z$  with  $|Z| \leq \aleph$ .

It is straightforward from the local countability and first countability of  $\rho$  that any set  $H \subset X$  with  $|H| < \aleph$  can be covered by a  $\rho$ -clopen set of size  $\leq \aleph$ . Indeed, if  $\aleph = \omega$  this simply follows from the 0-dimensionality and local countability of  $\rho$ , and if  $\aleph > \omega$  then we simply may iterate  $\omega_1$  times taking closures and covering by countable open sets, and then taking the union, which will be clopen and of size  $\leq \aleph$  by  $\mu^\omega < \aleph$  whenever  $\mu = |H| < \aleph$ .

Next, assume that  $F$  is  $\tau$ -closed and  $|F| = \aleph$ . By 4) there is a decreasing sequence  $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  of  $\tau$ -clopen sets with  $F = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n$ . Also, we may write  $F = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} F_n$  where  $|F_n| \leq \aleph$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . By the above we may then find for every  $n$  a  $\rho$ -clopen set  $Z_n \supset F_n$  with  $|Z_n| \leq \aleph$ . We claim that

$$Z = \cup\{Z_n \cap U_n : n \in \omega\}$$

is as required. Indeed, we clearly have  $F \subset Z$  and  $|Z| \leq \aleph$ , and that  $Z$  is  $\rho$ -open. To show that  $Z$  is also  $\rho$ -closed, pick any point  $x \in X \setminus Z$  and choose  $n \in \omega$  such that  $x \notin U_n$ . But then

$$V = X \setminus \left[ \bigcup_{i < n} (Z_i \cap U_i) \cup U_n \right]$$

is clearly a  $\rho$ -clopen set containing  $x$  with  $V \cap Z = \emptyset$ , hence  $Z$  is also  $\rho$ -closed.

**Corollary 1.** There exists a 0-dimensional  $T_2^1$  chain-net space  $X$  of countable tightness that is not sequential.

Proof. Let us first apply our theorem (with  $\aleph = \omega$  and  $\lambda = c$ ) to e.g. the Cantor set  $\mathbb{C}$ , we then obtain a topology  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  satisfying (i) and (ii). We then put  $X = \mathbb{C} \cup \{p\}$  (with  $p \notin \mathbb{C}$ ) with the topology that agrees with  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $\mathbb{C}$  and has neighbourhoods for  $p$  of the form  $(X \setminus Z) \cup \{p\}$  where  $Z \subset \mathbb{C}$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -clopen and  $|Z| \leq \omega$ .

It is straightforward from (i) and (ii) that  $X$  is 0-dimensional  $T_2$  and not sequential because no  $\omega$ -sequence from  $\mathbb{C}$  can converge to  $p$ .

$X$  is chain-net because if  $F \subset \mathbb{C}$  is  $\mathcal{P}$ -closed and not closed then  $|F| > \omega$  by (ii), and it is clear that every  $\omega_1$ -sequence of distinct elements of  $F$  converges to  $p$ .

Finally,  $X$  has a countable tightness because if  $A \subset \mathbb{C}$  and  $p \in \bar{A}$  then  $|\bar{A}^{\mathcal{P}}| = |\bar{A}^{\tau}| = c$ , hence there is a countable set  $B \subset A$  with  $|\bar{B}^{\mathcal{P}}| = |\bar{B}^{\tau}| = c$ , hence  $B$  cannot be covered by a countable  $\mathcal{P}$ -clopen set, i.e.  $p \in \bar{B}$  as well.

Corollary 2. Suppose  $\text{cf}(\aleph) = \omega < \aleph$  and  $\lambda = \aleph^\omega$  are such that  $\mu < \aleph$  implies  $\mu^\omega < \aleph$  and  $\lambda^{\aleph} = \lambda$ . Then there is a 0-dimensional  $T_2$  chain-net space  $X$  with  $|X| = \lambda$  for which  $t_s(X) \neq t(X)$ .

Proof. Let us apply in this case our theorem to the space  $B(\aleph) = 0(\aleph)^\omega$ , i.e. the Baire space of weight  $\aleph$ . 2) and 3) are now obvious, 1) holds because every closed set  $F$  in  $B(\aleph)$  is a complete metric space, hence by [5] we have  $|F| \leq \aleph$  or  $|F| = \lambda$ . Finally 4) holds because  $\text{Ind}(B(\aleph))$ , the large inductive dimension of  $B(\aleph)$  is equal to 0.

Now let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the topology on  $B(\aleph)$  that satisfies (i) and (ii). Our space  $X$  will be of the form  $X = B(\aleph) \cup \{p\}$  with the topology that agrees with  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $B(\aleph)$  and has as neighbourhoods for  $p$  sets of the form  $(B(\aleph) \setminus Z) \cup \{p\}$ , where  $Z$  is a  $\mathcal{P}$ -clopen and  $|Z| \leq \aleph$ .

It is clear that  $X$  is 0-dimensional and  $T_2$ . To show that it is chain-net take any  $\mathcal{P}$ -closed set  $F \subset B(\aleph)$  that is not closed in  $X$ , i.e.  $p \in \bar{F}$ . Then by (ii) we must have  $|F| = \lambda > \aleph$ , and it is clear that every  $\aleph^+$ -sequence of distinct points from  $F$  converges to  $p$ .

Next we show that  $t_S(p, X) = \omega$ ; hence  $t_S(X) = \omega$  as well. Indeed, if  $A \in B(\aleph)$  and  $p \in \bar{A}$  then we must have, by (ii),  $|\bar{A}^{\aleph}| = \aleph = |\bar{A}^{\aleph}|$ . But we can find a set  $S \subset A$  with  $|S| = \aleph$  and  $\bar{S}^{\aleph} = \bar{A}^{\aleph}$ , hence  $|\bar{S}^{\aleph}| = \aleph$  as well. Consequently we have  $p \in \bar{S}$  since no  $\rho$ -clopen  $Z$  with  $|Z| \leq \aleph$  may cover  $S$ , but if we write  $S = \bigcup \{S_n : n \in \omega\}$  with  $|S_n| < \aleph$  for all  $n$  then  $p \notin \bar{S}_n$  for any  $n$  by (ii) and  $|\bar{S}_n| < \aleph$ , hence indeed  $t_S(p, X) = \omega$ .

Finally we show that  $t(p, X) = \aleph$ , and this will complete our proof. It suffices, of course, to show  $t(p, X) \geq \aleph$ . This, however, is now trivial because, as we have seen above, for all  $A \in B(\aleph)$  with  $|A| < \aleph$  we have  $p \notin \bar{A}$ .

#### References

- [1] A.V. ARCHANGELSKIĬ: Construction and classification of topological spaces and cardinal invariants (Russian), Usp. Mat. Nauk 33(1978), 29-84.
- [2] A.V. ARCHANGELSKIĬ, R. ISLER and G. TIRONI: On pseudo-radial spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 27(1986), 137-154.
- [3] I. JANÉ, P.R. MEYER, P. SIMON and R.G. WILSON: On tightness in chain-net spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 22(1981), 809-817.
- [4] I. JUHÁSZ: Variations on tightness, to appear.
- [5] A.H. STONE: Cardinals of closed sets, Mathematika 6(1950), 99-107.

Math. Institute Hung. Acad. Sci.  
 Reáltanoda u. 13-15  
 1053 Budapest, Hungary

Math. Department, Univ. of  
 Toronto  
 M5S 1A1 Toronto, Canada

(Oblatum 6.6. 1986)