

Vlastimil Dlab

The concept of rank and some related questions in the theory of modules
(Preliminary communication)

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 8 (1967), No. 1, 39--47

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105091>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1967

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

THE CONCEPT OF RANK AND SOME RELATED QUESTIONS IN THE
THEORY OF MODULES

Vlastimil DLAB, Canberra

(Preliminary communication)

The present results extend the ideas of [1]; their applications show some new aspects of the theory of modules; in particular, they generalize some results of A.W.GOLDIE [2] and EBEN MATLIS [3]. The results were partly read at the IMC in Moscow, August 16-26, 1966.

Let R be an (associative) ring with an identity. Denote by \mathcal{L} the family of all its proper (i.e. $\neq R$) left ideals, by $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ the subfamily of all irreducible ideals. For $L \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\rho \in R$, the symbol $L:\rho$ stands for the (left) ideal consisting of all $x \in R$ such that $x\rho \in L$.

Let M be a (unitary left) R -module; put $M_0 = M \setminus \{0\}$. The order of $x \in M$ is denoted by $O(x)$; hence $O(x) \in \mathcal{L}$ if and only if $x \in M_0$.

Evidently, $O(\rho x) = O(x):\rho$ for any $\rho \in R$ and $x \in M_0$.

We refer to [1] for the definitions and some basic facts concerning dependence over modules.

1. Let T be an index set. For $t \in T$, let $\mathcal{P}_t' \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ be a subfamily satisfying

$$L \in \mathcal{P}_t' \wedge \rho \in R \setminus L \rightarrow L : \rho \in \mathcal{P}_t' .$$

Then, define $\mathcal{P}_t' \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ by

$$L \in \mathcal{P}_t' \leftrightarrow \forall \rho (\rho \in R \setminus L \rightarrow L : \rho \notin \mathcal{P}_t') .$$

Evidently,

$$L \in \mathcal{P}_t' \wedge \rho \in R \setminus L \rightarrow L : \rho \in \mathcal{P}_t^{-1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_t' \cap \mathcal{P}_t^{-1} = \emptyset .$$

Put

$$\mathcal{P}_t^0 = \mathcal{P}_t' \cup \mathcal{P}_t^{-1} .$$

Now, consider the set 2^T of all functions of the index set T into $\{-1, 1\}$ and, for each $f \in 2^T$, define the subset M_f of an R -module M by

$$x \in M_f \leftrightarrow 0(x) \in \bigcap_{t \in T} \mathcal{P}_t^{f(t)} .$$

Clearly, $M_f (\subseteq M_0)$ have the following two simple properties:

$$(i) \quad x \in M_f \wedge \rho \notin 0(x) \rightarrow \rho x \in M_f ;$$

$$(ii) \quad f \neq f' \rightarrow M_f \cap M_{f'} = \emptyset .$$

Hence,

$$(iii) \quad x \in M_{f'} \wedge \rho x \in M_f \rightarrow f = f' .$$

Also

$$(iv) \quad x \in \bigcup_{f \in 2^T} M_f \leftrightarrow 0(x) \in \bigcap_{t \in T} \mathcal{P}_t^0 .$$

The following two lemmas are of fundamental importance:

Lemma 1. Let $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in 2^T} M_f$ be a maximal independent subset of M . Then, for any $f \in 2^T$,

$$\mathcal{M}_f = \mathcal{M} \cap M_f$$

is a maximal independent subset of M_f .

Lemma 2. Let \mathcal{M}_f ($f \in 2^T$) be an independent subset of M_f . Then,

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{f \in 2^T} \mathcal{M}_f$$

is an independent subset of M . Moreover, if \mathcal{M}_f are maximal in M_f and if a subfamily $\hat{\mathcal{L}} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ exists such that

$$L \in \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \exists \rho (\rho \in R \setminus L \wedge L : \rho \in \hat{\mathcal{L}})$$

and

$$\bigcup_{t \in T'} \mathcal{P}_t^0 \supseteq \hat{\mathcal{L}} \quad \text{for every infinite } T' \subseteq T,$$

then \mathcal{M} is maximal in M .

In particular, \mathcal{M} is a maximal independent subset of M provided

(i) for any $T' \subseteq T$ there is a finite $T'' \subseteq T'$ such that

$$\bigcap_{t \in T'} \mathcal{P}_t^0 = \bigcap_{t \in T''} \mathcal{P}_t^0, \quad \text{or}$$

(ii) T is finite.

2. Some applications. (a) Let $T = \{1\}$, $\mathcal{P}_1^0 = \mathcal{I}$. Then, \mathcal{P}_1^{-1} consists of what will be called strongly reducible ideals. Denote the corresponding subsets of M by M_1 and M_{-1} .

There exist maximal independent subsets \mathcal{M} of M such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq M_1 \cup M_{-1}$ and any such \mathcal{M} is a disjoint union of a maximal independent subset \mathcal{M}_1 of M_1 and a maximal independent subset \mathcal{M}_{-1} of M_{-1} . The cardinality $\text{card}(\mathcal{M}_1)$ is an invariant of M . On the other hand, any element of \mathcal{M}_{-1} can be replaced by two elements of M_{-1} so that the resulting subset is again independent.

Define

$$i_{\kappa}(M) = \text{card}(\mathcal{M}_1), \quad \kappa_{\kappa}(M) = \sup_{\mathcal{M}_{-1}} \text{card}(\mathcal{M}_{-1})$$

and

$$\kappa(M) = i_{\kappa}(M) + \kappa_{\kappa}(M)$$

and call $i_{\kappa}(M)$ the irreducible rank, $\kappa_{\kappa}(M)$ the reducible rank and $\kappa(M)$ the complete rank of the module M .

An R -module M is said to be tidy if $\kappa_{\kappa}(M) = 0$. $\kappa_{\kappa}(M) = 0$ (i.e. $M_{-1} = \emptyset$) for any R -module M , if and only if R has the property (J) of 1. Thus, the property (J) of a ring R expresses the fact that every R -module is tidy. Since any (left) noetherian ring has (J) (cf.[1]), the above definition of $\kappa(M)$ extends the definition of rank of Goldie [2] to arbitrary R -modules.

(b) Let $T = \{1, 2\}$, $\mathcal{P}_1^1 = \mathcal{J}$ and \mathcal{P}_2^1 be the subfamily of all (proper) maxi ideals in R . Here an ideal $L \subseteq R$ is said to be maxi in R if, for every $\rho \in R \setminus L$, there exists $\sigma \in R \setminus (L : \rho)$ such that $L : \sigma\rho$ is essential in R . The ideals of \mathcal{P}_2^{-1} will be called mini (in R).

The particular value of the concept of a maxi ideal rests on the fact that it allows to extend the definition of torsion and torsion-free R -modules to the general case: An R -module M is said to be torsion if the order of each of its elements is maxi. The set of all elements of maxi orders of an arbitrary R -module M is an R -submodule, - the torsion R -submodule T_M of M . M is said to be torsion-free if $T_M = \{0\}$.

The quotient R -module M/T_M is torsion-free for every R -module M .

Denote by $M_{f(1), f(2)}$ the subsets of M corresponding to the intersections

$$\mathcal{P}_1^{f(1)} \cap \mathcal{P}_2^{f(2)}.$$

Here, $M_{1,1} \cup M_{1,-1} = M_1$ of (a). There exist maximal independent subsets \mathcal{M} of M such that $\mathcal{M} = \cup_{f(1), f(2)} M_{f(1), f(2)}$ and any such \mathcal{M} is a disjoint union of maximal independent subsets $\mathcal{M}_{f(1), f(2)}$ of $M_{f(1), f(2)}$.

Again,

$$\text{card}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}) = \text{it}_{\mathcal{K}}(M)$$

and

$$\text{card}(\mathcal{M}_{1,-1}) = \text{if}_{\mathcal{K}}(M)$$

are invariants of M and are called the irreducible torsion rank and irreducible torsion-free rank of M , respectively. Thus,

$$i_{\mathcal{K}}(M) = \text{it}_{\mathcal{K}}(M) + \text{if}_{\mathcal{K}}(M),$$

$$\text{it}_{\mathcal{K}}(M) = \text{it}_{\mathcal{K}}(T_M),$$

$$\text{if}_{\mathcal{K}}(T_M) = 0$$

and

$$\text{if}_{\mathcal{K}}(M) = \text{if}_{\mathcal{K}}(M/T_M).$$

In fact, the latter relation is a particular case of the following formula

$$\text{if}_{\mathcal{K}}(M) = \text{if}_{\mathcal{K}}(N) + \text{if}_{\mathcal{K}}(M/N)$$

which holds for any R -submodule N of M . These results extend again those of [2].

(c) Let \sim be the equivalence defined on the subfamily J as follows:

$L_1 \sim L_2 \leftrightarrow L_1: \rho_1 = L_2: \rho_2 \neq R$ for certain $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in R$.

Denote the corresponding partition of \mathcal{J} by Π :

$$\Pi = \{ \pi_t \}_{t \in T}.$$

Π is a refinement of $\{ \mathcal{P}_1' \cap \mathcal{P}_2', \mathcal{P}_1' \cap \mathcal{P}_2'^{-1} \}$ of (b) and, thus, we can write

$$\Pi = \{ \pi_{t_1} \}_{t_1 \in T_1} \cup \{ \pi_{t_2} \}_{t_2 \in T_2},$$

$$\text{where } T = T_1 \cup T_2, \bigcup_{t_1 \in T_1} \pi_{t_1} = \mathcal{P}_1' \cap \mathcal{P}_2' \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{t_2 \in T_2} \pi_{t_2} = \mathcal{P}_1' \cap \mathcal{P}_2'^{-1}.$$

Put $\mathcal{P}_t' = \pi_t$ for $t \in T$. Then, besides

$$\bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{P}_t' = \mathcal{P}_1' \quad \text{of (a), also}$$

$$\bigcap_{t \in T} \mathcal{P}_t'^{-1} = \mathcal{P}_1'^{-1} \quad \text{of (a).}$$

Hence, any maximal independent subset \mathcal{M} of an R -module M such that $\mathcal{M} \subseteq M_1 \cup M_{-1}$ (which exists by (a)) is a disjoint union

$$\mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{t \in T} \mathcal{M}_t \cup \mathcal{M}_{-1},$$

where \mathcal{M}_t is a maximal independent subset of the set M_t of all elements of M of orders belonging to π_t ($t \in T$) and \mathcal{M}_{-1} to a maximal independent subset of M_{-1} of (a). Again, for $t \in T$,

$$\text{card}(\mathcal{M}_t) = \pi_t \kappa(M)$$

is an invariant of M and will be called the π_t -rank of M .

Thus,

$$\text{rk}(M) = \sum_{t_1 \in T_1} \pi_{t_1} \kappa(M) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rk}(M) = \sum_{t_2 \in T_2} \pi_{t_2} \kappa(M).$$

In particular, if $\kappa(M) = 1$, then the orders of all non-zero elements of M belong to the same family π_t for a certain $t \in T$.

Let us remark that in the case when R is a commutative noetherian ring, there is just one prime ideal P_t in every π_t and we can call, in accordance with the terminology of abelian groups, the cardinality $\pi_t \kappa(M)$ the P_t -rank of the R -module M .

(d) The latter results can be used to generalize some of the results on injective hulls of R -modules of Matlis [3].

$\mathcal{N} = \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a maximal independent subset of an R -module M if and only if the direct sum $\bigoplus_{i \in I} Rx_i$ is essential in M . Thus, if an R -submodule N is essential in M , then $\mathcal{N} \subseteq N$ is a maximal independent subset of N if and only if it is a maximal independent subset of M . Since M is essential in its injective hull $H(M)$, we get immediately

$$*\kappa(M) = *\kappa(H(M)),$$

where $*$ can be replaced by any of the symbols from $\{i, \kappa, it, if, \pi_t\}$.

Let H be an injective R -module. Then, the elementary properties of dependence yield immediately the equivalence of the following statements (cf. [3]):

- (i) H is indecomposable.
- (ii) $\kappa(H) = 1$.
- (iii) For any $0 \neq x \in H$, $O(x) \in \mathcal{J}$ and $H = H(Rx) \cong H(R/O(x))$.

(iv) $H \cong H(R/L)$ for $L \in \mathcal{J}$.

Also, for $L_1, L_2 \in \mathcal{J}$,

$$H(R/L_1) \cong H(R/L_2)$$

if and only if L_1 and L_2 belong to the same equivalence class π of (c).

Denote the indecomposable injective R -module corresponding to π by $H(\pi)$.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ be an independent subset of M such that $0(x_i) \in \mathcal{J}$ ($i \in I$); let $H(M) \supseteq M$ be an injective hull of M . Let $H(Rx_i)$ be an injective hull of Rx_i in $H(M)$ for $i \in I$. Then

$$\langle H(Rx_i) \rangle_{i \in I} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} H(Rx_i).$$

Summarizing, we can formulate

Theorem. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes $\pi \in \Pi_R$ and the indecomposable injective R -modules $H(\pi)$. This correspondence amounts in the case of commutative noetherian rings R to a one-to-one correspondence between the prime ideals $P \subseteq R$ and the indecomposable injective R -modules $H(P)$ (cf. [3]).

If M is an R -module and $H(M)$ its injective hull, then $H(M)$ contains a direct sum

$$(*) \quad \bigoplus_{\substack{\pi \in \Pi_R \\ i \in I_\pi}} H_i(\pi) \quad \text{with } \text{card}(I_\pi) = \pi_{\mathcal{K}}(H(M)) = \pi_{\mathcal{K}}(M);$$

on the other hand, any maximal direct sum of indecomposable injective R -modules contained in $H(M)$ has the form

(*) . In particular, any two direct decompositions of an

R -module into direct sums of indecomposable injective R -modules are isomorphic and can be described by a cardinal-valued function on Π_R (cf.[3]).

Furthermore, if M is tidy (see (a)), then $(*)$ is essential in $H(M)$ and thus, $H(M)$ is, up to an isomorphism, uniquely determined by the function f :

$$f(\mathcal{P}) = \pi_{\mathcal{K}}(M)$$

defined on Π_R . Again, this latter statement amounts in the case of (commutative) noetherian rings R to the, up to an isomorphism, unique decomposition of an injective R -module M into the direct sum of indecomposable injective R -submodules described by a cardinal-valued function on the family Π_R (the family of prime ideals of R) which is well-defined by any essential submodule of M .

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] V. DLAB: Dependence over modules, Czech.Math.J.16 (1966),137-157.
- [2] A.W. GOLDIE: Torsion-free modules and rings, J.of Algebra 1(1964),268-287.
- [3] EBEN MATLIS: Injective modules over noetherian rings, Pacific J.Math.8(1958),511-528.

(Received October 24,1966)