

Věra Kůrková-Pohlová; Václav Koubek

When a generalized algebraic category is monadic

*Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 4, 577--587

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105582>

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

WHEN A GENERALIZED ALGEBRAIC CATEGORY IS MONADIC

Věra KURKOVÁ-POHLOVÁ, Václav KOUBEK, Praha

**Abstract:** A necessary and sufficient condition for set functors  $F$  and  $G$  is given in the paper so that a generalized algebraic category  $A(F, G)$  is monadic, i.e. it has a free algebra over any set.

**Key words:** Set functor, free algebra, monad, left adjoint, universal algebra.

AMS: 18B99, 08A10

Ref. Ž. 2.726

Given functors  $F, G$  from sets to sets, form a generalized algebraic category  $A(F, G)$  (see [1],[2],[6],[7],[8]): objects are pairs  $(X, \omega)$ , where  $X$  is a set and  $\omega$  maps  $FX$  into  $GX$ ; morphisms  $f: (X, \omega) \rightarrow (X', \omega')$  are mappings  $f: X \rightarrow X'$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FX & \xrightarrow{\quad} & GX \\
 Ff \downarrow & & \downarrow Gf \\
 FX' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & GX'
 \end{array}$$

commutes. This notion generalizes the categories of universal algebras of a given type  $\Delta$  (this is the case  $G$  equals identical functor,  $F$  is the sum of hom-functors  $\text{Hom}(\alpha, -)$ , where  $\alpha$  are the cardinals in  $\Delta$ ).

Another generalization of universal algebras is represented by algebras over a monad. The present paper is devoted to the study of the interrelation of these two generalizations. We give a necessary and sufficient condition on  $F$  and  $G$  for the natural forgetful functor  $A(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Set}$  to be monadic: The functor  $G$  is representable and  $F$  is not excessive (i.e., roughly speaking,  $F$  does not increase powers of arbitrary big sets).

Generalized algebraic categories were defined by Trnková and Goralčík in connection with Wyler's paper. They were investigated in a number of papers. We are much indebted to V. Trnková who introduced us to the topics.

## I.

We work in the Gödel-Bernays set theory; the class of all ordinal numbers is denoted by  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , its subclass of all cardinal numbers is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .  $|X|$  denotes the cardinality of a set  $X$ , and if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping then  $\text{Im } f = \{f(x); x \in X\}$ . By ordinal  $m$  we mean a set of all ordinals less than  $m$ . If  $m$  is a cardinal then  $m^+$  is the cardinal successor of  $m$ , cf  $m$  is a cofinal of  $m$ , i.e. the least cardinal  $n$  such that  $m = \bigcup_{i < n} m_i$ , where  $m_i < m$ .

A category of all sets and their mappings we denote  $\text{Set}$ . By a set functor we shall mean a covariant functor from  $\text{Set}$  into itself. We denote shortly

$\mathcal{O}_M = \text{Hom}(M, -) : \text{Set} \longrightarrow \text{Set}$  for every set  $M$ . Further  $C_{\emptyset, 1} : \text{Set} \longrightarrow \text{Set}$  is a set functor which is defined by  $C_{\emptyset, 1} \emptyset = \emptyset$ ,  $C_{\emptyset, 1} X = 1$ , where  $X \neq \emptyset$ ;  $C_M : \text{Set} \longrightarrow \text{Set}$  is a constant functor to a set  $M$ . Let  $F, G$  be set functors. If  $F$  is naturally equivalent with  $G$ , then we shall write  $F \simeq G$ .

In our investigation of set functors we are deeply utilizing properties of "filters" of points of a set functor  $F$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_F^X(x) = \{Y \subset X; x \in \text{Im } F_i, i: Y \rightarrow X \text{ is an inclusion}\}$  where  $X$  is a set and  $x \in FX$ .

We recall some facts about them from [3],[4],[6]:

A cardinal  $\alpha > 1$  is said to be an unattainable cardinal of a set functor  $F$  if  $F\alpha \neq \bigcup_{\lambda < \alpha} \bigcup_{f: \lambda \rightarrow \alpha} \text{Im } Ff$ .

We denote  $\|\mathcal{F}_F^X(x)\| = \min \{|Y|; Y \in \mathcal{F}_F^X(x)\}$ .

Lemma I.1 [6]: Let  $F$  be a set functor,  $X$  a set  $x \in FX$ . If  $\alpha = \|\mathcal{F}_F^X(x)\| > 1$  then  $\alpha \geq \kappa_0$  is an unattainable cardinal of  $F$ .

Lemma I.2 [4]: Let  $F$  be a set functor,  $\alpha$  be an unattainable cardinal of  $F$ . Then  $|F\alpha| > \alpha$ .

Let  $F$  be a set functor,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a mapping,  $x \in FX$ . We are using this notation:  $f(\mathcal{F}_F^X(x)) = \{Y' \subset Y; \exists X' \in \mathcal{F}_F^X(x), Y' \supset f(X')\}$ .

Lemma I.3 [3]: Let  $F$  be a set functor,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  a mapping,  $x \in FX$  then  $f(\mathcal{F}_F^X(x)) \subset \mathcal{F}_F^Y(Ff(x))$  and

if there exists  $Z \in \mathcal{F}_F^\alpha(x)$  with  $f/Z$  being one-to-one then  $f(\mathcal{F}_F^\alpha(x)) = \mathcal{F}_F^\alpha(Ff(x))$ .

Proposition I.4 : Let  $F$  be a set functor,  $\alpha$  a singular cardinal and let there exist some  $x \in F\alpha$  such that  $\sup\{z; z \in Z\} = \alpha$  for every  $Z \in \mathcal{F}_F^\alpha(x)$ . Then  $|F\alpha| > \alpha$ .

Proof. Let  $\{\sigma_i; i \in \text{cf } \alpha\}$  be an increasing sequence of cardinals with  $\sup\{\sigma_i; i \in \text{cf } \alpha\} = \alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a maximal subset of  $\{f; f: \text{cf } \alpha \rightarrow \alpha\}$  fulfilling the following conditions:

a)  $\sigma_i \leq f(i) < \sigma_{i+1}$  for every  $i \in \text{cf } \alpha$  and every  $f \in \mathcal{U}$ ;

b)  $|\text{Im } f \cap \text{Im } g| < \text{cf } \alpha$  for every distinct mappings  $f, g \in \mathcal{U}$ .

We shall show that then  $|\mathcal{U}| > \alpha$ . Suppose that  $|\mathcal{U}| \leq \alpha$ . Let  $\psi: \alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  be a surjection. Define a mapping  $h: \text{cf } \alpha \rightarrow \alpha$  by  $h(0) = 0$ ,  $h(i) = (\sup\{\psi(j)(i); j \in \sigma_i\}) + 1$  for  $0 < i < \text{cf } \alpha$ .

It is easy to verify that  $\mathcal{U} \cup \{h\}$  fulfils the conditions a) and b). Thus a contradiction with the maximality of  $\mathcal{U}$  is established.

Denote  $\beta = \min\{Z; Z \in \mathcal{F}_F^\alpha(x)\}$ , put  $B = \alpha \times \beta$ . For every  $f \in \mathcal{U}$  we choose some  $\varphi_f: \alpha \rightarrow B$  such that  $\varphi_f(i) \in \{f(j)\} \times \beta$  where  $\sigma_j \leq i < \sigma_{j+1}$  and there exists  $Z \in \mathcal{F}_F^\alpha(x)$  with  $\varphi_f/Z$  is one-to-one. For every distinct mappings  $f, g \in \mathcal{U}$  it holds  $\varphi_f(\mathcal{F}_F^\alpha(x)) \neq \varphi_g(\mathcal{F}_F^\alpha(x))$  because  $|\text{Im } f \cap \text{Im } g| < \text{cf } \alpha$

and for every  $Z \in \mathcal{F}_F^\alpha(X)$   $\sup \{z; z \in Z\} = \alpha$  .  
 Lemma I.3 implies  $F\mathcal{G}_F(X) \neq F\mathcal{G}_G(X)$  . Obviously,  
 $|B| = \alpha$  and  $|FB| > |B|$  .

## II.

Construction II.1: For any set functor  $F$  and arbitrary sets  $M$  and  $X$  we shall construct the transfinite sequence  $\{W_\alpha(F, M, X), \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n\}$  by putting:

$$W_0 = X \times \{0\}$$

$$W_1 = W_0 \cup (FW_0 \times M \times \{1\})$$

$$W_{\alpha+1} = W_\alpha \cup ((FW_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} FW_\beta) \times M \times \{\alpha+1\})$$

$$W_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} W_\beta \quad \text{for every limit ordinal } \alpha .$$

We shall say that the sequence  $\{W_\alpha(F, M, X), \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n\}$  stops if there exists some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n$  with  $W_\alpha = W_{\alpha+1}$  i.e.

$$FW_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} FW_\beta .$$

Proposition II.2: Let  $F$  be a set functor and  $M$  be an arbitrary non-empty set. Then for every set  $X$  such that  $|FY| > |Y|$  whenever  $|Y| \geq |X|$  the sequence  $\{W_\alpha(F, M, X), \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n\}$  does not stop.

Proof follows immediately from the fact that for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n$ ,  $|W_{\alpha+1}| \geq |FW_\alpha| > |W_\alpha|$  .

Proposition II.3: Let  $F$  be a set functor,  $M$  be a set. If for a set  $X$  there exists a cardinal  $\beta$  such that  $\beta \geq |X \times M|$  and  $|F\beta| \leq \beta$  then  $\{W_\alpha(F, M, X), \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n\}$  stops and for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n$   $|W_\alpha(F, M, X)| \leq \beta$  .

Proof. It is easy to verify by transfinite induction that  $|W_\alpha| \leq \beta$  for  $\alpha < \beta$ . We shall prove that  $FW_\beta = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \beta} FW_\sigma$ . By I.2  $|F\beta| = \beta$  guarantees that  $\beta$  is not an unattainable cardinal of  $F$ . Let  $x \in FW_\beta$ . Put  $\epsilon = \|F_F^{W_\beta}(x)\|$  then by I.1 either  $\epsilon \leq 1$  or  $\epsilon$  is an unattainable cardinal of  $F$  and therefore  $\epsilon < \beta$ . If there exists  $Z \in F_F^{W_\beta}(x)$  such that  $Z \subset W_\sigma$  for some  $\sigma \in \beta$  then  $x \in FW_\sigma$  and thus  $x \in W_\beta$ . If for every  $Z \in F_F^{W_\beta}(x)$  and for every  $\sigma \in \beta$  we have  $Z - W_\sigma \neq \emptyset$  then there exists  $\varphi: W_\beta \rightarrow \beta \times \epsilon$  such that  $\varphi/Z$  is a monomorphism for some  $Z \in F_F^{W_\beta}(x)$  and  $\varphi(W_{\sigma+1} - W_\sigma) \subset \{\sigma\} \times \epsilon$  for every  $\sigma \in \beta$ . Hence  $\varphi(Z)$  is unbounded in lexicographic ordering of the set  $\epsilon \times \beta$  for every  $Z \in F_F^{W_\beta}(x)$ . By I.4 we would have  $|F\beta| > \beta$  which contradicts our assumption.

Let  $F, G$  be functors,  $X$  be a set. The object  $(Z, \omega)$  of  $A(F, G)$  shall be called a free algebra over  $X$  if  $X \subset Z$  and for every  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and every object  $(Y, \tau)$  of  $A(F, G)$  there exists the unique morphism  $g: (Z, \omega) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$  with  $g/X = f$ .

Proposition II.4: Let  $G$  be a functor with  $G \neq C_{\emptyset, 1}$  and  $G \neq Q_M$  for every  $M$ . Then  $A(F, G)$  has no free algebra over any  $X \neq \emptyset$  whenever  $F \neq C_\beta$ .

Proof. If  $G$  is not a factorfunctor of some  $Q_M$  then for every  $x \in GX$  there exists  $y \in GY$  with  $Y \neq \emptyset$  and  $Gf(x) \neq y$  for every  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Therefore for every object  $(X, \omega)$ ,  $X \neq \emptyset$  there exists an object  $(Y, \tau)$  such that  $Y \neq \emptyset$  and there is no morphism  $f: (X, \omega) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ . Let  $G$  be a factorfunctor of  $Q_M$ ,  $G \neq Q_N$  for

any  $N$  and  $G \neq C_{g,1}$ . In this case we shall find for every set  $X$ ,  $X \neq \emptyset$ , a pair formed by an object  $(Y, \tau)$  and a mapping  $f$ ,  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  with the following property: for every  $(Z, \omega)$  with  $X \subset Z$  there exist different mappings  $g, h: (Z, \omega) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$  such that  $g/X = h/X = f$ . It means there is no free algebra over  $X$ .

a) If there exists  $x_0 \in GY$  such that  $\exp Y \neq \mathcal{F}_G^Y(x_0)$   $V_0 \notin \mathcal{F}_G^Y(x_0)$ , where  $V_0 = \bigcap \{V; V \in \mathcal{F}_G^Y(x_0)\}$  we can choose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $(Y - \text{Im } f) \in \mathcal{F}_G^Y(x_0)$ .

Let  $\tau: FY \rightarrow GY$  be constant mapping to  $x_0$ . Now, if there exists a morphism  $h: (Z, \omega) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$  such that  $X \subset Z$  and  $h/X = f$  then  $\text{Im } h \in \mathcal{F}_G^Y(x_0)$ . Hence there exists  $a, b \in \text{Im } h - \text{Im } f$  such that  $\text{Im } h - \{a, b\} \in \mathcal{F}_G^Y(x_0)$ . Consider a mapping  $\rho: Y \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\rho(x) = x$  for  $x \in Y - \{a, b\}$ ,  $\rho(a) = b$ ,  $\rho(b) = a$ . Then  $\rho \circ h \neq h$ ,  $\rho \circ h/X = f$  and  $\rho: (Y, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$  is a morphism of  $A(F, G)$ . Hence there exists no free algebra over  $X$ .

b) Suppose that there exists  $x_0 \in GY$  such that  $\emptyset \neq V_0 \in \mathcal{F}_G^Y(x_0)$ , where  $V_0 = \bigcap \{V; V \in \mathcal{F}_G^Y(x_0)\}$  and the transformation  $\varepsilon: \mathcal{Q}_{V_0} \rightarrow G$ ,  $\varepsilon^{V_0}(id_{V_0}) = x_0$  is not a monotransformation. Let  $g: Y \rightarrow V_0$  be a mapping such that  $g \circ j = id_{V_0}$  where  $j$  is an inclusion from  $V_0$  into  $Y$ . Choose a point  $v$  with  $v \notin V_0$  and define  $V_1 = V_0 \cup \{v\}$ . Put  $G(i \circ g)(x_0) = y_0$  where  $i: V_0 \rightarrow V_1$  is an inclusion. Let  $\tau: FV_1 \rightarrow GV_1$  be a constant mapping to  $y_0$  and  $f: X \rightarrow V_1$  be a constant mapping to  $v$ . Then there exist distinct mappings  $h, k: V_0 \rightarrow U$  with  $\varepsilon^U(h) = \varepsilon^U(k)$ .



Hence  $Gh'(y_0) = Gk'(y_0)$  where  $h', k': V_1 \rightarrow U \vee \{v\}$   
 $h'/V_0 = h, k'/V_0 = k, h'(v) = k'(v) = v$ . If for some  
 $(Z, \omega), Z \supset X$  there exists a morphism  $\varphi: (Z, \omega) \rightarrow$   
 $\rightarrow (V_1, \tau)$  such that  $\varphi/X = f$  then  $h' \circ \varphi \neq k' \circ \varphi$  and  
 $h' \circ \varphi/X = k' \circ \varphi/X = f \circ \mathfrak{A}'$ . Further  $h', k': (V_1, \tau) \rightarrow$   
 $\rightarrow (U \vee \{v\}, \tau')$  are morphisms of  $A(F, G)$  where  $\tau'$   
is constant to  $Gh'(y_0)$ . Hence there exists no free algebra  
over  $X$ .

If  $G$  is a factorfunctor of some  $\mathcal{Q}_M$  and  $G \neq C_{\emptyset, 1}$  and  
 $G \neq \mathcal{Q}_N$  for any  $N$  then it must hold either case a) or  
case b).

Proposition II.5 [1]: Let  $G$  be a set functor,  $G \neq \mathcal{Q}_N$   
for every  $N$ . Then  $A(F, G)$  has a free algebra over  $\emptyset$   
if and only if  $F\emptyset = \emptyset$ .

Proposition II.6:  $A(F, \mathcal{Q}_M)$  has a free algebra over  
 $X$  if and only if  $\{W_\alpha(F, M, X), \alpha \in \mathcal{O}n\}$  stops.

Proof. If there exists  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}n$  such that  $W_\alpha = W_{\alpha+1}$   
then put  $Z = W_\alpha$ . Define  $\omega: FZ \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_M Z$  such that  
 $(\omega(x))(m) = \langle x, m, \sigma_x + 1 \rangle$  where  $x \in FZ, m \in M$  and  
 $\sigma_x = \min\{\sigma \in \alpha; x \in FW_\sigma\}$ . An easy verification that  
 $(Z, \omega)$  is a free algebra over  $X$  is left to the reader.  
We assume that  $\{W_\alpha(F, M, X), \alpha \in \mathcal{O}n\}$  does not stop.  
Then by II.3  $|FY| > |Y|$  for every  $|Y| \geq |X|$ . Denote by  
 $(A, \omega)$  a free algebra of the category  $A(F, \mathcal{Q}_M)$  over  
 $X$ . Choose arbitrarily some  $\mathfrak{A} \in W_0$ . Define  $\omega_\alpha: FW_\alpha \rightarrow$   
 $\rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_M W_\alpha$  such that  $(\omega_\alpha(x))(m) = \langle x, m, \sigma_x + 1 \rangle$  for  
 $x \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} FW_\beta$ , where  $\sigma_x = \min\{\sigma \in \alpha; x \in FW_\sigma\}$  and

$(\omega_\alpha(x))(m) = k$  for  $x \in FW_\alpha - \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} FW_\beta$ . Let  $\varphi_\alpha: (A, \omega) \rightarrow (W_\alpha, \omega_\alpha)$  be a morphism of the category  $A(F, \mathcal{Q}_M)$  such that  $\varphi_\alpha / W_0 = \hat{j}_\alpha: W_0 \rightarrow W_\alpha$  is an inclusion. We shall prove by transfinite induction that  $W_\beta \subset \text{Im } \varphi_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_m$  with  $\beta \in \alpha$ . Evidently  $W_0 \subset \text{Im } \varphi_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_m$ . Let  $\beta \in \alpha$  and  $W_\gamma \subset \text{Im } \varphi_\alpha$  for every  $\gamma \in \beta$ . If  $\beta$  is a limit ordinal, then  $W_\beta = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \beta} W_\gamma \subset \text{Im } \varphi_\alpha$ . If  $\beta = \gamma + 1$ , then  $W_\beta = W_\gamma \cup ((FW_\gamma - \bigcup_{\sigma \in \gamma} FW_\sigma) \times M \times \{\beta\})$ . Evidently  $(\text{Im } \varphi_\alpha, \omega_\alpha / F(\text{Im } \varphi_\alpha))$  is a subalgebra of  $(W_\alpha, \omega_\alpha)$ , so  $(\omega_\alpha(x))(M) \subset \text{Im } \varphi_\alpha$ , i.e.  $\langle x, m, \sigma_x \rangle \in \text{Im } \varphi_\alpha$  for every  $m \in M, x \in FW_\gamma - \bigcup_{\sigma \in \gamma} FW_\sigma$ . Since  $|\alpha| \leq |\text{Im } \varphi_\alpha|$  it follows that  $|A| \geq |\alpha|$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_m$ , which establishes a contradiction.

Corollary II.7: Let  $F$  be a set functor,  $M$  be a non-empty set. Then  $A(F, \mathcal{Q}_M)$  has a free algebra over  $X$  if and only if there exists a cardinal  $\alpha$  such that  $|F\alpha| \leq \alpha$  and  $\alpha > |X \times M|$ . If  $(Y, \omega)$  is a free algebra over  $X$  in  $A(F, \mathcal{Q}_M)$  then  $|Y| = \min\{|Z|; |FZ| \leq |Z| \geq |X|\}$ .

A set functor  $F$  is an excessive functor if there exists a cardinal  $\alpha$  such that for every set  $Y, |FY| > |Y|$  whenever  $|Y| \geq \alpha$ .

Theorem II.8: Let  $F, G$  be set functors,  $F \neq C_\emptyset, G \neq C_{\emptyset,1}, G \neq C_1$ . Then the natural forgetful functor  $\square: A(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Set}$  has a left adjoint if and only if  $F$  is not excessive and  $G \simeq \mathcal{Q}_M$  for some  $M$ . The theorem follows from the preceding propositions.

Proposition II.9: If  $F \simeq C_\beta$  or  $G \simeq C_1$  then the natural forgetful functor  $\square : A(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Set}$  has a left adjoint.

If  $G \simeq C_{\emptyset, 1}$  then the natural forgetful functor  $\square : A(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Set}$  has a left adjoint if and only if  $F\emptyset = \emptyset$ .

Proof is trivial.

Theorem II.10: Let  $F, G$  be set functors,  $F \not\simeq C_\beta$ ,  $G \not\simeq C_{\emptyset, 1}$ ,  $G \not\simeq C_1$ . A forgetful functor  $\square : A(F, G) \rightarrow \text{Set}$  is monadic if and only if  $F$  is not excessive and  $G \simeq Q_M$  for some  $M$ .

Proof. By a similar way as in [5] it can be proved that  $\square$  creates coequalizers for those parallel pairs  $f, g$  in  $A(F, G)$  for which  $f, g$  has an absolute coequalizer in  $\text{Set}$ . Thus, in virtue of the Beck's theorem (see[5]),  $\square$  is monadic whenever  $\square$  has a left adjoint.

Remark II.11: By a similar way as in II.3 it can be proved without the assumption of the generalized continuum hypothesis that every excessive functor fulfils the condition  $R_M$  from [6] for every set  $M$ . Thus all the results concerning sums in  $A(F, G)$  from [6] and [1] remain valid without the assumption of the generalized continuum hypothesis, i.e. the following theorem holds:

Theorem: Let  $F, G$  be functors. Then  $A(F, G)$  has sums if and only if

either  $F$  preserves sums

or  $F$  preserves unions,  $|G1| = 1$  and  $G$  preserves

collective monomorphisms

or  $F$  is not excessive and  $G \simeq G_M$  for some  $M$

or  $G \simeq C_{\emptyset,1}$  and  $F\emptyset = \emptyset$  or  $F \simeq C_{\emptyset}$  or  $G \simeq C_1$ .

#### R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] J. ADÁMEK, V. KOUBEK, V. POHLOVÁ: On colimits in the generalized algebraic categories, Acta Univ. Carolinae Math.et Phys.13(1972),29-40.
- [2] J. ADÁMEK, V. KOUBEK: Coequalizers in the generalized algebraic categories, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 13(1972),311-324.
- [3] V. KOUBEK: Set functors, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 12(1971),175-195.
- [4] V. KOUBEK, J. REITERMAN: A set functor which commutes with all homfunctors is a homfunctor, to appear.
- [5] S. MacLANE: Categories for the working mathematician, Springer-Verlag,New York-Heidelberg-Berlin 1971.
- [6] V. POHLOVÁ: On sums in generalized algebraic categories, Czech.Math.J.23(1973),235-251.
- [7] P. PTÁK: On equalizers in generalized algebraic categories, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 13(1972), 351-354.
- [8] V. TRNKOVÁ, P. GORALČÍK: On products in generalized algebraic categories, Comment.Math.Univ.Carolinae 10(1969),49-89.

Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta

Karlova universita

Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8

Československo

(Oblatum 16.4.1974)