C. T. Tucker

Pointwise and order convergence for spaces of continuous functions and spaces of Baire functions

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 34 (1984), No. 4, 562–569

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101982

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 34 (109) 1984, Praha

POINTWISE AND ORDER CONVERGENCE FOR SPACES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS AND SPACES OF BAIRE FUNCTIONS

C. T. TUCKER, Houston

(Received November 3, 1982)

Suppose L is a Riesz space (a lattice ordered vector space). For notation and basic terminology concerning Riesz spaces, the reader is referred to Luxemberg and Zaanen [6]. A *Riesz homomorphism* between two Riesz spaces is a positive linear map that preserves the finite lattice operations. The Riesz space L is *almost σ-complete* if it is Riesz isomorphic to a subspace $L^{\sim}$ of a σ-complete space M with the property that if $m \in M^+$, there is a sequence (u_n) such that $u_n \in L^{\sim}$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$, $0 \leqq u_1 \leqq \leqq u_2 \leqq \ldots$, and $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n = m$. See Aliprantis and Langford [1] or Quinn [10] for some properties of almost σ-complete spaces.

A sequence $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ is *order Cauchy* if there is a sequence $y_1 \geqq y_2 \geqq y_3 \geqq \ldots \ldots \geqq 0$, $\bigwedge y_n = 0$, such that, for $m \geqq n$, $|f_m - f_n| \leqq y_n$. If every order Cauchy sequence converges then L is *order Cauchy complete*. By Corollary 8.5 of Quinn [12], L is σ-complete if and only if it is almost σ-complete and order Cauchy complete.

Suppose Q is a set and Ω is a Riesz space of real valued functions defined on Q containing the constants. Then $B_1(\Omega)$ (the first Baire class of Ω) is the set of all pointwise limits of sequences of Ω. Also, $USB_1(\Omega)$ is the set of all pointwise limits of non-increasing sequences of $B_1(\Omega)$. For a discussion of Baire spaces see Mauldin [7] or [8].

If X is a topological space, then X is said to be a *P-space*, if $C(X)$, the set of all continuous functions on X, is closed with respect to pointwise convergence. In Gilman and Henriksen [4], P-spaces were introduced. See also Regoli [13] for a discussion of some properties of P-spaces.

It is the purpose of this paper to compare monotone pointwise convergence with monotone order convergence and bounded pointwise convergence with order convergence in $B_1(\Omega)$ and in $C(X)$. All convergence referred to here is sequential convergence.

A first easy observation is that monotone pointwise convergence implies monotone order convergence in both $B_1(\Omega)$ and $C(X)$.

Theorem 1. *Suppose X is either a completely regular Baire space with the countable chain condition or a perfectly normal Baire space. Then bounded pointwise convergence implies order convergence in $C(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose X is a completely regular Baire space with the countable chain condition. Suppose $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ is a sequence of functions in $C(X)$ pointwise converging to 0 and $0 \leqq f_i \leqq 1$ for each positive integer i. Let $f_{ij} = ((f_i \wedge (1/2)^{j-1}) \vee \vee (1/2)^j) - (1/2)^j$ for each positive integer i and j. Note that $f_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_{ij}$ and $0 \leqq f_{ij} \leqq \leqq (1/2)^j$. Also for every point x of X and positive integer j there exists a positive integer k such that if $i > k$, then $f_{ij}(x) = 0$.

For each positive integer i and j let Z_{ij} be the set to which x belongs if $f_{pj}(x) = 0$ for $p \geqq i$ and let U_{ij} be the interior of Z_{ij}. Note that Z_{ij} is closed. For each positive integer j, $Z_{1j} \subset Z_{2j} \subset Z_{3j} \subset \ldots$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_{ij} = X$. Let M_j be the complement of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{ij}$. Since $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{ij}$ is open, M_j is closed. The interior of M_j is an open set which is the countable union of a collection of sets each of which is the subset of the boundary of Z_{ij}, for some i. As the boundary of each Z_{ij} is nowhere dense and X is a Baire space, M_j does not contain an interior point and M_j is nowhere dense. Therefore $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_{ij}$ is an open dense set.

As X is completely regular, for each point x of U_{ij} there is a continuous function h_x such that $0 \leqq h_x \leqq 1$, $h_x(x) = 1$ and $h_x(y) = 0$ for each y not in U_{ij}. Let V_x be the cozero set of h_x. Let ω be a maximal disjoint collection of V_x and B_{ij} be the union of the sets in ω. As X has the countable chain condition, $\omega = \{V_1, V_2, \ldots\}$ is countable. Suppose y belongs to U_{ij} and N is an open set containing y. If N is disjoint from the sets in ω, a V_y containing y and no point of B_{ij} can be constructed as X is completely regular. Then ω is not maximal. Thus y is a limit point of B_{ij} and B_{ij} is dense in U_{ij}. It follows that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{ij}$ is an open dense set.

Let $\{k_1, k_2, \ldots\}$ be a collection of continuous functions such that for each positive integer p, $0 \leqq k_p \leqq 1$ and V_p is the cozero set of k_p and let $t_{ij} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (1/2)^n k_n$. Then t_{ij} is continuous and its cozero set is B_{ij}. Let $r_{ij} = \bigwedge_{i \geqq p,n} (1 - ((p \cdot t_{nj}) \wedge 1))$. Then $r_{1j} \geqq r_{2j} \geqq r_{3j} \geqq \ldots$ is a sequence of continuous functions converging to zero on an open dense set $W_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{ij}$ such that $r_{ij} \geqq f_{ij}$. Let $g_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} r_{ij}$. Thus $g_1 \geqq g_2 \geqq \geqq g_3 \geqq \ldots$ is a sequence of continuous functions converging to zero on $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} W_j$. As X is a Baire space $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} W_j$ is dense. Further $g_i \geqq f_i$. Therefore $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ order converges to zero.

Suppose X is a perfectly normal Baire space. Define f_{ij} as before. Let $q_{ij} = \bigvee_{p \geqq i} f_{pj}$. Then q_{ij} is lower semi-continuous, q_{ij} converges pointwise to zero as $i \to \infty$, and for every point x in X there exists a positive integer k such that $q_{kj}(x) = 0$. Let m_{ij} be the pointwise limit of $\{(nq_{ij}) \wedge (1/2)^j\}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. Then m_{ij} is lower semi-continuous, is equal to zero wherever q_{ij} is equal to zero, and is equal to $(1/2)^j$ wherever q_{ij} is not equal to zero. Let $r_{ij}(x) = 0$ if x belongs to the interior of $m_{ij}^{-1}(0)$ and equal $(1/2)^j$ otherwise. Since $m_{ij}^{-1}(0)$ is closed, its boundary is nowhere dense. Thus r_{ij} is a non-increasing sequence which converges pointwise to zero as $i \to \infty$ on a set of the second category in X. Also r_{ij} is upper semi-continuous. Since X is perfectly normal there exists a non-increasing sequence h_{ijk}, $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, of continuous functions converging pointwise to r_{ij}. Let $g_{1j} = h_{1j1} \wedge (1/2)^j$ and $g_{pj} = \bigwedge_{i+k=p} h_{ijk} \wedge (1/2)^j$ if $p > 1$. Then g_{pj}, $p = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, is a non-increasing sequence of continuous functions such that $g_{pj} \geqq r_{pj} \geqq m_{pj} \geqq q_{pj} \geqq f_{pj}$ and g_{pj} converges pointwise to zero on a set of the second category in X.

Let $g_p = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g_{pj}$. Then g_p is continuous, $g_p \geqq f_p$, and g_p converges to zero except possibly on a set of the first category in X. In particular g_p converges to zero on a dense subset of X. Thus f_p order converges to zero.

Example 2. Let X be the square of the interval $[0, 1]$ ordered lexicographically. Take as a basis for the topology on X the sets of the form

$$\{(a, x) \mid b > x > c\},$$
$$\{(a, x) \mid 1 \geqq x > b\}, \text{ and}$$
$$\{(a, x) \mid c > x \geqq 0\}.$$

This is a perfectly normal Baire space without the countable chain condition.

The following example is due to H. Cook.

Let M be the subset of the plane to which x belongs if the first coordinate of x is either 0 or 1 and the second coordinate of x is in the closed interval $[0, 1]$. Order M lexicographically. Let a basis for the topology on M be the collection of sets

$$\{(x, y) \mid a < x < b,\ c \leqq y < d\}$$

and

$$\{(x, y) \mid a < x \leqq b,\ c < y < d\}.$$

Let $L = M \times M$. Then L is compact and has the countable chain condition. On the other hand, let R be the subset of L consisting of all pairs of points of M each of whose first coordinate is 1. Then R is the square of an interval of the Sorgenfrey line and is not normal. This implies that L is not completely normal (and thus not perfectly normal).

Theorem 3. *Suppose L is a linear lattice of real valued functions and bounded pointwise convergence implies order convergence in L. Then L is almost σ-complete.*

Proof. Let $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots\}$ be a bounded disjoint sequnce in L. As $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$, converges pointwise to 0, it must order converge to 0. By Theorem 2.2 of Fremlin [3], L is almost σ-complete. + e

In [10] Meyer gives a necessary and sufficient condition on a normal topological space X for $C(X)$ to be almost σ-complete. Compare Theorems 1 and 3 here with his Corollary 8. Also see section 9 of [5].

Example 4. There exists a compact Hausdorff space X such that $C(X)$ is not almost σ-complete. Let H denote the set of all continuous functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ and K denote the set of bounded functions in $B_1(H)$. Since $B_1(H)$ is not closed with respect to pointwise convergence it is not σ-complete (Tucker [18], Lemma 4). As it is order Cauchy complete (Tucker [18], Proposition 6), $B_1(H)$ can not be almost σ-complete. If ω denotes the functions it is σ-complete.

Suppose $f_1 \geqq f_2 \geqq f_3 \geqq \ldots$ is a sequence of functions in $C(X)$ converging pointwise to a function f and, further, suppose x is a point of X such that f is not continuous at x. Let $k = f(x) - 1$. Replace each of f_i with $f_i \vee k$ and f with $f \vee k$. Since $\{f_i \vee k\}$ is bounded below and $C(X)$ is σ-complete, $\bigwedge(f_i \vee k)$ is in $C(X)$ and since monotone order convergence implies pointwise convergence $\bigwedge(f_i \vee k) = f \vee k$.

By Theorem 1 of Tucker [14], any function which is the pointwise limit of a sequence of functions in $C(X)$ can be uniformly approximated by the difference of two functions each of which is the pointwise limit of a non-increasing sequence of functions in $C(X)$ and is therefore continuous.

Since $C(X)$ is closed under pointwise convergence, X is a P-space.

(3) implies (2). If X is a P-space, $C(X)$ is closed with respect to pointwise convergence. If $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ is a bounded sequence converging pointwise to f, let $y_n(x) = \max_{i \geqq n} f_i(x)$. Then $y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots$ is a nonincreasing sequence of functions converging pointwise to f and $y_n \geqq f_n$. Thus $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ order converges to f. Also any monotone order convergent sequence is pointwise convergent (Tucker [16], Lemma 3), so that any order convergent sequence is pointwise convergent.

(2) implies (1). By Theorem 3, $C(X)$ is almost σ-complete.

A Riesz space L is said to have the *sequential mapping continuity property* (abbreviated s.m.c. property) if every positive linear map from L into an Archimedean, directed, partially ordered vector space is sequentially order continuous. This property was defined in [16] and was shown in K which take on only the values 0 and 1, every function in K is the uniform limit of a sequence of functions each of which is a linear combination of the functions in ω. (Tucker [17], Theorem 7). The functions in ω form a Boolean algebra, so by the Stone representation theorem they are isomorphic to the open and closed sets of a totally disconnected compact Hausdorff space X. The natural mapping between K and $C(X)$ is a Riesz isomorphism. As one can note from the definition of almost σ-complete, it is a property which is preserved by Riesz isomorphisms. Thus $C(X)$ is not almost σ-complete.

Theorem 5. *The following three statements are equivalent*:

(1) *$C(X)$ is almost σ-complete and monotone order convergence implies pointwise convergence,*

(2) *Order convergence in $C(X)$ is equivalent to bounded pointwise convergence, and*

(3) *X is a P-space.*

Proof. (1) implies (3). By Lemma 2.10 of Papangelou [11], $C(X)$ is order Cauchy complete if and only if when each of $x_1 \geqq x_2 \geqq x_3 \geqq \dots$ and $w_1 \leqq w_2 \leqq w_3 \leqq \dots$ is a sequence of functions in $C(X)$ with the property that $x_n \geqq w_n$ for each n and $\{x_n - w_n\}$ order converges to 0 then there is a function u in $C(X)$ such that $\bigwedge x_n = u$ and $\bigvee w_n = u$. Since monotone order convergence is assumed to imply pointwise convergence in $C(X)$, then if x_n and w_n are as described above then $\{x_n - w_n\}$ pointwise converges to 0. Thus the pointwise infimum of x_n is the pointwise supremum of w_n and since it is both upper semi-continuous and lower semi-continuous, it is continuous. So that $C(X)$ is order Cauchy complete and since it is assumed to be almost σ-complete, to apply to a large class of Riesz spaces. Also see [3]. Huijsmans and de Pagter in [5] prove a theorem (Theorem 9.3) for general Riesz spaces which when specialized to $C(X)$ yields that X is a P-space if and only if $C(X)$ is almost σ-complete and has the s.m.c. property. Combining this with Theorem 5 gives that if $C(X)$ is almost σ-complete, then $C(X)$ has the s.m.c. property if and only if order convergence implies pointwise convergence. This is not true for Riesz spaces in general, e.g. let L be the space of bounded sequences. Then L is almost σ-complete and order convergence implies pointwise convergence, but L does not have the s.m.c. property.

Corollary 6. *If $C(X)$ is almost σ-complete then every positive linear functional on $C(X)$ is an integral if and only if X is a P-space.*

Proof. If X is a P-space, then $C(X)$ is closed with respect to pointwise convergence and every positive linear functional on $C(X)$ is sequentially continuous. (Tucker [16], Proposition 4). If every positive linear functional on $C(X)$ is sequentially continuous, then $\varrho_x(f) = f(x)$ is sequentially continuous and order convergence implies pointwise convergence. By Theorem 5, X is a P-space.

Example 7. There exists a topological space X such that $C(X)$ is almost σ-complete but bounded pointwise convergence does not imply order convergence for sequences. Let X be the set of rational numbers in $[0, 1]$ with the topology induced by the ordinary topology on $[0, 1]$. Suppose H is a subset of $C(X)$ and $f = \bigvee_{h \in H} h$. For each x_i in X let $g(x_i) = \text{l.u.b.}_{h \in H} \{h(x_i)\}$. There is a countable subset $\{h_{ij}\}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, of H

such that $\text{l.u.b.}_{j} \{h_{ij}(x_i)\} = g(x_i)$. Thus $\{h_{ij}\}$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j = 1, 2, \ldots$, is a countable subset of H such that $\text{l.u.b.}_{ij} \{h_{ij}\} = g$ and $\bigvee_{ij} h_{ij} = f$. So that, $C(X)$ is order separable and thus almost σ-complete. (Aliprantis and Langford [1]). For each positive integer j let N_{ij}, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, j$, be a collection of circles such that N_{ij} has center x_j, the radius of $N_{ij} \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$, and $N_{pj} \cap N_{qj} = \emptyset$, $p < q \leqq j$. Let $f_j(x) = 1$ if x is exterior to each of $N_{1j}, N_{2j}, \ldots, N_{jj}$ and f_j decreases linearly to zero between each circle and its center. If $k_j \geqq f_p$, for all $p \geqq j$, then $k_j \geqq 1$ and thus $\{f_j\}$ does not order converge to 0 even though it converges pointwise.

The situation of $B_1(\Omega)$ is much less complicated. It has been shown previously that order convergence implies pointwise convergence. (See Tucker [16], Lemma 3). For the converse we have the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *Bounded pointwise convergence implies order convergence in $B_1(\Omega)$ if and only if $B_1(\Omega)$ is closed with respect to pointwise convergence which implies that it is the set of A measurable functions for some σ-algebra A.*

Proof. If bounded pointwise convergence implies order convergence, by Theorem 3, $B_1(\Omega)$ is almost σ-complete. By Proposition 6 of Tucker [18], $B_1(\Omega)$ is order Cauchy complete. Thus $B_1(\Omega)$ is σ-complete. By Lemma 4 of Tucker [18], it is closed under pointwise convergence. Therefore the conclusion follows. (See Regoli [13] or Bogdan [2].)

If $B_1(\Omega)$ is closed with respect to pointwise convergence and $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ is a bounded sequence converging pointwise to f, let $y_n(x) = \max_{i \geqq n} f_i(x)$. Then $y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots$ is a nonincreasing sequence of functions in $B_1(\Omega)$ converging pointwise to f and $y_n \geqq f_n$. Thus $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ order converges to f.

In [9], Meyer shows that if X is an infinite dispersed compact Hausdorff space then $C(X)$ is not closed with respect to pointwise convergence but $B_1(C(X))$ is.

The following theorems expand on the relationship between P-spaces and spaces of Baire functions. These theorems also supplement the results in Tucker [17].

In the following, $B_2(\Omega) = B_1(B_1(\Omega))$, and in general if α is an ordinal, $\alpha > 0$, $B_\alpha(\Omega)$ is the family of pointwise limits of sequences from $\bigcup_{\alpha > \gamma} B_\gamma(\Omega)$. If ω_1 is the first uncountable ordinal then $B_{\omega_1}(\Omega) = B_{\omega_1 + 1}(\Omega)$ which will be denoted as $B(\Omega)$.

Theorem 9. *If ϱ is a real valued Riesz homomorphism defined on $B_1(\Omega)$, then ϱ can be extended to $B_2(\Omega)$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3 of Tucker [18], ϱ can be extended as a positive linear functional. To show that the extension of ϱ is a Riesz homomorphism consider f and g in $USB_1(\Omega)$ such that $f \wedge g = 0$. There exists a sequence $\{f_i\}$ of $B_1(\Omega)$ such that $f = \bigwedge f_i$ and there exists a sequence $\{g_i\}$ of $B_1(\Omega)$ such that $g = \bigwedge g_i$. Since $\{f_i \wedge g_i\}$ converges pointwise to zero, $\varrho(f_i \wedge g_i) = \varrho(f_i) \wedge \varrho(g_i)$ converges pointwise to zero by Theorem 3 of Tucker [17]. As $\varrho(f) = \bigwedge \varrho(f_i)$ and $\varrho(g) = \bigwedge \varrho(g_i)$ also by Theorem 3, $\varrho(f) \wedge \varrho(g) = 0$. Now suppose h and k are in $B_2(\Omega)$ and $h \wedge \wedge k = 0$. There exists a sequence $\{h_i\}$ of points in $(USB_1(\Omega))^+$ and a sequence $\{k_i\}$ of points in $(USB_1(\Omega))^+$ such that $h = \bigvee h_i$ and $k = \bigvee k_i$. Since $h \wedge k = 0$, $h_i \wedge \wedge k_i = 0$, which implies $\varrho(h_i) \wedge \varrho(k_i) = 0$, which in turn implies $\varrho(h) \wedge \varrho(k) = 0$. Thus the extension is a Riesz homomorphism.

Theorem 10. *If $B_1(\Omega)$ is mapped by a Riesz homomorphism ϱ into $C(X)$ so that for each f in $C^+(X)$ there is a subset ω of $\varrho(B_1(\Omega))$ such that f is the pointwise supremum of the functions in ω, then X is a P-space.*

Proof. By Theorem 2 of Tucker [17], $\varrho = \alpha\beta$ where β is a Riesz homomorphism from $B_1(\Omega)$ to $C(X)$, $\beta(1) = 1$, and α is multiplication by a function h in $C(X)$. Suppose there exists a point x in X such that $h(x) = 0$. Then $f(x) = 0$ for each f in $\varrho(B_1(\Omega))$ and the constant function 1 is not the pointwise supremum of any subset of $\varrho(B_1(\Omega))$. Therefore $h(x) \neq 0$ for each x in X and $1/h$ is a continuous function. Thus $\alpha^{-1}\alpha\beta = \beta$ is a Riesz homomorphism of $B_1(\Omega)$ into $C(X)$ with the property that $\beta(1) = 1$ and for each f in $C^+(X)$ there is a subset ω of $\beta(B_1(\Omega))$ such that f is the pointwise supremum of the functions in ω.

Let Z be a zero set of $C(X)$. There exists a function f in $C(X)$ such that $f(x) = 1$ for each x in Z and $0 \leqq f(x) < 1$ for each x not in Z. There exists a subset ω of $\beta(B_1(\Omega))$ such that f is the pointwise supremum of the functions in ω.

By Theorem 7 of Tucker [17], each bounded function in $B_1(\Omega)$ can be uniformly approximated by a function in $B_1(\Omega)$ with a finite range. Let g be a function in $\beta^{-1}(\omega)$ such that $0 \leqq g \leqq 1$ and g_r be a function in $B_1(\Omega)$ with a finite range that uniformly approximates $g - 1/2^{r+1}$ within $1/2^{r+1}$. Note that $g_r \leqq g$ and $\{g_r\}$ converges pointwise to g. Thus $\beta(g_r) \leqq \beta(g)$ and by Theorem 3 of Tucker [17], $\{\beta(g_r)\}$ converges pointwise to $\beta(g)$. The function g_r is the sum of a finite number of disjoint functions in $B_1(\Omega)$ each of which takes on only one non-zero value. Denote the set of all such functions for all r and all g as Γ. Then f is the pointwise supremum of the functions in $\beta(\Gamma)$. Now if h is a function in $B_1(\Omega)$ that takes on only the values 0 and 1, $h \leqq 1$, $(1 - h) \leqq 1$, and $h \wedge (1 - h) = 0$. This implies that $\beta(h)$ takes on only the values 0 and 1. Thus each function in $\beta(\Gamma)$ takes on only one non-zero value.

Pick x in Z. There exists a subsequence $f_1, f_2, f_3, \ldots$ of $\beta(\Gamma)$ such that $0 < f_1(x) \leqq \leqq f_2(x) \leqq f_3(x) \ldots$ and $\{f_i(x)\}$ converges to 1. For each positive integer i let c_i be a positive number and t_i be a function that takes on only the values 0 and 1 such that $\beta(c_i t_i) = f_i$. Let $s_i = \beta(c_i(t_1 \wedge t_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge t_i))$. Then $s_i(x) = f_i(x)$ so that $\{s_i(x)\}$ converges to 1. The sequence $\{c_i(t_1 \wedge t_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge t_i)\}$ converges pointwise to a function k in $B_2(\Omega)$. By Theorem 9, β can be extended to $B_2(\Omega)$. Let $\beta(k) = v$. By Theorem 4 of Tucker [17], v is continuous. By Theorem 3 of Tucker [17], v is the pointwise limit of $\{s_i\}$. Therefore $v(x) = 1$, $v^{-1}(1)$ is open, and Z is open. This implies that x is a P-space by Theorem 5.3 of Gillman and Henrikson [4].

[1] *C. D. Aliprantis* and *E. Langford:* Almost σ-Dedekind complete Riesz spaces and the main inclusion theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., *44* (1974), 421—426.
[2] *V. M. Bogdan:* Measurability and linear lattices of real functions closed under convergence everywhere, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys., *20* (1972), 982—986.
[3] *D. H. Fremlin:* Riesz spaces with the order-continuity property I, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., *81* (1977), 31—42.
[4] *L. Gillman* and *M. Henrikson:* Concerning rings of continuous functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., *77* (1954), pp. 340—362.
[5] *C. B. Huijsmans* and *B. de Pagter:* On z-ideals and d-ideals in Riesz spaces, II, Indag. Math. 42 (Proc. Netherl. Acad. Sc. A 83), (1980), 391—408.
[6] *W. A. J. Luxemburg* and *A. C. Zaanen:* Riesz Spaces I, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971).
[7] *R. D. Mauldin:* On the Baire system generated by a linear lattice of functions, Fund. Math., *68* (1970), 51—59.
[8] *R. D. Mauldin:* Baire functions, Borel sets and ordinary function systems, Advances Math., *12* (1974), 418—450.
[9] *P. R. Meyer:* The Baire order problem for compact spaces, Duke Math. J., *33* (1966), 33—40.
[10] *M. Meyer:* Une nouvelle caractérisation des espaces vectoriels réticulés presque σ-complets, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris (*287*) A, 1081—1084.
[11] *F. Papangelou:* Order convergence and topological completion of commutative lattice-groups, Math. Ann., *155* (1964), 81—107.
[12] *J. Quinn:* Intermediate Riesz spaces, Pacific J. Math., *56* (1975), 225—263.
[13] *G. Regoli:* Some characterizations of sets of measurable functions, Amer. Math. Month., *84* (1977), 455—458.
[14] *C. T. Tucker:* Limit of a sequence of functions with only countably many points of discontinuity, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., *19* (1968), 118—122.
[15] *C. T. Tucker:* Homomorphisms of Riesz spaces, Pacific J. Math., *55* (1974), 289—300.
[16] *C. T. Tucker:* Riesz homomorphisms and positive linear maps, Pacific J. Math., *69* (1977), 551—556.
[17] *C. T. Tucker:* Representation of Baire functions as continuous functions, Fund. Math., *101* (1978), 181—188.
[18] *C. T. Tucker:* Positive operators on spaces of Baire functions, Illinois J. Math., *25* (1981), 295—301.

Author's address: University of Houston, Central Campus, Houston, Texas 77004, U.S.A.