

Aplikace matematiky

Lubomír Skála

Algorithms. 42. BISEC. The eigenvalues of the symmetric eigenproblem $\mathbf{Ax} = \lambda \mathbf{Bx}$ and related eigenproblems

Aplikace matematiky, Vol. 22 (1977), No. 6, 463–469

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/103721>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1977

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ALGORITMY

42. BISEC

THE EIGENVALUES OF THE SYMMETRIC EIGENPROBLEM $\mathbf{A}x = \lambda \mathbf{B}x$
AND RELATED EIGENPROBLEMS

Dr. LUBOMÍR SKÁLA, Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta KU,
Ke Karlovu 3, 121 16 Praha 2.

In some cases, the eigenvalues of a generalized eigenvalue problem

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{A}x = \lambda \mathbf{B}x,$$

where $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathbf{A}_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ and $\mathbf{B} = \{\mathbf{B}_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ are real symmetric matrices of order n , \mathbf{B} positive definite and of related eigenproblems

$$(2) \quad \mathbf{A}^{(k)}x = \lambda \mathbf{B}^{(k)}x, \quad k = 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

where

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{A}^{(k)} = \{\mathbf{A}_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,k} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{B}^{(k)} = \{\mathbf{B}_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,\dots,k}$$

are submatrices of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} , are required. An efficient method for calculating eigenvalues of all eigenproblems (1) and (2) is given here.

For a given real number μ , we decompose the matrix $\mathbf{A} - \mu \mathbf{B}$ as

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{A} - \mu \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{U},$$

where $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{U})$ is the lower (upper) triangle matrix and $\mathbf{L}_{ii} = 1$. Then, the number of eigenvalues of the eigenproblem $\mathbf{A}^{(k)}x = \lambda \mathbf{B}^{(k)}x$ less than μ is equal to (for $\mathbf{B} = 1$ see e.g. [1]; for $\mathbf{B} \neq 1$, the generalization is straightforward)

$$(5) \quad I_k(\mu) = \sum_{i=1}^k \Theta(-\mathbf{U}_{ii}).$$

Here, $\Theta(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$, $\Theta(x) = 0$ otherwise. Making use of (5), we calculate the eigenvalues of (1) and (2) by the method of bisection (the procedure *bisec*). The

quantities $I_k(\mu)$ are calculated by the procedure *ik* (see also [2]). Some information obtained when determining one eigenvalue of (1) or (2) (for a given k) is, in general, of significance in the determination of other eigenvalues of the same eigenproblem (see [3]). In *bisec*, full advantage is taken of all relevant information and this results in a very substantial saving of time in case of a number of close or coincident eigenvalues. According to (5), the calculation of $I_k(\mu)$ gives the values of $I_1(\mu), \dots, I_{k-1}(\mu)$ as an additional result. The full use of this information further reduces the computational time.

The procedure *bisec* may be used to calculate the eigenvalues of all eigenproblems (1) and (2) in a given interval (e_{\min}, e_{\max}) . The matrices **A** and **B** are assumed to be band matrices ($a_{ij} = b_{ij} = 0$ for $|i - j| \geq m$, $m \leq n$). For the sake of efficiency, just the (i, j) elements ($0 \leq i - j < m$) of these matrices are stored, column by column, in one-dimensional arrays *a* and *b*. The number of arithmetic operations in one bisection step is about $1.5nm^2$. Therefore, the procedure *bisec* should not be used for band matrices with high half-bandwidth m . In general, it works most efficiently if the order n is very high, since the computational time is then roughly given by the time needed to calculate the eigenvalues of a few eigenproblems of the greatest order. The procedure *bisec* is in such cases much more efficient than other methods which calculate the eigenvalues of each eigenproblem separately. The accuracy of results is not influenced by close or coincident eigenvalues.

For large n , it is usually impossible to store all upper bounds e_{kl} (the upper bound to the l -th eigenvalue of the eigenproblem of the k -th order) or, respectively, lower bounds d_{kl} in the core. Hence, we store these two arrays (which are for the sake of simplicity assumed to be square arrays) on a disc row by row. The procedure *Read* ($k, d1, e1$) reads the k -th row of the arrays *e* and *d* from a disc and stores them in the arrays *e1* and *d1* in the core. Similarly, the procedure *Write* ($k, d1, e1$) stores the arrays *e1* and *d1* from the core in the k -th row of the arrays *e* and *d* on a disc, respectively.

```
procedure ik(a, b, mi, m, n, eps, rel, q);
value mi, m, n, eps, rel;
real mi, eps, rel;
integer m, n;
real array a, b;
integer array q;
comment Input to procedure ik
```

a, b $[(n - m + 1) \times m + (m - 1) \times m/2] \times 1$ arrays giving the (i, j) elements ($0 \leq i - j < m$) of the matrices **A** and **B** stored column by column.

mi the number of eigenvalues less than *mi* will be found.

m bandwidth of **A** and **B** is $2m - 1$.

n order of **A** and **B**.

eps the smallest positive real number representable on the computer.
 rel the smallest positive real number for which $1 + \text{rel} > 1$ on the computer.

Output of procedure ik

q $n \times 1$ array. $q[k]$ gives the number of eigenvalues less than mi for the eigenproblem of the k -th order;

```

begin
  real  $c, x, ymax$ ;
  integer  $i, i1, i2, j, k, l1, l2, n2$ ;
  real array  $y[1 : m], w[1 : (n - m + 1) \times m + (m - 1) \times m/2]$ ;
   $i2 := (n - m + 1) \times m + (m - 1) \times m/2$ ;
  for  $i := 1$  step 1 until  $i2$  do  $w[i] := a[i] - mi \times b[i]$ ;
   $x := w[1]$ ;
  if  $x < \text{eps}$  then  $q[1] := 1$  else  $q[1] := 0$ ;
   $i1 := 1$ ;
  for  $i := 2$  step 1 until  $n$  do
    begin  $n2 := n - i + 2$ ;
       $l1 := n2 - m$ ;
      if  $l1 < 0$  then  $l1 := 0$ ;
      if  $m < n2$  then  $n2 := m$ ;
       $ymax := 0$ ;
      for  $j := 2$  step 1 until  $n2$  do
        begin  $i1 := i1 + 1$ ;
           $y[j] := c := w[i1]$ ;
          if  $\text{abs}(c) > ymax$  then  $ymax := \text{abs}(c)$ 
        end  $j$ ;
        if  $ymax \geq \text{eps}$  then
          begin  $i2 := i1$ ;
          for  $j := 2$  step 1 until  $n2$  do
            begin if  $\text{abs}(x) < \text{eps}$  then  $c := y[j]/ymax/\text{rel}$ 
              else  $c := -y[j]/x$ ;
              for  $k := j$  step 1 until  $n2$  do
                begin  $i2 := i2 + 1$ ;
                   $w[i2] := w[i2] + c \times y[k]$ 
                end  $k$ ;
                 $l2 := j - 1$ ;
                if  $l2 < l1$  then  $i2 := i2 + l2$  else  $i2 := i2 + l1$ 
              end  $j$ 
            end;
           $i1 := i1 + 1$ ;
           $x := w[i1]$ ;
          if  $x < \text{eps}$  then  $q[i] := q[i - 1] + 1$  else  $q[i] := q[i - 1]$ ;
        
```

end *i*

end *ik*;

procedure *bisec* (*a, b, m, n, epsres, emin, emax, eps, rel*) result: (*m1, m2*) exit: (*fail*);

value *m, n, epsres, emin, emax, eps, rel*;

real *epsres, emin, emax, eps, rel*;

integer *m, n*;

real array *a, b*;

integer array *m1, m2*;

label *fail*;

comment Input to procedure *bisec*

a, b $[(n - m + 1) \times m + (m - 1) \times m/2] \times 1$ arrays giving the (i, j) elements ($0 \leq i - j < m$) of the matrices **A** and **B** stored column by column.

m bandwidth of **A** and **B** is $2m - 1$.

n order of **A** and **B**.

epsres the relative (for an eigenvalue > 1) or the absolute (for an eigenvalue < 1) error in any eigenvalue.

emin, emax all eigenvalues in (*emin, emax*) will be computed.

eps the smallest positive real number representable on the computer.

rel the smallest positive real number for which $1 + rel > 1$ on the computer.

Output of procedure *bisec*

m1, m2 $n \times 1$ arrays. For an eigenproblem of order *k*, the eigenvalues with sequential numbers *m1* [*k*], ..., *m2* [*k*] lie in (*emin, emax*).

e $n \times n$ array stored on a disc gives the computed eigenvalues. The *l*-th eigenvalue of the *k*-th eigenproblem is stored as *e_{kr}*. For each eigenproblem, the eigenvalues are arranged in ascending order.

fail the exit used if **B** is not positive definite;

begin **real** *g, h, mi*; **integer** *i, i1, i2, j, k, l, qk*;

real array *d1, e1, d2, e2[1 : n]*; **integer array** *q[1 : n]*;

ik(b, b, 0, m, n, eps, rel, q);

if *q[n] > 0* **then go to** *fail*;

comment The calculation of sequential numbers of the eigenvalues lying in (*emin, emax*);

ik(a, b, emin, m, n, eps, rel, m1);

ik(a, b, emax, m, n, eps, rel, m2);

for *i := 1* **step** 1 **until** *n* **do**

begin *m1[i] := m1[i] + 1*;

```

for  $j := m1[i]$  step 1 until  $m2[i]$  do
  begin  $d1[j] := emin;$ 
     $e1[j] := emax$ 
  end  $j$ ;
  Write( $i, d1, e1$ )
end  $i$ ;
for  $k := n$  step -1 until 1 do
  begin
    comment The calculation of the eigenvalues for the  $k$ -th order;
    Read( $k, d1, e1$ );
    if  $m > k$  then  $m := k$ ;
     $h := emax$ ;
    comment Loop for the  $l$ -th eigenvalue;
    for  $l := m2[k]$  step -1 until  $m1[k]$  do
      begin  $g := emin$ ;
        for  $i := l$  step -1 until  $m1[k]$  do
          begin if  $g < d1[i]$  then
            begin  $g := d1[i]$ ;
            go to cont
          end
        end  $i$ ;
        cont: if  $h > e1[l]$  then  $h := e1[l]$ ;
        comment The method of bisection;
        for  $mi := (g + h)/2$  while  $h - g > 2 \times (epsres \times abs(mi) + epsres)$  do
          begin ik( $a, b, mi, m, k, eps, rel, q$ );
             $qk := q[k]$ ;
            if  $qk < l$  then
              begin if  $qk < m1[k]$  then  $d1[m1[k]] := g := mi$ 
                else
                  begin  $g := d1[qk + 1] := mi$ ;
                  if  $e1[qk] > mi$  then  $e1[qk] := mi$ 
                end
              end
            else  $h := mi$ ;
            comment The calculation of new lower and upper bounds;
            for  $i := k - 1$  step -1 until 1 do
              begin
                Read( $i, d2, e2$ );
                for  $j := m1[i]$  step 1 until  $m2[i]$  do
                  if  $q[i] < j$  then
                    begin if  $d2[j] < mi$  then  $d2[j] := mi$  end
                  else

```

```

if  $e2[j] > mi$  then  $e2[j] := mi;$ 
    Write( $i, d2, e2$ )
    end i
end mi;
 $e1[l] := mi$ 
end l;
comment New storage of  $a$  and  $b$ ;
 $i1 := i2 := 0;$ 
for  $i := 1$  step 1 until  $k$  do
    begin  $l := k - i + 1$ ;
        if  $m < l$  then  $l := m$ ;
        for  $j := i$  step 1 until  $i + l - 1$  do
            begin  $i1 := i1 + 1$ ;
                if  $j < k$  then
                    begin  $i2 := i2 + 1$ ;
                         $a[i2] := a[i1];$ 
                         $b[i2] := b[i1]$ 
                    end
                end j
            end i;
            Write( $k, d1, e1$ )
        end k
    end bise;

```

Example.

For the data $a = (10, 2, 3, 12, 1, 2, 11, 1, 9)$,
 $b = (12, 1, -1, 14, 1, -1, 16, -1, 12)$, $m = 3$, $n = 4$, $epsres = 10^{-15}$,
 $emin = -10$, $emax = 10$, $eps = 10^{-75}$, $rel = 10^{-15}$ corresponding to the matrices

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 2 & 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 12 & 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 & 11 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 9 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 12 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 14 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & 16 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$$

we obtained the following results (the computer ICL 4-72)

$$\begin{aligned}
m1[1] &= m1[2] = m1[3] = m1[4] = 1, \\
m2[1] &= 1, \quad m2[2] = 2, \quad m2[3] = 3, \quad m2[4] = 4, \\
e[1, 1] &= 8\cdot3333\ 3333\ 3333\ 337_{10} - 1, \\
e[2, 1] &= 7\cdot4790\ 6174\ 4278\ 959_{10} - 1, \\
e[2, 2] &= 9\cdot2874\ 0532\ 1589\ 304_{10} - 1, \\
e[3, 1] &= 4\cdot9264\ 3004\ 8161\ 612_{10} - 1, \\
e[3, 2] &= 8\cdot3439\ 0032\ 4405\ 518_{10} - 1,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e[3, 3] &= 1 \cdot 0765 \ 2218 \ 2107 \ 887, \\
e[4, 1] &= 4 \cdot 4739 \ 1135 \ 7782 \ 800_{10} - 1, \\
e[4, 2] &= 6 \cdot 5396 \ 6400 \ 2667 \ 978_{10} - 1, \\
e[4, 3] &= 9 \cdot 4074 \ 1722 \ 5080 \ 661_{10} - 1, \\
e[4, 4] &= 1 \cdot 1602 \ 1950 \ 8168 \ 733.
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] *P. Dean* The Vibrational Properties of Disordered Systems: Numerical Studies. Rev. Mod. Phys. 44 (1972), 127–168.
- [2] *L. Skála* NEGEIG. Distribution of the Eigenvalues of Eigenproblem $Ax = \lambda Bx$. Aplikace matematiky 20 (1975), 227–231.
- [3] *W. Barth, R. S. Martin, J. H. Wilkinson* Calculation of the Eigenvalues of a Symmetric Tri-diagonal Matrix by the Method of Bisections. Numer. Math. 9 (1967), 386–393.