

Václav Havel

Construction of certain systems with two compositions

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 6 (1965), No. 4, 413--428

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/105033>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1965

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

CONSTRUCTION OF CERTAIN SYSTEMS WITH TWO COMPOSITIONS

Václav HAVEL, Brno

A double quasigroup is defined here as a triple $(S, +, \square)$ where S , $\text{card } S \geq 2$, is a set and $+, \square$ two binary compositions on S such that $(S, +)$ is a loop with a neutral element 0 satisfying $x \square 0 = 0 \square x = 0$ for all $x \in S$ and $(S \setminus \{0\}, \square)$ is a quasigroup. If $(S \setminus \{0\}, \square)$ has a neutral element, then $(S, +, \square)$ is called double-loop [4, p. 61].

Each double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ with a prescribed additive loop $(S, +)$ may be constructed as follows, [7a]: Let (B, \circ) be the group of all bijective mappings of S onto S reproducing the element 0 , with a natural composition \circ ; also, set $Q: S \rightarrow \{0\}$. Choose any mapping $\eta: S \rightarrow B \cup \{0\}$ satisfying $\eta(0) = 0$, $\eta(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\eta(S \setminus \{0\})$ operates on $S \setminus \{0\}$ simply transitively, and define the composition \square on S by $x \square y = \eta(x)y$ for all $x, y \in S$. Then $(S, +, \square)$ is the double-quasigroup associated with η , and every double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ with a prescribed additive loop may be obtained in this way.

Now we exhibit the familiar algebraical properties of a given $(S, +, \square)$ in the following way:

$$A^+ \quad (x+y)+z = x+(y+z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in S. \text{ (Associativity.)}$$

$$C^+ \quad x+y = y+x \text{ for all } x, y \in S. \text{ (Commutativity.)}$$

$RD^{+\square} \quad x \square (y+z) = x \square y + x \square z \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in S.$
 (Right distributivity.)

$LD^{+\square} \quad (x+y) \square z = x \square z + y \square z \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in S.$
 (Left distributivity.)

$RP^{+\square} \quad \text{Any equation } -a \square x + b \square x = c \quad \text{has a unique}$
 solution $x \in S$ for any given a, b, c of S
 with $a \neq b$. (Right planarity.)

$LP^{+\square} \quad \text{Any equation } x \square a - x \square b = c \quad \text{has a uni-}$
 que solution for any given a, b, c of S with
 $a \neq b$. (Left planarity.)

In the theory of incidence structures (partial planes) in the sense of [4, p.2] and in the theory of systems with generalized parallelity [7b] there are important the double-quasigroups satisfying the axioms $A^+, -LP^{+\square}$ or $A^+, RP^{+\square}$.

In the sequel we shall use modifications of the Moulton construction from the classical paper [1] (also see, e.g., [4], [5], [6]), and we wish to obtain some double-quasigroups $(S, +, \square)$ satisfying $A^+, C^+, RP^{+\square}$ or $A^+, C^+, LP^{+\square}$ respectively. It remains an open question whether double-quasigroups in which exactly one of the laws $RP^{+\square}, LP^{+\square}$ holds are obtainable by this process.

We note that in a double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ from $A^+, RD^{+\square}$ or $A^+, LD^{+\square}$ there follows $LP^{+\square}$ or $RP^{+\square}$ respectively.

A double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ satisfying $A^+, RP^{+\square}$ $LP^{+\square}$ and either $RD^{+\square}$ or $LD^{+\square}$ is usually called a right or left quasifield, respectively, [4, p. 92].

We shall begin with the additive loop $(S, +)$ of a

double-quasigroup $(S, +, \cdot)$ and also a mapping $\eta : S \rightarrow B$, and construct the associated double-quasigroup.

1. Let $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ be a left quasifield and $\Phi : S \rightarrow S$ a bijection with $\Phi(0) = 0$. For arbitrary $a \in S$ let $\eta(a)$ be the mapping $x \rightarrow \Phi(a)x$, $x \in S$; then the associated double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ is also a left quasifield. $RD^+ \square$ holds if and only if Φ is an additive mapping.

Proof. The validity of A^+ , C^+ in $(S, +, \square)$ is, of course, trivial. - There is $x \square (y+x) = \Phi(x)(y+x) = \Phi(x)y + \Phi(x)x = x \square y + x \square x$, so that $LD^+ \square$ holds. Any equation $-a \square x + b \square x = c$, for given $a, b, c \in S \setminus \{0\}$, $a \neq b$, may be rewritten as $-\Phi(a)x + \Phi(b)x = c$, and the unique solvability follows from RP^+ : If Φ is not additive, there exist $a_0, b_0 \in S$ such that $\Phi(a_0 + b_0) \neq \Phi(a_0) + \Phi(b_0)$, and this implies $(a_0 + b_0) \square x = \Phi(a_0 + b_0) \cdot x \neq \Phi(a_0)x + \Phi(b_0)x = a_0 \square x + b_0 \square x$ for all $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$; thus $RD^+ \square$ is violated. If Φ is additive, then $RD^+ \square$ follows directly.

One simple special case can be stated as follows: Let F be an ordered left quasifield [4, p. 237]; the set of all negative elements of F will be denoted by N . We choose $\Phi(a) = a$ for all $a \geq 0$ and $\Phi(N) = N$ (so that Φ must map N bijectively onto N), and suppose $\Phi(n) \neq n$ for some $n \in N$. Then Φ is not additive and the assumption of theorem 1 is fulfilled.

If $\eta(a)$ is taken as $x \rightarrow \theta(\Phi(a) \cdot \Psi(x))$, $x \in S$, where Φ, Ψ, θ are the bijections of S onto S with $\Phi(0) = \Psi(0) = \theta(0) = 0$, then the associated double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ fulfils LP^{\square} and RP^{\square} whereas LD^{\square} or RD^{\square} is satisfied precisely if Φ, θ or Ψ, θ respectively are additive. This is the special case of the known notion of weak-isotopy, introduced in [3, p. 460]. In theorem 1 only a special case of this weak-isotopy was used. The connection between weak isotopic double-quasigroups and their associated systems with generalized parallelity [7b] can be investigated when the corresponding ternary composition T is introduced by $T(a, b, c) = a \square b + c$.

If $(S, +, \cdot)$ is a double-quasigroup, then we may choose Φ as the identity mapping on S , Ψ as the mapping $x \rightarrow \alpha \setminus x \beta$, $x \in S$, and θ as the mapping $x \rightarrow x / \beta$, $x \in S$; here $\alpha, \beta \in S \setminus \{0\}$ are fixed elements, and \setminus and $/$ denote, respectively the left and right division in $(S \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$. The associated double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ satisfies $\alpha \square x = x \square \alpha = x$ for all $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$, and was introduced in [3, p. 461] (but only under the assumption of LP^{+} and RP^{+}) according to the description given by Hall.

For our aims, the most important special case of theorem 1 is that in which $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ is a skew-field. If Φ is not additive, then $(S, +, \square)$ is a proper left

quasifield without the identity element. According to [3, p. 463], in this manner the left quasifields, which are a form of "generalized natural field" [3, p. 451] of Desarguesian planes, may be obtained.

2. Let $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ be a double-quasigroup. Take a mapping $\eta: S \rightarrow B \cup \{0\}$ with $\eta(0) = 0$ such that each $\eta(a)$, $a \in S \setminus \{0\}$ has the form $x \rightarrow a\Phi_a(x)$, $x \in S$, where $\Phi_a: S \rightarrow S$ is an additive bijection with $\Phi_a(0) = 0$ and $\eta(S \setminus \{0\})$ acts simply transitively on $S \setminus \{0\}$. Then the associated double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ satisfies $RD^{+\square}$; the axiom $RP^{+\square}$ is fulfilled precisely if the mappings $x \rightarrow -a\Phi_a(x) + b\Phi_b(x)$, $x \in S$ are bijective for all distinct a, b of $S \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. We have $x \square (y+x) = x\Phi_x(y) + x\Phi_x(x) = x \square y + x \square x$ for all $x, y, z \in S$, so that $RD^{+\square}$ holds. The rest of the theorem is obvious.

The André quasifield [4, p. 206] is constructed as described in theorem 2 on taking a field for F , and Φ_a , $a \in S \setminus \{0\}$, as suitable automorphisms of F leaving fixed each element of some proper subfield of F .

3a. Let $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ be an ordered non-commutative field and Φ an additive bijective mapping of S onto S satisfying $x > 0 \Rightarrow \Phi(x) > 0$ and $x < 0 \Rightarrow \Phi(x) < 0$. Define $\eta(a)$ as the mapping $x \rightarrow a \cdot x$, $x \in S$ if $a \geq 0$, and as the mapping $x \rightarrow \Phi(x) \cdot a$, $x \in S$ if $a < 0$.

Then the associated double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ satisfies A^+ , C^+ , $RD^+\square$ (and thus also $LP^+\square$) and does not satisfy $LD^+\square$. Moreover, $RP^+\square$ holds if and only if the mappings $x \rightarrow -a \cdot x + \Phi(x) \cdot b$, $x \in S$, for $a > 0 > b$ and $x \rightarrow -\Phi(x) \cdot a + b \cdot x$, $x \in S$ for $a < 0 < b$ are bijections of S onto S .

Proof. For $x \geq 0$ we have $x \square (y+z) = x \square y + x \square z$, and for $x < 0$ we have $x \square (y+z) = \Phi(y+z) \cdot x = (\Phi(y) + \Phi(z)) \cdot x = x \square y + x \square z$, so that $RD^+\square$ is valid. If we choose $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in S$ such that $x_0 > 0 > y_0$, $x_0 + y_0 > 0$, $z_0 = 1$, then $(x_0 + y_0) \square z_0 = x_0 \cdot z_0 + y_0 \cdot z_0 + \Phi(z_0) \cdot y_0 = x_0 \square z_0 + y_0 \square z_0$; thus $LD^+\square$ is violated. It remains to examine a mapping $x \rightarrow -a \square x + b \square x$, $x \in S$ for given distinct elements a, b of $S \setminus \{0\}$. We distinguish the following four alternatives:

$$\begin{aligned} -a_0 \square x + b \square x &= -a \cdot x + \Phi(x) \cdot b && \text{for } a > 0, b < 0, \\ -a_0 \square x + b \square x &= (-a + b) \cdot x && \text{for } a > 0, b > 0, \\ -a_0 \square x + b \square x &= \Phi(x) \cdot (-a + b) && \text{for } a < 0, b < 0, \\ -a_0 \square x + b \square x &= -\Phi(x) \cdot a + b \cdot x && \text{for } a < 0, b > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In the second and third cases the required bijectivity is easily obtained; the first and fourth alternative figure explicitly in the last condition of the theorem.

3b. Let $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ be an ordered non-commutative s -field, and Φ an order preserving mapping of S onto S with $\Phi(0) = 0$. Define $\eta(a)$ as the mapping $x \rightarrow a \cdot x$, $x \in S$, if $a \geq 0$, and $x \rightarrow \Phi(x) \cdot a$, $x \in S$, if $a < 0$.

Then the associated double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ satisfies $A^+, C^+, LP^{+\square}$ and does not satisfy $LD^{+\square}$. Moreover $RD^{+\square}$ holds if and only if the mapping $x \rightarrow -a \cdot x + \Phi(x) \cdot b, x \in S$, for $a > 0 > b$ and $x \rightarrow -\Phi(x) \cdot a + b \cdot x, x \in S$ for $a < 0 < b$ are surjections of S onto S .

The proof is analogous to that of theorem 3a with the exception of the axiom $LP^{+\square}$. But any mapping $x \rightarrow x \square a - x \square b, x \in S$ has the form $x \rightarrow x \cdot a - x \cdot b = x \cdot (a - b)$ for $x \geq 0$ and $x \rightarrow \Phi(a) \cdot x - \Phi(b) \cdot x = (\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)) \cdot x$ for $x < 0$. From the order-preservation of Φ there follows bijectivity of the mapping considered. At the end of the theorem, we have utilized surjectivity, this being possible because Φ is order-preserving.

For the construction of a non-bijective mapping $x \rightarrow \alpha \cdot x + \Phi(x) \cdot \alpha, x \in S$, for some positive α (if such situation occurs at all), the known ordered non-commutative sfield of Hilbert does not seem to be sufficiently general.

4. Let $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ be an ordered sfield and let N be the set of all negative elements of F . We denote by $\Phi: N \rightarrow N$ an order-preserving bijection and $\psi: S \rightarrow S$ an order-preserving bijection with $\psi(0) = 0$. Let $\eta(a)$ be the mapping $x \rightarrow \psi(a) \cdot x, x \in S$, if $a \geq 0$ and $x \rightarrow \psi(a) \cdot x, x \geq 0$ and $x \rightarrow \Phi(a)x, x < 0$ if $a < 0$. The associated double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ satisfies $A^+, C^+, RP^{+\square}$. Moreover, $LP^{+\square}$ holds if and only if the mappings $x \rightarrow \psi(x) \cdot a - \Phi(x) \cdot b, x \in N$ for $a > 0, b < 0$, and $x \rightarrow \Phi(x) \cdot a - \psi(x) \cdot b, x \in N$ for

$a < 0, b > 0$ are surjections of N onto N .

Proof. Consider the mapping $x \rightarrow -a \square x + b \square x, x \in S$, for given distinct a, b of $S \setminus \{0\}$. Without loss of generality we may restrict ourselves to the case $a < 0, a < b$.

Then we distinguish three cases

$$-a \square x + b \square x = (-\Phi(a) + \Psi(b)) \cdot x \quad \text{for } x < 0, b \geq 0,$$

$$-a \square x + b \square x = (-\Phi(a) + \Phi(b)) \cdot x \quad \text{for } x < 0, b < 0,$$

$$-a \square x + b \square x = (-\Phi(a) + \Psi(b)) \cdot x \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

Since Φ and Ψ are order-preserving and $\psi(0) = 0$; the considered mapping is bijective. Analogously, consider the mapping $x \rightarrow x \square a - x \square b, x \in S$, where one may suppose without loss of generality, that $b < 0, a > b$. Then we distinguish three alternatives:

$$x \square a - x \square b = \psi(x) \cdot a - \Phi(x) \cdot b \quad \text{for } x < 0, a > 0,$$

$$x \square a - x \square b = \Phi(x) \cdot (a - b) \quad \text{for } x < 0, a < 0,$$

$$x \square a - x \square b = \psi(x) (a - b) \quad \text{for } x \geq 0.$$

In the second and the third case the required bijectivity follows directly, and in the first case it is stated in the last condition of the theorem. As Φ and Ψ are order-preserving, bijectivity can be replaced by surjectivity. From this the rest of the proof follows. The bijection Φ and Ψ can be chosen in such a way that $RD^+ \square$ and $LD^+ \square$ are both violated [6, pp. 93-94].

If $\psi(x)$ for $x \in S$ and $\Phi(x) = \rho x$ for $x \in N$ for fixed $\rho > 0$, we obtain the classical case of the construction, especially the initial case of [1].

5. Let $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ be a pseudoordered field [6, p. 427], and denote by N the set of all negative elements of F .

Let $\Phi : S \rightarrow S$, $\Psi : S \rightarrow S$ be pseudoorder-preserving bijections [6, p. 428] with $\Phi(0) = 0$ and $\Psi(0) = 0$. Suppose that $\eta(a)$ is a mapping $x \rightarrow \psi(a) \cdot x$, $x \geq 0$ or $x \rightarrow \Phi(a) \cdot x$, $x < 0$ for every $a \in S$. Then the associated double-quasigroup $(S, +, \square)$ satisfies A^+ , C^+ , $RP^+ \square$. Moreover, $LP^+ \square$ holds if and only if any mapping $x \rightarrow \Phi(x) \cdot a - \psi(x) \cdot b$, $x \in S$ for given a, b with opposite signs (in the sense of [6, p. 427]) is a surjection of S onto S .

Proof. The validity of $RP^+ \square$ must be obtained in a manner different from that of the proof of theorem 4. Following [6, p.90], we replace the requirement of the unique solvability in $RP^+ \square$ by requiring only the existence of solutions

$$\begin{aligned} & \cup \quad a \square c - a \square d = b \square c - b \square d \Rightarrow c = d \text{ for } a, b, c, d \in S; \\ & \text{if } c \geq 0, d \geq 0, \text{ then } a \square c - a \square d = b \square c - b \square d \Rightarrow \\ & \quad \Rightarrow \psi(a) \cdot (c-d) = \psi(b) \cdot (c-d); \\ & \text{if } c < 0, d < 0, \text{ then } a \square c - a \square d = b \square c - b \square d \Rightarrow \\ & \quad \Rightarrow \Phi(a) \cdot (c-d) = \Phi(b) \cdot (c-d); \\ & \text{if } c < 0, d \geq 0, \text{ then } a \square c - a \square d = b \square c - b \square d \Rightarrow \\ & \quad \Rightarrow (\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)) \cdot c = (\psi(a) - \psi(b)) \cdot d; \\ & \text{and if } c \geq 0, d < 0, \text{ then } a \square c - a \square d = b \square c - b \square d \Rightarrow \\ & \quad \Rightarrow (\psi(a) - \psi(b)) \cdot c = (\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)) \cdot d. \end{aligned}$$

In the first and the second case $c = d$ follows, whereas in the third case $\frac{c}{d} < 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)}{\psi(a) - \psi(b)} =$

$$= \frac{\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)}{a - b} : \frac{\psi(a) - \psi(b)}{a - b} < 0 \Rightarrow \text{sg} \frac{\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)}{a - b} + \text{sg} \frac{\psi(a) - \psi(b)}{a - b}$$

and one of the mappings Φ, Ψ cannot be pseudoorder-preserving, contradicting the hypothesis. The fourth case may be studied analogously. Thus the condition U holds in $(S, +, \square)$. We verify that any equation $a \square x - b \square x = c$ has at least one solution $x \in S$ for given $a, b, c \in S$, $a \neq b$. Indeed, for $x \geq 0$ this equation can be rewritten as $(\psi(a) - \psi(b)) \cdot x = c$, thus if $\frac{c}{\psi(a) - \psi(b)} > 0$, we may use the solution $x = \frac{c}{\psi(a) - \psi(b)}$. For $x < 0$ one may rewrite as $(\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)) \cdot x = c$, so that for $\frac{c}{\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)} < 0$ we may put $x = \frac{c}{\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)}$. It is clear that $\frac{c}{\psi(a) - \psi(b)} = \frac{c}{a - b} \cdot \frac{\psi(a) - \psi(b)}{a - b} > 0 \Leftrightarrow \frac{c}{a - b} \cdot \frac{\Phi(a) - \Phi(b)}{a - b} > 0$, while in the contrary case one of the mappings Φ, Ψ is not pseudoorder-preserving.

Finally, we investigate any equation $x \square a - x \square b = c$ for given $a, b, c \in S$, $a \neq b$. For $a \geq 0, b \geq 0$ or for $a < 0, b < 0$ we have $\psi(x) \cdot (a - b) = c$ or $\Phi(x) \cdot (a - b) = c$ respectively, and the unique solvability follows from the definition of ψ and Φ . The remaining cases $a \geq 0, b < 0$ and $a < 0, b \geq 0$ yield the equations $\psi(x) \cdot a - \Phi(x) \cdot b = c$ and $\Phi(x) \cdot a - \psi(x) \cdot b = c$ respectively, stated in the last condition of our theorem.

If we neglect the postulate of unique solvability for $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ or for $y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ of the equation $x \square y = z$ for given $y, z \in S \setminus \{0\}$ or $x, z \in S \setminus \{0\}$ respectively, then we may construct, by the method

of theorem 5, a system $(S, +, \square)$ such that $(S, +)$ is an Abelian group with neutral element 0 , $x \square 0 = 0 \square x = 0$ for all $x \in S$ and $(S \setminus \{0\}, \square)$ is a groupoid satisfying condition U . In the assumptions of theorem 5 it is sufficient to replace the requirement that Φ be a pseudoorder-bijection by that Φ is to be a pseudoorder-injection. Then the resulting $(S, +, \square)$ satisfies A^+ , C^+ , U , $RP^{+\square}$ and does not satisfy $LP^{+\square}$. To obtain a concrete case choose $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ to be the field $F_0(\xi)$ of rational expressions over the basic field $F_0 = (S_0, +, \cdot)$ and define the pseudoorder on F as follows [6, p. 428]: if

$$a = \frac{f(\xi)}{g(\xi)} \in S \quad \text{has the lowest form with non-zero polynomials}$$

$f(\xi), g(\xi)$, then set $x > 0$ or $x < 0$ according as $\deg f(\xi) - \deg g(\xi)$ is even or odd.

Next, choose $\Phi(a) = a^3$, $a \in S$, and $\Psi(a)$, $a \in S$; it may be shown that Φ is pseudoorder-preserving injection

which is not a surjection and the same conclusion holds for

the mapping $x \rightarrow 1 \cdot \psi(x) + 1 \cdot \Phi(x) = x + x^3$, $x \in S$

(e.g. for ξ there is no x such that $x^3 = \xi$ or

$x + x^3 = \xi$). Another example is obtained if $F = (S, +, \cdot)$

is the rational field with the following pseudoorder [6, p.

427]: choose some prime n and express every rational in the form $n^m \frac{a}{b}$ where a, b are the lowest integers

prime to n , and then say that this rational is positive or

negative according as m is even or odd. Now set $\psi(a) = a$,

$a \in S$ and $\Phi(a) = a^3$, $a \in S$. It may be proved that

Φ is a pseudoorder-preserving injection which is not a

surjection and that also the mapping $x \rightarrow 1 \cdot \psi(x) + 1 \cdot \Phi(x) = -x + x^3$ is of the same type. - The so-obtained systems $(S, +, \square)$ may be interpreted as near-planar ternary rings, which are not planar (see the following definition) if the corresponding ternary composition Γ on S is introduced by $\Gamma(x, \mu, \nu) = x \square \mu + \nu$ for all $x, \mu, \nu \in S$.

Now we use theorem 5 for rational field $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ with the pseudoorder described above and put $\psi(a) = a$, $a \in S$, and $\Phi(\rho^m \frac{a_1}{a_2}) = \rho^m \frac{a_2}{a_1}$ for $\rho^m \frac{a_1}{a_2}$

in canonical form in $S \setminus \{0\}$, whereas $\Phi(0) = 0$.*) Then Φ is a pseudoorder-preserving bijection because for

$\alpha = \rho^m \frac{a_1}{a_2}$, $\beta = \rho^n \frac{b_1}{b_2} \in S \setminus \{0\}$ with $m - n \geq 0$

there is $\frac{\Phi(\alpha) - \Phi(\beta)}{\alpha - \beta} = \rho^i \frac{a_2 b_2}{a_1 b_1} \cdot \frac{\rho^{m-n} a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1}{\rho^{m-m} a_2 b_1 - b_2 a_1} > 0$.

Then, for $\rho = 2$, the mapping $x \rightarrow x + \Phi(x)$, $x \in S$,

is not surjective since the equation $2^m \frac{x_1}{x_2} + 2^m \frac{x_2}{x_1} =$

$= 2^1(-1) \iff (\frac{x_1}{x_2})^2 + 2^{1-m}(\frac{x_1}{x_2}) + 1 = 0$ has only a non-rational

solution $\frac{x_1}{x_2} = 2^{-m} \pm \sqrt{2^{-2m} - 1}$, $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$; the element

$2^1 \cdot (-1) \in S$ does not have an inverse image with regard to Φ . The obtained system $(S, +, \square)$ can be interpreted as a near-planar ternary ring which is not planar

*) The existence of such Φ was orally communicated to me by O. Kowalski.

(see the following definition) if the corresponding ternary composition T on S is introduced by $T(x, u, v) = x \square u + v$ for all $x, u, v \in S$.

This ternary ring satisfies the condition of "symmetry":

$T(x, u, v) = y$ is uniquely solvable in $x \in S$ for given $u, v, y \in S, u \neq 0$. The existence of such ternary rings is important because it shows that the notion of symmetric near-planar ternary rings ([7b]) is in fact more general than that of planar ternary rings.

By a ternary ring (S, T) is meant here a non-empty set S with a ternary composition on T satisfying $T(S, S, S) = S$. The ternary ring (S, T) is called near-planar if

- 1^o there exists an element $0 \in S$ such that $T(x, 0, v) = v, T(0, u, v) = v$ for all $x, u, v \in S$,
- 2^o any equation $T(a, b, v) = d$ is, for given $a, b, d \in S$, uniquely solvable in $v \in S$,
- 3^o for given $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in S$ with $x_1 \neq x_2$, the equations $T(x_i, u, v) = y_i, i = 1, 2$ have a unique solution $x \in S$.

The near-planar ternary ring (S, T) is said to be planar if

- 4^o for given $u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2 \in S$ with $u_1 \neq u_2$ the equation $T(x, u_1, v_1) = T(x, u_2, v_2)$ has a unique solution $x \in S$.

6. Let $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ be a pseudoordered field and $\Phi : S \rightarrow S$ a bijection with fixed element 0 . We define a ternary composition T on S as follows:

$T(x, u, v) = x \cdot u + v$ for $u \geq 0$ and $T(x, u, v) = \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(x) \cdot u + v)$ for $u < 0$. Then (S, T) is the ternary ring satisfying 1° and 2° ; moreover 3° holds precisely if Φ is a pseudoorder-monotonic (in the sense of [6, p. 428]).

Proof. According to the definition of Φ and T , 0 satisfies condition 1° . Condition 2° is obvious for $u \geq 0$ and follows from the bijectivity of Φ if $u < 0$. Given the equations $y_i = T(x_i, u, v)$, $i = 1, 2$, with $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in S$, $x_1 \neq x_2$, $y_1 \neq y_2$, we distinguish two cases:

- (1) $y_i = x_i \cdot u + v$, $i = 1, 2$ for $u \geq 0$,
- (2) $\Phi(y_i) = \Phi(x_i) \cdot u + v$, $i = 1, 2$ for $u < 0$.

Thus from (1) there follows $(x_1 - x_2) \cdot u = y_1 - y_2$, $\text{sg}(x_1 - x_2) = \text{sg}(y_1 - y_2)$ and from (2) there follows $(\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_2)) \cdot u = \Phi(y_1) - \Phi(y_2)$, $\text{sg}(\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_2)) = \text{sg}(\Phi(y_1) - \Phi(y_2))$. We conclude that 3° is satisfied precisely if $\frac{y_1 - y_2}{x_1 - x_2} > 0 \iff$

$$\iff \frac{\Phi(y_1) - \Phi(y_2)}{\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_2)} > 0 \text{ or } \frac{y_1 - y_2}{x_1 - x_2} \cdot \frac{\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_2)}{\Phi(y_1) - \Phi(y_2)} > 0 \text{ or}$$

$$\text{sg} \frac{\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_2)}{x_1 - x_2} = \text{sg} \frac{\Phi(y_1) - \Phi(y_2)}{y_1 - y_2}, \text{ all of which mean that } \Phi$$

is a pseudoorder-monotone. Condition 4° holds in (S, T) precisely if for $\mu_1 < 0 < \mu_2$ each $\Phi(x) \cdot \mu_1 + v_1 = \Phi(x \cdot \mu_2 + v_2)$ is uniquely solvable in $x \in S$. For F the real field and $\Phi(x) = x^3$, $x \in S$, we obtain the situation investigated in [2].

7. Let $F = (S, +, \cdot)$ be a pseudoordered field and $\Phi : S \rightarrow S$ a bijection with $\Phi(0) = 0$; let T be

the ternary composition on S defined as follows:

$T(x, u, v) = \Phi(x) \cdot u + v$ for $u \geq 0$ and $T(x, u, v) = \Phi^{-1}(x \cdot u + \Phi(v))$ for $u < 0$. Then (S, T) is a ternary ring satisfying 1° and 2° ; moreover 3° holds precisely if Φ is pseudoorder-monotone.

Proof. Condition 1° is obviously satisfied. Condition 2° is valid for $u \geq 0$ trivially, and for $u < 0$ follows from bijectivity of Φ . Thus we need only consider condition 3° : assume given $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2 \in S$,

$x_1 \neq x_2, y_1 \neq y_2$, and distinguish two alternatives:

(3) $y_i = \Phi(x_i) \cdot u + v, i = 1, 2$ for $u \geq 0$.

(4) $\Phi(y_i) = x_i \cdot u + \Phi(v), i = 1, 2$ for $u < 0$.

From (3) there follows $(\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_2)) \cdot u = y_1 - y_2$, so that $\frac{\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_2)}{y_1 - y_2} > 0$; from (4) there follows

$(x_1 - x_2) \cdot u = \Phi(y_1) - \Phi(y_2)$, so that $\frac{\Phi(y_1) - \Phi(y_2)}{x_1 - x_2} < 0$.

We conclude that $\frac{\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_2)}{y_1 - y_2}$ and $\frac{\Phi(y_1) - \Phi(y_2)}{x_1 - x_2}$

simultaneously have the same sign, which implies that Φ is pseudoorder-monotone (and conversely). Condition 4° holds in (S, T) if and only if, for $u_1 < 0 < u_2$, each $x u_1 + \Phi(v_1) = \Phi(\Phi(x) u_2 + v_2)$ is uniquely solvable in $x \in S$. If F is taken to be a rational field and $\Phi = 1$ chosen according to André's procedure [5, p. 204-205], one obtains the planar ternary ring investigated in [5].

L i t e r a t u r e :

[1] F.R. MOULFON, A simple non-Desarguesian plane, Trans. Amer.Math.Soc.3(1902),192-195.

- [2] N.F. TSCHETWERUCHIN, Eine Bemerkung zu den Nicht-Desargueschen Liniensystemen, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung 36 (1927), 154-156.
- [3] L.A. SKORNJAKOV, Natural fields of Veblen-Wedderburn planes (in Russian), Izv. Ak. nauk SSSR 13 (1949), 447-472.
- [4] G. PICKERT, Projektive Ebenen, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg 1955.
- [5] J. ANDRÉ, Affine Ebenen mit genügend vielen Translationen, Math. Nachrichten 19(1958), 203-210.
- [6] V. HAVEL, Verallgemeinerte Gewebe I, (to appear in Publ. Fac. Sci. Brno)
- [7] V. HAVEL, Near-planar ternary rings, (to appear in Journal of Algebra)

(Received August 23, 1965)