

Ivan Chajda

Direct products of homomorphic mappings. II

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 10 (1974), No. 1, 1--8

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104813>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

DIRECT PRODUCTS OF HOMOMORPHIC MAPPINGS II

IVAN CHAJDA, Píerov

(Received May 17, 1973)

In [4] it is proved that for direct products of so called pseudo-ordered algebras we can state the converse of the theorem on direct product of homomorphisms of the type "onto" (see [3] p. 127 or [4], Theorem 1). There exists very extensive class of algebras in which a more weak theorem than that holds. We can state also a similar assertion for homomorphic mapping of the type "into". These are the main goals of this paper. Apart from this, there is described one type of pseudo-ordered algebras (others are given in [4]) and there is given a characteristic of these algebras by means of a binary relation.

This paper is a continuation of [4], all conceptions and notations are taken from there.

1.

In whole this paper denotes the symbol \mathfrak{A} a class of algebras with a zero element 0, binary operation \oplus and a set of n -ary ($n \geq 1$) operations Ω fulfilling identities:

- (i) $a \oplus 0 = 0 \oplus a = a$ for each $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ and an arbitrary element $a \in A$.
- (ii) $0\omega \dots 0\omega = 0$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$.

An algebra $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is said to be *without zero-divisors* iff there exists $\Omega' \subseteq \Omega$, $\Omega' \neq \emptyset$ such that for each $\omega \in \Omega'$ there holds:

- (iii) arity of ω is greater than 1 and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \omega = 0$ iff $a_i = 0$ for at least one $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

Operations from Ω' are called *regular*. An algebra $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is said to be *pseudo-ordered* if A is without zero-divisors and there exists $\Omega'' \subseteq \Omega'$, $\Omega'' \neq \emptyset$ such that for each $\omega \in \Omega''$ the following identity is true:

- (iv) $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \omega = a_i \alpha$, where $a \alpha = a$ or $\alpha \in \Omega$ and $a \alpha = 0$ iff $a = 0$; $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.
- Then α is called the *operation corresponding to $\omega \in \Omega''$* .

Let $A_\tau \in \mathfrak{A}$ for $\tau \in T$, $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau$ (the direct product of A_τ). By the symbol \overline{A}_{τ_0} (resp. $\overline{\prod_{\tau \in T'} A_\tau}$ for $T' \subseteq T$) we denote a subalgebra of A fulfilling $pr_{\tau_0} \overline{A}_{\tau_0} = A_{\tau_0}$, $pr_\tau \overline{A}_{\tau_0} = 0$ for $\tau \neq \tau_0$ (resp. $pr_{\tau_0}(\overline{\prod_{\tau \in T'} A_\tau}) = A_{\tau_0}$ for $\tau_0 \in T'$ and $pr_{\tau_1}(\overline{\prod_{\tau \in T'} A_\tau}) = 0$ for $\tau_1 \in T -$

– T'). An isomorphic mapping j of $\prod_{\tau \in T'} \overline{A_\tau}$ onto $\prod_{\tau \in T'} \overline{A_\tau}$ such that $pr_{\tau_0}(j(\prod_{\tau \in T'} \overline{A_\tau})) = pr_{\tau_0}(\prod_{\tau \in T'} \overline{A_\tau})$ for each $\tau_0 \in T'$ is called the *natural isomorphism*. The inverse mapping of j is called the *natural isomorphism*, too.

In [3] p. 127 the following theorem is stated:

Theorem 1. *Let \mathfrak{B} be a class of algebras, $A_\tau, B_\tau \in \mathfrak{B}$ and φ_τ be a homomorphic mapping of A_τ onto (resp. into) B_τ for $\tau \in T$. Then $\varphi = \prod_{\tau \in T} \varphi_\tau$ is a homomorphic mapping of $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau$ onto (resp. into) $B = \prod_{\tau \in T} B_\tau$.*

Proof see [4], theorem 1.

In [4] there is proved that for direct products of pseudoordered algebras and for surjective homomorphisms the converse of the theorem 1 is true. For N-algebras and arbitrary homomorphisms only a weaker statement can be proved:

Theorem 2. *Let $A_\tau, B_\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}$ be algebras without zero-divisors, $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau, B = \prod_{\sigma \in S} B_\sigma$, T, S be finite sets, φ be a homomorphic mapping of A onto B . Then there exists a set I of indices α , and injective mappings of I into T and of I into 2^S assigning to each $\alpha \in I$ just one $\tau_\alpha \in T$ and $S_\alpha \subseteq S$ that:*

- (1) $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} S_\alpha = S, S_{\alpha'} \cap S_{\alpha''} = \emptyset$ for $\alpha', \alpha'' \in I, \alpha' \neq \alpha''$
- (2) If $T^* = \{\tau_\alpha, \alpha \in I\}$, then $\varphi(A^*) = \varphi(A)$, where $A^* = \prod_{\alpha \in I} \overline{A_{\tau_\alpha}}$
- (3) $\varphi \mid A^* = \prod_{\alpha \in I} f_\alpha$, where f_α is a homomorphic mapping of A_{τ_α} onto $\prod_{\sigma \in S_\alpha} B_\sigma$.

Proof. By the theorem 2 in [4], there exists just one $\tau_\sigma \in T$ such that $\varphi(\overline{A_{\tau_\sigma}}) \supseteq \overline{B_\sigma}$ for each $\sigma \in S$. Let $T^* = \{\tau_\alpha, \alpha \in I\}$ be a set of all these indices τ_σ without repetition (each τ_σ is in T^* only one times). Then $\varphi(\prod_{\alpha \in I} \overline{A_{\tau_\alpha}}) \supseteq \prod_{\sigma \in S} \overline{B_\sigma} = B = \varphi(A)$, thus $\varphi(A^*) = \varphi(A)$. It is obvious that $\text{card } I \leq \text{card } S$. Denote S_α the set of all $\sigma \in S$ for which τ_α is the same (i.e. $\sigma \in S_\alpha \Rightarrow \overline{B_\sigma} \subseteq \varphi(\overline{A_{\tau_\alpha}})$). Evidently $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} S_\alpha = S$. From 2 in [4] we obtain $S_{\alpha'} \cap S_{\alpha''} = \emptyset$. Let i_α be a natural isomorphism of $\prod_{\sigma \in S_\alpha} \overline{B_\sigma}$ onto $\prod_{\sigma \in S_\alpha} B_\sigma$, j_α be a natural isomorphism of A_{τ_α} onto $\overline{A_{\tau_\alpha}}$, then $f_\alpha = i_\alpha \cdot \varphi \mid \overline{A_{\tau_\alpha}} \cdot j_\alpha$ and $\varphi \mid A^* = \prod_{\alpha \in I} f_\alpha$.

Corollary 3. *Let A_τ, B_τ be algebras without zero-divisors, T finite set $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau, B = \prod_{\tau \in T} B_\tau$ and φ be a homomorphic mapping of A onto B for which $\varphi(\overline{A_\tau})$ is an algebra without zero-divisors for each $\tau \in T$. Then there exists a permutation π of the set T such that $\varphi = \prod_{\tau \in T} \varphi_\tau$, where φ_τ is a homomorphic mapping of A_τ onto $B_{\pi(\tau)}$.*

Proof. For each $\tau \in T$, $\varphi(\overline{A_\tau})$ is without zero-divisors, thus $\text{card } S_\alpha = 1$ for each

$\alpha \in I$. From the condition $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} S_\alpha = S$ we obtain $\text{card } I = \text{card } S$, but $S = T$, thus $T^* = T$ and the assertion follows from the theorem 2.

Corollary 4. Let A_τ, B_τ be rings without zero-divisors, $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau, B = \prod_{\tau \in T} B_\tau, T$ be a finite set and φ be a homomorphic mapping of A onto B for which $(\bar{A}_\tau \cap \ker \varphi)$ be a prime-ideal in \bar{A}_τ for each $\tau \in T$. Then $\varphi = \prod_{\tau \in T} \varphi_\tau$, where φ_τ is a homomorphic mapping of A_τ onto $B_{\pi(\tau)}$, π is a permutation of the index set T .

Proof. Let $\bar{A}_\tau \cap \ker \varphi$ be a prime-ideal in \bar{A}_τ , then φ induces a congruence φ on \bar{A}_τ and the factor-ring \bar{A}_τ/θ is without zero-divisors (see [5]), $\varphi(\bar{A}_\tau)$ is isomorphic with \bar{A}_τ/θ . Then $\varphi(\bar{A}_\tau)$ is without zero-divisors, too. From corollary 3 we obtain the assertion.

Corollary 5. Let A_τ, B_τ be simple-rings (or fields) for $\tau \in T, \varphi$ be a surjective homomorphic mapping of the direct product $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau$ onto direct product $B = \prod_{\tau \in T} B_\tau, T$ finite. Then $\varphi = \prod_{\tau \in T} \varphi_\tau$, where φ_τ is a surjective homomorphic mapping of A_τ onto $B_{\pi(\tau)}$, π is a permutation of the index set T .

Proof. The mapping $\varphi \upharpoonright \bar{A}_\tau$ is a homomorphic mapping, $\ker \varphi \upharpoonright \bar{A}_\tau = \bar{A}_\tau \cap \ker \varphi$, but $\ker \varphi \upharpoonright \bar{A}_\tau$ is an ideal in \bar{A}_τ . Fields and simple-rings have no proper ideals, then $\bar{A}_\tau \cap \ker \varphi$ is the zero $0 \in \bar{A}_\tau$ or whole \bar{A}_τ for each $\tau \in T$, but they are primeideals.

From the theorem 2 in [4] there follows an analog of the classical Krull-Remark-Schmidt theorem (for rings see [5]):

Corollary 6. Let A_τ, A_γ be algebras without zero-divisors for $\tau \in T, \gamma \in \Gamma$, and $\prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma$, be isomorphic algebras.

where A is an N -algebra.

Then $\text{card } \Gamma = \text{card } T$ and there exists a permutation π of the set T such that $\gamma \in \Gamma \Rightarrow A_\gamma = A_{\pi(\tau)}$ for just one $\tau \in T$; in other words, the direct decomposition of an algebra A in algebras without zero-divisors is uniquely determined up to order of direct factors.

Proof. Let $\prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau, \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma$, be isomorphic then there exists an isomorphic mapping φ of $\prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau$ onto $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma$ and isomorphic mapping φ^{-1} of $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_\gamma$ onto $\prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau$, $\varphi\varphi^{-1} = \varphi^{-1}\varphi = id_A$. By the theorem 2 in [4] there exists just one A_τ for each A_γ such that $\varphi(\bar{A}_\tau) \subseteq \bar{A}_\gamma$ and just one $A_{\gamma'}$ for each A_τ such that $\varphi^{-1}(\bar{A}_{\gamma'}) \supseteq \bar{A}_\tau$. Thus, $\bar{A}_{\gamma'} = \varphi\varphi^{-1}(\bar{A}_{\gamma'}) \supseteq \varphi(\bar{A}_\tau) \supseteq \bar{A}_\gamma$, but $\bar{A}_{\gamma'} \supseteq \bar{A}_\gamma$ is impossible for $\gamma \neq \gamma'$. Then $\gamma = \gamma'$ and $\bar{A}_{\gamma'} = \bar{A}_\gamma = \varphi(\bar{A}_\tau)$. We obtain $\varphi^{-1}(\bar{A}_\gamma) = \bar{A}_\tau$ analogously.

From the corollary 6 we get a generalization of the first part of the theorem 4.1. in [1].

Lemma A. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, 0_A be a zero-element of A and φ be a homomorphic mapping of A into $B \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\varphi(0_A)$ is a zero-element of $\varphi(A)$ and we have no other zero-elements in $\varphi(A)$.*

Proof. Let $b \in \varphi(A)$, let $a \in A$ and $\varphi(a) = b$. Then $b \oplus \varphi(0_A) = \varphi(a) \oplus \varphi(0_A) = \varphi(a \oplus 0_A) = \varphi(a) = b$, analogously $\varphi(0_A) \oplus b = b$. Let ω be an arbitrary n -ary operation from Ω , then $\varphi(0_A) \varphi(0_A) \dots \varphi(0_A) \omega = \varphi(0_A 0_A \dots 0_A \omega) = \varphi(0_A)$. Thus, $\varphi(0_A)$ is a zero of $\varphi(A)$. The unicity of the zero $\varphi(0_A)$ is evident.

Lemma B. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a pseudo-ordered algebra, φ be a homomorphic mapping of A into $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ fulfilling $\varphi(0_A) = 0_B$. Then $\varphi(A)$ is a pseudo-ordered algebra and $\varphi(A) \in \mathfrak{A}$.*

Proof. Let $b_1, \dots, b_n \in \varphi(A)$, $b_i \neq 0_B$ and let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, $\varphi(a_i) = b_i$ and ω be an arbitrary operation from Ω'' . Then $b_1 \dots b_n \omega = \varphi(a_1) \dots \varphi(a_n) \omega = \varphi(a_1 \dots a_n \omega) = \varphi(a_i \alpha) = \varphi(a_i) \alpha = b_i \alpha$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. By the lemma A we have $\varphi(0_A) \alpha = \varphi(0_A)$. Let $b_j = 0_B = \varphi(0_A)$ for some j , then $b_1 \dots 0_B \dots b_n \omega = \varphi(a_1 \dots 0_A \dots a_n \omega) = \varphi(0_A) = 0_B$. Conversely, let $b_1 \dots b_n \omega = 0_B$, then $0_B = \varphi(a_1 \dots a_n \omega) = \varphi(a_i) \alpha = b_i \alpha$, then $b_i = 0_B$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The assertion is evident.

Lemma C. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a pseudo-ordered algebra and $\alpha = id_A$ the corresponding operation for each $\omega \in \Omega''$. Let φ be a homomorphic mapping of A into $B \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then $\varphi(A)$ is a pseudo-ordered algebra with the same Ω'' .*

Proof. Let $b_i \in \varphi(A)$, $b_i \neq \varphi(0_A)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Analogously as in the proof of Lemma B we obtain $b_1 \dots b_n \omega = b_i$. Let $b_i = \varphi(0_A)$, then $b_1 \dots b_n \omega = \varphi(a_1 \dots 0_A \dots a_n \omega) = \varphi(0_A)$. Conversely, let $b_1 \dots b_n \omega = \varphi(0_A)$, then $\varphi(0_A) = \varphi(a_1 \dots a_n \omega) = \varphi(a_i) \alpha = b_i \alpha$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. The assertion is evident.

Theorem 7. *Let $A_\tau, B_\sigma \in \mathfrak{A}$ be algebras without zero-divisors, T, S be finite sets. $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau$, $B = \prod_{\sigma \in S} B_\sigma$, let φ be a homomorphic mapping of A into B and let at least one of the following conditions be true:*

- (I) $\varphi(0_A) = 0_B$
- (II) A_τ, B_σ are pseudo-ordered and there exists $\omega_0 \in \Omega''$ such that the corresponding operation $\alpha = id$ (for each $\tau \in T, \sigma \in S$).

Then for each $\sigma \in S$ we have $pr_\sigma \varphi(A) = pr_\sigma \varphi(0_A)$ or there exists just one $\tau_\sigma \in T$ such that $pr_\sigma \varphi(A) = pr_\sigma \varphi(\bar{A}_{\tau_\sigma})$.

Proof. The inclusion $pr_\sigma \varphi(A) \supseteq pr_\sigma \varphi(\bar{A}_{\tau_\sigma})$ is evident for each $\tau \in T$ and $\sigma \in S$.

Let $pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(A) \neq pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(0_A)$ for $\sigma_0 \in S$ and suppose that it does not exist $\tau_0 \in T$ with $pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{A}_{\tau_0}) \supseteq pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(A)$. Then there exists a set $T' \subseteq T$ such that

$$pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\overline{\prod_{\tau \in T'} A_\tau}) \supseteq pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(A),$$

because for $T' = T$ it is true. Accordingly, $\text{card } T' > 1$. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T', \tau_1 \neq \tau_2$.

(a) Let there exist $\bar{a}_1 \in \bar{A}_{\tau_1}, \bar{a}_2 \in \bar{A}_{\tau_2}$ such that

$$pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{a}_1) \neq pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(0_A) \neq pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{a}_2).$$

If the condition (I) is fulfilled, then $\varphi(\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2 \dots \bar{a}_2\omega) = \varphi(0_A) = 0_B$ for an arbitrary n -ary ω which is the direct product of regular operations from Ω' , but $pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{a}_1)pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{a}_2) \dots pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{a}_2)\omega \neq pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(0_A) = pr_{\sigma_0}0_B$ which is a contradiction. If the condition (II) is fulfilled, then $\varphi(\bar{a}_1\bar{a}_2 \dots \bar{a}_2\omega_0) = \varphi(0_A)$, but $pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{a}_1)pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{a}_2) \dots pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{a}_2)\omega_0 = pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{a}_1) \neq pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(0_A)$ which is a contradiction again.

(b) Let the assumption (a) be not true, then there exists $\tau_0 \in T$ such that $pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{A}_{\tau_0}) = pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(0_A)$ for $\tau \neq \tau_0$. Let $b_{\sigma_0} \in pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(A), b_{\sigma_0} \neq pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(0_A)$. Let us choose arbitrary $a \in A$ fulfilling $pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(a) = b_{\sigma_0}$. By the lemma A we have $a \neq 0_A$. We can write $a = \overline{a(\tau_0)} \oplus c$, where $pr_{\tau_0}c = 0$ (and $pr_{\tau}a(\tau_0) = 0$ for $\tau \neq \tau_0$). Then $\varphi(c) = \varphi(0_A)$ by the assumption (b), and: $pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(a) = pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\overline{a(\tau_0)} \oplus c) = pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\overline{a(\tau_0)}) \oplus pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(0_A)$, by the lemma A we obtain $pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(a) = pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\overline{a(\tau_0)})$. From this it is obvious that $pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(A) \subseteq pr_{\sigma_0}\varphi(\bar{A}_{\tau_0})$, contrary to the assumption of the proof.

Corollary 8. Let $A_\tau, B_\sigma \in \mathfrak{AI}$ be algebras without zero-divisors, T, S be finite sets, $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau, B = \prod_{\sigma \in S} B_\sigma$, be a homomorphic mapping of A into B and let at least one of the conditions (I), (II) of the theorem 7 be true. Let S' be the least subset of S such that

$$b \in \varphi(A) \Rightarrow pr_\sigma b = pr_\sigma \varphi(0_A) \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma \in S - S'.$$

Let $S' \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a set Γ of indices γ such that to each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ corresponds just one $\tau_\gamma \in T$ and $S_\gamma \subseteq S$ fulfilling:

- (1) $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} S_\gamma = S', S_{\gamma'} \cap S_{\gamma''} = \emptyset$ for $\gamma', \gamma'' \in \Gamma, \gamma' \neq \gamma'',$ and $\tau_{\gamma'} \neq \tau_{\gamma''}$
- (2) $T^* = \{\tau_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, then $\varphi(A) = \varphi(A^*)$, where $A^* = \overline{\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A_{\tau_\gamma}}$
- (3) $\varphi \upharpoonright A^* = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi_\gamma$, where φ_γ is a homomorphic mapping of A_{τ_γ} onto B_{S_γ} and $B_{S_\gamma} = \{b \in \varphi(A); pr_\sigma b = pr_\sigma \varphi(0_A) \text{ for } \sigma \in S - S_\gamma\}$.

Proof. By the theorem 7, there exists just one $\tau_\sigma \in T$ for each $\sigma \in S$ such that $pr_\sigma \varphi(A) = pr_\sigma \varphi(\bar{A}_{\tau_\sigma})$. Let us denote by T^* the set of all these pairwise different τ_σ . For each $\tau_0 \in T^*$ we denote S_0 a subset of all σ , for which

$$\sigma \in S_0 \Rightarrow pr_\sigma \varphi(A) = pr_\sigma \varphi(\bar{A}_{\tau_0}).$$

Then $\varphi(\bar{A}_{\tau_0}) = B_{S_0}$ (by notation of the theorem 8). Let us denote $T^* = \{\tau_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Then by the theorem 7, to each $\tau_\gamma \in T^*$ there corresponds just one $S_\gamma \subseteq S$ and $S_{\gamma'} \cap S_\gamma = \emptyset$ for $\gamma' \neq \gamma$ and $\bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} S_\gamma = S'$. By the theorem 7 we obtain $\varphi(A^*) = \varphi(A)$. Let j_{S_γ} be a natural isomorphism of \bar{B}_{S_γ} onto $\prod_{\sigma \in S_\gamma} B_\sigma$ and i_γ be a natural isomorphism of A_{τ_γ} onto \bar{A}_{τ_γ} , then $\varphi_\gamma = j_{S_\gamma} \cdot \varphi | \bar{A}_{\tau_\gamma} \cdot i_\gamma$. It is evident that $\varphi | A^* = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi_\gamma$.

This corollary is more weak than the converse of Theorem 1 for homomorphisms of the type "into" fulfilling (I) or (II). However, we can easy show an example when the converse of Theorem 1 for homomorphisms of the type "into" is not generally true (not even for pseudo-ordered algebras).

From Lemmas B, C, Theorem 4 in [4] and Corollary 8 there follows directly:

Corollary 9. *Let A_τ, B_τ be completely ordered groups or chains with the maximal element or chains with the minimal element and φ be a supremum and infimum preserving homomorphic mapping of $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau$ into $B = \prod_{\tau \in T} B_\tau$, where T is a finite index set. Then there exist a set $T^* \subseteq T$ such that $\varphi(A) = \varphi(A^*)$, where $A^* = \prod_{\tau \in T^*} A_\tau$ and $\varphi(A) = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} B^{(\gamma)}$, where $B^{(\gamma)}$ is a completely ordered group or chain with the maximal element or chain with the minimal element for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, respectively, and $\varphi | A^* = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi_\gamma$, where φ_γ is order preserving homomorphic mapping of A_{τ_γ} onto $B^{(\gamma)}$.*

3.

In [13] it is proved that for direct products of pseudo-ordered algebras is true the converse of the theorem 1 for mappings of the type "onto". Let us introduce a new conception:

Definition. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ be an algebra, let $\omega \in \Omega$. The operation ω is said to be weak-commutative iff the following identity for each $a, b \in A$ holds: $ab \dots b\omega = ba \dots a\omega$.*

It is clear that for binary operations the conceptions of weak-commutativity and commutativity are equivalent.

Definition. *A binary relation R on an algebra $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ is said to be weak-antisymmetric iff $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$ and $\langle b, a \rangle \in R$ imply $ax = bx$, where $ax = a$ or $\alpha \in \Omega$ is a unary operation for which $ax = 0$ iff $a = 0$. A binary relation R is called the pseudo-ordering on A iff it is reflexive, weak-antisymmetric and complete on A .*

Theorem 10. *Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a pseudo-ordered algebra and let there exist a weak-commutative operation $\omega \in \Omega'$. Then there exists a pseudo-ordering on A .*

Proof. Let $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a pseudo-ordered algebra and $\omega \in \Omega'$ be weak-commutative. Introduce the relation P :

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in P \quad \text{iff} \quad ab \dots b\omega = ax.$$

From $aa \dots a\omega = a\alpha$ we obtain a reflexivity of P . For each $a, b \in A$ we have $ab \dots \dots b\omega = a\alpha$ or $ab \dots b\omega = b\alpha$, thus, P is complete. If $\langle a, b \rangle \in P$ and $\langle b, a \rangle \in P$, then $ab \dots b\omega = a\alpha$, $ba \dots a\omega = b\alpha$ and from weak-commutativity we obtain $a\alpha = b\alpha$; accordingly, P is a pseudo-ordering.

Theorem 11. *Let A be an algebra with a zero-element 0 and a set Ω of n -ary operations ω fulfilling $00 \dots 0\omega = 0$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Let an antisymmetrical pseudo-ordering P be defined on A . Then A is the pseudo-ordered algebra with a commutative binary operation $\omega_0 \in \Omega'$.*

Proof. Let us define operations \oplus and ω_0 by the following way: $a, b \in A$, then $\langle a, b \rangle \in P$ iff $a \oplus b = b \oplus a = b$ and $ab\omega_0 = ba\omega_0 = a\alpha$, where α is the identity on A . It implies $0 \oplus 0 = 0$, $00\omega_0 = 0$ and from completeness of P we obtain $a_1 a_2 \omega_0 = a_i$ for $i = 1$ or 2 . Summary, $\omega_0 \in \Omega'$ is commutative and A is a pseudo-ordered algebra with 0 , \oplus and the set of operations $\Omega \cup \{\omega_0\}$.

It is clear that each homomorphism of an algebra A with pseudo-ordering P preserving P preserves operations \oplus and ω_0 , too.

Corollary 12. *Let A be a completely ordered algebra with zero 0 and a set Ω of operations fulfilling $00 \dots 0\omega = 0$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Then A is a pseudo-ordered algebra.*

It follows directly from the theorem 11 because each complete ordering is a pseudo-ordering. From the theorem 11 we obtain:

Theorem 13. *Let A, B algebras with a zero 0 and with the same set Ω of n -ary operations fulfilling $00 \dots 0\omega = 0$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Let P be a pseudo-ordering on A , Q pseudo-ordering on B and let φ be a homomorphic mapping of A into B fulfilling $\varphi(0) = 0$ and preserving pseudo-ordering (i.e. $\langle a, b \rangle \in P \Rightarrow \langle \varphi(a), \varphi(b) \rangle \in Q$). Then $\varphi(A)$ is a pseudo-ordered algebra and φ preserves \oplus and ω_0 (introduced in the proof of the theorem 11).*

Corollary 14. *Let A_τ, B_τ be algebras of the same class of algebras with zero 0 and a set Ω of operations fulfilling $00 \dots 0\omega = 0$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$, let P_τ (resp. Q_τ) be a pseudo-ordering on A_τ (resp. B_τ) and R (resp. S) be a direct product of P_τ (resp. Q_τ), i.e. $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$ iff $\langle a(\tau), b(\tau) \rangle \in P_\tau$ for each $\tau \in T$, T finite and $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau$, $B = \prod_{\tau \in T} B_\tau$. Let φ be a homomorphic mapping of A onto B preserving the boundary of R . Then $\varphi = \prod_{\tau \in T} \varphi_\tau$, where φ_τ is a homomorphic mapping of A_τ onto $B_{\pi(\tau)}$ preserves pseudo-ordering and π is a permutation of T .*

Remark. We say that φ preserves the boundary of the relation $P = \prod_{\tau \in T} P_\tau$ if φ preserves the direct product of the operations \oplus and ω_0 introduced in the proof of the theorem 11.

This corollary follows directly from the theorem 13 and the theorem 7 in [4].

Corollary 15. *The converse of the theorem 1 for o -homomorphisms of the type "onto" is true for direct products of completely ordered algebras with 0 and a set Ω of n -ary operations fulfilling $00 \dots 0\omega = 0$, if φ preserves supremum and infimum.*

Theorem 16. *Each cyclically ordered set (see to [2]) is a pseudo-ordered algebra.*

Proof. Let A be a cyclically ordered set, fix $a_0 \in A$. Then the set $A - \{a_0\}$ is completely ordered. This ordering S is induced by a cyclical ordering—see [2], and S is uniquely corresponding to cyclical ordering on A and conversely. Let us extend S to S' by the following way: $S' = S$ on $A - \{a_0\}$, $\langle a_0, a \rangle \in S'$ and $\langle a, a_0 \rangle \notin S'$ for each $a \in A - \{a_0\}$. Then S' is uniquely corresponding to S and to cyclical ordering on A , too. By the Theorem 4 in [4], A is a pseudo-ordered algebra.

Let A_τ be cyclically ordered set for each $\tau \in T$. We can introduce so called partially cyclical ordering C on $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau$ by the rule: $\langle a, b, c \rangle \in C$ iff $\langle a(\tau), b(\tau), c(\tau) \rangle \in C_\tau$, where C_τ is a cyclical ordering on A_τ . From the Theorem 16 and the Theorem 7 in [4] there follows:

Corollary 17. *Let A_τ, B_τ be cyclically ordered sets, T finite, $A = \prod_{\tau \in T} A_\tau, B = \prod_{\tau \in T} B_\tau$ and S be a partial ordering which is the direct product of complete orderings S'_τ corresponding to C_τ by the proof of the Theorem 16. Let φ be a homomorphic mapping of A onto B preserving binary operations supremum and infimum of the partial ordering S . Then there exists a permutation π of the index set T that $\varphi = \prod_{\tau \in T} \varphi_\tau$, where φ_τ is a homomorphic mapping of A_τ onto $B_{\pi(\tau)}$ preserving the cyclical ordering.*

References:

- [1] Andrunakievič B. A., Marin B. G. — *Multioperatornyje linějnyje algebry bez nilpotentnykh elementov* (Matematičeskije issledovanija AHMCCP, tom VI, vypusk 2, Kišiněv 1971, 3—20)
- [2] Čech E. — *Topology spaces* (Academia Praha 1959)
- [3] Grätzer G. — *Universal algebra*
- [4] Chajda I. — *Direct products of homomorphic mappings* (Archivum Math. 2, Brno 1973, (X, p. 135—139)
- [5] Lambek J. — *Lectures on rings and modules* (Blaisdell publ. company — Massachusetts. Toronto. London — 1966)

I. Chajda

750 00 Přerov, třída Lidových milicí 290

Czechoslovakia