

Jaroslav Nešetřil; Vojtěch Rödl
Partitions of vertices

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 17 (1976), No. 1, 85--95

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105676>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

17,1 (1976)

PARTITIONS OF VERTICES

Jaroslav NEŠETŘIL, Vojtěch RÖDL, Praha

DEDICATED TO EMANUEL GRINBERG ON THE OCCASION OF HIS 65-TH
BIRTHDAY

Abstract: In this note we show how a theorem by Erdős-Hajnal may be used for proving theorems concerned with partitions of vertices of graphs, relations etc.

Key-words: Ramsey theorem, partitions, chromatic number.

AMS: 05A99

Ref. Ž.: 8.83

Introduction. In 1966 Erdős and Hajnal [1] proved the following.

Theorem A : For every positive integer $k \geq 2$, $\ell \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ there exists a hypergraph $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(k, \ell, n) = (X, \mathcal{M})$ with the following properties:

- 1) \mathcal{G} is a k -uniform hypergraph
- 2) \mathcal{G} does not contain cycles of length smaller than ℓ
- 3) $\chi(\mathcal{G}) > n$

The notation is the following: $\chi(\mathcal{G}) =$ chromatic number of \mathcal{G} i.e. the minimal number of colours which are necessary for colouring the vertices of \mathcal{G} in such a way that no monocoloured hyperedge occurs; k -uniform means that $|M| = k$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$; a cycle of length ℓ is a sequence $x_1, M_1, x_2, M_2, \dots, x_\ell, M_\ell$ such that $x_i \in M_i$, $i \in [1, \ell]$;

$x_{i+1} \in M_i, i \in [1, \ell - 1]; x_1 \in M_\ell$

$\{M_i \mid i \in [1, \ell]\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}, \{x_i \mid i \in [1, \ell]\} \subseteq X.$

To avoid the trivial cycle consisting of only one hyperedge we assume that there are i, j such that $M_i \not\supseteq M_j$.

Theorem A was proved by nonconstructive means. In 1968 L. Lovász proved the same theorem constructively.

In this note we show how this theorem implies (using a simple trick) a very general theorem of Ramsey type for partitions of vertices. There are two reasons for publishing of this note: first, the trick provides simpler proofs to known theorems ([2],[3],[4]), secondly, partitions of vertices are used as a tool for proving a Ramsey type theorem for partitions of edges and we shall need a general theorem for partitions of vertices for our forthcoming papers.

We apply the Theorem A to partitions of vertices of graphs, hypergraphs, relations and universal algebras. In § 4 we show that given a graph G there exists an infinite set of minimal graphs with the vertex partition property for G . We end this note with a few problems and comments concerning infinite graphs.

1. Folkman's theorem. In 1967 J. Folkman [3] proved: For every positive integer r and for every graph $G = (V, E)$ without complete subgraphs on m vertices there exists a graph $H = (W, F)$ without complete subgraphs on m vertices such that for every partition $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^r W_i$ there exists an i and an embedding $f: G \rightarrow H$ such that $f(V) \subseteq W_i$ (An embedding $f: G \rightarrow H$ is an 1-1 mapping with the property

$\{f(x), f(y)\} \in F \iff \{x, y\} \in E$.). We denote by $G \xrightarrow[r]{v} H$ the validity of the above statement for G, H , the negation is denoted by $G \not\xrightarrow[r]{v} H$. This notation has the following sense: Let $G \rightarrow H$ denote the fact that there exists an embedding of G into H . Then $G \xrightarrow[r]{v} H$ means that there are "so many" embeddings of G into H that even if we partition vertices of H into r parts we still have an embedding in one of the parts. In this way $\xrightarrow[r]{v}$ may be seen as a combinatorially strengthened embedding arrow (see [8]).

Folkman gave a direct constructive proof of the above fact. Another (less elementary) proof is due to the authors of [7]. However, Theorem A instantly yields a much stronger result.

Definition: Let K be a fixed graph. Denote by $\text{Gra}(K)$ the class of all graphs which do not contain K as a subgraph. (I.e. $G \notin \text{Gra}(K) \iff$ there are sets $V_0 \subseteq V, E_0 \subseteq E$ such that $(V_0, E_0) \cong K$.)

If \mathcal{K} is a set of graphs put $\text{Gra}(\mathcal{K}) = \bigcap \{ \text{Gra}(K) \mid K \in \mathcal{K} \}$.

Theorem 1: Let \mathcal{K} be a finite set of 2-connected graphs. Then for every graph $G \in \text{Gra}(\mathcal{K})$ there exists a graph $H \in \text{Gra}(\mathcal{K})$ such that $G \xrightarrow[r]{v} H$.

We may assume $|K| > 2$ for every $K \in \mathcal{K}$ as for $|K| \leq 2$ we get either the void class of graphs or the class of all discrete graphs.

Proof: Let $G = (V, E) \in \text{Gra}(\mathcal{K})$ be fixed. Let $b = \max_{K \in \mathcal{K}} |K| + 1, |G| = k$. Let us choose $\mathcal{G}(k, \ell, r) = (X, \mathcal{M})$

with the properties of Theorem A. For each $M \in \mathcal{M}$ let $f_M: V \rightarrow M$ be a fixed bijection. Define the graph $H = (X, F)$ such that $\{x, y\} \in F \iff$ there exist $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\{z, t\} \in E$ such that $\{f_M(z), f_M(t)\} = \{x, y\}$. This graph H will be denoted by $(X, \mathcal{M}) * G$.

As $\ell > 2$ we have $|M \cap N| \leq 1$ whenever $M \neq N$, $\{M, N\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ (see above) and consequently

1) $f_M: V \rightarrow M$ is an embedding of G into H for each $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

2) If K' is a subgraph of H , $K' \cong K \in \mathcal{K}$ then $K' \subseteq (M, F)$, $M \in \mathcal{M}$.

(This follows by the 2-connectivity of K and by the fact that (X, \mathcal{M}) does not contain a cycle of length $< |K| + 1$.)

Finally $G \xrightarrow[\kappa]{\nu} H$ follows immediately from $\chi(X, \mathcal{M}) > r$:

Given a partition $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^r X_i$ there exists $M \in \mathcal{M}$ and $i \in [1, r]$ such that $M \subseteq X_i$. Consequently G is an induced subgraph of (X_i, F) and f_M is an embedding.

This theorem does not hold for graphs with connectivity < 2 :

i) If K is disconnected and $K = K' \cup K''$ where $K' \cong K''$ then $H \not\xrightarrow[\kappa]{\nu} K'$ for every $H \in \text{Gra}(K)$ as may be seen easily.

ii) If $K = P_n$ is a path of length n then $G \in \text{Gra}(P_n) \implies \implies \chi(G) \leq n$. From this follows that there exists $G \in \text{Gra}(P_n)$ such that $G \not\xrightarrow[\kappa]{\nu} H$ for every graph $H \in \text{Gra}(P_n)$ (it suffices to take $G \in \text{Gra}(P_n)$ which satisfies $\chi(G) > \frac{n}{2}$; obviously $G \xrightarrow{\nu} H \implies \chi(H) \geq$

$\geq 2 \chi(G) - 1$).

iii) If \mathcal{X} is an infinite set then the statement may be false (consider $\mathcal{X} = \{C_{2k+1} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ the set of all odd cycles).

2. Partitions of vertices of relations and hypergraphs. Using the same ideas as in 1 we may prove analogous theorems for relations and hypergraphs. We list only statements:

Theorem 2a: Let \mathcal{R} be a finite set of 2-weakly connected relations (see [5], p.199). Then for every positive integer r and for every $R \in \text{Rel}(\mathcal{R})$ there exists $S \in \text{Rel}(\mathcal{R})$ such that $R \xrightarrow[r]{v} S$.

Theorem 2b: Let \mathcal{F} be a finite set of hypergraphs which are 2-connected (i.e. (X, \mathcal{M}) is 2-connected $\iff \iff (X, \cup_{M \in \mathcal{M}} P_r(M))$ is a 2-connected graph). Then for positive integer r and for every $(X, \mathcal{M}) \in \text{Hyp}(\mathcal{F})$ there exists $(Y, \mathcal{N}) \in \text{Hyp}(\mathcal{F})$ such that

$$(X, \mathcal{M}) \xrightarrow[r]{v} (Y, \mathcal{N}).$$

The definitions of $\text{Rel}(\mathcal{R})$ and $\text{Hyp}(\mathcal{F})$ and of symbols

$\xrightarrow[r]{v}$ are quite analogous to the definitions $\text{Gra}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\xrightarrow[r]{v}$ for graphs. Again in certain sense these theorems are best possible.

3. Partitions of algebras. Let \mathcal{V} be the class of all finite universal algebras of given type $\Delta = (n_i \mid i \in I)$.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X, (\omega_i \mid i \in I))$, $\mathfrak{Y} = (Y, (\alpha_i \mid i \in I))$ be algebras from \mathcal{V} . We write $\mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{x} \mathfrak{Y}$ iff for every partition $Y = \bigcup_{i=1}^n Y_i$ there exists an i and a monomorphism $f: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ such that $f(x) \in Y_i$.

Theorem 3: Let r be positive integer and let $\mathfrak{X} \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\omega_i(x, x, \dots, x) = x$ for every $i \in I$ and $x \in X$ (idempotent algebras). Then there exists $\mathfrak{Y} \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{x} \mathfrak{Y}$.

Proof: Let $|X| = k$, $l = 3$, $n = r$. Consider $(Y', \mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{G}(k, 3, r)$. Let $y' \in Y'$. For every $M \in \mathcal{M}$ let us choose a bijection $f_M: X \rightarrow M$. Define $(Y, (\alpha_i \mid i \in I))$ by $Y = Y' \cup \{y'\}$ and $\alpha_i(y_j \mid j \in [1, n_i]) = f_M(\omega_i(x_j \mid j \in [1, n_i]))$ where $f_M(x_j) = y_j$ if such an M exists, otherwise we put $\alpha_i(y_j \mid j \in [1, n_i]) = y'$, $i \in I$. It is easy to check that $\mathfrak{Y} \in \mathcal{V}$, $\mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{x} \mathfrak{Y}$.

Again it is easy to see that, generally, for non-idempotent algebras Theorem 3 fails to be true.

Remark: A very difficult problem seems to be the characterization of those primitive classes of algebras for which the statement analogous to Theorem 3 holds. This is true for example for the class of all finite distributive lattices.

4. **Critical Folkman graphs:** Let G be a graph. We say that H is an irreducible (r, v) -graph for G if $G \xrightarrow{v} H$ but $G \not\xrightarrow{v} H'$ for every proper subgraph

H' of H .

Theorem 4 a: For every graph G , $|G| > 1$ there exists a countable set of non-isomorphic irreducible (r,v) -graphs for G .

Proof: A proof follows directly from the $*$ construction in 1. Let G be fixed. We may assume that G is a connected graph (otherwise we consider the complement of G) .

It suffices to put

$$\begin{aligned} H_1 &= \mathcal{F}(|G|, 3, r) * G \\ H_2 &= \mathcal{F}(|G|, |H_1|, r) * G \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ H_{n+1} &= \mathcal{F}(|G|, |H_n|, r) * G \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

$G \xrightarrow[\kappa]{\nu} H_i$ holds for every i . Let \bar{H}_i be an irreducible (r,v) -graph for G contained in H_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Obviously $|H_i| < |H_j|$ for all i, j satisfying $i < j$. Assume $\bar{H}_i \cong \bar{H}_j$ for $i < j$. As $\bar{H}_j \subseteq H_j$, $H_j = \mathcal{F}(|G|, |H_{j-1}|, r) * G$, and $|H_{j-1}| \geq |H_i|$ we have $|\bar{H}_i| \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{F}} * G$ where $\bar{\mathcal{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(|G|, |H_{j-1}|, r)$ is a hypergraph which does not contain any cycle. But in this case $\chi(\bar{\mathcal{F}} * G) = \chi(G)$. This contradicts

$$G \xrightarrow[\kappa]{\nu} \bar{H}_i .$$

Remark 1: Using a modified proof we may even prove

Theorem 4 b: Let \mathcal{K} be a finite set of 2-connected graphs. Then for every graph $G \in \text{Gra}(\mathcal{K})$ there exists a countable set $\{H_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ of non-isomorphic graphs such that

- 1) $H_i \in \text{Gra}(\mathcal{K})$
- 2) H_i is an irreducible (r, v) -graph for G .

Remark 2: Theorem 4a does not hold for infinite graphs. Every complete graph of infinite cardinality is the only (r, v) -irreducible graph for itself. Theorem 4a fails to be true for $|G| = 1$, too.

Remark 3: Let $G = (V, E)$, $H = (W, F)$ be graphs. We write $G \xrightarrow[r]{e} H$ if for every partition $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^r F_i$ there exists an embedding $f: G \rightarrow H$ such that $\{\{f(x), f(y)\} \mid \{x, y\} \in E\} \subseteq F_i$ for an $i \in [1, r]$. The existence of an Ramsey graph for every finite graph was proved independently by Deuber, Erdős, Hajnal, Posa and Rödl [9], see also much stronger [7].

Define H to be an (r, e) -irreducible graph for G if $G \xrightarrow[r]{e} H$ but $G \not\xrightarrow[r]{e} H'$ for all proper subgraphs H' of H .

Problem 1: Characterize those finite graphs G for which there exists an infinite set of non-isomorphic (r, e) -irreducible graphs for G .

If a graph G contains at most one edge then there exists precisely one (r, e) -irreducible graph H such that

$G \xrightarrow[r]{e} H$, namely G itself.

Conjecture: For a finite graph G the following two statements are equivalent:

1. For G there exists a countable set of (r, e) -irreducible graphs.
2. $G \xrightarrow[\kappa]{e} G$.

The path of length 2 is an example of a graph G for which there exists a countable set of $(2, e)$ -irreducible graphs.

One can take the family of all odd cycles.

More generally, the same is true for every paths of length l , l finite.

Finally let us remark that Theorem 1 shows the power of Erdős-Hajnal theorem for partitions of vertices.

There is no general method known for deriving similar theorems for partitions of edges (see [8] for results in this direction). Let us add a few remarks concerning infinite graphs. In an obvious way we may extend the symbol $G \xrightarrow[\kappa]{r} H$ for infinite graphs G , H and any cardinal r . The following is then true:

Theorem 5a: For every graph G and every positive integer r there exists H such that $G \xrightarrow[\kappa]{r} H$.

Theorem 5b: For every finite graph G and every cardinal r there exists a graph H such that $G \xrightarrow[\kappa]{r} H$. Moreover, if G does not contain a complete graph on m vertices then H may be chosen with the same property.

Theorem 5a may be proved by the following construction:

Let $G = (V, E)$, assume without loss of generality $r = 2$

(this is possible as $G \xrightarrow{\frac{r}{2}} H \xrightarrow{\frac{r}{2}} I \implies G \xrightarrow{\frac{r}{4}} I$).

Put $H = (V \times V, F)$ where $\{(x,y), (x',y')\} \in F \iff$ either $x = x'$ or $\{y,y'\} \in E$ or $\{x,x'\} \in E$.

Given a colouring $c: V \times V \rightarrow \{1,2\}$ either there exists

$x \in V$ such that $c(\{x\} \times V) = i$ or there exists i such that for every $x \in V$ there exists y with $c((x,y)) = i$.

From this follows easily $G \xrightarrow{\frac{r}{2}} H$.

Theorem 5b follows from the Erdős-Rado generalization of the classical Ramsey theorem for cardinal numbers and from the representation of finite graphs by type-graphs, see [7],[8]. This is a straightforward application of type-graphs and we omit the proof.

This leads to the following problems (see also [2]):

Problem 2: Let G be a graph and r a cardinal number. Does there exist a graph H such that $G \xrightarrow{\frac{r}{2}} H$?

Moreover, providing that G does not contain a complete graph with m vertices is it possible to choose H with the same property?

Not much is known, even the case $m = 3$ and $r = 2$ is unsolved. The purpose of this remark is to show that even dealing with vertex partitions one cannot be overoptimistic.

R e f e r e n c e s :

- [0] P. ERDŐS: Problems and results on finite and infinite graphs, in: Recent advances in graph theory, Academia, Prague (1975), pp.183-192.
- [1] ERDŐS P., HAJNAL A.: On chromatic number of graphs and set systems, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 17 (1966), 61-99.

- [2] ERDŐS P., HAJNAL A.: Problems and results in finite and infinite combinatorial analysis, Ann. of N.Y. Acad. Sci., 175(1970), 115-124.
- [3] FOLKMAN J.: Graphs with monochromatic complete subgraphs in every edge colouring, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 18 (1970), 19-29.
- [4] R.L. GRAHAM, B.L. ROTHSCHILD: Some recent developments in Ramsey theory, in: Mathematical centre tracts, Amsterdam, 57(1974), pp.61-67.
- [5] HARARY F.: Graph theory, Addison-Wesley, Reading (1969).
- [6] LOVÁSZ L.: On chromatic number of finite set-systems, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 19(1968), 59-67.
- [7] NEŠETŘIL J., RÖDL V.: The Ramsey property for graphs with forbidden complete subgraphs, to appear in Journal of Comb. Theory.
- [8] J. NEŠETŘIL, V. RÖDL: Type theory of partition problems of graphs, in: Recent advances in graph theory, Academia, Prague (1975), 405-412.
- [9] PROCEEDINGS of Set theory conference, Kézsthély (1973).
- [10] RÖDL V.: The dimension of a graph and a generalized Ramsey theorem, Thesis Charles University Praha (1973).

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta
 Karlova universita
 Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8
 Československo

Fakulta jaderné fyziky a
 inženýrství ČVUT
 Husova 5, Praha 1
 Československo

(Oblatum 6.11.1975)