

Matúš Harminc

Sequential convergences on lattice ordered groups

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 39 (1989), No. 2, 232–238

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102298>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1989

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## SEQUENTIAL CONVERGENCES ON LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

MATÚŠ HARMINC, Košice

(Received November 25, 1986)

Sequential convergences on groups were investigated by J. Novák in [11], cf. also the surveys of R. Frič and V. Koutník [4, 5]. The notion of sequential convergence on an abelian lattice ordered group was introduced in [8]; the non-abelian case was dealt with in [9]. Several particular cases of convergences on lattice ordered groups were studied by C. J. Everett and S. Ulam [3] and by F. Papangelou [12]. The relations between the system of all convergences on a lattice ordered group  $G$  and higher degrees of distributivity of  $G$  were investigated by J. Jakubík in [10].

Let  $G$  be a lattice ordered group. The system of all sequential convergences on  $G$  will be denoted by  $\text{Conv } G$  (for definitions, cf. Section 1 below). This system is partially ordered by the set inclusion. In the present paper the order properties of  $\text{Conv } G$  will be investigated. We establish that  $\text{Conv } G$  is a complete lower semilattice and every closed interval of  $\text{Conv } G$  is a complete Brouwerian lattice. The equivalence of the following four conditions will be shown:

- (1)  $\text{Conv } G$  has a greatest element;
- (2)  $\text{Conv } G$  is an upward-directed set;
- (3)  $\text{Conv } G$  is a lattice;
- (4)  $\text{Conv } G$  is a complete lattice.

The atoms of  $\text{Conv } G$  are described constructively in the case when  $G$  is abelian.

Some of these results were announced at the Conference on Convergence in 1984 (cf. [7]).

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

For notation and terminology we refer to G. Birkhoff [2] and L. Fuchs [6]. Throughout the paper,  $G$  denotes a lattice ordered group and  $G^+$  denotes the positive cone of  $G$ .

Let  $N$  be the set of all positive integers. The set of all sequences in  $G$  will be denoted by  $G^N$ . The set  $G^N$  is a lattice ordered group with respect to the induced operation and order of  $G$ . The constant sequence  $(g, g, g, \dots)$  is denoted by  $\text{const}(g)$ . If  $S \in G^N$  then  $S(n)$  denotes the  $n$ -th term of the sequence  $S$ .

**1.1. Definition.** A subset  $Y$  of  $(G^N)^+$  is said to be  $G$ -normal, if  $\text{const}(g) + S - \text{const}(g) \in Y$  whenever  $g \in G$  and  $S \in Y$ .

**1.2. Definition.** A  $G$ -normal convex subsemigroup  $P$  of  $(G^N)^+$  will be called a convergence on  $G$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (I) If  $S$  is a sequence belonging to  $P$ , then each subsequence of  $S$  belongs to  $P$  as well.
- (II) Let  $S \in (G^N)^+$ . If each subsequence of  $S$  has a subsequence belonging to  $P$ , then  $S$  belongs to  $P$ .
- (III) Let  $g \in G$ . Then  $\text{const}(g) \in P$  if and only if  $g = 0$ .

The system of all convergences on  $G$  will be denoted by  $\text{Conv } G$ .

**1.3. Remark.** Let  $P \in \text{Conv } G$ . Further, let  $S \in G^N$  and  $g \in G$ . We denote by  $T$  the sequence with  $T(n) = |S(n) - g|$  for each  $n \in N$ . We put  $S \rightarrow_P g$  if and only if  $T \in P$ .

Let  $P \in \text{Conv } G$ . It is easy to verify that the following assertions are valid (for detailed proofs cf. [9]):

- (i) if  $S \rightarrow_P g$  then  $T \rightarrow_P g$  for each subsequence  $T$  of  $S$ ;
- (ii) if  $S \in G^N$  and if for each subsequence  $S_1$  of  $S$  there exists a subsequence  $S_2$  of  $S_1$  such that  $S_2 \rightarrow_P g$ , then  $S \rightarrow_P g$ ;
- (iii)  $\text{const}(g) \rightarrow_P g$  whenever  $g \in G$ ;
- (iv) if  $S \rightarrow_P g_1$  and  $S \rightarrow_P g_2$  then  $g_1 = g_2$ ;
- (v) if  $S_1 \rightarrow_P g_1$  and  $S_2 \rightarrow_P g_2$  then  $(S_1 - S_2) \rightarrow_P (g_1 - g_2)$ ,  $(S_1 \wedge S_2) \rightarrow_P (g_1 \wedge g_2)$  and  $(S_1 \vee S_2) \rightarrow_P (g_1 \vee g_2)$ ;
- (vi) if  $S_1 \rightarrow_P g$ ,  $S_2 \rightarrow_P g$  and if  $S \in G^N$  with  $S_1(n) \leq S(n) \leq S_2(n)$  for each  $n \in N$ , then  $S \rightarrow_P g$ .

In view of the above properties each convergence on  $G$  gives a convergence group in the sense of [4, 5, 11].

Conversely, let us have a partial function  $\rightarrow$  from  $G^N$  into  $G$  fulfilling (i)–(vi); if we put  $P = \{S \in (G^N)^+ : S \rightarrow 0\}$  then  $P \in \text{Conv } G$  and the partial functions  $\rightarrow$  and  $\rightarrow_P$  coincide.

**1.4. Remark.** Let  $P, Q \in \text{Conv } G$ . Then  $P \subseteq Q$  if and only if  $S \rightarrow_P g$  implies  $S \rightarrow_Q g$  whenever  $S \in G^N$  and  $g \in G$ . Therefore there is a one-to-one order preserving mapping from  $\text{Conv } G$  into the set  $\{\rightarrow_P : P \in \text{Conv } G\}$ , both naturally ordered.

## 2. SEMILATTICE $\text{Conv } G$

Again, let  $G$  be a lattice ordered group. Let the set  $\text{Conv } G$  be partially ordered by inclusion. In this section we are concerned with the properties of the partially ordered set  $\text{Conv } G$ . We denote by  $I$  a non-empty system of indices.

**2.1. Lemma.** Let  $P_i \in \text{Conv } G$  for each  $i \in I$ . Then the infimum of  $\{P_i : i \in I\}$  in  $\text{Conv } G$  exists. Namely,  $\inf \{P_i : i \in I\} = \bigcap_{i \in I} P_i$ .

Proof. Immediate; it suffices to verify that  $\bigcap_{i \in I} P_i \in \text{Conv } G$  (by Definition 1.2).

The following construction will help us to solve the question about suprema in  $\text{Conv } G$ .

Let  $Y$  be a non-empty subset of  $(G^N)^+$ . We denote

$\delta Y = \{S \in (G^N)^+ : \text{there exists } R \in Y \text{ such that } S \text{ is a subsequence of } R\}$ ;

$\langle Y \rangle = \{S \in (G^N)^+ : \text{there exist } R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k \in Y \text{ and } g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \in G \text{ such that } S(n) = g_1 + R_1(n) - g_1 + g_2 + R_2(n) - g_2 + \dots + g_k + R_k(n) - g_k \text{ for each } n \in N\}$ ;

$[Y] = \{S \in (G^N)^+ : \text{there exists } R \in Y \text{ such that } S(n) \leq R(n) \text{ for each } n \in N\}$ ;

$Y^* = \{S \in (G^N)^+ : \text{for each subsequence } S_1 \text{ of } S \text{ there exists a subsequence } S_2 \text{ of } S_1 \text{ such that } S_2 \in Y\}$ ;

$\bar{Y} = [\langle \delta Y \rangle]^*$ .

**2.2. Theorem.** *Let  $Y$  be a non-empty subset of  $(G^N)^+$ . If  $[\langle \delta Y \rangle]$  does not contain  $\text{const}(g)$  for any  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq 0$ , then  $\bar{Y}$  is the smallest element of  $\text{Conv } G$  containing  $Y$ . In the opposite case there exists no  $P \in \text{Conv } G$  containing  $Y$ .*

This assertion was established for the abelian case in [7] (Theorem 2). In the non-abelian case only slight modifications in the proof are needed (for details cf. [9], Theorem 1.18).

**2.3. Lemma.** *Let  $P_i \in \text{Conv } G$  for each  $i \in I$ . If there is  $P_{\text{up}} \in \text{Conv } G$  such that  $P_i \subseteq P_{\text{up}}$  for each  $i \in I$  then there exists  $\sup \{P_i : i \in I\}$  in  $\text{Conv } G$ . Namely  $\sup \{P_i : i \in I\} = \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \rangle^*$ .*

Proof. The system  $\mathcal{P} = \{P \in \text{Conv } G : P \subseteq P_{\text{up}}\}$  has a greatest element. By Lemma 2.1,  $\mathcal{P}$  is a complete lattice. Therefore there exists a supremum  $P_{\text{sup}}$  of the system  $\{P_i : i \in I\}$  in  $\mathcal{P}$ . Clearly,  $P_{\text{sup}}$  is the supremum of  $\{P_i : i \in I\}$  in  $\text{Conv } G$ . Denote  $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i$ . It is easy to see that  $Y \subseteq P_{\text{up}}$  and thus also  $[\langle \delta Y \rangle] \subseteq P_{\text{up}}$ . Since  $P_{\text{up}}$  has no constant sequence except  $\text{const}(0)$ ,  $[\langle \delta Y \rangle]$  cannot have it, either. By Theorem 2.2,  $P_{\text{sup}} = \bar{Y}$ . In order to complete the proof it suffices (because of  $\delta Y = Y$  and  $[\langle Y \rangle] \supseteq \langle Y \rangle$ ) to prove that  $[\langle Y \rangle] \subseteq \langle Y \rangle$ . Then  $P_{\text{sup}} = \bar{Y} = [\langle \delta Y \rangle]^* = \langle Y \rangle^* = \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \rangle^*$ . So, let  $S \in [\langle \delta Y \rangle]$ , i.e.  $S \in (G^N)^+$  and there is  $T \in \langle Y \rangle$  such that  $S(n) \leq T(n)$  for each  $n \in N$ . There exist  $k \in N$ ,  $T_j \in Y$ ,  $g_j \in G$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  such that  $T = \sum_{j=1}^k (\text{const}(g_j) + T_j - \text{const}(g_j))$ .

For the moment fix  $n \in N$ .

We have  $0 \leq S(n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k (g_j + T_j(n) - g_j)$ . Because of the Riesz property of a lattice ordered group (see for example [6]) there are  $S_1(n), S_2(n), \dots, S_k(n)$  in  $G$  such that  $0 \leq S_j(n) \leq g_j + T_j(n) - g_j$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , and  $S(n) = \sum_{j=1}^k S_j(n)$ .

In this way we get sequences  $S_j \in (G^N)^+$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  with  $S_j \leq \text{const}(g_j) + T_j - \text{const}(g_j)$  and  $S = \sum_{j=1}^k S_j$ . Now,  $T_j \in Y$  implies  $S_j \in Y$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and thus  $S \in \langle Y \rangle$ .

**2.4. Lemma.** *Let  $\{P_i : i \in I\}$  be a chain in  $\text{Conv } G$ . Then  $(\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i)^* \in \text{Conv } G$ .*

Proof. Straightforward.

A partially ordered set  $K$  is said to be a *complete lower semilattice* if each non-empty subset of  $K$  has an infimum in  $K$ .

- 2.5. Theorem.** (a)  $\text{Conv } G$  is a complete lower semilattice.  
 (b) Every chain of  $\text{Conv } G$  is bounded.  
 (c) Every closed interval of  $\text{Conv } G$  is a complete Brouwerian lattice.

Proof. (a) is a corollary of Lemma 2.1.

(b) is a corollary of Lemma 2.4.

(c): An arbitrary closed interval of  $\text{Conv } G$  has a greatest element and by Lemma 2.1, it contains infima of all of its non-empty subsets. Therefore it is a complete lattice. In view of [2], it suffices to prove that the infinite meet-distributive law holds for this complete lattice. We will do it. Let  $Q_1, Q_2 \in \text{Conv } G$  and  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2$ . Consider the closed interval of  $\text{Conv } G$  from  $Q_1$  to  $Q_2$ . Let  $P \in \text{Conv } G$  such that  $Q_1 \subseteq P \subseteq Q_2$ . Let  $I$  be a non-empty system of indices and let  $P_i \in \text{Conv } G$  and  $Q_1 \subseteq P_i \subseteq Q_2$  for each  $i \in I$ . According to Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, it suffices to verify that  $P \cap \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \rangle^* = \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} (P \cap P_i) \rangle^*$ .

“ $\subseteq$ ”: Let  $S \in P \cap \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \rangle^*$  and let  $T$  be a subsequence of  $S$ . Then there is a subsequence  $R$  of  $T$  belonging to  $\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \rangle$ . Therefore there are  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k \in \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i$  and  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k \in G$  such that  $R = \sum_{j=1}^k (\text{const}(g_j) + S_j - \text{const}(g_j))$ . Since  $g_j + S_j(n) - g_j \leq R(n)$  for each  $n \in N$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  and  $R \in P$ , thus  $S_j \in P$  for each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Because of  $S_j \in \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i$  we have  $\{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} (P \cap P_i)$  and  $R \in \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} P \cap P_i \rangle$ . Therefore  $S \in \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} (P \cap P_i) \rangle^*$ . The converse inequality (“ $\supseteq$ ”) is obvious.

Let  $G$  and  $\text{Conv } G$  be as above. Then the discrete convergence on  $G$  defined by  $d(G) = \{S \in (G^N)^+ : S(n) = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } n \in N\}$  is the smallest element of  $\text{Conv } G$ . On the other hand,  $\text{Conv } G$  need not have a greatest element (cf. [7, 9]). J. Jakubík has shown in [10] that if  $G$  is a completely distributive archimedean lattice ordered group, then  $\text{Conv } G$  has a greatest element.

**2.6. Theorem.** The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\text{Conv } G$  has a greatest element.
- (2)  $\text{Conv } G$  is an upward-directed set.
- (3)  $\text{Conv } G$  is a lattice.
- (4)  $\text{Conv } G$  is a complete lattice.

Proof. (1) implies (2), trivially. (2) and Lemmas 2.1, 2.3 imply (3). (3) implies (4): Suppose that  $\text{Conv } G$  is a lattice but not a complete one. In view of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 there are  $P_i \in \text{Conv } G$ ,  $i \in I$ , such that  $\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \rangle^* \notin \text{Conv } G$ . By Theorem 2.2 (with  $Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i$ ) there exists  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq 0$ , such that  $\text{const}(g) \in \langle \bigcup_{i \in I} P_i \rangle$ . Hence there are sequences  $S_1, S_2, \dots, S_k$  in  $\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i$  and elements  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k$  in  $G$  such that  $g = \sum_{j=1}^k (g_j + S_j(n) - g_j)$  for each  $n \in N$ . For each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  there is

$i(j) \in I$  with  $S_j \in P_{i(j)}$ . However, it follows that a finite subset of  $\text{Conv } G$ , e.g.,  $\{P_{i(1)}, P_{i(2)}, \dots, P_{i(k)}\}$  has no upper bound in  $\text{Conv } G$ ; this contradicts (3). Finally, (4) implies (1) trivially.

### 3. ATOMS OF $\text{Conv } G$

In this section we assume that  $G$  is an abelian lattice ordered group. First we recall some notions. Let  $S$  be a sequence in  $G$ . Then  $S$  is said to be *orthogonal* if  $S(n) > 0$  for each  $n \in N$  and  $S(i) \wedge S(j) = 0$  for each  $i, j \in N, i \neq j$ .

An element  $b \in G$  is basic if  $b > 0$  and the interval from zero to  $b$  is a chain.

The notion of an atom of the partially ordered set  $\text{Conv } G$  has the usual meaning. Thus, a convergence  $P \in \text{Conv } G$  is an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$  if for each  $Q \in \text{Conv } G, Q \subseteq P$  implies  $Q = d(G)$  or  $Q = P$  and  $P \neq d(G)$ .

**3.1. Lemma.** *Let  $G$  have no basic element and let  $S \in G^N$  with  $S(n) > 0$  for each  $n \in N$ . Then there exist  $T$  and  $S_0$  in  $G^N$  such that*

*$T$  is orthogonal,*

*$S_0$  is a subsequence of  $S$  and*

*$T(n) \leq S_0(n)$  for each  $n \in N$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $S(1)$  is not basic, there exist  $a_1, b_1 \in G$  such that  $0 < a_1 < S(1), 0 < b_1 < S(1)$  and  $a_1 \wedge b_1 = 0$ .

Denote  $N_1 = \{n \in N: S(n) \wedge a_1 > 0\}$ .

If  $N_1$  is a finite set, then put  $T(1) = a_1$  and denote by  $S'$  the sequence that arises from  $S$  by deleting the terms  $S(n)$  for which  $S(n) \wedge a_1 > 0$ .

If  $N_1$  is infinite, then put  $T(1) = b_1$  and denote by  $S'$  the sequence that arises from  $S \wedge \text{const}(a_1)$  by deleting the first term and all zero members.

In both of these cases our choice yields  $T(1)$  and  $S'$  such that  $0 < T(1) < S(1), S'(n) > 0$  for each  $n \in N, S' \wedge \text{const}(T(1)) = \text{const}(0)$  and a subsequence  $S_1$  of  $S'$  such that  $S'(n) \leq S_1(n)$  for each  $n \in N$ . Since  $S'(1)$  is not basic either, we can repeat the same procedure as we did for  $S$ , now for  $S'$ . In this way we obtain  $T(2) \in G, S'' \in G^N$  and a subsequence  $S_2$  of  $S'$  such that  $0 < T(2) < S'(1), S'' \wedge \text{const}(T(2)) = \text{const}(0)$  and  $0 < S''(n) \leq S_2(n)$  for each  $n \in N$ . Moreover,  $T(1) \wedge T(2) = 0$ .

We proceed by induction. The sequence  $T$  obtained is orthogonal and there is a subsequence  $S_0$  of  $S$  with  $T(n) \leq S_0(n)$  for each  $n \in N$ .

**3.2. Lemma.** *If  $P$  is an atom of  $\text{Conv } G$  then there is no orthogonal sequence in  $P$ .*

*Proof.* Assume to the contrary that  $T$  is an orthogonal sequence and  $T \in P$ . Denote

$T_1(n) = T(2n)$  and

$T_2(n) = T(2n + 1)$  for each  $n \in N$  and put

$P_1 = \overline{\{T_1\}}$  and

$P_2 = \overline{\{T_2\}}$ . By [8] (Theorem 7.3 and Corollary 7.6) we have  $P_1 \in \text{Conv } G$ ,  $P_2 \in \text{Conv } G$  and  $P_1 \neq P_2$ . On the other hand,  $P_1 \subseteq P$  and  $P_2 \subseteq P$  (cf. Theorem 2.2). Since  $P$  is an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$  and neither  $P_1$  nor  $P_2$  is a discrete convergence,  $P_1 = P = P_2$  is valid, which is a contradiction.

From 3.1 and 3.2 we obtain

**3.3. Theorem.** *If  $G$  has no basic element then  $\text{Conv } G$  has no atom.*

From now on throughout this section, let  $B$  denote the set of all basic elements of  $G$ . For a subset  $H$  of  $G$  we denote  $H^\perp = \{g \in G: |g| \wedge |h| = 0 \text{ for all } h \in H\}$ .

**3.4. Lemma.** *Let  $P$  be an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$  and let  $S \in P$  with  $S(n) > 0$  for each  $n \in N$ . Then  $\{S(n): n \in N\} \cap B^\perp$  is a finite set.*

*Proof.* On the contrary, suppose that there exists a subsequence  $S'$  of  $S$  such that  $S'(n) \in B^\perp$  for each  $n \in N$ . Since  $B^\perp$  is a convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  and thus an  $l$ -group without basic elements, we can apply Lemma 3.1 for  $B^\perp$  and  $S'$ . Therefore there are  $T'$  and  $S'_0$  in  $G^N$  such that

$T'$  is orthogonal,

$S'_0$  is a subsequence of  $S'$  and

$T'(n) \leq S'_0(n)$  for each  $n \in N$ .

Clearly,  $T' \in P$ , which contradicts Lemma 3.2.

**3.5. Lemma.** *Let  $H$  be a linearly ordered convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  and let  $S$  be a decreasing sequence in  $H$  with  $\inf \{S(n): n \in N\} = 0$ . Then  $\overline{\{S\}}$  is an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$ .*

*Proof.* Denote  $P_a = \overline{\{S\}}$ . By applying the results of [8],  $P_a \in \text{Conv } G$ . We shall show that  $P_a$  is an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$ . Let  $P \in \text{Conv } G$  and let  $P \subseteq P_a$ . It is easy to verify that  $P \cap H^N$  and  $P_a \cap H^N$  are elements of  $\text{Conv } H$ . According to [8] (Theorem 3.9),  $\text{Conv } H$  has at most two elements including  $d(H)$ . Since  $S \in P_a \cap H^N$  and thus  $P_a \cap H^N \neq d(H)$ , either  $P \cap H^N = d(H)$  or  $P \cap H^N = P_a \cap H^N$  follows. Because  $P = (P \cap H^N)^*$  and  $P_a = (P_a \cap H^N)^*$ , we have  $P = (P \cap H^N)^* = (d(H))^* = d(G)$  or  $P = (P \cap H^N)^* = (P_a \cap H^N)^* = P_a$ .

**3.6. Theorem.** *Let  $P \in \text{Conv } G$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i)  $P$  is an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$ ;

(ii) there exists a linearly ordered convex  $l$ -subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  which contains a decreasing sequence  $S$  such that  $\inf \{S(n): n \in N\} = 0$  and  $P = \overline{\{S\}}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$  and let  $T \in P$ ,  $T \notin d(G)$ . Assume that the set  $\{n \in N: T(n) \wedge b > 0\}$  is finite for each  $b \in B$ . By Lemma 3.4, the set  $\{n \in N: \text{there is } b \in B \text{ such that } T(n) \wedge b > 0\}$  is infinite. Then there is a subsequence  $S_T$  of  $T$  and a one-to-one sequence  $S_B \in B^N$  such that  $S_T(n) \wedge S_B(n) > 0$  for each  $n \in N$ . If we denote  $T_0(n) = S_T(n) \wedge S_B(n)$  for each  $n \in N$ , then  $T_0 \in P$  and  $T_0$  is orthogonal, which contradicts Lemma 3.2. So, our assumption above was not right and there

is  $b_0 \in B$  such that the set  $\{n \in N: T(n) \wedge b_0 > 0\}$  is infinite. Let  $S_0$  be the sequence that arises from  $T$  by deleting all terms  $T(n)$  for which  $T(n) \wedge b_0 = 0$ . Clearly,  $S_0 \in P$ .

Denote  $H = \{b_0\}^{\perp\perp}$ . Then  $H$  is a linearly ordered convex  $l$ -subgroup of  $G$  (cf. [1], Proposition 3.2.3 and Corollary 7.2.5) and  $S_0(n) \in H$  for each  $n \in N$ . It is easy to see (cf. [8], Lemma 3.3) that there exists a decreasing subsequence  $S$  of  $S_0$ ; therefore  $S \in P$  and  $\overline{\{S\}} \subseteq P$ . Since  $P$  is an atom in  $\text{Conv } G$  and  $S \notin d(G)$ , we have  $\overline{\{S\}} = P$ . By [8] (Lemma 3.2) we conclude  $\inf \{S(n): n \in N\} = 0$ . Lemma 3.5 completes the proof.

#### References

- [1] *A. Bigard, K. Keimel, S. Wolfenstein*: Groupes et Anneaux Réticulés. Springer-Verlag, Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1977.
- [2] *G. Birkhoff*: Lattice Theory. Third ed., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1967.
- [3] *C. J. Everett, S. Ulam*: On ordered groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 57, 1945, 208—216.
- [4] *R. Frič, V. Koutník*: Sequential convergence since Kanpur conference. General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra V (Proc. Fifth Prague Topological Sympos., 1981), Berlin 1982, 193—205.
- [5] *R. Frič, V. Koutník*: Recent development in sequential convergence. Convergence Structures and Applications II, Berlin 1984, 37—46.
- [6] *L. Fuchs*: Partially ordered algebraic systems. Pergamon Press, Oxford 1963.
- [7] *M. Harminc*: Sequential convergences on abelian lattice-ordered groups. Convergence Structures 1984 (Proc. Conf. on Convergence, Bechyně 1984). Akademie-Verlag Berlin, 1985, 153—158.
- [8] *M. Harminc*: The cardinality of the system of all sequential convergences on an abelian lattice ordered group. Czechoslovak Math. J. 37, 1987, 533—546.
- [9] *M. Harminc*: Convergences on lattice ordered groups. Dissertation. Math. Inst. Slovak Acad. Sci., 1986. (In Slovak.)
- [10] *J. Jakubík*: Convergences and complete distributivity of lattice ordered groups. Math. Slovaca 38, 1988, 269—272.
- [11] *J. Novák*: On convergence groups. Czechoslovak Math. J. 20, 1970, 357—374.
- [12] *F. Papangelou*: Order convergence and topological completion of commutative lattice-groups. Math. Ann. 155, 1964, 81—107.

*Author's address*: 041 54 Košice, Jesenná 5, Czechoslovakia (Katedra geometrie a algebrý PF UPJŠ).