

Milan R. Tasković

On contractive mappings in metric spaces

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 19 (1978), No. 2, 409--413

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105864>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1978

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

ON CONTRACTIVE MAPPINGS IN METRIC SPACES

Milan R. TASKOVIČ, Beograd

Abstract: A number of authors have defined contractive type mappings on a complete metric space X which are generalizations of the well known Banach's contraction, and which have the property that each of such mappings has a unique fixed point. In this paper we shall prove the further generalizations of the Banach contraction mapping principle.

Key words: Generalized contractions, fixed point principle.

AMS: 47H10

The purpose of this paper is to consider the operators T on a metric space (X, ρ) which are not necessarily continuous. First of all we recall the following definitions.

Let T be a mapping of a metric space X into itself. The space X is said to be T-orbitally complete iff every Cauchy sequence of the form $\{T^{n_i}(x) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$, $x \in X$, converges in X , where $T^1(x) = Tx$ and $T^n x = T(T^{n-1}x)$ for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. The mapping T is said to be orbitally continuous iff $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T^{n_i} x = u$ implies $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T(T^{n_i} x) = Tu$ for each $x \in X$.

Theorem 1. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping on X and let X be a T-orbitally complete metric space. If T satisfies the following condition: for every $x, y \in X$, there exist real

numbers $\alpha_i(x,y) = \alpha_i$, $\beta(x,y) = \beta$ such that, $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 > \beta$ and $(\beta - \alpha_2 \geq 0, \sup_{x,y} (\beta - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3)^{-1} = \lambda_1 \in [0,1))$ or $(\beta - \alpha_3 \geq 0, \sup_{x,y} (\beta - \alpha_3)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)^{-1} = \lambda_2 \in [0,1))$, and

$$(1) \quad \alpha_1 \varphi[Tx, Ty] + \alpha_2 \varphi[x, Tx] + \alpha_3 \varphi[y, Ty] + \\ + \alpha_4 \min \{ \varphi[x, Ty], \varphi[y, Tx] \} \leq \beta \varphi[x, y];$$

then for each $x \in X$, the sequence $(T^n x)$ converges to a fixed point of T .

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be arbitrary. We shall show that the sequence of iterates

$$(2) \quad x_0 = x, \quad x_n = T(x_{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

at x is a Cauchy sequence. Since $x_{k-1} = x_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ immediately implies that (x_n) is the Cauchy's sequence, we can suppose that $x_{n-1} \neq x_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (1) for $x = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$ we have

$$\alpha_1 \varphi[x_n, x_{n+1}] + \alpha_2 \varphi[x_{n-1}, x_n] + \alpha_3 \varphi[x_n, x_{n+1}] + \\ + \alpha_4 \min \{ \varphi[x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}], 0 \} = \alpha_1 \varphi[x_n, x_{n+1}] + \\ + \alpha_2 \varphi[x_{n-1}, x_n] + \alpha_3 \varphi[x_n, x_{n+1}] \leq \beta \varphi[x_{n-1}, x_n]$$

i.e.

$$\varphi[x_n, x_{n+1}] \leq \frac{\beta - \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3} \varphi[x_{n-1}, x_n] \leq \lambda_1 \varphi[x_{n-1}, x_n].$$

Proceeding in this manner we obtain

$$\varphi[x_n, x_{n+1}] \leq \lambda_1 \varphi[x_{n-1}, x_n] \leq \dots \leq \lambda_1^n \varphi[x, Tx].$$

Hence for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$ one has

$$\varphi [x_n, x_{n+s}] \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n+s-1} \varphi [x_i, x_{i+1}] \leq \lambda_1^n (1 - \lambda_1)^{-1} \varphi [x, Tx].$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_1^n (1 - \lambda_1)^{-1} = 0$, it follows that (2) is a Cauchy sequence. X being T -orbitally complete, there is some $\xi \in X$ such that $\xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x$. To prove $T\xi = \xi$, consider the following inequalities, for $x = T^n x$, and $y = \xi$:

$$\alpha_1 \varphi [T^{n+1} x, T\xi] + \alpha_2 \varphi [T^n x, T^{n+1} x] + \alpha_3 \varphi [\xi, T\xi] + \alpha_4 \min \{ \varphi [T^n x, T\xi], \varphi [T^{n+1} x, \xi] \} < \beta \varphi [T^n x, \xi].$$

Hence, letting n tend to infinity, it follows $\varphi [\xi, T\xi] = 0$, i.e. $T\xi = \xi$, which concludes the proof.

This proof is made under the assumption that $\beta - \alpha_2 \geq 0$ ($\implies \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 > 0$). We can also prove the Theorem when $\beta - \alpha_3 \geq 0$ ($\implies \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 0$) in a similar way, using the fact that distance is a symmetric function.

Theorem 2. Let $T: X \rightarrow X$ be an orbitally continuous mapping on a metric space X which satisfies the following conditions

$$(3) \quad \alpha_1 \varphi [Tx, Ty] + \alpha_2 \varphi [x, Tx] + \alpha_3 \varphi [y, Ty] + \alpha_4 \min \{ \varphi [x, Ty], \varphi [y, Tx] \} < \beta \varphi [x, y],$$

whenever $x \neq y$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 \geq \beta$ and $\beta - \alpha_2 > 0 \vee \beta - \alpha_3 > 0$ (α_i, β are real constants). If for some $x_0 \in X$ the sequence $\{T^n x_0\}$ has a cluster point $\xi \in X$, then ξ is a fixed point of T .

Proof. If $T^{r-1} x_0 = T^r x_0$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then $T^n x_0 = T^r x_0 = \xi$ for all $n \geq r$, and the assertion follows. Assume

now that $T^{r-1}x_0 \neq T^r x_0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} T^{n_i} x_0 = \xi$. Then for $T^{n-1}x_0, T^n x_0 \in X$, by (3)

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_1 \varphi [T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0] + \alpha_2 \varphi [T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0] + \alpha_3 \varphi [T^n x_0, \\ & T^{n+1} x_0] + \alpha_4 \min \{ \varphi [T^{n-1} x_0, T^{n+1} x_0], 0 \} = \\ & = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3) \varphi [T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0] + \alpha_2 \varphi [T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0] < \\ & < \beta \varphi [T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0] \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\varphi [T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0] < \frac{\beta - \alpha_2}{\alpha_1 + \alpha_3} \varphi [T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0] \leq \varphi [T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0].$$

Hence

$$\varphi [T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0] < \varphi [T^{n-1} x_0, T^n x_0].$$

Therefore, $\{ \varphi [T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0] \}$ is a decreasing and hence convergent sequence of positive real numbers. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_i \varphi [T^{n_i} x_0, T^{n_i+1} x_0] &= \varphi [\xi, T\xi] \text{ and } \{ \varphi [T^{n_i} x_0, T^{n_i+1} x_0] \} \subseteq \\ &\subseteq \{ \varphi [T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0] \}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$(4) \quad \lim_n \varphi [T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0] = \varphi [\xi, T\xi].$$

Also, as $\lim_i T^{n_i+1} x_0 = T\xi$, $\lim_i T^{n_i+2} x_0 = T^2\xi$ and

$$\{ \varphi [T^{n_i+1} x_0, T^{n_i+2} x_0] \} \subseteq \{ \varphi [T^n x_0, T^{n+1} x_0] \},$$

by (4)

$$(5) \quad \varphi [T\xi, T^2\xi] = \varphi [\xi, T\xi].$$

Suppose that $\varphi [\xi, T\xi] > 0$. Then by (3) we have

$$\varphi [T\xi, T^2\xi] < \varphi [\xi, T\xi] .$$

which contradicts (5). This proves that $T\xi = \xi$. The proof is complete.

The above proof is made under the assumption that $\beta - \alpha_2 > 0$ ($\implies \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 > 0$). We can also prove the Theorem when $\beta - \alpha_3 > 0$ ($\implies \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 > 0$) in a similar way, using the fact that distance is a symmetric function.

The results were presented on lectures together with examples and connections with previously obtained theorems (see [1] and the references there), while the author was visiting the Charles University, January 1978.

R e f e r e n c e

- [1] TASKOVIĆ M.: Some results in the fixed point theory, Publ. Inst. Math. 20(34), 1976, 231-242.

Prirodno-matematički fakultet
11000 Beograd, p.p. 550
Studentski trg 16
Yugoslavia

(Oblatum 13.2. 1978)