

Archivum Mathematicum

Oldřich Kowalski

A characterization of osculating maps

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 4 (1968), No. 3, 175--185

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104664>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

A CHARACTERIZATION OF OSCULATING MAPS

By OLDŘICH KOWALSKI, Brno

Received January 24, 1968

In this paper we characterize osculating maps of higher order of a differentiable map $V_n \rightarrow \tilde{V}_m$, V_n being a simply connected manifold and \tilde{V}_m an affine space or a Lie group.

In the following, all manifolds, maps, vector bundles and their sections, respectively are supposed to be differentiable of class C^∞ .

Let V_n be a manifold of dimension n . For $p \in V_n$ let f be a local function at p such that $f(p) = 0$. Then a k -jet $j_p^k(f)$ is called a *covelocity* of order k on V_n at the point p . Let $T^{k*}(V_n)_p$ be a vector space of all covelocities of order k at p . Each linear form $X_p^{(k)}$ on $T^{k*}(V_n)_p$ is called a *vector of order k at p* . The set of all $X_p^{(k)}$ is a vector space $T_k(V_n)_p$. We put $T_k(V_n) = \bigcup_{p \in V_n} T_k(V_n)_p$.

For any k , $T_k(V_n)$ is naturally a vector bundle over V_n and $T_1(V_n) = T(V_n)$ is the tangent bundle of V_n . (See [1], [3].)

Each vector $X_p^{(k)} \in T_k(V_n)$ is a linear differential operator on V_n and, with respect to a local coordinate system (u_1, \dots, u_n) at p , it is represented uniquely in the form

$$(1) \quad X_p^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^i \partial u^j} + \dots + \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_k}}.$$

For any sequence of indices $0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n$, $i_k > 0$, we can introduce an operator $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_k}}$ putting inductively: $\frac{\partial^{l+1}}{\partial u^{i_0} \partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_l}} = \frac{\partial^l}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_l}}$ for each $l < k$, $0 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_l \leq n$, $j_l > 0$. Then (1) takes a simple form

$$(1') \quad X_p^{(k)} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n \\ i_k > 0}} a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_k}}.$$

In a coordinate neighbourhood $U \subset V_n$, the operators $\frac{\partial^k}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_k}}$, $0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n$, $i_k > 0$, form a basis of $T_k(V_n)_q$ for each $q \in U$.

On the other hand, any vector $X_p^{(k+1)} \in T_{k+1}(V_n)$ may be written in the form

$$(2) \quad X_p^{(k+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^r X_{i,p} X_i^{(k)},$$

where $X_i^{(k)}$ are suitable local sections of $T_k(V_n)$ defined at p , and $X_{i,p} \in T(V_n)_p$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. For any $l \leq k$ we have a canonical injection $I_{l,k}: T_l(V_n) \rightarrow T_k(V_n)$.

Following P. LIBERMANN, a symmetric surconnection S_k of order k on V_n is a bundle homomorphism $S_k: T_{k+1}(V_n) \rightarrow T(V_n)$ such that $S_k \circ I_{1,k+1}: T(V_n) \rightarrow T(V_n) =$ the identity map. (See [2].) It is easy to check that a symmetric surconnection $S_1: T_2(V_n) \rightarrow T(V_n)$ is an ordinary linear connection ∇ on V_n the torsion form of which vanishes. (See [3], p. 158.) Further, the successive iterations ∇^k of ∇ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) determine a sequence of symmetric surconnections S_k of orders $k = 1, 2, \dots$, if, and only if, the curvature form of ∇ vanishes, too. In this case, we can define the sequence $\{S_k\}$ by induction: for $X_p^{(k+1)} \in T_{k+1}(V_n)$,

$$X_p^{(k+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^r X_{i,p} X_i^{(k)}, \text{ we put}$$

$$(3) \quad S_k X_p^{(k+1)} = \sum_{i=1}^r \nabla_{X_{i,p}} (S_{k-1} X_i^{(k)}),$$

It must be shown that (3) does not depend on a representation of $X_p^{(k+1)}$ in the form (2). But this is just guaranteed by vanishing of both torsion and curvature forms of ∇ . (The proof is routine and will be omitted.) For $l \leq k$, S_k is a prolongation of S_l , i.e., $S_l = S_k \circ I_{l+1,k+1}$ on $T_{l+1}(V_n)$.

Note 1. If the curvature of ∇ is non-zero, the successive iterations ∇^k define a sequence $\{\tilde{S}_k\}$ of *semiholonomic surconnections*; see [2].

Note 2. On a paracompact V_n , there are symmetric surconnections of any order k . In fact, we can construct such a surconnection on each coordinate neighbourhood of V_n and then use a C^∞ -partition of unity subjected to a locally finite atlas of V_n .

A differentiable map $\varphi: V_n \rightarrow \tilde{V}_m$ induces canonically a sequence $\{T_k(\varphi): T_k(V_n) \rightarrow T_k(\tilde{V}_m)\}$ of bundle morphisms such that all the

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_k(V_n) & \xrightarrow{T_k(\varphi)} & T_k(\tilde{V}_m) \\ \pi_k \downarrow & \varphi & \tilde{\pi}_k \downarrow \\ V_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \tilde{V}_m \end{array}$$

now $\tilde{V}_m = A^m$, an affine space of dimension m . Let us denote by W^m the associated vector space of A^m and for each $x \in A^m$ let $\omega_x: T(A^m)_x \rightarrow$

$\rightarrow W^m$ be the canonical isomorphism. The maps ω_x , $x \in A^m$, determine a vector form ω on A^m , $\omega : T(A^m) \rightarrow W^m$.

In A^m , there is a canonical flat connection ∇ . Its successive iterations determine a canonical sequence $\{S_k\}$ of symmetric surconnections on A^m . In a linear coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_m) of A^m , each S_k , $k \geq 1$, may be represented as follows: for $X_p^{(k+1)} \in T_{k+1}(A^m)$, $X_p^{(k+1)} = \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} \cdot \frac{\partial^{k+1}}{\partial x^{i_1} \dots \partial x^{i_{k+1}}}$, $0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_{k+1} \leq m$, $i_{k+1} > 0$ we have $S_k(X_p^{(k+1)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{0 \dots 0i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ = the first order part of $X_p^{(k+1)}$. For $k = 0$ we put $S_0 : T(A^m) \rightarrow T(A^m)$ = the identity map. Let $\varphi : V_n \rightarrow A^m$ be a smooth map. For any $k \geq 1$, we shall denote by $\varphi_k^* : T_k(V_n) \rightarrow W^m$ the compositions of maps of the sequence

$$(4) \quad T_k(V_n) \xrightarrow{T_k(\varphi)} T_k(A^m) \xrightarrow{S_{k-1}} T(A^m) \xrightarrow{\omega} W^m.$$

We can see that any φ_k^* is a composition of a bundle morphism $\tilde{\varphi}_k : T_k(V_n) \rightarrow V_n \times W^m$ and a canonical projection $\text{pr}_2 : V_n \times W^m \rightarrow W^m$. In the regular case there is an index s such that φ_s is a bundle epimorphism. If (f_1, \dots, f_m) is a basis of W_m corresponding to a linear coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^m) , we have

$$(5) \quad \varphi_k^*(X_p^{(k)}) = \sum_{i=1}^m [X_p^{(k)}(x^i \circ \varphi)] \cdot f_i.$$

For any $l > k$, $\varphi_l^* = \varphi_k^*$ holds on the bundle $T_k(V_n)$ and hence it is possible to omit k . From (5) we obtain immediately

$$(6) \quad \varphi^*(X_p X^{(k)}) = X_p \varphi^*(X^{(k)}). \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots)$$

(Here $\varphi^*(X^{(k)})$ is to be considered as a local vector function on V_n with values in W^m .) Therefore, if $\varphi^*(X^{(k)}) = \text{const.}$ for a local section $X^{(k)}$ of the bundle $T_k(V_n)$, we have $\varphi^*(X_p X^{(k)}) = 0$.

Our task is to prove the converse: *in the regular case, the last property is characteristic for the maps φ_k^* .*

Theorem 1. *Let V_n be a simply connected manifold and $s \geq 1$ an integer. Let be given a map $\Phi : T_{s+1}(V_n) \rightarrow W^m$ of the form $\Phi = \text{pr}_2 \circ \tilde{\Phi}$, where $\tilde{\Phi} : T_{s+1}(V_n) \rightarrow V_n \times W^m$ is a bundle morphism and $\text{pr}_2 : V_n \times W^m \rightarrow W^m$ is a canonical projection. Suppose that*

- a) *the restriction of $\tilde{\Phi}$ to the subbundle $T_s(V_n)$ is a bundle epimorphism,*
- b) *if $X_p \in T(V_n)$ and $X^{(s)}$ is a local section of $T_s(V_n)$ defined at p such that $\Phi(X^{(s)}) = \text{const.}$, then $\Phi(X_p X^{(s)}) = 0$. Under these assumptions*

there is exactly one map $\varphi: V_n \rightarrow A^m$ satisfying initial condition $\varphi(p) = x$ and such that $\varphi^* = \Phi$ on $T(V_n)$. Moreover, we have $\varphi^* = \Phi$ on the whole bundle $T_{s+1}(V_n)$.

Proof. Let be given $p \in V_n$ and a basis (f_1, \dots, f_m) of W^m . Denote by ν the dimension of a fibre of $T_s(V_n)$. As Φ induces a bundle epimorphism $T_s(V_n) \rightarrow V_n \times W^m$, the following assertion may be easily verified: there is a coordinate neighbourhood $U(u_1, \dots, u_m)$ at p and local sections $X_1^{(s)}, \dots, X_\nu^{(s)}$ of $T_s(V_n)$ over U such that (i) the vectors $X_{1,p}^{(s)}, \dots, X_{\nu,p}^{(s)}$ are linearly independent, (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(X_i^{(s)}) &= f_i, & i &= 1, 2, \dots, m \\ \Phi(X_i^{(s)}) &= 0, & i &= m + 1, \dots, \nu\end{aligned}$$

identically on U .

Put

$$X_{i,q}^{(s)} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_s \leq n \\ i_s > 0}} a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s} \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, \nu,$$

then the determinant $|a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s}| \neq 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} X_i^{(s)} &= \sum \left\{ \frac{\partial a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s}}{\partial u^k} \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right) + a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s} \left(\frac{\partial^{s+1}}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^k \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right) \right\}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} \Phi(X_i^{(s)}) &= \sum \left\{ \frac{\partial a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s}}{\partial u^k} \Phi \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} \Phi \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right) \right\} = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and according to the assumption **b** of the Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} X_i^{(s)} \right) &= \sum \left\{ \frac{\partial a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s}}{\partial u^k} \Phi \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s} \Phi \left(\frac{\partial^{s+1}}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^k \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right) \right\} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have, for any $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots, \nu$,

$$\sum a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s} \left\{ \Phi_p \left(\frac{\partial^{s+1}}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^k \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} \right)_p \Phi \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right) \right\} = 0.$$

In view of $|a_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{i_1 \dots i_s}| \neq 0$,

$$\Phi \left(\frac{\partial^{s+1}}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^k \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} \Phi \left(\frac{\partial^s}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_s}} \right)$$

for any sequence $0 \leq i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \dots \leq k \leq \dots \leq i_s \leq n$, $k > 0$. Hence we obtain easily

$$(7) \quad \Phi(X_p X^{(s)}) = X_p \Phi(X^{(s)})$$

for any vector $X_p \in T(V_n)$ and any local section $X^{(s)}$ of $T_s(V_n)$ defined at p .

To complete our proof we shall use the Frobenius Theorem. Put $D = V_n \times A^m$. At each point $\alpha \in D$, $\alpha = (p, x)$ we have $T(D)_\alpha = T(V_n)_p + T(A^m)_x$. We shall construct on D a differentiable distribution Δ_α of dimension n as follows: for any $\alpha \in D$, $\alpha = (p, x)$, let $\Delta_\alpha \subset T(D)_\alpha$ be a linear subspace of all vectors of the form $X_p + \omega_x^{-1} \Phi(X_p)$, $X_p \in T(V_n)_p$. The distribution Δ_α is involutive. In fact, let $\pi: D \rightarrow V_n$ be a canonical projection. For any $\alpha = (p, x) \in D$, there are linearly independent vector fields X_1, \dots, X_n defined on a neighbourhood $U \ni p$. Then the vector fields $\tilde{X}_{i,\beta} = X_{i,q} + \omega_y^{-1} \Phi(X_{i,q})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, generate the distribution Δ_β , $\beta = (q, y)$, on a neighbourhood $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of α . It suffices to prove that $[\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j]_\alpha$ belongs to Δ_α . But since \tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j do not depend essentially on y , we have $\omega_x^{-1} \Phi(X_{i,p}) \tilde{X}_j = 0$, $\omega_x^{-1} \Phi(X_{j,p}) \tilde{X}_i = 0$, and hence $[\tilde{X}_i, \tilde{X}_j]_\alpha = [X_i, X_j]_p + X_{j,p} \omega_y^{-1} \Phi(X_{i,q}) - X_{i,p} \omega_y^{-1} \Phi(X_{j,p}) = [X_i, X_j]_p + \omega_x^{-1} \{X_{i,p} \Phi(X_j) - X_{j,p} \Phi(X_i)\} = [X_i, X_j]_p + \omega_x^{-1} \Phi([X_i, X_j]_p)$, according to (7).

There is only one maximal integral manifold \tilde{V}_n of the distribution Δ_α , passing through a prescribed point $\alpha_0 \in D$. Then for any $\alpha \in \tilde{V}_n$, $\alpha = (p, x)$, we have $d\pi[T(\tilde{V}_n)_\alpha] = d\pi(\Delta_\alpha) = T(V_n)_p$. Hence π is a local diffeomorphism. Since Δ is invariant with respect to all transformations of D of the form $(q, y) \rightarrow (q, y + a)$, \tilde{V}_n is a covering space of V_n . As V_n is simply connected, π is a diffeomorphism. If $\rho: D \rightarrow A^m$ is a canonical projection, we obtain a map $\varphi: V_n \rightarrow A^m$, $\varphi = \rho \circ \pi^{-1}$. Here $d\varphi(X_p) = \omega_{\varphi(p)}^{-1} \Phi(X_p)$ for any $X_p \in T(V_n)_p$ and consequently, in view of (4), $\Phi = \omega \circ d\varphi = \omega \circ T_1(\varphi) = \varphi^*$ on $T(V_n)$. Finally, from (6), (7), we see, step by step, that $\varphi^* = \Phi$ on $T_2(V_n)$, $T_3(V_n)$, ..., $T_{s+1}(V_n)$, q.e.d.

*

As an application of Theorem 1, we can re-prove a result of KOČANDRLE (see [6]). First we shall present some concepts of [6]. Let be given a covariant tensor $t(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ of degree r on W^m . We shall denote by tS the set of all vectors $y \in W^m$ such that $t(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r) = 0$ for arbitrary vectors $x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_r$ from W^m . The intersection $S = \bigcap_{i=1}^r {}^tS$ is called the *singular space* of t . The *automorphism group of the tensor* t is the group of all transformations $g \in GL(m)$ such that $t(x_1, \dots, x_r) = t(x_1 g, \dots, x_r g)$ for each $x_1, \dots, x_r \in W^m$. It is a Lie

subgroup $G^0 \subset GL(m)$. Let be given a fixed regular tensor $t(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ on W^m , i.e., such that its singular space $S = \{0\}$. If $\varphi: V_n \rightarrow A_m$ is a map, $\varphi_k^*: T_k(V_n) \rightarrow W^m$ the induced maps given by (4), $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

we can define an r -linear form $t_k^* = t \circ (\otimes \varphi_k^*)$ on $T_k(V_n)$ for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$. For each $k > l$, t_k^* coincides with t_l^* on $T_l(V_n)$. The sequence $\{t_k^*\}$ of multilinear forms is called the *fundamental tensor of the manifold* V_n . Now, the main result of [6] is a characterization of the fundamental tensor.

Let us consider the following conditions:

I. On V_n , there is given a differentiable tensor t^* , covariant of degree r , acting at each point $p \in V_n$ on the $(k_0 + 1)$ -vectors from $T_{k_0+1}(V_n)_p$, k_0 is a given number.

Let us denote by $S_{k_0,p}, S_{k_0+1,p}$ the singular spaces of t^* on $T_{k_0}(V_n)_p$ and $T_{k_0+1}(V_n)_p$, respectively.

II. For any differentiable fields of k_0 -vectors $X_1^{(k_0)}, \dots, X_r^{(k_0)}$ and any vector $Y_p \in T(V_n)_p$, we have $Y_p t^*(X_1^{(k_0)}, \dots, X_r^{(k_0)}) = \sum_{i=1}^r t^*(X_1^{(k_0)}, \dots, X_{i-1}^{(k_0)}, Y_p X_i^{(k_0)}, X_{i+1}^{(k_0)}, \dots, X_r^{(k_0)})$.

III. $\dim T_{k_0}(V_n)_p / S_{k_0,p} = \dim T_{k_0+1}(V_n)_p / S_{k_0+1,p} = m$ for each point $p \in V_n$; $S_{k_0+1,p} \cap T(V_n)_p = \{0\}$ for each $p \in V_n$.

Let P denote the principal fibre bundle of all bases of the spaces $T_{k_0}(V_n)_p / S_{k_0,p}$, $p \in V_n$.

IV. To each point $p \in V_n$ there is a neighbourhood $U \subset V_n$ of p and a local section s of the fibre bundle P over U such that the components of t^* with respect to the basis s_q are constant functions of q on U .

V. There is a point $p \in V_n$ such that the vector space W^m with the given tensor t is isomorphic to the space $T_{k_0}(V_n)_p / S_{k_0,p}$ with the tensor t^* .

Let us introduce the abbreviations $T_{k_0} = T_{k_0}(V_n)$, $S_{k_0} = \bigcup_{q \in V_n} S_{k_0,q}$,

and similarly for the index $k_0 + 1$. From III we obtain easily a commutative diagram $S_{k_0} \longrightarrow S_{k_0+1}$ over V_n , and a canonical iso-

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_{k_0} & \longrightarrow & S_{k_0+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_{k_0} & \longrightarrow & T_{k_0+1} \end{array}$$

morphism $\sigma: T_{k_0}/S_{k_0} \rightarrow T_{k_0+1}/S_{k_0+1}$ of factor bundles. Let

$$\pi_{k_0}: T_{k_0} \rightarrow T_{k_0}/S_{k_0}, \quad \pi_{k_0+1}: T_{k_0+1} \rightarrow T_{k_0+1}/S_{k_0+1}$$

be canonical projections. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{k_0} & \xrightarrow{I_{r_0, k_0+1}} & T_{k_0+1} \\ \downarrow \pi_{k_0} & & \downarrow \pi_{k_0+1} \\ T_{k_0}/S_{k_0} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & T_{k_0+1}/S_{k_0+1} \end{array}$$

Let G_0 be the automorphism group of the tensor t on W^m . We can prove that V is satisfied at each point $q \in V_n$. Let P_q^0 be the set of all isomorphisms

$\chi_q: T_{k_0, q}/S_{k_0, q} \rightarrow W^m$ with the property V , i.e., such that $t^* = t \circ \otimes (\chi_q \circ \pi_{k_0, q})$. Then $P_0 = \bigcup_{q \in V_n} P_q^0$ is a principal fibre bundle over V_n with

the structural group G_0 . If we choose a fixed basis ϱ^w of W^m , we obtain a canonical injection $P^0 \rightarrow P$. Let be given $p \in V_n$. To each section s of P^0 over a neighbourhood $U \ni p$, we can join a matrix form ω_p^s on $T(V_n)_p$ as follows: put $s = (\xi_1^{(k_0)}, \dots, \xi_m^{(k_0)})$ over U , $\xi_i^{(k_0)}$ being local sections of T_{k_0}/S_{k_0} . Let $X_1^{(k_0)}, \dots, X_m^{(k_0)}$ be sections of T_{k_0} over U such that $\pi_{k_0} X_i^{(k_0)} = \xi_i^{(k_0)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. For any $X_p \in T(V_n)_p$ the elements $\eta_{i, p}^{(k_0+1)} = \pi_{k_0+1}(X_p X_i^{(k_0)})$, $\eta_{i, p}^{(k_0+1)} \in T_{k_0+1, p}/S_{k_0+1, p}$, do not depend on the representation of $\xi_i^{(k_0)}$ by $X_i^{(k_0)}$ and we can write

$$X_p s = \{X \xi_1^{(k_0)}, \dots, X_p \xi_m^{(k_0)}\} = \{\eta_{1, p}^{(k_0+1)}, \dots, \eta_{m, p}^{(k_0+1)}\} = \sigma[s_p \cdot \omega_p^s(X_p)],$$

where $\omega_p^s(X_p)$ is a matrix of type $m \times m$.

It may be deduced from Π that $\omega_p^s(X_p)$ belongs to the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 of (G^0) . Further, the forms $\omega_p^s(p \in V_n, s$ being a local section of P^0 defined at p) determine a connection ω in P^0 . (See [6] and, for instance [7].) Now, the last condition of the Paper [6] is the following:

VI. *The curvature form of the connection ω is equal to 0.*

The main result of [6] is the following: *if the conditions I—VI are satisfied then there is a covering manifold V'_n with the covering map $\pi: V'_n \rightarrow V_n$ and a regular map $\Psi: V'_n \rightarrow A^m$ such that we have locally $t^* = t \circ (\otimes \varphi_{k_0+1}^*)$; here $\varphi = \Psi \circ \pi^{-1}$ is a local embedding $V_n \rightarrow A^m$.*

Proof. First let us suppose that the manifold V_n is simply connected. Because the curvature form of ω vanishes, there are local horizontal sections in P_0 , and from the monodromy theorem (see [8]) follows that there is a global horizontal section $\tilde{s}: V_n \rightarrow P^0$. We have global horizontal sections in the associated fibre bundle $E = T_{k_0}/S_{k_0} \cong T_{k_0+1}/S_{k_0+1}$, too. Let $p \in V_n$ be a fixed point, $\chi: E_p \rightarrow W^m$ a fixed isomorphism such that $t^* = t \circ \otimes (\chi \circ \pi_{k_0+1, p})$ (Condition V). Let $h_q: E_q \rightarrow E_p$ be the parallel translation with respect to the connection ω . Put $\Phi_q = \chi \circ h_q \circ \pi_{k_0+1, q}$, $\Phi_q: T_{k_0+1}(V_n)_q \rightarrow W^m$, for $q \in V_n$. Then the restriction of Φ_q to $T_{k_0}(V_n)_q$ is an epimorphism. Let $X^{(k_0)}$ be a local section of $T_{k_0}(V_n)$ over a neighbourhood $U \ni q$, and $X_q \in T(V_n)_q$ a vector. Suppose that $\Phi(X^{(k_0)}) = \text{const}$. Then $\pi_{k_0} X^{(k_0)}$ is a horizontal section of E and there is a constant matrix $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ such that $\pi_{k_0} X^{(k_0)} = \tilde{s} \cdot a$. We have $\omega_q^{\tilde{s}} = 0$ because the section \tilde{s} is horizontal. Now, $\pi_{k_0+1, q}(X_q X^{(k_0)}) = X_q(\pi_{k_0} X^{(k_0)}) = X_q \tilde{s} \cdot a = \sigma[\tilde{s}_q \cdot \omega_q^{\tilde{s}}(X_q) \cdot a] = 0$; hence $\Phi(X_q X^{(k_0)}) = 0$.

The conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied and consequently, there is a map $\varphi: V_n \rightarrow A^m$ such that $\Phi = \varphi_{k_0+1}^*$ on $T_{k_0+1}(V_n)$. Since the restriction of Φ_q to $T(V_n)_q$ is a monomorphism (the second condition of III), we can see easily that φ is an immersion. Now from the construction of the principal bundle P^0 we see that, on each $T_{k_0+1}(V_n)_q$,

$$t_q^* = t \circ \left(\otimes \left(\chi \circ h_q \circ \pi_{k_0+1, q} \right) \right) = t \circ \left(\otimes \Phi_q \right) = t \circ \left(\otimes \varphi_{k_0+1, q}^* \right),$$

which proves our assertion for V_n simply connected.

In case V_n to be not simply connected, let us consider the universal covering manifold \tilde{V}_n of V_n (see [8]). Then the proof will be easily traced back to the preceding case.

*

In the second part of this Paper we shall try to generalize Theorem 1, at least in a weakened form, to the case when A^m is replaced by an arbitrary Lie group. So, let G be a Lie group, \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra. For $X_g \in T(G)$ let us denote by $\omega(X_g)$ the left invariant vector field on G determined by X_g . Then $\omega: T(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a vector form on G , each partial map $\omega_g: T(G)_g \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ being an isomorphism. Let $S_k: T_{k+1}(G) \rightarrow T(G)$ be a surconnection on G and $\varphi: V_n \rightarrow G$ a differentiable map. Then we have a sequence of maps, analogous to (4):

$$(8) \quad T_{k+1}(V_n) \xrightarrow{T_{k+1}(\varphi)} T_{k+1}(G) \xrightarrow{S_k} T(G) \xrightarrow{\omega} \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let $\varphi^*: T_{k+1}(V_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ denote the composed map of the sequence.

Obviously φ^* may be written as a composition $T_{k+1}(V_n) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} V_n \times \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{pr_2} \mathfrak{g}$, of a bundle morphism and a canonical projection.

Proposition 1. *There is a map $\Psi^*: T(V_n) \otimes T_k(V_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, a composition of a bundle morphism $T(V_n) \otimes T_k(V_n) \rightarrow V_n \times \mathfrak{g}$ and the canonical projection $pr_2: V_n \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, with the following property:*

$$(9) \quad \varphi^*(X_p X^{(k)}) = X_p \varphi^*(X^{(k)}) + \Psi^*(X_p \otimes X_p^{(k)})$$

for any vector $X_p \in T(V_n)$ and any local section $X^{(k)}$ of $T_k(V_n)$ defined at p .

Proof. Let be given $X_p \in T(V_n)_p$, $X_p^{(k)} \in T_k(V_n)_p$. Let $X^{(k)}$ be a local section of $T_k(V_n)$ passing through $X_p^{(k)}$. It suffices to prove that the expression $\varphi^*(X_p X^{(k)}) - X_p \varphi^*(X^{(k)})$ depends on X_p , $X_p^{(k)}$ only and that it is linear in each argument. Choose a local coordinate system (u_1, \dots, u_n) at p and put

$$X_p = \sum_{i=1}^n a^i \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \quad X^{(k)} = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n \\ i_k > 0}} a^{i_1, \dots, i_k}(q) \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_k}}$$

Then

$$X_p X^{(k)} = \sum \left\{ a^i \frac{\partial a^{i_1 \dots i_k}}{\partial u^i} \cdot \frac{\partial^k}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_k}} + a^i a^{i_1 \dots i_k}(p) \frac{\partial^{k+1}}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^i \dots \partial u^{i_k}} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(X_p X^{(k)}) - X_p \varphi^*(X^{(k)}) &= \sum a^i a^{i_1 \dots i_k}(p) \left\{ \varphi_p^* \left(\frac{\partial^{k+1}}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^i \dots \partial u^{i_k}} \right) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \right)_p \varphi^* \left(\frac{\partial^k}{\partial u^{i_1} \dots \partial u^{i_k}} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves our assertion.

Proposition 2. For any $X_p, Y_p \in T(V_n)_p$ we have $\Psi^*(X_p \otimes Y_p - Y_p \otimes X_p) = [\varphi^*(X_p), \varphi^*(Y_p)]$, $[\ , \]$ being the bracket operation in the algebra \mathfrak{g} .

Proof. Let us remind the equations $d\omega = -1/2[\omega, \omega]$, $d\omega(X, Y) = X\omega(Y) - Y\omega(X) - \omega([X, Y])$, where $\omega: T(G) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is the canonical form. (See [5], for instance). These equations are still valid if we substitute the form ω by the form $\omega' = \omega \circ d\varphi$, $d\varphi: T(V_n) \rightarrow T(G)$ being the tangent map of φ . According to (8), we have $\omega' = \varphi^*$ on $T(V_n)$. Let X, Y be local tangent fields at p passing through X_p, Y_p , respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(X_p Y) - \varphi^*(Y_p X) &= \varphi^*([X, Y]_p) = X_p \omega'(Y) - Y_p \omega'(X) - \\ - d\omega'(X_p, Y_p) &= X_p \omega'(Y) - Y_p \omega'(X) + [\omega'(X_p), \omega'(Y_p)] = \\ &= X_p \varphi^*(Y) - Y_p \varphi^*(X) + [\varphi^*(X_p), \varphi^*(Y_p)]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\varphi^*(X_p Y) - \varphi^*(Y_p X) = X_p \varphi^*(Y) + \Psi^*(X_p \otimes Y_p) - Y_p \varphi^*(X) - \Psi^*(Y_p \otimes X_p).$$

This proves our assertion.

Theorem 2. Let V_n be a simply connected manifold, G a Lie group with the algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let be given differentiable maps $\Phi: T_{s+1}(V_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$; $\psi: T(V_n) \otimes T_s(V_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, which are compositions of bundle morphisms $\tilde{\Phi}: T_{s+1}(V_n) \rightarrow V_n \times \mathfrak{g}$, $\tilde{\psi}: T(V_n) \otimes T_s(V_n) \rightarrow V_n \times \mathfrak{g}$, respectively and of the canonical projection $pr_2: V_n \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Suppose that

- the restriction of $\tilde{\Phi}$ to the subbundle $T_s(V_n)$ is a bundle epimorphism,
- if $X_p \in T(V_n)$ and $X^{(s)}$ is a local section of $T_s(V_n)$ defined at p such that $\tilde{\Phi}(X^{(s)}) = \text{const.}$, then $\Phi(X_p X^{(s)}) = \psi(X_p \otimes X_p^{(s)})$,
- for any two vectors $X_p, Y_p \in T(V_n)$ we have

$$\psi(X_p \otimes Y_p - Y_p \otimes X_p) = [\Phi(X_p), \Phi(Y_p)].$$

Then there is exactly one map $\varphi: V_n \rightarrow G$ satisfying initial condition $\varphi(p) = g$ and such that $\Phi = \omega \circ d\varphi$ on $T(V_n)$.

Proof. An argument like that in the proof of Theorem 1 shows that

$$(10) \quad \Phi(X_p X^{(s)}) = X_p \Phi(X^{(s)}) + \psi(X_p \otimes X_p^{(s)})$$

for any vector $X_p \in T(V_n)$ and any local section $X^{(s)}$ of $T_s(V_n)$ defined at p . Let us define a distribution Δ_α on $D = V_n \times G$ as follows: if $\alpha = (p, g) \in D$, then Δ_α consists of all vectors of the form $X_p + \omega_g^{-1} \Phi(X_p)$, $X_p \in T(V_n)_p$. For two vector fields $\tilde{X}_\beta = X_q + \omega_h^{-1} \Phi(X_q)$, $\tilde{Y}_\beta = Y_q + \omega_h^{-1} \Phi(Y_q)$ belonging to Δ in a neighbourhood of $\alpha = (p, g)$ we obtain $[\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}]_\alpha = [X, Y]_p + \omega_g^{-1} X_p \Phi(Y) - \omega_g^{-1} Y_p \Phi(X) + \omega_g^{-1} [\Phi(X_p), \Phi(Y_p)] = [X, Y]_p + \omega_g^{-1} \Phi([X, Y]_p)$. It is a consequence of (10) and of the assumption c) of the Theorem. Thus the distribution Δ is involutive. The rest of the proof is the same as at Theorem 1.

Note 3. If the restriction of $\tilde{\Phi}$ to the subbundle $T(V_n)$ is a monomorphism, then φ is an immersion.

*

There is an interesting special case when we may prove a stronger result, an exact analog of Theorem 1. It is the case when $[[X, Y], Z] = 0$ for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. As known, on any Lie group G there is exactly one connection ∇ with the following properties:

- a) The geodesics of ∇ are the integral curves of left invariant vector fields on G ,
- b) the torsion form $T(X, Y) = 0$. (See [5], Chapter 6.) We have

$$(11) \quad \nabla_{X_g} Y = \omega_g^{-1} \left\{ X_g \omega(Y) + \frac{1}{2} [\omega(X_g), \omega(Y_g)] \right\}$$

for any vector $X_g \in T(G)_g$ and any vector field Y at g . Finally, the curvature form is given by $R(X, Y, Z) = 1/4[[X, Y], Z]$. In our special case we have $R(X, Y, Z) = 0$ and consequently, the iterations ∇^k generate a canonical sequence $\{S_k\}$ of symmetric surconnections on G . According to (3), (11) we have

$$(12) \quad S_k(X_g X^{(k)}) = \nabla_{X_g} S_{k-1}(X^{(k)}) = \omega_g^{-1} \left\{ X_g [\omega \circ S_{k-1}](X^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2} [\omega(X_g), [\omega \circ S_{k-1}](X_g^{(k)})] \right\}$$

for $k \geq 2$.

Now, for any differentiable map $\varphi: V_n \rightarrow G$ and any $k \geq 0$ we can define a map $\varphi_{k+1}^*: T_{k+1}(V_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ by $\varphi_{k+1}^* = \omega \circ S_k \circ T_{k+1}(\varphi)$. (Here $\varphi_1^* = \omega \circ T_1(\varphi)$.) From (11), (12) we get a formula

$$(13) \quad \varphi^*(X_p X^{(k)}) = X_p \varphi^*(X^{(k)}) + \frac{1}{2} [\varphi^*(X_p), \varphi^*(X_p^{(k)})].$$

If the mapping $\psi: T(V_n) \otimes T_s(V_n) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ introduced in Theorem 2 is given by $\psi(X_p \otimes X_p^{(s)}) = 1/2[\Phi(X_p), \Phi(X_p^{(s)})]$, the condition **c** is fulfilled. From Theorem 2 and (10), (13), we obtain the following result: *there is exactly one map $\varphi: V_n \rightarrow G$ satisfying an initial condition and such that $\varphi^* = \Phi$ on $T(V_n)$. Moreover, we have $\varphi^* = \Phi$ on the whole $T_{s+1}(V_n)$.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Ch. Ehresmann, *Les prolongements d'une variété différentiable*, I, C.R.Ac.Sc., Paris, t. 233, (1951), p. 598.
- [2] P. Libermann, *Surconnexions — propriétés générales*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 258 (1964), p. 6327.
- [3] P. Libermann, *Sur la géométrie des prolongements des espaces fibrés vectoriels*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 14, 1 (1964), 145—172.
- [4] S. Sasaki, *A global formulation of the fundamental theorem of the theory of surfaces in three-dimensional Euclidean space*. Nagoya Mat. J., 13, 69—82, 1958.
- [5] R. L. Bishop, R. J. Crittenden, *Geometry of manifolds*, Academic Press New York and London, 1964.
- [6] M. Kočandrlje, *Differential geometry of submanifolds in affine space with tensor structure*, Czech. Math. Journal, 17 (92) 1967, Praha, p. 434—446.
- [7] A. Lichnerowicz, *Théorie globale des connexions et de groupes d'holonomie*. Ed. Cremonese, Roma, 1955.
- [8] C. Chevalley, *Theory of Lie groups I*, Princeton, 1946.

Department of Mathematics

Technical Highschool, Brno, Barvičova 85.