

Bedřich Pondělíček

Modularity and distributivity of tolerance lattices of commutative separative semigroups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 35 (1985), No. 2, 333–337

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102021>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1985

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

MODULARITY AND DISTRIBUTIVITY OF TOLERANCE LATTICES
OF COMMUTATIVE SEPARATIVE SEMIGROUPS

BEDŘICH PONDĚLÍČEK, Praha

(Received May 7, 1984)

By a tolerance on a semigroup S we mean a reflexive and symmetric subsemigroup of the direct product $S \times S$. The set $\mathcal{L}(S)$ of all tolerances on S forms a complete algebraic lattice with respect to set inclusion (see [1] and [2]). The aim of this paper is to consider modularity and distributivity of $\mathcal{L}(S)$ when S is a commutative separative semigroup.

For any tolerance T on a semigroup S we have

$$(1) \quad (au, bv) = (a, b)(u, v) \in T$$

whenever $(a, b) \in T$ and $(u, v) \in T$. This implies that for every positive integer m we have

$$(2) \quad (a, b)^m = (a^m, b^m) \in T$$

whenever $(a, b) \in T$. For all $a, b, z \in S$ we shall use the following notation: $(a, b)z = (az, bz)$.

Let $\emptyset \neq A \subseteq S \times S$. By $T(A)$ we denote the least tolerance on S containing A . The symbol S^1 stands for S if S has an identity, otherwise it stands for S with an identity adjoined.

Lemma 1. *Let S be a commutative semigroup. For $x, y \in S$, $x \neq y$, we have $(x, y) \in T(A)$ if and only if $x = x_1x_2 \dots x_nz$ and $y = y_1y_2 \dots y_nz$, where $z \in S^1$ and either $(x_i, y_i) \in A$ or $(y_i, x_i) \in A$.*

Proof. Apply (1).

This implies the following:

Lemma 2. *Let S be a commutative semigroup and $a, b \in S$, $a \neq b$. For $x, y \in S$, $x \neq y$, we have $(x, y) \in T(a, b)$ if and only if there exist $z \in S^1$ and a positive integer m such that either $(x, y) = (a, b)^m z$ or $(x, y) = (b, a)^m z$.*

By \vee and \wedge we denote the join or meet in the lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$. Clearly we have $A \vee B = T(A \cup B)$ and $A \wedge B = A \cap B$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{L}(S)$.

First, we shall consider commutative regular semigroups. Recall that every commutative regular semigroup S is a semilattice of commutative groups (see [3]). The set of all idempotents of S is denoted by $E(S)$ and is partially ordered by: $e \leq f$ if $ef = e$. We write $e < f$ for $e \leq f$ and $e \neq f$. By $e \parallel f$ we denote the fact that idempotents e, f are incomparable. For any integer k , by x^k we denote the k -power of an element x of S in the maximal subgroup G_e of S containing an idempotent $e = x^0$. It is known that for all $x, y \in S$ and all integers k we have

$$(3) \quad (xy)^k = x^k y^k.$$

By $J(S)$ we denote the set of all tolerances I on a commutative regular semigroup S satisfying the following condition:

$$(4) \quad \text{If } (a, b) \in I, \text{ then } (a^{-1}, b^{-1}) \in I.$$

Using (3) and Lemma 1 we can show that $J(S)$ is a sublattice of $\mathcal{L}(S)$.

Theorem 1. *Let S be a commutative regular semigroup. Then the lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is modular if and only if S satisfies the following conditions:*

(M1) *If e, f are two idempotents of S such that $e \parallel f$, then at least one of them is maximal with respect to the order in $E(S)$ and there exists no idempotent g of S such that $g \parallel ef$.*

(M2) *If e, f are two idempotents of S such that $e < f$, then $ze = e$ for every element z of the maximal subgroup G_f of S .*

(M3) *If e, f, g are three idempotents of S such that $e < f$ and $e \parallel g$, then the maximal subgroup G_g of S contains exactly one element.*

(M4) *S is either periodic or $E(S)$ contains the greatest element i and the maximal subgroup G_e of S is periodic for each $e < i$.*

Proof. I. Suppose that the lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is modular. Then the sublattice $J(S)$ of $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is modular and so according to Theorem 1.1 of [4], S satisfies the conditions (M1), (M2) and (M3). Now, we shall show that S has the property (M4).

Let a be a non periodic element of S . By way of contradiction, assume that there exists an idempotent e of S such that either $a^0 < e$ or $a^0 \parallel e$. Put $A = T(a^2, e)$, $B = T(a^3, e)$ and $C = T((a^2, e), (a^5, e))$. It is clear that $A \subseteq C$. Since the lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is modular, it follows from Lemma 1 that $(a^5, e) \in (A \vee B) \wedge C = A \vee (B \wedge C)$.

Suppose that $(a^5, e) \in A$. Then, by Lemma 2 and (3), we have $(a^5, e) = (a^2, e)^m z$ for a positive integer m and $z \in S^1$. Assume that $z \in S$, then $e \leq z^0$. If $a^0 < e$, then $a^0 < z^0$ and so, by (M2), we have $a^0 = a^0 z$. This implies that $a^5 = a^{2m} z = a^{2m}$, which is a contradiction. If $a^0 \parallel e$, then according to (M3), we obtain that $e = z^0$. Then, by (3), we have $a^0 = (a^5)^0 = (a^{2m} z)^0 = a^0 z^0 \leq z^0 = e$, a contradiction. If $z \notin S$, then we have $a^5 = a^{2m}$, a contradiction.

In an analogous manner it can be shown that $(a^5, e) \notin B$. According to Lemma 1 and (1), we have $(a^5, e) = (u, x)(v, y)$ for some $(u, x) \in A \setminus B$ and $(v, y) \in (B \cap C) \setminus A$.

From (3) it follows that $e \leq x^0$ and so $x \notin Sa^0$. Thus, by Lemma 2, we have $(u, x) = (a^2, e)^m z$ for some $z \in S^1$ and a positive integer m . Analogously it can be shown that $(v, y) = (a^3, e)^n w$ for some $w \in S^1$ and a positive integer n . We shall prove that $v = a^3$. We have $(a^5, e) = (a^{2m+3n}, e)zw$. Assume that $zw \in S$, then $e \leq (zw)^0$. If $a^0 < e$, then $a^0 < (zw)^0$. It follows from (M2) that $a^0 = a^0zw = a^0w$ and so $a^5 = a^{2m+3n}$. This implies that $n = 1$ and so $v = a^3w = a^3$. If $a^0 \parallel e$, then, by (M3), we have $e = (zw)^0$. Using (3) we get $a^0 = (a^5)^0 = a^0(zw)^0 \leq e$, which is a contradiction. If $zw \notin S$, then we have $a^5 = a^{2m+3n}$ and so $v = a^3$. Since $(v, y) \in C \setminus A$ and $y \notin Sa^0$, we have according to Lemma 1, $(v, y) = (a^5, e)^r t$ or $(v, y) = (a^5, e)^r (a^2, e)^s t$ for some $t \in S^1$ and some positive integers r, s . This implies $a^3 = v = a^{5r}t$ or $a^3 = a^{5r+2s}t$ and so $t \in S$. It follows from (3) that $e \leq y^0 \leq t^0$. If $a^0 < e$, then $a^0 < t^0$ and so, by (M2), we have $a^0 = a^0t$. Therefore $a^3 = a^{5r}$ or $a^3 = a^{5r+2s}$, which is a contradiction. If $a^0 \parallel e$, then according to (M3) we have $e = t^0$. Using (3) we get $a^0 \leq v^0 \leq t^0 = e$, a contradiction. Therefore S satisfies the condition (M4).

II. Suppose that S satisfies the conditions (M1), (M2), (M3) and (M4). According to Theorem 1.1 of [4], the lattice $J(S)$ is modular. It follows from (M4) and Theorem 7 of [5] that $\mathcal{L}(S) = J(S)$ and so the lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is modular.

Corollary 1. *Let S be a commutative regular semigroup. If the lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is modular, then $\mathcal{L}(S) = J(S)$.*

The following result is a generalization of the well known Ores' theorem (see [6]) that for every commutative group G the lattice $\mathcal{L}(G)$ (which coincides with the lattice of all congruences on G , see [7]) is distributive if and only if G is locally cyclic, i.e. every its subgroup generated by a finite set of generators is cyclic. Let x be a periodic element of a commutative regular semigroup S . By $\text{ord } x$ we denote the order of x in the maximal subgroup G_{x^0} of S .

Theorem 2. *Let S be a commutative regular semigroup. Then the lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is distributive if and only if S satisfies:*

(M1), (M2), (M3) and (M4).

(D1) *Every maximal subgroup of S is locally cyclic.*

(D2) *Let G_e, G_f be two maximal subgroups of S such that $e \parallel f$, $e, f \in E(S)$. If $x \in G_e$ and $y \in G_f$, then $\text{ord } x, \text{ord } y$ are relatively prime.*

The proof follows from Theorem 1, Theorem 7 of [5] and Theorem 1.1 of [4].

A semigroup S is said to be *separative* if $a^2 = ab = b^2$ imply $a = b$ ($a, b \in S$).

Lemma 3. *Let S be a commutative separative semigroup, $a \in S$. If $a^2 \in a^3S^1$, then $a \in a^2S^1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $a^2 \in a^3S^1$. Then $a^2 = a^2(ab)$ for some $b \in S^1$ and so $a^2(ab) = a^2(ab)^2$. Hence we have $a = a(ab) \in a^2S^1$.

Theorem 3. *Let S be a commutative semigroup whose lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ is modular. Then S is regular if and only if it is separative.*

Proof. Suppose that the lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ of a commutative semigroup is modular. It follows from (3) that every commutative regular semigroup is separative. Now, we shall assume that S is separative and not regular. Then there exists $a \in S$ such that $a \notin a^2S^1$.

We shall distinguish two cases.

Case 1: a is periodic. Then there exist positive integers k and m such that $a^k = e = e^2$, $a^m e \neq a^m$ and $a^{2m} e = a^{2m}$. We have $(a^m)^2 = a^m(a^m e) = (a^m e)^2$ and so $a^m = a^m e$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2: a is not periodic. Put $A = T(a^2, a)$, $B = T(a^3, a)$ and $C = T((a^2, a), (a^5, a^2))$. It is clear that $A \subseteq C$ and so, by Lemma 1, $(a^5, a^2) \in (A \vee B) \wedge C = A \vee (B \wedge C)$.

Suppose that $(a^5, a^2) \in A$. Then, by Lemma 2, we have $(a^5, a^2) = (a^2, a)^m z$ or $(a^5, a^2) = (a, a^2)^m z$ for a positive integer m and $z \in S^1$. Assume that $(a^5, a^2) = (a^2, a)^m z$. Then $a^5 = a^{2m} z = a^m(a^m z) = a^{m+2}$ and so $m = 3$. Therefore $a^2 \in a^3 S^1$. Lemma 3 implies that $a \in a^2 S^1$, which is a contradiction. Assume that $(a^5, a^2) = (a, a^2)^m z$. Then $a^2 = a^{2m} z = a^m(a^m z) = a^{m+5}$, a contradiction.

Analogously we can show that $(a^5, a^2) \notin B$. According to Lemma 1 and (1), we have $(a^5, a^2) = (u, x)(v, y)$ for some $(u, x) \in A \setminus B$ and $(v, y) \in (B \cap C) \setminus A$. Then, by Lemma 2, we obtain that $(u, x) = (a^2, a)^m z$ or $(u, x) = (a, a^2)^m z$ for a positive integer m and $z \in S^1$. Further, there exist a positive integer n and $w \in S^1$ such that $(v, y) = (a^3, a)^n w$ or $(v, y) = (a, a^3)^n w$. We have the following two possibilities:

Case a: $(u, x) = (a^2, a)^m z$ and $(v, y) = (a^3, a)^n w$. Then $a^5 = uv = a^{2m+3n} zw = a^{m+2n}(a^m z)(a^n w) = a^{m+2n} xy = a^{m+2n+2}$ and so $m = n = 1$. Hence we have $a^2 = xy = a^2 zw = a^2(zw)^2$ and so $a = a zw$. Since $(v, y) \in C \setminus A$, it follows from Lemma 1 that $y = a^2 c$ for some $c \in S^1$. Thus we have $a = a w z = y z = a^2 c z$, which is a contradiction.

Case b: $(u, x) = (a, a^2)^m z$ or $(v, y) = (a, a^3)^n w$. In both cases we have $a^2 = xy \in a^3 S^1$. Lemma 3 implies $a \in a^2 S^1$, which is a contradiction.

Corollary 2. *Let S be a commutative semigroup. Then S is separative with the modular (or distributive) lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ if and only if S is regular and satisfies the conditions (Mi) (respectively (Mi), (D1) and (D2)) for $i = 1, 2, 3$ and 4.*

The proof follows from Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

Compare with [8].

It is easy to show that every commutative cancellative semigroup is separative and every commutative regular cancellative semigroup is a group.

Corollary 3. *Let S be a commutative semigroup. Then S is cancellative with the modular (or distributive) lattice $\mathcal{L}(S)$ if and only if S is a group (respectively a locally cyclic group).*

References

- [1] *Chajda I.*: Lattices of compatible relations. Arch. Math. (Brno) 13 (1977), 89–96.
- [2] *Chajda I.* and *Zelinka B.*: Lattices of tolerances. Čas. pěst. mat. 102 (1977), 10–24.
- [3] *Clifford A. H.* and *Preston G. B.*: The algebraic theory of semigroups. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. Vol. I (1961); Vol. II (1967).
- [4] *Pondělíček B.*: Modularity and distributivity of tolerances lattices of commutative inverse semigroups. Czech. Math. J. (to appear).
- [5] *Pondělíček B.*: Atomicity of tolerance lattices of commutative semigroups. Czech. Math. J. 33 (108) 1983, 485–498.
- [6] *Ore O.*: Structures and group theory II. Duke Math. J. 4 (1938), 247–269.
- [7] *Zelinka B.*: Tolerance in algebraic structures II. Czech. Math. J. 25 (100) 1975, 175–178.
- [8] *Hamilton H.*: Modularity and distributivity of the congruence lattice of a commutative separative semigroup. Math. Japonica 27, No. 5 (1982), 581–589.

Author's address: 166 27 Praha 6, Suchbátarova 2, Czechoslovakia (Elektrotechnická fakulta ČVUT).