Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal

Alois Švec Surfaces in general affine space

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 39 (1989), No. 2, 280-287

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102302

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

SURFACES IN GENERAL AFFINE SPACE

Alois Švec, Brno

(Received April 27, 1987)

The theory of surface in the equiaffine 3-dimensional space is well (?) developed. On the other hand, little is known about the theory of submanifols of the space with the general affine group; compare the contributions of S. Gigena, K. Nomizu, U. Pinkall and U. Simon in [1]. In the present paper, I am going to study surfaces in the 3-dimensional general affine space and show some global characterizations of quadratic surfaces.

To each point m of an *elliptic* surface M^2 in the general affine space A^3 let us associate a frame $\{m; v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ such that $v_1, v_2 \in T_m(M^2)$. Then we have the fundamental equations

(1)
$$dm = \omega^1 v_1 + \omega^2 v_2, \quad dv_i = \omega_i^j v_i \quad (i, j, ... = 1, 2, 3)$$

with the usual integrability conditions

(2)
$$d\omega^{i} = \omega^{j} \wedge \omega^{i}_{j}, \quad d\omega^{j}_{i} = \omega^{k}_{i} \wedge \omega^{j}_{k}.$$

It is easy to see that we may choose the frames in such a way that

$$\omega_1^3 = \omega^1 , \quad \omega_2^3 = \omega^2 ;$$

the differential consequences are

(4)
$$(2\omega_1^1 - \omega_3^3) \wedge \omega^1 + (\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^1) \wedge \omega^2 = 0,$$

$$(\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^1) \wedge \omega^1 + (2\omega_2^2 - \omega_3^3) \wedge \omega^2 = 0,$$

and we have

(5)
$$2\omega_1^1 - \omega_3^3 = a_1\omega^1 + a_2\omega^2, \quad \omega_1^2 + \omega_2^1 = a_2\omega^1 + a_3\omega^2, \\ 2\omega_2^2 - \omega_3^3 = a_3\omega^1 + a_4\omega^2.$$

Let the auxiliary 1-form φ be defined by

(6)
$$\varphi := \frac{1}{2}(\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^1),$$

i.e.,

(7)
$$\omega_1^2 = \frac{1}{2}(a_2\omega^1 + a_3\omega^2) + \varphi$$
, $\omega_2^1 = \frac{1}{2}(a_2\omega^1 + a_3\omega^2) - \varphi$.

The integrability conditions of (5) are

(8)
$$(da_{1} - \frac{1}{2}a_{1}\omega_{3}^{3} - 3a_{2}\varphi + 3\omega_{3}^{1}) \wedge \omega^{1} +$$

$$+ \left[da_{2} - \frac{1}{2}a_{2}\omega_{3}^{3} + (a_{1} - 2a_{3})\varphi + \omega_{3}^{2} \right] \wedge \omega^{2} = 0 ,$$

$$\left[da_{2} - \frac{1}{2}a_{2}\omega_{3}^{3} + (a_{1} - 2a_{3})\varphi + \omega_{3}^{2} \right] \wedge \omega^{1} +$$

$$+ \left[da_{3} - \frac{1}{2}a_{3}\omega_{3}^{3} + (2a_{2} - a_{4})\varphi + \omega_{3}^{1} \right] \wedge \omega^{2} = 0 ,$$

$$\left[da_{3} - \frac{1}{2}a_{3}\omega_{3}^{3} + (2a_{2} - a_{4})\varphi + \omega_{3}^{1} \right] \wedge \omega^{1} +$$

$$+ \left(da_{4} - \frac{1}{2}a_{4}\omega_{3}^{3} + 3a_{3}\varphi + 3\omega_{3}^{2} \right) \wedge \omega^{2} = 0 .$$

Let $\{m; w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ be another field of frames associated to our surface. Then we have the equations

(9)
$$dm = \tau^{1} w_{1} + \tau^{2} w_{2}, \quad dw_{i} = \tau_{i}^{j} w_{i}$$

analogous to (1); let us suppose the conditions of the type (3), i.e.,

(10)
$$\tau_1^3 = \tau^1 , \quad \tau_2^3 = \tau^2 .$$

Let the relation between our two fields of frames be given by

(11)
$$w_1 = \alpha_{11}v_1 + \alpha_{12}v_2, \quad w_2 = \alpha_{21}v_1 + \alpha_{22}v_2,$$

$$w_3 = \alpha_{31}v_1 + \alpha_{32}v_2 + \alpha_{33}v_3.$$

From this and from (1) + (9), we get

$$\begin{array}{llll} \text{(12)} & \mathrm{d} m &= \omega^1 v_1 + \omega^2 v_2 = \tau^1 \big(\alpha_{11} v_1 + \alpha_{12} v_2\big) + \tau^2 \big(\alpha_{21} v_1 + \alpha_{22} v_2\big) \,, \\ & \mathrm{d} w_1 &= \mathrm{d} \alpha_{11} . v_1 + \mathrm{d} \alpha_{12} . v_2 + \alpha_{11} \big(\omega_1^1 v_1 + \omega_1^2 v_2 + \omega^1 v_3\big) \,+ \\ & & + \alpha_{12} \big(\omega_2^1 v_1 + \omega_2^2 v_2 + \omega^2 v_3\big) = \\ & & = \tau_1^1 \big(\alpha_{11} v_1 + \alpha_{12} v_2\big) + \tau_1^2 \big(\alpha_{21} v_1 + \alpha_{22} v_2\big) + \tau^1 \big(\alpha_{31} v_1 + \alpha_{32} v_2 + \alpha_{33} v_3\big) \,, \\ & \mathrm{d} w_2 &= \mathrm{d} \alpha_{21} . v_1 + \mathrm{d} \alpha_{22} . v_2 + \alpha_{21} \big(\omega_1^1 v_1 + \omega_1^2 v_2 + \omega^1 v_3\big) \,+ \\ & & + \alpha_{22} \big(\omega_2^1 v_1 + \omega_2^2 v_2 + \omega^2 v_3\big) = \\ & & = \tau_2^1 \big(\alpha_{11} v_1 + \alpha_{12} v_2\big) + \tau_2^2 \big(\alpha_{21} v_1 + \alpha_{22} v_2\big) + \tau^2 \big(\alpha_{31} v_1 + \alpha_{32} v_2 + \alpha_{33} v_3\big) \,, \\ & \mathrm{d} w_3 &= \mathrm{d} \alpha_{31} . v_1 + \mathrm{d} \alpha_{32} . v_2 + \mathrm{d} \alpha_{33} . v_3 + \alpha_{31} \big(\omega_1^1 v_1 + \omega_1^2 v_2 + \omega^1 v_3\big) \,+ \\ & & + \alpha_{32} \big(\omega_2^1 v_1 + \omega_2^2 v_2 + \omega^2 v_3\big) + \alpha_{33} \big(\omega_3^1 v_1 + \omega_3^2 v_2 + \omega_3^3 v_3\big) \,. \end{array}$$

From (12_1) ,

(13)
$$\omega^1 = \alpha_{11}\tau^1 + \alpha_{21}\tau^2, \quad \omega^2 = \alpha_{12}\tau^1 + \alpha_{22}\tau^2.$$

Comparing the terms at v_3 in $(12_{2,3})$ and using (13), we get

$$(14) \hspace{1cm} \alpha_{11}^2 \, + \, \alpha_{12}^2 \, = \, \alpha_{21}^2 \, + \, \alpha_{22}^2 \, = \, \alpha_{33} \, \, , \quad \alpha_{11}\alpha_{21} \, + \, \alpha_{12}\alpha_{22} \, = \, 0 \, \, .$$

Thus $\alpha_{33} > 0$, and there are functions α , β such that

(15)
$$\alpha_{11} = \alpha \cos \beta$$
, $\alpha_{12} = -\alpha \sin \beta$, $\alpha_{21} = \varrho \alpha \sin \beta$, $\alpha_{22} = \varrho \alpha \cos \beta$, $\alpha_{33} = \alpha^2$; $\alpha > 0$, $\varrho = \pm 1$.

Comparing the terms at v_1 , v_2 in $(12_{2,3})$ and at v_3 in (12_4) and using (15), we get after elementary calculations

(16)
$$d\alpha + \alpha \cos^{2} \beta . \omega_{1}^{1} - \alpha \sin \beta \cos \beta . (\omega_{2}^{1} + \omega_{1}^{2}) + \alpha \sin^{2} \beta . \omega_{2}^{2} =$$

$$= \alpha \tau_{1}^{1} + (\alpha_{31} \cos \beta - \alpha_{32} \sin \beta) \tau^{1} ,$$

$$-\alpha d\beta + \alpha \sin \beta \cos \beta . (\omega_{1}^{1} - \omega_{2}^{2}) - \alpha \sin^{2} \beta . \omega_{2}^{1} + \alpha \cos^{2} \beta . \omega_{1}^{2} =$$

$$= \varrho \alpha \tau_{1}^{2} + (\alpha_{31} \sin \beta + \alpha_{32} \cos \beta) \tau^{1} ,$$

$$\varrho \alpha d\beta + \varrho \alpha \sin \beta \cos \beta . (\omega_{1}^{1} - \omega_{2}^{2}) + \varrho \alpha \cos^{2} \beta . \omega_{2}^{1} - \varrho \alpha \sin^{2} \beta . \omega_{1}^{2} =$$

$$= \alpha \tau_{2}^{1} + (\alpha_{31} \cos \beta - \alpha_{32} \sin \beta) \tau^{2} ,$$

$$\varrho d\alpha + \varrho \alpha \sin^{2} \beta . \omega_{1}^{1} + \varrho \alpha \sin \beta \cos \beta . (\omega_{2}^{1} + \omega_{1}^{2}) + \varrho \alpha \cos^{2} \beta . \omega_{2}^{2} =$$

$$= \varrho \alpha \tau_{2}^{2} + (\alpha_{31} \sin \beta + \alpha_{32} \cos \beta) \tau^{2} ,$$

$$2\alpha d\alpha + \alpha_{31} \omega^{1} + \alpha_{32} \omega^{2} + \alpha^{2} \omega_{3}^{3} = \alpha^{2} \tau_{3}^{3} .$$

Let

(17)
$$2\tau_1^1 - \tau_3^3 = a_1'\tau^1 + a_2'\tau^2, \quad \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^1 = a_2'\tau^1 + a_3'\tau^2, \\ 2\tau_2^2 - \tau_3^3 = a_3'\tau^1 + a_4'\tau^2$$

be equations analogous to (5). Using (16), we obtain

(18)
$$a'_{1} = \alpha(\cos^{3}\beta . a_{1} - 3\sin\beta\cos^{2}\beta . a_{2} + 3\sin^{2}\beta\cos\beta . a_{3} - \sin^{3}\beta . a_{4}) - 3\alpha^{-1}(\alpha_{31}\cos\beta - \alpha_{32}\sin\beta),$$

$$a'_{2} = \varrho\alpha[\sin\beta\cos^{2}\beta . a_{1} + \cos\beta(\cos^{2}\beta - 2\sin^{2}\beta) a_{2} + \sin\beta(\sin^{2}\beta - 2\cos^{2}\beta) a_{3} + \sin^{2}\beta\cos\beta . a_{4}] - \varrho\alpha^{-1}(\alpha_{31}\sin\beta + \alpha_{32}\cos\beta),$$

$$a'_{3} = \alpha[\sin^{2}\beta\cos\beta . a_{1} + \sin\beta(2\cos^{2}\beta - \sin^{2}\beta) a_{2} + \cos\beta(\cos^{2}\beta - 2\sin^{2}\beta) a_{3} - \sin\beta\cos^{2}\beta . a_{4}] - \alpha^{-1}(\alpha_{31}\cos\beta - \alpha_{32}\sin\beta),$$

$$a'_{4} = \varrho\alpha(\sin^{3}\beta . a_{1} + 3\sin^{2}\beta\cos\beta . a_{2} + 3\sin\beta\cos^{2}\beta . a_{3} + \cos^{3}\alpha . a_{4}) - 3\varrho\alpha^{-1}(\alpha_{31}\sin\beta + \alpha_{32}\cos\beta)$$

and

$$a'_1 + a'_3 = \alpha \cos \beta \cdot (a_1 + a_3) - \alpha \sin \beta \cdot (a_2 + a_4) - 4\alpha^{-1}(\alpha_{31} \cos \beta - \alpha_{32} \sin \beta),$$

$$a'_2 + a'_4 = \varrho \alpha \sin \beta \cdot (a_1 + a_3) + \varrho \alpha \cos \beta \cdot (a_2 + a_4) - 4\varrho \alpha^{-1}(\alpha_{31} \sin \beta + \alpha_{32} \cos \beta).$$

From the last equations we see that we may choose the frames in such a way that

$$(20) a_1 + a_3 = a_2 + a_4 = 0.$$

Let $\{m; v_i\}$, $\{m; w_i\}$ be such two fields of frames; then

(21)
$$\alpha_{31} = \alpha_{32} = 0.$$

In what follows, let us suppose (20). From $(5_{1,3})$ we obtain

(22)
$$\omega_1^1 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_3^3 - a_3\omega^1 + a_2\omega^2), \quad \omega_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\omega_3^3 + a_3\omega^1 - a_2\omega^2).$$

Adding $(8_{1,3})$, we get

$$\omega_3^1 \wedge \omega^1 + \omega_3^2 \wedge \omega^2 = 0,$$

i.e.,

(24)
$$\omega_3^1 = b_1 \omega^1 + b_2 \omega^2, \quad \omega_3^2 = b_2 \omega^1 + b_3 \omega^2,$$

and the equations (8) reduce to

(25)

The differentiation of (24) yields

(26)
$$(db_{1} - b_{1}\omega_{3}^{3} - 2b_{2}\varphi) \wedge \omega^{1} + [db_{2} - b_{2}\omega_{3}^{3} + (b_{1} - b_{3})\varphi] \wedge \omega^{2} =$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2}a_{2}(b_{1} - b_{3}) + a_{3}b_{2}\right]\omega^{1} \wedge \omega^{2} ,$$

$$[db_{2} - b_{2}\omega_{3}^{3} + (b_{1} - b_{3})\varphi] \wedge \omega^{1} + (db_{3} - b_{3}\omega_{3}^{3} + 2b_{2}\varphi) \wedge \omega^{2} =$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{2}a_{3}(b_{1} - b_{3}) - a_{2}b_{2}\right]\omega^{1} \wedge \omega^{2} .$$

Comparing the terms at v_1 , v_2 in (12₄) and using (15) + (21), we obtain

(27)
$$\alpha\omega_3^1 = \cos\beta \cdot \tau_3^1 + \varrho\sin\beta \cdot \tau_3^2, \quad \alpha\omega_3^2 = -\sin\beta \cdot \tau_3^1 + \varrho\cos\beta \cdot \tau_3^2.$$

If we write

(28)
$$\tau_3^1 = b_1'\tau^1 + b_2'\tau^2, \quad \tau_3^2 = b_2'\tau^1 + b_3'\tau^2,$$

elementary calculations yield

(29)
$$b'_{1} = \alpha^{2}(\cos^{2}\beta.b_{1} - 2\sin\beta\cos\beta.b_{2} + \sin^{2}\beta.b_{3}),$$

$$b'_{2} = \varrho\alpha^{2}[\sin\beta\cos\beta.(b_{1} - b_{3}) + (\cos^{2}\beta - \sin^{2}\beta).b_{2}],$$

$$b'_{3} = \alpha^{2}(\sin^{2}\beta.b_{1} + 2\sin\beta\cos\beta.b_{2} + \cos^{2}\beta.b_{3})$$

and

(30)
$$b_1' + b_3' = \alpha^2(b_1 + b_3).$$

Thus we are able to choose the frames $\{m; v_i\}$ such that $b_1 + b_3 = 0$ or ± 1 , respectively.

From (21) we see that the straight line $n = \{m + tv_3; t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is an invariant of our surface; it is the so-called *affine normal*. Let us look at the foci of the congruence of affine normals associated to our surface. Let

$$(31) F = m + xv_3$$

be a focus of the normal congruence. Then

(32)
$$dF = (\omega^1 + x\omega_3^1) v_1 + (\omega^2 + x\omega_3^2) v_2 + (dx + x\omega_3^3) v_3;$$

eliminating ω^1 , ω^2 from $\omega^1 + x\omega_3^1 = \omega^2 + x\omega_3^2 = 0$, we get

(33)
$$1 + (b_1 + b_3)x + (b_1b_3 - b_2^2)x^2 = 0.$$

Thus $b_1 + b_3 = 0$ (at the point m) if and only if the foci F_1 , F_2 do not exist (in the case $b_1b_3 - b_2^2 = 0$ at m) or the point m is the center of the interval F_1F_2 . In what

follows, let us consider surfaces with $b_1 + b_3 \neq 0$ at each point. Points with $b_1 + b_3 = 0$ may be called maximal; this follows from the fact that each surface with $b_1 + b_3 = 0$ at each point is maximal in the terminology of E. Calabi.

Consequently, let us consider just the fields of frames $\{m; v_i\}$ satisfying

$$(34) b_1 + b_3 = -2\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1.$$

Let $\{m; w_i\}$ be another field of frames satisfying $b'_1 + b'_3 = -2\varepsilon$; then

$$\alpha = 1.$$

From (13) and (15) we see that

(36)
$$ds^2 := (\omega^1)^2 + (\omega^2)^2$$

is an affine invariant of our surface; it is the so-called affine metric. Because of (34), let the function b_0 be introduced by

(37)
$$b_1 = b_0 - \varepsilon, \quad b_3 = -(b_0 + \varepsilon).$$

Using (20) + (37) and (35), the equations (18) and (29) reduce to

(38)
$$a'_2 = \varrho \cos 3\beta . a_2 - \varrho \sin 3\beta . a_3$$
, $a'_3 = \sin 3\beta . a_2 + \cos 3\beta . a_3$,
 $b'_0 = \cos 2\beta . b_0 - \sin 2\beta . b_2$, $b'_2 = \varrho \sin 2\beta . b_0 + \varrho \cos 2\beta . b_2$.

Thus the functions

(39)
$$a_2^2 + a_3^2, b_0^2 + b_2^2$$

are affine invariants of our surface.

Because of (37), the equations (26) reduce to

$$(40) \qquad (db_0 - b_0\omega_3^3 - 2b_2\varphi + \varepsilon\omega_3^3) \wedge \omega^1 + (db_2 - b_2\omega_3^3 + 2b_0\varphi) \wedge \omega^2 = = (a_2b_0 + a_3b_2)\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 , (db_2 - b_2\omega_3^3 + 2b_0\varphi) \wedge \omega^1 - (db_0 - b_0\omega_3^3 - 2b_2\varphi - \varepsilon\omega_3^3) \wedge \omega^2 = = (a_3b_0 - a_2b_2)\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 ,$$

and we get the existence of functions c_1 , c_2 such that

(41)
$$\omega_3^3 = c_1 \omega^1 + c_2 \omega^2.$$

Let the 1-form ω be defined by

(42)
$$\omega := \varphi + \frac{1}{2}(c_2\omega^1 - c_1\omega^2);$$

then it is easy to see that

(43)
$$d\omega^1 = -\omega^2 \wedge \omega, \quad d\omega^2 = \omega^1 \wedge \omega.$$

Because of (7) and (22), we have

(44)
$$\omega_{1}^{1} = \frac{1}{2}(c_{1} - a_{3}) \omega^{1} + \frac{1}{2}(c_{2} + a_{2}) \omega^{2},$$

$$\omega_{2}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}(c_{1} + a_{3}) \omega^{1} + \frac{1}{2}(c_{2} - a_{2}) \omega^{2},$$

$$\omega_{1}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{2} - c_{2}) \omega^{1} + \frac{1}{2}(a_{3} + c_{1}) \omega^{2} + \omega,$$

$$\omega_{2}^{1} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{2} + c_{2}) \omega^{1} + \frac{1}{2}(a_{3} - c_{1}) \omega^{2} - \omega.$$

The differential consequences are (25) and (40), i.e., (45)

From (41) we get

(46)
$$(dc_1 - c_2\omega) \wedge \omega^1 + (dc_2 + c_1\omega) \wedge \omega^2 = 0,$$

i.e.,

(47)
$$dc_1 - c_2\omega = c_{11}\omega^1 + c_{12}\omega^2, \quad dc_2 + c_1\omega = c_{12}\omega^1 + c_{22}\omega^2.$$

From $(16_5) + (35) + (13) + (15)$ we see that the function

$$(48) c_1^2 + c_2^2$$

is an affine invariant of our surface.

The Gauss curvature \varkappa of the affine metric (36) is given, because of (43), by

(49)
$$d\omega = -\varkappa \omega^1 \wedge \omega^2,$$

this being well known. The differential consequence of (42) yields the following

Lemma. (Theorema egregium.) We have

(50)
$$2\kappa = c_{11} + c_{22} + a_2^2 + a_3^2 + 2\varepsilon.$$

Theorem 1. Let $M^2 \subset A^3$ be an analytic elliptic surface each point of which is non-maximal; suppose

(51)
$$\kappa = \varepsilon = \pm 1 \quad \text{on} \quad M^2.$$

Then M^2 is part of a quadric (an ellipsoid for $\varkappa=1$ and a hyperboloid for $\varkappa=-1$), or the set

(52)
$$N := \{ m \in M^2; \ a_2^2 + a_3^2 = b_0^2 + b_2^2 = c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 0 \text{ at } m \}$$
 consists of isolated points.

Proof. Let m_0 be a non-isolated point of N; let $D \subset M^2$ be a bounded coordinate neighborhood of m_0 . In D, take local coordinates (x, y) such that

(53)
$$\omega^1 = r(x, y) dx$$
, $\omega^2 = s(x, y) dy$; $r(x, y) s(x, y) \neq 0$.

From (43), we get

(54)
$$\omega = -s^{-1} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} dx + r^{-1} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} dy.$$

Because of (50) and (51),

$$c_{11} + c_{22} + a_2^2 + a_3^2 = 0$$

on M^2 . From (45)-(47) and (55), we get, on D, the following system of partial

differential equations for a_2 , a_3 , b_0 , b_2 , c_1 , c_2 :

$$(56) s \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial x} + r \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial y} = -\left(3 \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} + rsc_1\right) a_2 - \left(3 \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} + rsc_2\right) a_3 + 2rsb_2,$$

$$r \frac{\partial a_2}{\partial y} - s \frac{\partial a_3}{\partial x} = -\left(3 \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} + rsc_2\right) a_2 + \left(3 \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} + rsc_1\right) a_3 + 2rsb_0,$$

$$s \frac{\partial b_0}{\partial x} + r \frac{\partial b_2}{\partial y} = -\left(2 \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} + rsa_3\right) b_0 - \left(2 \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} - rsa_2\right) b_2 + \varepsilon rsc_1,$$

$$r \frac{\partial b_0}{\partial y} - s \frac{\partial b_2}{\partial x} = -\left(2 \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} + rsa_2\right) b_0 + \left(2 \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} - rsa_3\right) b_2 - \varepsilon rsc_2,$$

$$s \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial x} + r \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial y} = -rs(a_2^2 + a_3^2) - \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} c_1 - \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} c_2,$$

$$r \frac{\partial c_1}{\partial y} - s \frac{\partial c_2}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial r}{\partial y} c_1 + \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} c_2.$$

Obviously, this is an elliptic system; see [2], p. 76. The zero points of its solution being not isolated, we have (see [2], Theorem 5.4.1 and p. 76)

(57)
$$a_2 = a_3 = b_0 = b_2 = c_1 = c_2 = 0$$
 on D

and, by analyticity, on M^2 . Thus we get

(58)
$$\omega^3 = 0$$
, $\omega_1^3 = \omega^1$, $\omega_2^3 = \omega^2$, $\omega_1^1 = \omega_2^2 = \omega_3^3 = 0$, $\omega_1^2 = \omega^2$, $\omega_2^1 = -\omega$, $\omega_3^1 = -\varepsilon\omega^1$, $\omega_3^2 = -\varepsilon\omega^2$

from (3), (44), (41), (24), (37) and (57). The rest of our assertion may be proved easily. OED.

Theorem 2. Let $M \equiv M^2 \subset A^3$ be an elliptic surface each point of which is non-maximal; let ∂M be its boundary. Suppose

(59)
$$\varepsilon = 1$$
 and $\varkappa \le 1$ on M ; $c_1^2 + c_2^2 = 0$ on ∂M .

Then M is (part of) an ellipsoid.

Proof. Consider the 1-form

$$\Omega := -c_2 \omega^1 + c_1 \omega^2$$

on M; it is easy to show that it is an affine invariant of our surface. The Stokes theorem reads

(61)
$$\int_{\partial M} \Omega = \int_{M} (c_{11} + c_{22}) \omega^{1} \wedge \omega^{2}.$$

Because of $\Omega = 0$ on ∂M and (50), (61) turns out to be

From (59) and (62), $a_2 = a_3 = 0$ on M. The system (45) implies $b_0 = b_2 = 0$ and $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ on M, and we get (58) with $\varepsilon = 1$. QED.

References

- [1] Affine Differentialgeometrie. Tagungsbericht 48/1986; Math. Forschungsinst. Oberwolfach.
- [2] Wendland, W. L.: Elliptic systems in the plane. Pitman, 1979.

Author's address: 635 00 Brno, Přehradní 10, Czechoslovakia.