

Petr Simon; Gino Tironi

Two examples of pseudo-radial spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 27 (1986), No. 1, 155--161

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106436>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

TWO EXAMPLES OF PSEUDO-RADIAL SPACES
Petr SIMON^{*)}, Gino TIRONI^{)}**

Abstract: Using an Ostaszewski-type construction, we prove in ZFC the existence of
a) Hausdorff pseudo-radial space of countable tightness which is not sequential,
b) Hausdorff pseudo-radial space in which tightness and quasi-character differ.

Key words and phrases: Pseudo-radial space, sequential space, tightness, quasi-character.

Classification: Primary 54A25

Secondary 54G20, 54D55

Introduction. Pseudo-radial or chain-net spaces were introduced by H. Herrlich in 1967 [H]. They are a natural characterization of both linearly ordered and sequential spaces. (See for example [A],[MW].) Recall that a space X is pseudo-radial, if for each non-closed $M \subseteq X$ there is some $x \in \overline{M} - M$ and a (countable or transfinite) sequence $\{x_\alpha : \alpha \in \aleph\} \subseteq M$ converging to x , i.e. each neighbourhood of x contains all x_α 's beginning from some α_0 on.

If "there is some $x \in \overline{M} - M$ " is replaced by "for each $x \in \overline{M} - M$ " in the above definition, then the space is called radial or Fréchet chain-net.

x) Supported by an Italian C.N.R. grant as a Visiting Professor.

xx) This work was developed as a part of the program of the National Group "Topology" of the Italian Ministry of Education.

When investigating the cardinality properties of pseudo-radial and radial spaces, A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ, R. Isler and G. Tironi introduced a new cardinal invariant, so-called quasi-character, as follows.

$$q\chi(X) = \min \{ \tau : (\forall A \in X) (\forall x \in \bar{A} - A) (\exists \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(A)) (|\mathcal{F}| \leq \tau \ \& \ x \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{F}} \ \& \ (\forall F \in \mathcal{F}) (x \notin \bar{F})) \}.$$

They also proved that for T_1 radial spaces, $q\chi(X) = t(X)$, leaving the case of pseudo-radial spaces open. The best result in this direction says that $q\chi(X) = t(X)$ for a pseudo-radial space X provided $t(X)$ is a successor cardinal and GCH is assumed ([AIT]).

We shall construct assuming ZFC only a Hausdorff pseudo-radial space Z with $\omega = q\chi(X) < t(X)$.

Using essentially the same construction, we shall also disprove the old conjecture that a pseudo-radial Hausdorff space with countable tightness is necessarily sequential. Here, of course, a plenty of counterexamples was published by various authors before ([0], [JKR] to mention few), but - as far as we know - all of them depended on some additional axiom of set-theory.

The construction. Let \aleph_0 be a cardinal number, define by induction $\aleph_{n+1} = 2^{\aleph_n}$, $\aleph = \sup \{ \aleph_n : n \in \omega \}$. Equip each \aleph_n with the discrete topology and denote by M the Tychonoff product $\prod_{n \in \omega} \aleph_n$. Then M is a complete metric zero-dimensional space, $w(M) = \aleph$, $|M| = 2^{\aleph} = \aleph^\omega$. Further, if $C \subseteq M$ and $|C| > \aleph$, then $|C| = 2^{\aleph}$. The last assertion needs, perhaps, a proof.

Denote by A_n the set $\{ \xi \in \aleph_n : |\pi_n^{-1}(\xi) \cap C| > \aleph \}$. Then $\bar{C} = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} \pi_n^{-1}(\aleph_n - A_n) \cap \bar{C} \cup \prod_{n \in \omega} A_n \cap \bar{C}$. Since for each $n \in \omega$, $|\pi_n^{-1}(\aleph_n - A_n) \cap \bar{C}| \leq \aleph_n \cdot \aleph = \aleph$, we have $|\prod_{n \in \omega} A_n \cap \bar{C}| > \aleph$. But this means that for each $\tau < \aleph$ there is some $n \in \omega$ with $|A_n| > \tau$, otherwise $|\prod_{n \in \omega} A_n \cap \bar{C}| < \aleph$ would contradict the assumption

$|\bar{C}| > \aleph$. So we have proved the following:

If $\tau < \aleph$, and if $|\bar{C}| > \aleph$, then there is some $n \in \omega$ such that

$$|\{\xi \in \aleph_n : |\pi_n^{-1}[\{\xi\}] \cap \bar{C}| > \tau\}| > \tau.$$

The standard branching argument works now: for each $n \in \omega$ and for each $\varphi \in \prod \{\aleph_i : i \in n\}$ there is a closed $C_\varphi \subseteq \bar{C}$ such that $|C_\varphi| > \aleph$, $C_\varphi \supseteq C_\psi$ if and only if $\varphi \subseteq \psi$, $C_\varphi \cap C_\psi = \emptyset$ if and only if there is $i \in \text{dom } \varphi \cap \text{dom } \psi$ such that $\varphi(i) \neq \psi(i)$. Indeed, if $\varphi \in \prod_{i \in n} \aleph_i$ and C_φ is known ($C_\emptyset = \bar{C}$ of course), then there is some \aleph_n with

$$|\{\xi \in \aleph_n : |\pi_n^{-1}[\{\xi\}] \cap C_\varphi| > \aleph\}| > \aleph_n.$$

So we can select $C_\varphi \cap \eta$ for $\eta \in \aleph_n$ to be a member of the disjoint family

$$\{\pi_n^{-1}[\{\xi\}] \cap C_\varphi : \xi \in \aleph_n \text{ \& } |\pi_n^{-1}[\{\xi\}] \cap C_\varphi| > \aleph\}.$$

Since, obviously, for each $f \in \prod \{\aleph_n : n \in \omega\}$, $\bigcap C_{f \upharpoonright n} \neq \emptyset$, we have $|\bar{C}| \geq \aleph^\omega$ and, by our choice of \aleph , $\aleph^\omega = 2^\aleph$.

The above are the properties of M which we shall need further.

Denote by ϱ the metric topology of M and fix some clopen base \mathcal{B} for M , $|\mathcal{B}| = \aleph$.

Enumerate all subsets of M of cardinality \aleph the closure of which is of cardinality 2^\aleph as $\{I_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\aleph\}$ in such a way that each set is listed 2^\aleph times. Then for each I_α select a point $x_\alpha \in \bar{I}_\alpha^\varrho$ and a convergent sequence S_α , such that $\lim S_\alpha = x_\alpha$, $S_\alpha \subseteq I_\alpha$ and for $\alpha \neq \beta$, $x_\alpha \neq x_\beta$. This is clearly possible for, by the previous choice, each I_α has 2^\aleph accumulation points, so there is still one among them distinct of all x_β , $\beta < \alpha$.

Let $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < 2^\aleph\}$ and denote again by ϱ the original topology of M restricted to X .

We shall construct a new topology τ on X in Ostaszewski

style. Let $X_\alpha = \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ for $\alpha < 2^\aleph$. Define τ_α to be the discrete topology on X_α . Suppose (X_α, τ_α) have been defined for all $\alpha < \beta$ where $\beta < 2^\aleph$. The inductive assumptions are as follows:

- (i) For each $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$, (X_α, τ_α) is a subspace of (X_γ, τ_γ) .
- (ii) For each $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$, X_α is an open subset of (X_γ, τ_γ) .
- (iii) Each (X_α, τ_α) is first-countable, locally compact, locally countable.
- (iv) The topology τ_α is finer than $\wp \upharpoonright X_\alpha$, for each $\alpha < \beta$.

If β is a limit cardinal, let $\tau_\beta = \bigcup \{ \tau_\alpha : \alpha < \beta \}$. Obviously (X_β, τ_β) again satisfies (i) - (iv).

If $\beta = \alpha + 1$, we are to find a neighbourhood basis of x_α . There are two possibilities:

If $|S_\alpha \cap X_\alpha| < \omega$, let x_α be isolated in X_β , i.e. a neighbourhood basis of x_α is $\{x_\alpha\}$.

If $|S_\alpha \cap X_\alpha| = \omega$, select some clopen base of x_α in (M, \wp) , say $\{B_0 \supseteq B_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq B_n \supseteq \dots\}$ such that for each n , $S_\alpha \cap X_\alpha \cap (B_n - B_{n+1}) \neq \emptyset$, and select $y_n \in S_\alpha \cap X_\alpha \cap (B_n - B_{n+1})$.

Since, by our assumption, τ_α is finer than \wp , $B_n - B_{n+1}$ is an open neighbourhood of y_n , so we can find a countable compact neighbourhood of y_n , say U_n , with $U_n \subseteq B_n - B_{n+1}$. Fix this choice of U_n 's and define the neighbourhood base at x_α as

$$\{x_\alpha\} \cup \bigcup \{U_n : n \geq k : k \in \omega\}.$$

It is again clear that (i) - (iv) hold for (X_β, τ_β) .

As might be expected, the desired topology τ for X is

$$\bigcup_{\alpha < 2^\aleph} \tau_\alpha.$$

Clearly, (X, τ) is first-countable, locally compact, locally countable. The next property, being crucial, has to be proved: if C is closed in the topology τ for X , then either $|C| \leq \aleph$ or

$|C| = 2^{\aleph}$.

Indeed, suppose $|C| > \aleph$. Then $|\overline{C}^{\rho}| = 2^{\aleph}$ and, since $w(M) = \aleph$, there is a subset $T \subseteq C$, $|T| = \aleph$, such that $\overline{T}^{\rho} = \overline{C}^{\rho}$. In particular, $|\overline{T}^{\rho}| = 2^{\aleph}$.

Since $|T| = \aleph$, there is some $\gamma < 2^{\aleph}$ such that $T \subseteq X_{\gamma}$. The set T appears 2^{\aleph} times in our list, and in each occurrence $\alpha > \gamma$ with $T_{\alpha} = T$, the point x_{α} belongs to \overline{T}^{ρ} . So $|\overline{T}^{\rho}| = 2^{\aleph}$ and since C was assumed to be closed in τ , $C \supseteq \overline{T}^{\rho}$.

Having passed the difficult part of the construction, choose a point ∞ not belonging to X and define $Z = X \cup \{\infty\}$ with the neighbourhood base at ∞ consisting of all sets $\{\infty\} \cup (X - A)$, where $A \subseteq X$, A is closed in τ , $|A| \leq \aleph$. The space Z is Hausdorff. This is trivial, since each point of X has a countable compact neighbourhood.

The space Z is pseudo-radial. Indeed, let $W \subseteq Z$, $\overline{W}^Z \neq W$. If there is some $x \in X$, $x \in \overline{W}^Z - W$, then there is a convergent sequence in W with x as its limit, by the first-countability of (X, τ) . Otherwise $\overline{W}^Z - W = \{\infty\}$, hence W is closed in (X, τ) and ∞ is its accumulation point. According to our definition of topology on Z , $|W| > \aleph$, hence $|W| = 2^{\aleph}$ and for each neighbourhood U of ∞ , $|W - U| \leq \aleph$. So any subset of W of cardinality \aleph^+ converges to ∞ .

The tightness of Z equals \aleph . Indeed, if $W \subseteq X$ and $\infty \in \overline{W}^Z$ then $|W| > \aleph$. There is a set $T \subseteq W$, $|T| = \aleph$ such that $\overline{T}^{\rho} \supseteq W$. But this implies that $|\overline{T}^{\rho}| = 2^{\aleph}$, therefore $|\overline{T}^{\tau}| = 2^{\aleph}$, too. But then $\infty \in \overline{T}^Z$, therefore $t(Z) \leq \aleph$. (Other points than ∞ are, of course, uninteresting.) On the other hand, $t(Z) \geq \aleph$ for the trivial reason that if $W \subseteq X$, if $|W| < \aleph$ then $|\overline{W}^{\rho}| < \aleph$, too, so $\{\infty\} \cup (X - \overline{W}^{\tau})$ is a neighbourhood of ∞ disjoint with W .

It remains to consider two special cases.

1. Let $\aleph_0 = 2$. In this case, the starting metric space is

nothing else than the Cantor set and the final space Z is pseudo-radial, Hausdorff and $t(Z) = \omega$.

Z is not sequential. Consider $\overline{X^Z} - X$. This set contains the point ∞ only, and there is no sequence $\{s_n : n \in \omega\}$ converging to ∞ : notice that $\{s_n : n \in \omega\}$ should be a closed discrete subset of (X, τ) then, but in this case, $\{\infty\} \cup (X - \{s_n : n \in \omega\})$ is a neighbourhood of ∞ disjoint with it.

2. Let $\aleph_0 = \omega$. We have $\aleph > \omega$ in this case, and Z is pseudo-radial, Hausdorff and $t(Z) = \aleph$.

Yet $q\aleph(Z) = \omega$. This is clear if one considers points from X by the 1st countability of (X, τ) .

Let us discuss the case $W \subseteq X$, $\infty \in \overline{W^Z}$. Since $t(Z) = \aleph$, there is some $T \subseteq W$, $|T| = \aleph$, $\infty \in \overline{T^Z}$. Making use of the fact that \aleph is a singular cardinal, find some $T_n \subseteq T$ such that $T = \bigcup \{T_n : n \in \omega\}$, and for each n , $|T_n| < \aleph$. Then for each n , $|\overline{T_n^Z}| < \aleph$, too, so $\infty \notin \overline{T_n^Z}$. So $q\aleph(Z) = \omega$.

Added in proof. After this paper was completed we learned from I. Juhász that he and W. Weiss found independently examples of pseudo-radial spaces with similar properties. We do not know any details of their proof.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [A] A.V. ARHANGEL'SKIĬ: Some properties of radial spaces. Mat. Zametki 27(1980), 95-104 (in Russian).
- [AIT] A.V. ARHANGEL'SKIĬ, R. ISLER, G. TIRONI: On pseudo-radial spaces. Comment.Math. Univ. Carolinae 27(1985), 137-154.
- [H] H. HERRLICH: Quotienten geordneter Räume und Folgenkonvergenz. Fund.Math. 61(1967), 79-91.

- [JKR] I. JUHÁSZ, K. KUNEN, M.E. RUDIN: Two more hereditarily separable non-Lindelöf spaces, Can.Math.J. 28(1976), 998-1005.
- [MW] P.R. MEYER, R.G. WILSON: Cardinal functions on topological spaces satisfying order conditions, Coll.Math.Soc. J. Bolyai 23, Topology 1978, 839-847.
- [O] A.J. OSTASZEWSKI: On countably compact, perfectly normal spaces, J.London Math.Soc.(2) 14(1976), 505-516.

P.Simon: Matematický ústav, Univerzita Karlova, Sokolovská 83,
186 00 Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

G. Tironi: Institute of Applied Mathematics, University of Trieste,
Trieste, Italy

(Oblatum 22.10. 1985)