

El Said El Shinnawy

The Euclidean plane kinematics

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 24 (1979), No. 2, 105–117

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103787>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE EUCLIDEAN PLANE KINEMATICS

EL SAID EL SHINNAWY

(Received April 22, 1977)

In his paper [1], A. Karger devoted himself to the study of the kinematic geometry in homogeneous spaces, the groups of motions of which are special 3-dimensional Lie groups. In what follows, I restrict myself to the Euclidean plane, but I am going to show a method leading to the solution of the equivalence problem for all Lie groups of motions. Besides this, I present all transitive one-parametric systems of motions in E^2 .

Let E^2 denote the Euclidean plane, let G be the Lie group of direct isometries of E^2 onto itself. By a motion we will understand a mapping $g : J \rightarrow G$, $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ being an interval. Our first problem may be formulated as follows: Let $g, \tilde{g} : J \rightarrow G$ be two motions; we have to find conditions which ensure the equivalence of g, \tilde{g} , i.e., the existence of a direct isometry $\sigma : E^2 \rightarrow E^2$ such that $\sigma \circ g(t) = \tilde{g}(t)$ for each $t \in J$. We will solve this problem by constructing an invariant parameter and two "curvatures" on g ; the desired condition will be then reduced to the equality of invariant parameters and curvatures. As a by-product, we will present a complete list of motions with constant curvatures.

Let $\{M, e_1, e_2\}$ be an orthonormal frame in E^2 . Then a general direct isometry $g \in G$ is given by

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} g(M) &= M + ae_1 + be_2, \\ g(e_1) &= \cos \alpha \cdot e_1 - \sin \alpha \cdot e_2, \\ g(e_2) &= \sin \alpha \cdot e_1 + \cos \alpha \cdot e_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we may identify the group G with the subgroup of $GL(3, \mathbb{R})$ of matrices of the form

$$(2) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & \cos \alpha & -\sin \alpha \\ 0 & \sin \alpha & \cos \alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} is then, as is easy to see, identified with the Lie algebra of matrices of the form

$$(3) \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & da/dt & db/dt \\ 0 & 0 & -d\alpha/dt \\ 0 & d\alpha/dt & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

let

$$(4) \quad V_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

be its basis. Then

$$(5) \quad [V_1, V_2] = 0, \quad [V_1, V_3] = -V_2, \quad [V_2, V_3] = V_1.$$

Now, let $g : J \rightarrow G$, $g = g(t)$ be a motion. Then we get a mapping $v : J \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ given by

$$(6) \quad v(t) = g(t)^{-1} \frac{dg(t)}{dt}.$$

Taking into consideration (1), the motion $g(t)$ is represented by the equations

$$(7) \quad \alpha = \alpha(t), \quad a = a(t), \quad b = b(t); \quad t \in J.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(8) \quad v(t) = \left(\frac{da}{dt} - b \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \right) V_1 + \left(\frac{db}{dt} + a \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \right) V_2 + \frac{d\alpha}{dt} V_3.$$

With the second motion $\tilde{g} : J \rightarrow G$, we associate similarly the mapping \tilde{v} . Let $\text{In}(\mathfrak{G})$ denote the Lie group of inner automorphisms of \mathfrak{G} . Then it is known that g and \tilde{g} are equivalent if and only if there is a $\Gamma_0 \in \text{In}(\mathfrak{G})$ such that $\tilde{v}(t) = \Gamma_0\{v(t)\}$ for each $t \in J$. Because the motion $g(t)$ is given, up to the equivalence, by $v(t)$, our problem reduces to the equivalence problem for two mappings $v, \tilde{v} : J \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ with respect to the group $\text{In}(\mathfrak{G})$. We are now going to solve this problem even with respect to a more general group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{G})$ of all automorphisms of \mathfrak{G} .

Let $\Gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{G})$ be given by

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma(V_1) &= a_1 V_1 + a_2 V_2 + a_3 V_3, \\ \Gamma(V_2) &= b_1 V_1 + b_2 V_2 + b_3 V_3, \\ \Gamma(V_3) &= c_1 V_1 + c_2 V_2 + c_3 V_3. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} [\Gamma(V_1), \Gamma(V_2)] &= 0, & [\Gamma(V_1), \Gamma(V_3)] &= -\Gamma(V_2), \\ [\Gamma(V_2), \Gamma(V_3)] &= \Gamma(V_1) \end{aligned}$$

we see that $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{G}) \subset \text{GL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ may be identified with the set of all matrices of the form

$$(11) \quad \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 0 \\ -a_2 & a_1 & 0 \\ c_1 & c_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & 0 \\ a_2 & -a_1 & 0 \\ c_1 & c_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } a_1^2 + a_2^2 \neq 0.$$

Of course, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{Aut}(\mathfrak{G}) \subset \mathfrak{GL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{G})$ is the set of all matrices of the form

$$(12) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ -\alpha_2 & \alpha_1 & 0 \\ \beta_1 & \beta_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is known that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{In}(\mathfrak{G})$ is the set of all homomorphisms $\text{ad } u : \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$, $u \in \mathfrak{G}$. For $u = u^1 V_1 + u^2 V_2 + u^3 V_3$, we have

$$(13) \quad \text{ad}(u)(x^1 V_1 + x^2 V_2 + x^3 V_3) = x^1 u^3 V_2 - x^2 u^3 V_1 - x^3(u^1 V_2 - u^2 V_1),$$

i.e., we may identify $\mathfrak{In}(\mathfrak{G}) \subset \mathfrak{Aut}(\mathfrak{G})$ with the set of all matrices of the form

$$(14) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & u^3 & 0 \\ -u^3 & 0 & 0 \\ u^2 & -u^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this (or by a direct calculation) we get that $\text{In}(\mathfrak{G}) \subset \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{G})$ is the group of all matrices (11) with $a_1^2 + a_2^2 = 1$, i.e., its identity component is the group of all matrices of the form

$$(15) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi_1 & \sin \psi_1 & 0 \\ -\sin \psi_1 & \cos \psi_1 & 0 \\ \psi_2 & \psi_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

First of all, let us study the mapping $v : J \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$ with respect to the group $\text{In}(\mathfrak{G})$ acting on \mathfrak{G} . By a frame of \mathfrak{G} (with respect to this group) we shall mean each triple $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ such that $v_i = \Gamma_0(V_i)$ for a $\Gamma_0 \in \text{In}(\mathfrak{G})$ and $i = 1, 2, 3$. If $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ are two frames, then there are φ, λ, μ such that

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} w_1 &= \cos \varphi \cdot v_1 + \sin \varphi \cdot v_2, \\ w_2 &= -\sin \varphi \cdot v_1 + \cos \varphi \cdot v_2, \\ w_3 &= \lambda \cdot v_1 + \mu \cdot v_2 + v_3. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{v_1(t), v_2(t), v_3(t)\}$, $t \in J$ be a field of frames. Then there are functions $\alpha_i^j(t)$ such that

$$(17) \quad \frac{dv_i(t)}{dt} = \alpha_i^j(t) \cdot v_j(t),$$

but (14) implies that (17) should have the form

$$(18) \quad \frac{dv_1}{dt} = \beta v_2, \quad \frac{dv_2}{dt} = -\beta v_1, \quad \frac{dv_3}{dt} = \gamma_1 v_1 + \gamma_2 v_2.$$

Consider $v = v(t)$, $t \in J$, and suppose of course $v(t) \neq 0$ for each $t \in J$. With each t , let us associate a frame $\{v_1(t), v_2(t), v_3(t)\}$ such that $v_3(t)$ and $v(t)$ are dependent; this can always be achieved because of (15) by a suitable choice of ψ_2, ψ_3 . Any other field of frames $\{w_1(t), w_2(t), w_3(t)\}$ with the same property is given by

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} w_1 &= \cos \varphi \cdot v_1 + \sin \varphi \cdot v_2, \\ w_2 &= -\sin \varphi \cdot v_1 + \cos \varphi \cdot v_2, \\ w_3 &= v_3. \end{aligned}$$

For $\{w_1(t), w_2(t), w_3(t)\}$, we may write equations similar to (18):

$$(20) \quad \frac{dw_1}{dt} = \tilde{\beta} w_2, \quad \frac{dw_2}{dt} = -\tilde{\beta} w_1, \quad \frac{dw_3}{dt} = \tilde{\gamma}_1 w_1 + \tilde{\gamma}_2 w_2.$$

From the equations (18)–(20) we obtain

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\varphi}{dt} \cdot \sin \varphi + \beta \cdot \sin \varphi &= \tilde{\beta} \cdot \sin \varphi, & \frac{d\varphi}{dt} \cdot \cos \varphi + \beta \cdot \cos \varphi &= \tilde{\beta} \cdot \cos \varphi, \\ \tilde{\gamma}_1 \cdot \cos \varphi - \tilde{\gamma}_2 \cdot \sin \varphi &= \gamma_1, & \tilde{\gamma}_1 \cdot \sin \varphi + \tilde{\gamma}_2 \cdot \cos \varphi &= \gamma_2. \end{aligned}$$

From (21_{3,4}) we get

$$(22) \quad \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 = \tilde{\gamma}_1^2 + \tilde{\gamma}_2^2,$$

and $\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2$ is thus an invariant of our system of frames. We have to distinguish two cases. Let us, first of all, consider the case

$$(23) \quad \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0.$$

The equations (21_{1,2}) reduce to

$$(24) \quad \frac{d\varphi}{dt} + \beta = \tilde{\beta},$$

and we may choose, at least locally, $\varphi(t)$ in such a way that $\tilde{\beta} = 0$. Thus we may associate with $v(t)$ a field of frames such that

$$(25) \quad \frac{dv_1}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{dv_2}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{dv_3}{dt} = 0,$$

i.e., $v(t)$ reduces to a fixed line and $g(t)$ may be parametrized in such a way that it becomes a one-parametric subgroup of G . Let us suppose

$$(26) \quad \gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2 \neq 0.$$

It is easy to see that we may then choose the frames restricted by the condition

$$(27) \quad \gamma_2 = 0;$$

from (21) we get

$$(28) \quad \tilde{\beta} = \beta, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_1 = \gamma_1,$$

β and γ_1 being thus the invariants of $v(t)$. From (19) we see that the condition (27) associates with $v(t)$ just one field of frames.

Let us now determine explicitly the invariants of our initial motion (7). For

$$(29) \quad A(t) = \frac{da}{dt} - b \frac{d\alpha}{dt}, \quad B(t) = \frac{db}{dt} + a \frac{d\alpha}{dt}, \quad C(t) = \frac{d\alpha}{dt},$$

(8) takes the form

$$(30) \quad v(t) = A(t) \cdot V_1 + B(t) \cdot V_2 + C(t) \cdot V_3.$$

It is not necessary to study the case $C(t) = 0$; in this case $\alpha = \text{constant}$, and our motion consists just of a group of translations. Thus we may suppose

$$(31) \quad C(t) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in J.$$

The general field of frames associated with $v(t)$ is then

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} v_1(t) &= \cos \xi(t) \cdot V_1 + \sin \xi(t) \cdot V_2, \\ v_2(t) &= -\sin \xi(t) \cdot V_1 + \cos \xi(t) \cdot V_2, \\ v_3(t) &= A(t) \cdot C(t)^{-1} \cdot V_1 + B(t) \cdot C(t)^{-1} \cdot V_2 + V_3 \end{aligned}$$

and we get

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dv_1}{dt} &= \frac{d\xi}{dt} \cdot v_2, \quad \frac{dv_2}{dt} = -\frac{d\xi}{dt} \cdot v_1, \\ \frac{dv_3}{dt} &= \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} (AC^{-1}) \cdot \cos \xi + \frac{d}{dt} (BC^{-1}) \cdot \sin \xi \right\} v_1 + \\ &+ \left\{ -\frac{d}{dt} (AC^{-1}) \cdot \sin \xi + \frac{d}{dt} (BC^{-1}) \cdot \cos \xi \right\} v_2. \end{aligned}$$

According to our previous discussion, we may choose $\xi(t)$ in such a way that

$$(34) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(AC^{-1}) \cdot \sin \xi - \frac{d}{dt}(BC^{-1}) \cdot \cos \xi = 0,$$

the functions

$$(35) \quad \frac{d\xi}{dt}, \quad \frac{d}{dt}(AC^{-1}) \cdot \cos \xi + \frac{d}{dt}(BC^{-1}) \cdot \sin \xi$$

being then the invariants of our motion. For $g(t)$ we may introduce a canonical parameter by the condition $v(t) = v_3(t)$, i.e., $C(t) = 1$; from (29) we see that $t = \alpha + \text{const.}$ From now on, let us use the canonical parameter α . Define ϱ by

$$(36) \quad \varrho = \left\{ \left(\frac{dA}{d\alpha} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{dB}{d\alpha} \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

The case $\varrho = 0$ corresponds to (23). Therefore, let us suppose $\varrho \neq 0$. To get the invariants, we have to choose

$$(37) \quad \sin \xi = \varrho^{-1} \cdot \frac{dB}{d\alpha}, \quad \cos \xi = \varrho^{-1} \cdot \frac{dA}{d\alpha}$$

and we see that the invariants (35) take the final form

$$(38) \quad J_1 = \left\{ \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\varrho^{-1} \cdot \frac{dB}{d\alpha} \right) \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d}{d\alpha} \left(\varrho^{-1} \cdot \frac{dA}{d\alpha} \right) \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2},$$

$$J_2 = \left\{ \left(\frac{dA}{d\alpha} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{dB}{d\alpha} \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Let us turn our attention to the study of motions with constant invariants. First of all, let us study the case $\varrho = J_2 = 0$.

Introduce the functions $r, s : J \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(39) \quad r(\alpha) = a(\alpha) + i b(\alpha), \quad s(\alpha) = \frac{dr(\alpha)}{d\alpha} + i r(\alpha).$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$(40) \quad J_2 = \left| \frac{ds}{d\alpha} \right|.$$

$J_2 = 0$ implies the existence of $\kappa_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$(41) \quad \frac{dr}{d\alpha} + ir = \kappa_1.$$

The general solution of this equation is then

$$(42) \quad r = \varkappa_2 e^{-i\alpha} - i\varkappa_1, \quad \varkappa_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In E^2 , introduce the coordinates (x, y) of a point X with respect to the basis $\{M, e_1, e_2\}$ by means of $X = M + xe_1 + ye_2$. By $g \in G(1)$, this point is mapped onto the point $g(X) = g(M) + xg(e_1) + yg(e_2)$; let it have coordinates x^*, y^* . Then

$$(43) \quad x^* = \cos \alpha \cdot x + \sin \alpha \cdot y + a, \quad y^* = -\sin \alpha \cdot x + \cos \alpha \cdot y + b,$$

i.e.,

$$(44) \quad z^* = e^{-i\alpha} \cdot z + r; \quad z = x + iy, \quad z^* = x^* + iy^*.$$

Thus our $g(\alpha)$ associates with the point z the point

$$(45) \quad z^* = e^{-i\alpha}(z + \varkappa_2) - i\varkappa_1.$$

Suppose that the canonical parameter is chosen in such a way that $g(0)$ is the identity mapping. Then $\varkappa_2 = i\varkappa_1$ and the motion is given by ($\varkappa = \varkappa_2$)

$$(46) \quad z^* = e^{-i\alpha}(z + \varkappa) - \varkappa.$$

Hence

$$(47) \quad |z^* + \varkappa| = |z + \varkappa|$$

and we see that the motion considered is just the rotation around the point $-\varkappa$.

Let us now consider the case

$$(48) \quad J_2 = \text{constant} \neq 0.$$

It is not difficult to see that (48) implies

$$(49) \quad J_1 = J_2^{-1} \left| \frac{d^2 s}{d\alpha^2} \right|.$$

First of all, let

$$(50) \quad J_1 = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(51) \quad s = \varrho_1 \alpha + \varrho_2; \quad \varrho_1, \varrho_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The general solution of the differential equation

$$(52) \quad \frac{dr}{d\alpha} + ir = \varrho_1 \alpha + \varrho_2$$

is

$$(53) \quad r = \varrho_3 e^{-i\alpha} - i\varrho_1 \alpha + \varrho_1 - i\varrho_2; \quad \varrho_3 \in \mathbb{C};$$

and our motion is given by (44), i.e.,

$$(54) \quad z^* = e^{-i\alpha}(z + \varrho_3) - i\varrho_1\alpha + \varrho_1 - i\varrho_2.$$

Let us suppose $g(0)$ to be identity. Then $\varrho_3 + \varrho_1 - i\varrho_2 = 0$ and (54) reduces to

$$(55) \quad z^* = e^{-i\alpha}(z + \varrho) - i\varrho_1\alpha - \varrho; \quad \varrho = \varrho_3 \in \mathbb{C};$$

of course, $J_2 = |\varrho_1|$. Let us calculate the centroids of (55). The fixed centroid is the set of points $z_0(\alpha)$ such that $(dz^*/d\alpha) = 0$, i.e., it is given by $z_0 = -\varrho_1 e^{i\alpha} - \varrho$. Because of $|z_0 + \varrho| = |\varrho_1|$, we see that it is a circle with the center $-\varrho$ and the radius J_2 . The moving centroid is then given by $z^* = e^{-i\alpha}(z_0 + \varrho) - i\varrho_1\alpha - \varrho = -i\varrho_1\alpha - \varrho - \varrho_1 - \varrho$, and it is the straight line with the equation

$$(56) \quad \bar{\varrho}_1 z + \varrho_1 \bar{z} + \varrho \bar{\varrho}_1 + \varrho_1 \bar{\varrho} + 2\varrho_1 \bar{\varrho}_1 = 0.$$

Thus our motion is produced by rolling a straight line upon a circle of radius J_2 .

Let us turn our attention to the case

$$(57) \quad J_1 = \text{constant} \neq 0, \quad J_2 = \text{constant} \neq 0.$$

The function $s(\alpha)$ should then be the solution of the differential equations (see (49))

$$(58) \quad \left| \frac{ds}{d\alpha} \right| = J_2, \quad \left| \frac{d^2s}{d\alpha^2} \right| = J_1 J_2.$$

From (58₁) we get

$$(59) \quad \frac{ds}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^2\bar{s}}{d\alpha^2} + \frac{d\bar{s}}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^2s}{d\alpha^2} = 0.$$

Multiplying this by

$$\frac{ds}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^2s}{d\alpha^2}$$

and inserting from (58), we have

$$(60) \quad J_2^2 \left(\frac{d^2s}{d\alpha^2} \right)^2 + J_1^2 J_2^2 \left(\frac{ds}{d\alpha} \right)^2 = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(61) \quad \frac{d^2s}{d\alpha^2} = -\varepsilon i J_1 \cdot \frac{ds}{d\alpha}; \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

The general solution of this equation is

$$(62) \quad s = \varepsilon i \kappa_1 J_1^{-1} e^{-\varepsilon i J_1 \alpha} + \kappa_2, \quad \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

From (58₁) we obtain

$$(63) \quad |\kappa_1| = J_2 .$$

Now, the general solution of $(dr/d\alpha) + ir = s$ (see (39)) is

$$(64) \quad r = \varepsilon \kappa_1 J_1^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon J_1)^{-1} e^{-\varepsilon i J_1 \alpha} + \kappa_3 e^{-i\alpha} - i\kappa_2 ; \quad \kappa_3 \in \mathbb{C}$$

in the case

$$(65) \quad \varepsilon J_1 \neq 1 ;$$

the case $\varepsilon J_1 = 1$ will be considered later on. The motion $g(\alpha)$ is then (see (44))

$$(66) \quad z^* = (z + \kappa_3) e^{-i\alpha} + \varepsilon \kappa_1 J_1^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon J_1)^{-1} e^{-\varepsilon i J_1 \alpha} - i\kappa_2 .$$

The condition $g(0) = \text{identity}$ implies $\kappa_3 - i\kappa_2 + \varepsilon \kappa_1 J_1^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon J_1)^{-1} = 0$, and we get

$$(67) \quad z^* = (z + \kappa_3) e^{-i\alpha} + \varepsilon \kappa_1 J_1^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon J_1)^{-1} (e^{-\varepsilon i J_1 \alpha} - 1) - \kappa_3 .$$

It is easy to see that the fixed centroid is given by

$$(68) \quad z + \kappa_3 = -\kappa_1 (1 - \varepsilon J_1)^{-1} e^{i(1 - \varepsilon J_1)\alpha} ,$$

and it is a circle C_F , its center S_F and its radius R_F being given by

$$(69) \quad S_F = -\kappa_3 , \quad R_F = J_2 |1 - \varepsilon J_1|^{-1} .$$

The moving centroid is then

$$(70) \quad z + \varepsilon \kappa_1 J_1^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon J_1)^{-1} + \kappa_3 = \varepsilon \kappa_1 J_1^{-1} e^{-\varepsilon i J_1 \alpha} ;$$

it is a circle C_M with

$$(71) \quad S_M = -\kappa_3 - \varepsilon \kappa_1 J_1^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon J_1)^{-1} , \quad R_M = J_1^{-1} J_2 .$$

It remains to consider the case $\varepsilon J_1 = 1$. Because of $J_1 > 0$, we have

$$(72) \quad J_1 = 1 , \quad \varepsilon = 1 ,$$

and (62) reduces to

$$(73) \quad s = i\kappa_1 e^{-i\alpha} + \kappa_2 , \quad \kappa_1, \kappa_2 \in \mathbb{C} .$$

Hence

$$(74) \quad r = (i\kappa_1 \alpha + \kappa_3) e^{-i\alpha} - i\kappa_2$$

and

$$(75) \quad z^* = (z + i\kappa_1 \alpha + \kappa_3) e^{-i\alpha} - i\kappa_2 .$$

The condition $g(0) = \text{identity}$ implies $\varkappa_3 - i\varkappa_2 = 0$, i.e.,

$$(76) \quad z^* = (z + i\varkappa_1\alpha + \varkappa_3) e^{-i\alpha} - \varkappa_3.$$

The fixed centroid is then

$$(77) \quad z = -i\varkappa_1\alpha + \varkappa_1 - \varkappa_3,$$

i.e., a straight line with the equation (see (63))

$$(78) \quad \bar{\varkappa}_1 z + \varkappa_1 \bar{z} - 2J_2^2 + \varkappa_1 \bar{\varkappa}_3 + \bar{\varkappa}_1 \varkappa_3 = 0.$$

The moving centroid is

$$(79) \quad z = \varkappa_1 e^{-i\alpha} - \varkappa_3,$$

and it is a circle with $S_M = -\varkappa_3$, $R_M = J_2$.

The summary of our results is contained in the following

Theorem 1. *Let $g(\alpha)$ be a motion in E^2 with constant invariants J_1, J_2 . Then it is the so-called planetary motion, i.e., it is produced by rolling a circle C_M upon a fixed circle C_F . In the case $J_2 = 0$ both circles degenerate to one point, and $g(\alpha)$ is just the rotation around this point. In the case $J_1 = 0$, $J_2 \neq 0$, C_M becomes a straight line; in the case $J_1 = \varepsilon = 1$, $J_2 \neq 0$, C_F is a straight line.*

Finally, let us study the invariants of our motion with respect to the group $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{G})$. By a frame we call now each triple $\{v_i\}$ such that $v_i = \Gamma(V_i)$, $\Gamma \in \text{Aut}(\mathfrak{G})$. With $v(t)$ let us associate a frame $\{v_1(t), v_2(t), v_3(t)\}$ such that $v_3(t)$ and $v(t)$ are dependent. Then (see (12))

$$(80) \quad \frac{dv_1}{dt} = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2, \quad \frac{dv_2}{dt} = -\alpha_2 v_1 + \alpha_1 v_2, \quad \frac{dv_3}{dt} = \beta_1 v_1 + \beta_2 v_2,$$

and the possible changes of the frames are given by

$$(81) \quad w_1 = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2, \quad w_2 = -a_2 v_1 + a_1 v_2, \quad w_3 = v_3; \quad a_1^2 + a_2^2 \neq 0.$$

For

$$(82) \quad \frac{dw_1}{dt} = \tilde{\alpha}_1 w_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_2 w_2, \quad \frac{dw_2}{dt} = -\tilde{\alpha}_2 w_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_1 w_2, \quad \frac{dw_3}{dt} = \tilde{\beta}_1 w_1 + \tilde{\beta}_2 w_2$$

we get

$$(83) \quad \frac{da_1}{dt} + a_1 \alpha_1 - a_2 \alpha_2 = a_1 \tilde{\alpha}_1 - a_2 \tilde{\alpha}_2, \quad \frac{da_2}{dt} + a_2 \alpha_1 + a_1 \alpha_2 = a_2 \tilde{\alpha}_1 + a_1 \tilde{\alpha}_2,$$

$$\beta_1 = a_1 \tilde{\beta}_1 - a_2 \tilde{\beta}_2, \quad \beta_2 = a_2 \tilde{\beta}_1 + a_1 \tilde{\beta}_2.$$

Hence

$$(84) \quad \beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 = (a_1^2 + a_2^2)(\tilde{\beta}_1^2 + \tilde{\beta}_2^2)$$

and we have to distinguish two cases. In the first case

$$(85) \quad \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$$

and $v(t)$ is situated in a fixed straight line; we have seen that this leads to a rotation. In the general case $\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 \neq 0$ and we may achieve

$$(86) \quad \beta_1 = 1, \quad \beta_2 = 0.$$

These conditions determine the frames $\{v_i(t)\}$ uniquely, and $\alpha_1(t)$, $\alpha_2(t)$ are the invariants of our motion. Let us suppose (30) and

$$(87) \quad \begin{aligned} v_1(t) &= a_1 V_1 + a_2 V_2, & v_2(t) &= -a_2 V_1 + a_1 V_2, \\ v_3(t) &= AC^{-1} \cdot V_1 + BC^{-1} \cdot V_2 + V_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(88) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dv_1}{dt} &= (a_1^2 + a_2^2)^{-1} \left\{ \left(a_1 \frac{da_1}{dt} + a_2 \frac{da_2}{dt} \right) v_1 + \left(a_1 \frac{da_2}{dt} - a_2 \frac{da_1}{dt} \right) v_2 \right\}, \\ \frac{dv_2}{dt} &= (a_1^2 + a_2^2)^{-1} \left\{ \left(a_2 \frac{da_1}{dt} - a_1 \frac{da_2}{dt} \right) v_1 + \left(a_1 \frac{da_1}{dt} + a_2 \frac{da_2}{dt} \right) v_2 \right\}, \\ \frac{dv_3}{dt} &= (a_1^2 + a_2^2)^{-1} \left\{ a_1 \frac{d(AC^{-1})}{dt} + a_2 \frac{d(BC^{-1})}{dt} \right\} v_1 + \\ &\quad + (a_1^2 + a_2^2)^{-1} \left\{ -a_2 \frac{d(AC^{-1})}{dt} + a_1 \frac{d(BC^{-1})}{dt} \right\} v_2. \end{aligned}$$

In the general case

$$(89) \quad \left(\frac{d(AC^{-1})}{dt} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d(BC^{-1})}{dt} \right)^2 \neq 0;$$

of course, we are going to study just this case. The conditions (86) determine a_1 , a_2 and it is easy to see that the invariants of our motion are given by

$$(90) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{d}{dt} \log \left\{ \left(\frac{d(AC^{-1})}{dt} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d(BC^{-1})}{dt} \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \\ \alpha_2 &= \left\{ \left(\frac{d(AC^{-1})}{dt} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{d(BC^{-1})}{dt} \right)^2 \right\}^{-1}. \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ \frac{d(AC^{-1})}{dt} \cdot \frac{d^2(BC^{-1})}{dt^2} - \frac{d(BC^{-1})}{dt} \cdot \frac{d^2(AC^{-1})}{dt^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let the canonical parameter be, as above, introduced by the condition $v_3(t) = v(t)$; we see that $\alpha + \text{constant}$ is the set of canonical parameters. Using (39), a simple calculation yields

$$(91) \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{d}{d\alpha} \log \left| \frac{ds}{d\alpha} \right|, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2} i \left| \frac{ds}{d\alpha} \right|^{-2} \left(\frac{ds}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^2 \bar{s}}{d\alpha^2} - \frac{d\bar{s}}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^2 s}{d\alpha^2} \right).$$

Let us determine the motions with constant invariants. From (91₁) we have

$$(92) \quad \frac{ds}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d\bar{s}}{d\alpha} = c_1 e^{2\alpha_1 \alpha}; \quad c_1 > 0$$

and

$$(93) \quad \frac{ds}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^2 \bar{s}}{d\alpha^2} + \frac{d\bar{s}}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^2 s}{d\alpha^2} = 2c_1 \alpha_1 e^{2\alpha_1 \alpha}.$$

(91₂) implies

$$(94) \quad \frac{ds}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^2 \bar{s}}{d\alpha^2} - \frac{d\bar{s}}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d^2 s}{d\alpha^2} = -2ic_1 \alpha_2 e^{2\alpha_1 \alpha}$$

and we get

$$(95) \quad \frac{d^2 s}{d\alpha^2} = (\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2) \frac{ds}{d\alpha},$$

i.e., in the case $\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 \neq 0$,

$$(96) \quad s = c_2 e^{(\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2)\alpha} + c_3; \quad c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{C};$$

of course,

$$(97) \quad c_1 = |c_2|^2 (\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2).$$

Thus we have to solve the equation

$$(98) \quad \frac{dr}{d\alpha} + ir = c_2 e^{(\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2)\alpha} + c_3.$$

Suppose

$$(99) \quad \alpha_1 + i(\alpha_2 + 1) \neq 0.$$

The general solution of (98) is then

$$(100) \quad r = c_2 (\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2 + i)^{-1} e^{(\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2)\alpha} + c_4 e^{-i\alpha} - ic_3; \quad c_4 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Our motion normalized by the condition $g(0) = \text{identity}$ is then

$$(101) \quad z^* = (z + c_4) e^{-i\alpha} + c_2 (\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2 + i)^{-1} (e^{(\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2)\alpha} - 1) - c_4.$$

In the case

$$(102) \quad \alpha_1 = 0, \quad \alpha_2 = -1,$$

the general solution of (98) is

$$(103) \quad r = (c_2\alpha + c_4) e^{-i\alpha} - ic_3$$

and the corresponding motion is

$$(104) \quad z^* = (z + c_2\alpha + c_4) e^{-i\alpha} - c_4.$$

It remains to deal with the case $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$. Then

$$(105) \quad s = c'_2\alpha + c'_3, \quad c'_2, c'_3 \in \mathbb{C};$$

of course,

$$(106) \quad |c'_2|^2 = c_1.$$

From (105),

$$(107) \quad r = c'_4 e^{-i\alpha} - ic'_2\alpha + c'_2 - ic'_3; \quad c'_4 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The corresponding motion is then

$$(108) \quad z^* = (z + c'_4) e^{-i\alpha} - ic'_2\alpha - c'_4.$$

Theorem 2. *The motions with constant invariants α_1, α_2 are given either by (101) or (104) or (108).*

Bibliography

- [1] A. Karger: Lie groups and kinematic geometry in the plane (Czech.). Čas. pěst. mat., 93 (1968), 186–200.

Souhrn

KINEMATIKA V EUKLIDOVĚ ROVINĚ

EL SAID EL SHINNAWY

A. Karger [1] studoval kinematickou geometrii v homogenním prostoru, jehož grupy pohybů jsou jisté speciální Lieovy grupy. Předložený článek se omezuje na Euklidovu rovinu, ale podává metodu, vedoucí k řešení problému ekvivalence pro všechny Lieovy grupy pohybů. Kromě toho jsou uvedeny všechny transitivní jedno-parametrické soustavy pohybů v E^2 .

Author's address: Dr. El Said El Shinnawy, Department of Geometry, MTC, Cairo.