

Daniel Turzík

A note on chromatic number of direct product of graphs

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 24 (1983), No. 3, 461--463

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/106245>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A NOTE ON CHROMATIC NUMBER OF DIRECT PRODUCT
OF GRAPHS
Daniel TURZIK

Abstract: The case when the chromatic number $\chi(G \times H)$ of direct product of graphs equals to $\min \{ \chi(G), \chi(H) \}$ is discussed.

Key words: Graph, chromatic number, direct product of graphs.

Classification: 05C15, 05C20

The direct product $G \times H$ of two (finite, simple) graphs G, H is defined by

$$V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$$

and

$(x, y) \in V(G \times H)$ is adjacent to $(x', y') \in V(G \times H)$ if and only if $(x, x') \in E(G)$ and $(y, y') \in E(H)$.

In [1]

$$(1) \quad \chi(G \times H) = \min \{ \chi(G), \chi(H) \}$$

is conjectured (χ denotes the chromatic number) or, equivalently, if $\chi(G) = \chi(H) = k$, then $\chi(G \times H) = k$. It is clear that \leq holds in (1). It is proved in [1] that (1) holds if $\chi(G) = \chi(H) = k$ and

(2) each vertex of H is contained in a complete $k-1$ graph.

It is not difficult to prove that (1) holds if

(3) there exists a homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$.

(A mapping $\varphi: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ is a homomorphism if

$$(x, y) \in E(G) \implies (\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \in E(H).$$

In general, it is not even known whether $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(k) = \infty$ where $f(k) = \min \{ \chi(G \times H) \mid \chi(G) = \chi(H) = k \}$. In [3] it is proved that either $f(k) \leq 16$ for every k or $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(k) = \infty$.

In this note we give another sufficient condition for (1) and show examples of graphs which satisfy this condition but do not satisfy either (2) or (3).

Theorem: Let $\chi(G) = \chi(H) = k$. If

(4) for every pair e_1, e_2 of non-incident edges of G there is an edge e_3 of G incident to both e_1 and e_2 , then $\chi(G \times H) = k$.

Proof. Suppose that $\chi(G \times H) < k$. Let $c: V(G \times H) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ be a coloring of $G \times H$. For each vertex $x \in V(H)$ choose an edge $e_x = (y_x, z_x) \in E(G)$ such that $c(y_x, x) = c(z_x, x)$. Define $\bar{c}: V(H) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ by $\bar{c}(x) = c(y_x, x)$. As $\chi(H) = k$ there is an edge $(x, x') \in E(H)$ such that $\bar{c}(x) \neq \bar{c}(x')$.

There are three possibilities:

- a) $e_x = e_{x'}$ (say $y_x = y_{x'}, z_x = z_{x'}$). Then $c(y_x, x) = c(z_x, x')$ and (y_x, x) is adjacent to (z_x, x') in $G \times H$.
- b) $e_x, e_{x'}$ are incident (say $z_x = y_{x'}$). Then $c(y_x, x) = c(y_{x'}, x')$ and (y_x, x) is adjacent to $(y_{x'}, x')$ in $G \times H$.
- c) There is an edge incident to both e_x and $e_{x'}$ (say $(z_x, y_{x'}) \in E(G)$). Then $c(z_x, x) = c(y_{x'}, x')$ and (z_x, x) is adjacent to $(y_{x'}, x')$ in $G \times H$.

In all three cases we get a contradiction to $\chi(G \times H) < k$.

Remark: Let G_k , $k \geq 4$ be a graph with the vertex set $V(G_k) = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, z\}$ and the edge set $E(G_k) = \{(x_i, x_j) \mid i, j = 1, \dots, k-1, i \neq j\} \cup \{(x_i, y_j) \mid i, j = 1, \dots, k-1, i \neq j\} \cup \{(y_i, z) \mid i = 1, \dots, k-1\}$.

Clearly, G_k is the k -critical graph which satisfies (4), see [2].

It is not very difficult to prove that every 4-chromatic graph contains either G_4 or a 4-chromatic subgraph which satisfies (2). Since there is a homomorphism $\varphi: H \rightarrow G_4$ for any 4-chromatic graph H which does not satisfy (2), the Theorem does not yield any new result for 4-chromatic graphs.

On the other hand, let $k \geq 5$ and let H be any k -chromatic graph each vertex of which is contained in a triangle but which does not contain the complete graph K_4 . Then $\chi(G_k \times H) = k$ by the Theorem but neither G_k nor H satisfy (2) and there is neither a homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow H$ nor $\varphi: H \rightarrow G$.

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] S.A. BURR, P. ERDŐS and L. LOVÁSZ: On graphs of Ramsey type, *Ars Combin.* 1(1976), 167-190.
- [2] L. LOVÁSZ: *Combinatorial problems and exercises*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest 1979, 9.18.
- [3] S. POLJAK, V. RÖDL: On the Arc-chromatic number of a digraph, *J. Comb. Theory Ser. B* 31(1981), 190-198.

Math. Department VŠCHT, Studentská 6, 16000 Praha 6, Czechoslovakia

(Oblatum 9.3. 1983)