

Aplikace matematiky

František Rublík

Correction to the paper “On the two-sided quality control”

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 34 (1989), No. 6, 425–428

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/104372>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

CORRECTION TO THE PAPER
“ON THE TWO-SIDED QUALITY CONTROL”

FRANTIŠEK RUBLÍK

(Received April 16, 1986)

Summary. The correction consists of deriving correct explicit formulas for MLE of parameters μ , σ of the normal distribution under the hypothesis $\mu + c\sigma \leq m + \delta$, $\mu - c\sigma \geq m - \delta$.

Formulas (4)–(6) for computation of the maximum likelihood estimator T_n under the hypothesis H are in the paper “On the two-sided quality control” (Apl. Mat. 27 (1982), pp. 87–95) wrong, and their correct form is as follows.

(I) If $(\bar{x}, s) \in H_A$, then

$$(4) \quad M_n(x^{(n)}) = \bar{x} \quad D_n(x^{(n)}) = s$$

(II) Let $(\bar{x}, s)' \notin H_A$. Let us denote

$$\hat{\sigma} = \frac{c_A(\bar{x} - m - \delta)}{2} + [s^2 + (\bar{x} - m - \delta)^2 (1 + c_A^2/4)]^{1/2}$$

and for $\bar{x} \geq m$ put

$$(5) \quad M_n(x^{(n)}) = m + \delta - c_A D_n(x^{(n)}) \quad D_n(x^{(n)}) = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{\delta}{c_A}, \hat{\sigma} \right\}, & \bar{x} + c_A \hat{\sigma} \geq m + \delta \\ \frac{m + \delta - \bar{x}}{c_A}, & \bar{x} + c_A \hat{\sigma} < m + \delta \end{cases}$$

If $\bar{x} < m$, we denote

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{c_A(m - \delta - \bar{x})}{2} + [s^2 + (m - \delta - \bar{x})^2 (1 + c_A^2/4)]^{1/2}$$

and put

$$(6) \quad M_n(x^{(n)}) = m - \delta + c_A D_n(x^{(n)}) \quad D_n(x^{(n)}) = \begin{cases} \min \left\{ \frac{\delta}{c_A}, \tilde{\sigma} \right\}, & \bar{x} - c_A \tilde{\sigma} \leq m - \delta \\ \frac{\bar{x} - m + \delta}{c_A}, & \bar{x} - c_A \tilde{\sigma} > m - \delta. \end{cases}$$

In this notation for $T_n = (M_n, D_n)'$ Theorem 1 of the paper is true. The convergence $T_n \rightarrow \theta = (\mu, \sigma)'$ holds whenever $\mu + c_A \sigma \leq m + \delta$, $\mu - c_A \sigma \geq m - \delta$ and since proof of (8) and (9) of the paper is connected with (4)–(6) by Theorem 2 only through consistency of the MLE, it is sufficient to prove, that for $s > 0$

$$(7) \quad f_{T_n}^{(n)}(x^{(n)}) = L(x^{(n)}, H_A).$$

For this purpose we denote

$$\lambda(\mu, \sigma) = \log f_{(\mu, \sigma)'}^{(n)}(x^{(n)})$$

where log stands for logarithm to the base e . Formulas (10) of the paper yield

$$(11) \quad \lambda(\cdot, \sigma) \text{ is increasing on } (-\infty, \bar{x}) \text{ and decreasing on } \langle \bar{x}, +\infty \rangle$$

$$(12) \quad \lambda(\bar{x}, \cdot) \text{ is increasing on } (0, s) \text{ and decreasing on } \langle s, +\infty \rangle.$$

Let $(\bar{x}, s)' \notin H_A$. Assume at first that

$$\bar{x} \geq m.$$

If $(\mu, \sigma)' \in H_A$, then $\sigma \in (0, \delta/c_A)$ and the inequalities $\mu \leq m + \delta - c_A \sigma < m + \delta$ imply, that for $\bar{x} \geq m + \delta$

$$(13) \quad \log L(x^{(n)}, H_A) = \sup \left\{ \lambda(m + \delta - c_A \sigma, \sigma); 0 < \sigma \leq \frac{\delta}{c_A} \right\}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(m + \delta - c_A \sigma, \sigma) &= -\frac{n}{2} \log 2\pi - n \log \sigma - \frac{n}{2\sigma^2} [s^2 + (\bar{x} - m - \delta + c_A \sigma)^2] \\ \frac{\partial \lambda(m + \delta - c_A \sigma, \sigma)}{\partial \sigma} &= \frac{n}{\sigma^3} \xi(\sigma), \quad \xi(\sigma) = -\sigma^2 + \sigma c_A (\bar{x} - m - \delta) + s^2 + \\ &\quad + (\bar{x} - m - \delta)^2 \end{aligned}$$

The quadratic equation $\xi(\sigma) = 0$ has the only positive root $\hat{\sigma}$. Since it has also a negative root, ξ is positive on $(0, \hat{\sigma})$, negative on $(\hat{\sigma}, +\infty)$ and

$$(14) \quad g(\sigma) = \lambda(m + \delta - c_A \sigma, \sigma) \text{ is increasing on } (0, \hat{\sigma}) \text{ and decreasing on } \langle \hat{\sigma}, +\infty \rangle$$

for all values of \bar{x} . It is obvious from this and (13), that (7) is correct, if $\bar{x} \geq m + \delta$.

Let

$$m \leq \bar{x} < m + \delta.$$

A straightforward application of (11) and (12) leads to

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sup \{ \lambda(\mu, \sigma); (\mu, \sigma)' \in H_A, \mu \geq \bar{x} \} &= \sup \{ \lambda(\bar{x}, \sigma); (\bar{x}, \sigma)' \in H_A \} = \\ &= \sup \left\{ \lambda(\bar{x}, \sigma); 0 < \sigma \leq \frac{m + \delta - \bar{x}}{c_A} \right\} = \lambda \left(\bar{x}, \frac{m + \delta - \bar{x}}{c_A} \right) \end{aligned}$$

because $(\bar{x}, s)' \notin H_A$ and

$$s > \frac{m + \delta - \bar{x}}{c_A}.$$

Let $(\mu, \sigma)' \in H_A$ and $\mu \leq \bar{x}$. If $(\sigma \leq (m + \delta - \bar{x})/c_A)$, then $\lambda(\mu, \sigma) \leq \lambda(\bar{x}, \sigma) \leq \lambda(\bar{x}, (m + \delta - \bar{x})/c_A)$. If $(m + \delta - \bar{x})/c_A \leq \sigma \leq \delta/c_A$, then $\mu \leq m + \delta - c_A\sigma \leq \bar{x}$ and therefore $\lambda(\mu, \sigma) \leq \lambda(m + \delta - c_A\sigma, \sigma)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \{ \lambda(\mu, \sigma); (\mu, \sigma)' \in H_A, \mu \leq \bar{x} \} = \\ & = \sup \left\{ \lambda(m + \delta - c_A\sigma, \sigma); \frac{m + \delta - \bar{x}}{c_A} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{\delta}{c_A} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (15) and (14) we obtain that (7) holds if $\bar{x} \geq m$. Since the rest of the proof can be performed similarly, we present here a brief sketch only.

If $\bar{x} \leq m - \delta$, then

$$\log L(x^{(n)}, H_A) = \sup \left\{ \lambda(m - \delta + c_A\sigma, \sigma); 0 < \sigma \leq \frac{\delta}{c_A} \right\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \lambda(m - \delta + c_A\sigma, \sigma)}{\partial \sigma} &= \frac{n}{\sigma^3} \eta(\sigma), \eta(\sigma) = -\sigma^2 + \sigma c_A(m - \delta - \bar{x}) + s^2 + \\ &+ (m - \delta - \bar{x})^2 \end{aligned}$$

The equation $\eta(\sigma) = 0$ has the only positive root $\tilde{\sigma}$ and

(16) $h(\sigma) = \lambda(m - \delta + c_A\sigma, \sigma)$ is increasing on $(0, \tilde{\sigma})$ and decreasing on $(\tilde{\sigma}, +\infty)$

for all values of \bar{x} . This means, that (7) holds, if $\bar{x} \leq m - \delta$. If $m - \delta < \bar{x} < m$, then

$$\log L(x^{(n)}, H_A) = \sup \left\{ \lambda(m - \delta + c_A\sigma, \sigma); \frac{\bar{x} - m + \delta}{c_A} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{\delta}{c_A} \right\}$$

which together with (16) and (6) yields (7).

Súhrn

OPRAVA ČLÁNKU „O DVOJSTRANNEJ KONTROLE KVALITY“

FRANTIŠEK RUBLÍK

V oprave si správne odvodené explicitné výrazy pre odhad maximálnej vierohodnosti parametrov μ, σ normálneho rozdelenia za predpokladu platnosti hypotézy $\mu + c\sigma \leq m + \delta, \mu - c\sigma \geq m - \delta$.

Резюме

ИСПРАВЛЕНИЕ СТАТЬИ „О ДВУСТОРОННЕМ КОНТРОЛЕ КАЧЕСТВА“

FRANTIŠEK RUBLÍK

В исправлении правильно выведены явные выражения для оценки максимального правдоподобия параметров μ , σ нормального распределения при предположении, что имеет место гипотеза

$$\mu + c\sigma \leq m + \delta, \mu - c\sigma \geq m - \delta.$$

Author's address: RNDr. František Rublík, CSc., Ústav merania a meracej techniky CEFV SAV, Dúbravská cesta 9, 842 19 Bratislava.