

Ladislav Procházka

A generalization of a theorem of R. Baer

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 4 (1963), No. 3, 105--108

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104939>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 1963

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM OF R. BAER

Ladislav PROCHÁZKA, Praha

The purpose of this note is to present a theorem that generalizes R. Baer's theorem on the complete decomposability of pure subgroups of a completely decomposable torsion free abelian groups (see [1], theorem 46.6).

Theorem. If a completely decomposable torsion free abelian group G is a direct sum of groups of rank 1 whose types are inversely well-ordered in the natural partial order of types, then every pure subgroup H of G is again completely decomposable.

Proof. The following proof runs on the same lines as that of Baer's theorem in [1].

Let $G = \sum_{\alpha < \tau} J_{\alpha}$ be a direct decomposition of G where J_{α} ($\alpha < \tau$) are torsion free groups of rank 1, and τ is an ordinal. According to the assumptions in our theorem it can be supposed that

$$(1) \quad \text{type } J_{\alpha} \cong \text{type } J_{\beta} \quad \text{whenever } \alpha \leq \beta < \tau.$$

Put, for each ordinal β ($0 < \beta \leq \tau$),

$$(2) \quad G_{\beta} = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} J_{\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\beta} = H \cap G_{\beta}$$

By (2) we have $G_{\beta} \subseteq G_{\gamma}$ and also $H_{\beta} \subseteq H_{\gamma}$ for all ordinals β, γ such that $\beta \leq \gamma \leq \tau$. Hence it follows for $\beta \leq \gamma \leq \tau$

$$(3) \quad H_{\beta} = H_{\gamma} \cap H_{\beta} = H_{\gamma} \cap (H \cap G_{\beta}) = H_{\gamma} \cap G_{\beta}$$

so that $H_{\beta} = H_{\beta+1} \cap G_{\beta}$ for every β preceding τ . Con-

sequently, the following relation holds for $\beta < \tau$

$$(4) \quad H_{\beta+1} / H_{\beta} = H_{\beta+1} / (H_{\beta+1} \cap G_{\beta}) \cong \{G_{\beta}, H_{\beta+1}\} / G_{\beta} \cong G_{\beta+1} / G_{\beta} .$$

By (2) it is $G_{\beta+1} = G_{\beta} \dot{+} J_{\beta}$ and therefore $G_{\beta+1} / G_{\beta} \cong J_{\beta}$. From this we obtain by (4)

$$(5) \quad H_{\beta+1} / H_{\beta} \cong \bar{J}_{\beta} \subseteq J_{\beta}$$

where \bar{J}_{β} can also vanish.

Let $H_{\beta+1} / H_{\beta}$ be a nonzero group and let $x \in H_{\beta+1} - H_{\beta}$. If $\text{type}(x)$ is the type of x in G , then, by the purity of $H_{\beta+1}$ in G , its type taken in $H_{\beta+1}$ is the same as that taken in G . As an immediate consequence of this remark, of the relation $0 \neq x \in G_{\beta+1}$ and of (1), (2) we obtain

$$(6) \quad \text{type}(x) \cong \text{type } J_{\beta} .$$

If we put $x^* = x + H_{\beta} \in H_{\beta+1} / H_{\beta}$, then $\text{type}(x^*) \cong \text{type}(x)$ ($\text{type}(x^*)$ is taken in $H_{\beta+1} / H_{\beta}$), and we have according to (5)

$$(7) \quad \text{type}(x) \cong \text{type}(x^*) = \text{type } \bar{J}_{\beta} \cong \text{type } J_{\beta} .$$

From the inequalities (6) and (7) we conclude

$$(8) \quad \text{type}(x) = \text{type}(x^*) = \text{type } \bar{J}_{\beta} = \text{type } J_{\beta} .$$

The relation (8) makes it possible to apply a Baer's lemma (see [1], lemma 46.3) and therefore we can write

$$(9) \quad H_{\beta+1} = H_{\beta} \dot{+} J_{\beta}^* \quad (\beta < \tau)$$

where, according to (5) and (8), $J_{\beta}^* \cong \bar{J}_{\beta} \cong J_{\beta}$. We have thus proved that for each ordinal $\beta < \tau$ a direct decomposition (9) is true where J_{β}^* either vanishes or $J_{\beta}^* \cong \bar{J}_{\beta}$.

Now we shall prove that $H = \sum_{\alpha < \tau} J_{\alpha}^*$.

First of all we shall show the equality

$$(10) \quad \{J_\alpha^* ; \alpha < \tau\} = \alpha \sum_{\alpha < \tau} J_\alpha^*$$

holds. Let $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n < \tau$, let $x_i \in J_{\alpha_i}^*$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$), and let $x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n = 0$. Since $\alpha_j < \alpha_m$ ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$) we have $\alpha_j + 1 \leq \alpha_m$ ($j = 1, \dots, n-1$), and, by (9) and (3), $x_j \in J_{\alpha_j}^* \subseteq H_{\alpha_j+1} \subseteq H_{\alpha_m}$. According to (9)

$$(x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}) + x_n \in H_{\alpha_m} + J_{\alpha_m}^*$$

where $(x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}) \in H_{\alpha_m}$, $x_n \in J_{\alpha_m}^*$, and we conclude that $x_n = 0 = x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}$. By the same method we can show that also $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_{n-1} = 0$, and (10) is established. Next we shall show by transfinite induction that, for each β with $0 < \beta \leq \tau$, the following relation holds

$$(11) \quad H_\beta = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} J_\alpha^* .$$

If $\beta = 1$, then we have by (9) $H_1 = H_0 + J_0^* = J_0^*$, since $H_0 = (0)$.

Let $\beta_0 > 1$ and let us assume that (11) is true whenever $\beta < \beta_0$. If β_0 is of the form $\beta_0 = \beta + 1$, then, by (9) and by the inductive assumption, we have

$$H_{\beta_0} = H_{\beta+1} = H_\beta + J_\beta^* = \sum_{\alpha < \beta} J_\alpha^* + J_\beta^* = \sum_{\alpha < \beta_0} J_\alpha^* .$$

If β_0 is a limit ordinal, then it follows from the definition of G_α ($\alpha < \tau$) that $G_{\beta_0} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta_0} G_\alpha$ and also $H_{\beta_0} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta_0} H_\alpha$. We conclude (according to (10))

$$H_{\beta_0} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta_0} \left(\sum_{\gamma < \alpha} J_\gamma^* \right) = \sum_{\gamma < \beta_0} J_\gamma^* .$$

Thus (11) is proved for each $\beta \leq \tau$. In the special case $\beta = \tau$ we have by (11)

$$(12) \quad H = H_\tau = \sum_{\alpha < \tau} J_\alpha^*$$

which completes the proof of our theorem.

Remark. It follows immediately from the preceding proof that every pure subgroup H of such a group G is isomorphic to a direct summand of G (see (12), (9), (5) and (8)).

R e f e r e n c e s

- [1] L. FUCHS, Abelian groups, Budapest, 1958.