

Josef Mlčěk

Some automorphisms of natural numbers in the alternative set theory

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 26 (1985), No. 3, 467--475

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106387>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

SOME AUTOMORPHISMS OF NATURAL NUMBERS
IN THE ALTERNATIVE SET THEORY
J. MLČEK

Abstract: A method of construction of automorphisms of natural numbers is presented. It is based on a saturation of the structure in question and on some properties of indiscernibles in this one. Majorizing and minorizing automorphisms are constructed.

Key words: Alternative set theory, natural numbers, automorphism, indiscernibles.

Classification: 03E70, 03C50, 03H15

Introduction. It is known that there exist non-trivial automorphisms of natural numbers in the alternative set theory. There are several possibilities, how to construct these ones. In the paper presented, we introduce one method of such a construction, based on a saturation of natural numbers and on some properties of indiscernibles. A description of this method is contained in the section "Proofs".

By using this method, we can, for example, construct to a given class X of natural numbers and a collection \mathcal{F} of functions, an automorphism of natural numbers which majorize (minorize resp.) every function from \mathcal{F} on X . A precise formulation of this vague description is given in the section "Main results".

Preliminaries. By a language we mean a countable first-order language \mathcal{L} with equality. The set of formulas of this language is obtained by a usual construction on FN. Writing $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$ we mean that φ is a formula of \mathcal{L} .

We use $\mathbb{M}, \mathbb{N}, \dots$ as symbols that range over structures for \mathcal{L} . If \mathbb{M} is such a model then M is the universe of this one.

Having $\mathbb{M}_i \models \mathcal{L}$, $i = 1, 2$, and a mapping $H \subseteq M_2 \times M_1$, we say that H is a similarity between \mathbb{M}_1 and \mathbb{M}_2 iff the following holds: $(\forall \varphi \in \mathcal{L})(\forall a_1, \dots \in \text{dom}(H))(\mathbb{M}_1 \models \varphi(a_1, \dots) \iff \mathbb{M}_2 \models \varphi(H(a_1), \dots))$. Recall the following fact: if \mathbb{M} is a fully revealed model for \mathcal{L} , then every 1-type of $\mathcal{L}(C)$ -formulas, where $C \subseteq M$ is at most countable, is realized in M . Thus, every at most countable similarity between two infinite fully revealed models for \mathcal{L} can be extended to an isomorphism of these ones. Note that every revelation of a class X is a fully revealed class. (See [3].)

Let \mathcal{J} denote the language of Peano arithmetic and let \mathbb{N} be the structure $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, < \rangle$ for \mathcal{J} . We use $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \zeta$ (possibly indexed) as variables ranging over natural numbers. Assuming $\alpha \leq \beta$, we denote $[\alpha, \beta]$ the interval $\{ \gamma; \alpha \leq \gamma \leq \beta \}$ and $\check{\alpha}$ the class $\{ \gamma; \gamma > \alpha \}$.

Suppose that H is an automorphism of the model \mathbb{M} , $\mathbb{M} \models \mathcal{J}$. This property of H can be expressed in an extension \mathcal{J}' of \mathcal{J} , $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J} \cup \{h\}$, where h is a new unary function symbol. Indeed, let $\langle \mathbb{M}, H \rangle$ be the expansion of \mathbb{M} to the structure for \mathcal{J}' . Then H is an automorphism of \mathbb{M} iff $\langle \mathbb{M}, H \rangle \models \{ \varphi(x_1, \dots) \iff \varphi(h(x_1), \dots); \varphi \in \mathcal{J} \} \cup \{ (\forall x)(\exists y)(F(y) = x) \}$.

Main results. Throughout this paper, $\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ denote at most countable classes of functions such that

$F \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cup \mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and there exist $\varphi(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{J}$ and γ with $F(\alpha) = \beta \leftrightarrow \varphi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \& (\forall \alpha)(\exists! \beta)\varphi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.
 Let $H: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function, $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. H majorizes (minorizes resp.) \mathcal{F}_0 on X if $(\forall \alpha \in X)(\forall G \in \mathcal{F}_0)(G(\alpha) \leq H(\alpha))$ ($(\forall \alpha \in X)(\forall G \in \mathcal{F}_0)(G(\alpha) \geq H(\alpha))$ resp.) holds.
 H is over constants if $(\forall \alpha)(\exists \beta)(\forall \gamma > \beta)(H(\gamma) > \alpha)$. \mathcal{F}_0 is over constants if $(\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_0)(F \text{ is over constants})$.

Theorem 1. $(\forall \gamma)(\exists \sigma)(\exists H)[(H \text{ is an automorphism of } \mathbb{N}) \& (H \text{ is identic on } \gamma) \& (H \text{ majorizes } \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ on } \sigma)]$.

Theorem 2. Let \mathcal{F}_2 be over constants. Then $(\forall \gamma)(\exists \sigma)(\exists H)[(H \text{ is an automorphism of } \mathbb{N}) \& (H \text{ is identic on } \gamma) \& (H \text{ minorizes } \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ on } \sigma)]$ holds.

An interval $[\alpha, \beta]$ is \mathcal{F}_0 -large iff $(\forall F \in \mathcal{F}_0)(F(\alpha) < \beta)$.

Theorem 3. Assume that \mathcal{F}_2 is over constants. Then $(\forall \gamma)(\exists H)\{(H \text{ is an automorphism of } \mathbb{N}) \& (H \text{ is identic on } \gamma) \& (\forall \alpha)[(\exists U \subseteq \mathbb{N})(U \text{ is an } \mathcal{F}_0\text{-large interval} \& H \text{ majorizes } \mathcal{F}_1 \text{ on } U) \& (\exists U \subseteq \mathbb{N})(U \text{ is an } \mathcal{F}_0\text{-large interval} \& H \text{ minorizes } \mathcal{F}_2 \text{ on } U) \& (\exists \beta > \alpha)(H(\beta) = \beta)]\}$.

Remark. Each of Theorems 1, 2, 3 guarantees that for every α , the mapping $\text{Id} \wedge \alpha$ can be extended to a non-trivial automorphism of \mathbb{N} .

Proofs

Notation. Let $\{B_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an indexed sequence of classes. We shall write more briefly $\{B_k\}_k$ only.

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{F_{ik}\}_k$, $i=0,1,2$. Assume that for $i = 0,1,2$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varphi_{ik}(x, y, z)$ and γ_{ik} are such that the statements

$$F_{1k}(\alpha) = \beta \leftrightarrow \psi_{1k}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma_{1k}) \& (\forall \gamma) (\exists ! \sigma) \psi_{1k}(\gamma, \sigma, \gamma_{1k})$$

hold.

To simplify some following notations, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{1k} &= \gamma_{1k}, \quad \sigma_{2k} = \gamma_{2k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \sigma_{3k} = \gamma_{1l} \leftrightarrow i=0,1,2 \& \\ &\& k = 3 \cdot l + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathcal{K} be the extension of \mathcal{J} of the form

$$\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{J} \cup \{h\} \cup \{c_0, c_1\} \cup \{d_k\}_k,$$

where h is a new unary function and c_1, d_k are new constants. Let

\mathcal{T}_1 be the following theory, formulated in \mathcal{K} :

$$\begin{aligned} \{ \varphi(x_1, \dots) \leftrightarrow \varphi(h(x_1), \dots); \varphi \in \mathcal{J} \} \cup \{ (\forall x) (\exists y) (h(y) = x) \} \cup \\ \cup \{ x < s_0 \rightarrow h(x) = x \} \cup \{ c_1 < x \rightarrow (\forall y) (\psi_{1k}(x, y, d_k) \rightarrow y < h(x)) \}_k. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the theorem 1 is equivalent to the following proposition:

$$(\forall \gamma_0) (\exists \gamma_1) (\exists H: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) (\langle \mathbb{N}, H, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \{ \sigma_{1k} \}_k \rangle \models \mathcal{T}_1).$$

We can construct quite analogously the theories \mathcal{T}_2 and \mathcal{T}_3 in \mathcal{K} such that the theorem 2 is equivalent to the proposition

$$(\forall \gamma_0) (\exists \gamma_1) (\exists H: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) (\langle \mathbb{N}, H, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \{ \sigma_{2k} \}_k \rangle \models \mathcal{T}_2$$

and the theorem 3 is equivalent to

$$(\forall \gamma_0) (\exists H: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) (\langle \mathbb{N}, H, \gamma_0, 0, \{ \sigma_{3k} \}_k \rangle \models \mathcal{T}_3).$$

Now, let i be fixed.

Assume that to given γ_0 , there exist

γ_1 , a substructure M of \mathbb{N} and a mapping $G: M \rightarrow M$ such that

- (A) $\{ \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \} \cup \{ \sigma_{ik} \}_k \in M$
- (B) $M < \mathbb{N}$
- (C) $\langle M, G, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \{ \sigma_{ik} \}_k \rangle \models \mathcal{T}_1.$

Then there exists a mapping $H: N \rightarrow N$ such that

$$\langle N, H, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \{ \sigma_{ik}^3 \}_k \rangle \models \mathcal{J}_1$$

and, consequently, Theorem 1 is true.

Proof. Put $\tilde{M} = \langle M, G, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \{ \sigma_{ik}^3 \}_k \rangle$. Then a revelation \tilde{M}^* of \tilde{M} has the form $\langle M^*, G^*, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \{ \sigma_{ik}^3 \}_{k \in FN^*} \rangle$, where X^* is the revelation of X . We have $\tilde{M} \prec_{\mathcal{J}_0} \tilde{M}^*$ and, especially, $M \prec_{\mathcal{J}_1} M^*$ is true, too. We deduce from this, (A) and (B), that $\text{Id} \wedge (\{ \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \} \cup \{ \sigma_{ik}^3 \}_k)$ is a similarity between N and M^* . Let Z be an isomorphism of N and M^* which is identical on $\{ \gamma_0, \gamma_1 \} \cup \{ \sigma_{ik}^3 \}_k$. Put $H(\alpha) = \beta \leftrightarrow G^*(Z(\alpha)) = Z(\beta)$. Then Z is an isomorphism between $\langle N, H, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \{ \sigma_{ik}^3 \}_k \rangle$ and \tilde{M}^* . We deduce from this that the assertion in question holds.

To finish our proof of Theorem 1 it suffices to find, to a given γ_0 , a number γ_1 , a substructure M of N and $G: M \rightarrow M$ such that (A), (B), and (C) hold. We shall construct γ_1 , M and G in question by using some properties of indiscernibles in AST. Recall that there exists an unbounded \mathcal{J}_1 -class J of strong indiscernibles in N . (See [2].) We start with two lemmas which will be used frequently in the sequel. Let us introduce the following notation. Let $X \subseteq N$. We denote by N_X the smallest substructure of N such that the universe of N_X contains X as a subclass.

Lemma 1. Let I be a class of strong indiscernibles in N . Assume that $Z \subseteq N$ has the property $(\forall e \in I)(Z \subseteq e)$.

(1) Let G_0 be an automorphism of $\langle Z \cup I, \langle \rangle \rangle$ which is identic on Z . Then there exists an automorphism G of the structure $\langle N_{Z \cup I}, \langle \rangle \rangle$ and $G \supseteq G_0$ hold.

(2) Assume, moreover, that I has no last element and $I \subseteq J$. Then I is cofinal in $N_{Z \cup I}$.

Proof. (1) We define the mapping G as follows:

Suppose that $a \in \mathbb{N}_{Z \cup I}$ is definable by the formula $\varphi(x, e_1, \dots, z_1, \dots)$ where e_1, \dots is an increasing sequence from I (i.e. $e_1 < e_2 < \dots$ and $e_1 \in I, e_2 \in I, \dots$), $z_1 \in Z, \dots$ and $\varphi(x, y_1, \dots, z_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{J}$. We put $G(a) = b$ iff $\varphi(b, G(e_1), \dots, z_1, \dots)$ holds.

If $b \in \mathbb{N}_{Z \cup I}$ is definable by $\psi(x, e_1, \dots, z_1, \dots)$ in \mathbb{N} , where e_1, \dots is an increasing sequence from I and $z_1 \in Z, \dots$, then there exists an element $a \in \mathbb{N}_{Z \cup I}$ such that $\psi(a, G_0^{-1}(e_1), \dots, z_1, \dots)$ holds. Therefore, the mapping G is onto $\mathbb{N}_{Z \cup I}$.

To finish the proof, it suffices to prove the following:

If $a_1, \dots \in \mathbb{N}_{Z \cup I}$, $\varphi(x_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{J}$ then $\mathbb{N}_{Z \cup I} \models \varphi(a_1, \dots) \iff \mathbb{N}_{Z \cup I} \models \varphi(G(a_1), \dots)$. But $\mathbb{N}_{Z \cup I} < \mathbb{N}$ and, consequently, we have to prove: If $a_1, \dots \in \mathbb{N}_{Z \cup I}$, $\varphi(x_1, \dots) \in \mathcal{J}$ then $\mathbb{N} \models \varphi(a_1, \dots) \iff \mathbb{N} \models \varphi(G(a_1), \dots)$. Assume that $\psi_1(x_1, e_1^1, \dots, z_1^1, \dots)$ defines a_1 in \mathbb{N} , e_1^1, \dots is an increasing sequence from I and $z_1^1, \dots \in Z$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(a_1, \dots) &\iff (\exists x_1 \dots) (\bigwedge_i \psi_1(x_1, e_1^1, \dots, z_1^1, \dots) \& \varphi(x_1, \dots)) \iff \\ &\iff (\exists x_1 \dots) (\bigwedge_i \psi_1(x_1, G_0(e_1^1), \dots, z_1^1, \dots) \& \\ &\quad \& \varphi(x_1, \dots)) \iff \\ &\iff \varphi(G(a_1), \dots). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Assume a is definable by $\varphi(x, e_1, \dots, z_1, \dots)$ in \mathbb{N} , e_1, \dots is an increasing sequence from I , $z_1, \dots \in Z$. Suppose that $e \in I$ has the property: $\{e_1, \dots\} \subseteq e$. We can easily see that $a < e$ holds.

Lemma 2. Let $F: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be a function, definable by the formula $\varphi(x, y, \gamma) \in \mathcal{J} (\{ \gamma \})$ in \mathbb{N} . Suppose that I is a class of strong indiscernibles in \mathbb{N} which is unbounded in \mathbb{N} . Let $e_0 < e_1 < e_2 < e_3$ be an increasing sequence from I , $\gamma < e_0$.

Then (1) $F^n [e_1, e_2] \subseteq e_3$ and

(2) if F is over constants, then $F^n [e_1, e_2] \subseteq \check{e}_0$.

Proof. (1) Let $\chi(e_2, e_2, e_3)$ be the formula

$$(\exists x \in [e_1, e_2])(F(x) \geq e_3).$$

Then $\chi(e_1, e_2, e_3) \rightarrow ((e \in I \& e > e_3) \rightarrow \chi(e_1, e_2, e))$, which is impossible.

(2) Let $\chi(e_0, e_1, e_2)$ be the formula

$$(\exists x \in [e_1, e_2])(F(x) \leq e_0).$$

Then $\chi(e_0, e_1, e_2) \rightarrow ((e, f \in I \& e_2 < e < f) \rightarrow \chi(e_0, e, f))$, which contradicts the assuming property of F .

Let $\gamma_0 \in N$, $i \in [0, 2]$. We are looking for γ_1 , a substructure \mathbb{M} of \mathbb{N} and $G: M \rightarrow M$ such that (A), (B), and (C) hold.

Let K denote the class of all finite integers.

Case 1 = 1. Choose $\zeta \in N$ with $\{\gamma_0\} \cup \{\delta_{1k}\}_k \subseteq \zeta$ and $I \subseteq J$ of the form $I = \{e_c\}_{c \in K}$ such that $(\forall c \in K)(\zeta < e_c)$ holds. Put $M = N_{\zeta \cup I}$. Let G_0 be an automorphism of $\langle \zeta \cup I, < \rangle$, satisfying: G_0 is identical on ζ and $G_0(e_c) = e_{c+2}$ holds for every $c \in K$. Let $G \supseteq G_0$ be an automorphism of \mathbb{M} . Assume that $x \in [e_k, e_{k+1}] \cap M$. We can see, by using Lemma 2, that $G(x) \geq G(e_k) = e_{k+2} > F_{1i}(x)$ holds for every i, k . The class I is cofinal in M and, consequently, $\gamma_1 = e_0$, \mathbb{M} and G have the required properties (A), (B), and (C).

Case 1 = 2. Choose again $\zeta \in N$ with $\{\gamma_0\} \cup \{\delta_{2k}\}_k \subseteq \zeta$ and I, M as above. Let G_0 be identical on ζ and let $G_0(e_c) = e_{c-2}$ hold for every $c \in K$. Suppose that $G \supseteq G_0$ is an automorphism of \mathbb{M} . We can see analogously as above (by using the presumption that \mathfrak{F}_2 is over constants) that $x \in [e_k, e_{k+1}] \cap M \rightarrow F_{2k}(x) > G(x)$ holds for every i, k . We can conclude that

$\gamma_1 = e_0$, \mathbb{M} and G have the required properties.

Case 1 = 3. Let again $\xi \in N$ be such that $\{\gamma_0\} \cup \{\sigma_{3k}\}_x \in \xi$. Choose $I \in J$ of the form $I = \{e_{kc}\} \mid k \in FN, c \in K$ with the property

$$(\forall k < 1)(\forall c, d \in K) [(\xi < e_{kc} < e_{1d}) \& (c < d \rightarrow e_{kc} < e_{kd})].$$

An existence of I is guaranteed by the fact that J is an unbounded σ -class. Put $M = M_{\xi \cup I}$.

We define $G_0: \xi \cup I \rightarrow \xi \cup I$ as follows:

- 0) $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \rightarrow G_0(e_{kc}) = e_{kc}, c \in K,$
- 1) $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \rightarrow G_0(e_{kc}) = e_{k, c+2}, c \in K,$
- 2) $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \rightarrow G_0(e_{kc}) = e_{k, c-2}, c \in K,$
- 3) $\alpha \in \xi \rightarrow G_0(\alpha) = \alpha.$

It is easy to see that G_0 is an automorphism of $\langle \xi \cup I, < \rangle$.

Let $G \supseteq G_0$ be an automorphism of \mathbb{M} . We can see as above that the following propositions hold:

(i) $k \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \rightarrow x \in [e_{k0}, e_{k1}] \cap M \rightarrow F_{1j}(x) < G(x),$
 $k, j \in FN,$

(ii) $k \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \rightarrow x \in [e_{k0}, e_{k1}] \cap M \rightarrow F_{2j}(x) > G(x),$
 $k, j \in FN.$

We deduce, using Lemma 2, that the assertion

$$(o) F_{0j}(e_{k0}) < e_{k1}, k, j \in FN$$

holds, too. The class I is unbounded in M . We conclude from this and from 0), (o), (i), (ii), and 3) that $\gamma_1 = 0$, \mathbb{M} and G have the required properties.

References

- [1] P. VOPĚNKA: Mathematics in the alternative set theory, Teubner Texte, Leipzig 1979.
- [2] A. SOCHOR, A. VENGOVSKÁ: Indiscernibles in the alternative set theory, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 22(1981), 785-798.

[4] A. SOCHOR and P. VOPEŇKA: Revelments, Comment. Math. Univ.
Carolinae 21(1980), 97-118.

Mathematical Institute, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 18600
Praha 8, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 13.12. 1984)