

Beloslav Riečan

A note on Lebesgue spaces

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 4, 783--788

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105893>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

19,4 (1978)

## A NOTE ON LEBESGUE SPACES

B. RIEČAN, Bratislava

Abstract: A simple proof of the isomorphism theorem for Lebesgue spaces is presented and the restriction of the Lebesgue measure to Borel sets is characterized.

Key words: Lebesgue space, isomorphism of probability spaces.

AMS: 28A65

-----

In this note we present a simple proof of the isomorphism theorem for Lebesgue spaces. Simultaneously we characterize the restriction of the Lebesgue measure to Borel sets.

First some fixed notations. By  $I$  we denote the unit interval on the line,  $B$  or  $L$  resp. the family of all Borel subsets of  $I$  or all Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $I$  resp.,  $\lambda$  the Lebesgue measure on  $L$ ,  $\nu$  its restriction to  $B$ . Further put  $Y = \{0,1\}^N$ , where  $N$  is the set of all positive integers and denote by  $\mathcal{T}$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the family of all cylinders in  $Y$ .

A basic step in our proof gives the following lemma.

Lemma. Let  $\mu$  be a non-atomic probability measure on  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then  $(Y, \mathcal{T}, \mu)$  and  $(I, B, \nu)$  are isomorphic.

Proof. Put  $B_n = \{y \in Y; y_n = 1\}$ . We construct  $C_n \subset I$ ,

$C_n$  being union of finite number of intervals such that

$$\mu(B_1^{i_1} \cap B_2^{i_2} \cap \dots \cap B_n^{i_n}) = \nu(C_1^{i_1} \cap C_2^{i_2} \cap \dots \cap C_n^{i_n})$$

for every sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  of 0 and 1. (Here  $B_k^1 = B_k$ ,  $B_k^0 = Y - B_k$  and similarly for  $C_k^i$ .) It can be easily constructed by

$$C_1 = \langle 0, \mu(B_1) \rangle,$$

$$C_2 = \langle 0, \mu(B_1 \cap B_2) \rangle \cup \langle \mu(B_1), \mu(B_1) + \mu(B_1' \cap B_2) \rangle$$

etc. The sets  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  generate a decomposition  $\xi_n$  consisting of all non-empty intersections  $B_1^{i_1} \cap B_2^{i_2} \cap \dots \cap B_n^{i_n}$  ( $i_k \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$ ). Similarly let  $\eta_n$  be the decomposition generated by  $C_1, \dots, C_n$ . If we put

$$\|\xi\| = \max_{C \in \xi} \mu(C),$$

then evidently  $\|\xi_n\| = \|\eta_n\|$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Since  $(\xi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  generates  $T$  and  $\mu$  is non-atomic, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n\| = 0$$

(see [3], § 41, Theorem A). Let  $K$  be the set of end-points of all  $\eta_n$ . Then the relation  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi_n\| = 0$  implies that  $K$  is a dense subset of  $I$  (see [3], § 41, theorem B).

Now we can construct a mapping  $\psi : I - K \rightarrow Y$  by the following way:

$$(\psi(z))_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } z \in C_n \\ 0, & \text{if } z \notin C_n \end{cases}$$

Denote by  $Z^{(k)}$  the union of all intersections  $B_1^{i_1} \cap \dots \cap B_k^{i_k}$ , where  $\mu(B_1^{i_1} \cap \dots \cap B_k^{i_k}) = 0$ . Further let  $Z^{(0)}$  be the set of all  $y \in Y$ , for which  $y_n = 0$  for only finitely many indices  $n$  and all  $y \in Y$  for which  $y_n = 1$  for only finitely many indices  $n$ . Since  $\mu$  is non-atomic, every singleton has measure zero; hence also  $\mu(Z^{(0)}) = 0$ . Therefore, if we put  $Z = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} Z^{(i)}$ , then  $\mu(Z) = 0$ .

We now prove that  $\psi : I - K \rightarrow Y$  is a bijection between  $I - K$  and  $Y - Z$ .

Evidently  $\psi$  is injective, since  $z_1 \neq z_2$  implies the existence of such  $n$  that e.g.  $z_1 \in C_n$  and  $z_2 \notin C_n$  ( $K$  is dense and therefore  $(C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  separates points), hence  $(\psi(z_1))_n = 1$ ,  $(\psi(z_2))_n = 0$  and therefore  $\psi(z_1) \neq \psi(z_2)$ .

Let  $y \in Y - Z$ . Since  $y \notin Z^{(k)}$ , we have  $\mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^k C_n^{y_n}) = \mu(\bigcap_{n=1}^k B_n^{y_n}) > 0$  and hence  $\emptyset \neq \bigcap_{n=1}^k C_n^{y_n} \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^k \overline{C_n^{y_n}}$ . Since  $(\bigcap_{n=1}^k \overline{C_n^{y_n}})_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of non-empty closed sets, whose diameters converge to 0, there is exactly one  $z \in I$ , for which

$$z \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{C_n^{y_n}}.$$

The point  $z$  is not an end-point for any  $C_n$ . Namely, if  $z \in K$ , then either  $y_n = 0$  for almost all  $n$ , or  $y_n = 1$  for almost all  $n$ , i.e.  $y \in Z^{(0)} \subset Z$ , what is impossible. Since  $z$  is not an end-point for  $C_n^{y_n}$ , but  $z \in \overline{C_n^{y_n}}$ , we obtain  $z \in C_n^{y_n}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). But it means that  $(\psi(z))_n = 1$ , if  $y_n = 1$ ,  $(\psi(z))_n = 0$ , if  $y_n = 0$ , hence  $\psi(z) = y$  and  $\psi : I - K \rightarrow Y - Z$  is surjective.

Take  $z \in C_n - K$ . The relation holds iff  $z$  is not an end-

point of  $C_n$  and lies in the left part under the  $n$ -th partition. But it holds iff  $(\psi(z))_n = 1$ ,  $\psi(z) \notin Z$ . We have proved  $\psi(C_n - K) = B_n - Z$ . Evidently,  $\mu(B_n) = \mu(B_n - Z) = \nu(C_n - K) = \nu(C_n)$ . Since these relations hold also for the sets belonging to the rings generated by  $(B_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  or  $(C_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  resp., we see that  $\psi$  and  $\psi^{-1}$  are measurable and measure preserving. Hence  $\psi$  is an invertible transformation,  $(Y, T, \mu)$ ,  $(I, B, \nu)$  are isomorphic.

Definition 1. A sequence  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of measurable subsets of a measurable space  $(X, S)$  is called separating, if to every  $x, y \in X$ ,  $x \neq y$  there is  $n$  such that  $A_n$  contains exactly one of the points. A separating sequence is called a separating base, if it generates  $S$ .

Definition 2. For any separating base  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  define  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  by the formula  $(i(x))_n = 1$ , if  $x \in A_n$ ,  $(i(x))_n = 0$ , if  $x \notin A_n$ . We say that  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a quasicomplete base, if  $i(X) \in T$ .

Theorem 1. Let  $(X, S, P)$  be a non-atomic probability space having a separating quasicomplete base. Then  $(X, S, P)$  is isomorphic with  $(I, B, \nu)$ .

Proof. Let  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a separating quasicomplete base,  $i: X \rightarrow Y$  be the imbedding induced by the base. Put  $\mu = P \circ i^{-1}$ , i.e.  $\mu(E) = P(i^{-1}(E))$ ,  $E \in T$ . Since  $i(X) \in T$ ,  $\mu(Y - i(X)) = 0$ , evidently  $(X, S, P)$  is isomorphic with  $(Y, T, \mu)$ . But Lemma states the isomorphism between  $(Y, T, \mu)$  and  $(I, B, \nu)$ .

Definition 3. Denote by  $\Pi^{-1}$  the measure defined on  $T$  by the formula  $\Pi^{-1}(E) = P(i^{-1}(E))$  and by  $T_c$  the family of all  $(\Pi^{-1})^*$ -measurable subsets of  $Y$ . A separating sequence is called an almost complete base, if  $i(X) \in T_c$  and  $S$  is the  $\mathcal{G}$ -algebra generated by this base and the family  $\{E \subset X; P^*(E) = 0\}$ .

Theorem 2. Let  $(X, S, P)$  be a complete non-atomic probability space having a separating almost complete base. Then  $(X, S, P)$  and  $(I, L, \mathcal{A})$  are isomorphic.

Proof. As before,  $i$  is one-to-one,  $i: X \rightarrow i(X)$ . Let  $\mu_c$  be the restriction of  $(\Pi^{-1})^*$  to the  $\mathcal{G}$ -algebra  $T_c$  of all measurable sets. We see that  $\mu_c(Y - i(X)) = 0$ ,  $i$  maps  $A_n$  on  $\{y; y_n = 1\} \cap i(X)$  and these sets generate (after completions)  $\mathcal{G}$ -algebras in their spaces. Therefore  $(X, S, P)$  and  $(Y, T_c, \mu_c)$  are isomorphic. Put  $\mu = \Pi^{-1}: T \rightarrow R$ . Then by Lemma  $(Y, T, \mu)$  is isomorphic with  $(I, B, \nu)$ . Evidently their completions  $(Y, T_c, \mu_c)$ ,  $(I, B_c, \nu_c) = (I, L, \mathcal{A})$  are isomorphic, too. Therefore  $(X, S, P)$  is isomorphic with  $(I, L, \mathcal{A})$ .

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] J. HAEZENDONCK: Abstract Lebesgue-Rochlin spaces, Bull. Soc. Math. Belgique 25(1973), 243-258.
- [2] B.V. ROCHLIN: Ob osnovnykh ponjatijach teorii mery, Math. sb. 25, 67(1949), 107-150.
- [3] P.R. HALMOS: Measure theory, New York 1950.

Prírodovedecká fakulta  
Univerzity Komenského  
Mlynská dolina, 81631 Bratislava  
Československo

(Oblatum 2.6. 1978)