

Bedřich Pondělíček

Atomicity of tolerance lattices of commutative semigroups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 33 (1983), No. 3, 485–498

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101898>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ATOMICITY OF TOLERANCE LATTICES OF COMMUTATIVE SEMIGROUPS

BEDŘICH PONDĚLÍČEK, Praha

(Received November 25, 1982)

By a *tolerance* T on an algebra $\mathcal{A} = (A, F)$ we mean a reflexive and symmetric binary relation on A satisfying with respect to each n -ary operation $f \in F$ the following condition:

$$(1) \quad (f(a_1, \dots, a_n), f(b_1, \dots, b_n)) \in T$$

for $(a_i, b_i) \in T (i = 1, \dots, n)$. The set $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ of all tolerances on an algebra \mathcal{A} forms a complete algebraic lattice with respect to set inclusion (see [1] and [2]). In [3] I. Chajda and J. Nieminen have found some conditions for the atomicity of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, where \mathcal{A} is a lattice or a join-semilattice. The aim of this paper is to consider the atomicity of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ when \mathcal{A} is a commutative semigroup. The present results generalize the corresponding results in [3] for semilattices.

I

Let $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ be a commutative semigroup. From (1) it follows that for each tolerance $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ we have

$$(2) \quad (au, bv) \in T$$

whenever $(a, b) \in T$ and $(u, v) \in T$. If $a, b \in S$, we denote by $T(a, b)$ the least tolerance on \mathcal{S} containing the pair (a, b) . It is clear that $T(a, b) = T(b, a)$. Denote by \mathcal{N} the set of all positive integers. The notation $\mathcal{S}^1 = (S^1, \cdot)$ stands for \mathcal{S} if \mathcal{S} has an identity, otherwise it stands for \mathcal{S} with an identity adjoined.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ be a commutative semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then $(x, y) \in T(a, b)$ for $x \neq y$ if and only if there exist $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $u \in S^1$ such that either*

$$x = a^m u, \quad y = b^m u$$

or

$$x = b^m u, \quad y = a^m u.$$

Proof. Apply (2).

The set of all idempotents of a commutative semigroup \mathcal{S} , if non empty, is denoted by $E(\mathcal{S})$, and is partially ordered by: $e \leq f$ if $ef = e$; we write $e < f$ for $e \leq f$, $e \neq f$.

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ be a commutative semigroup, $e, f, g \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $a \in S$ and $e \neq f$, $g \neq a$. If $T(e, f) = T(g, a)$, then $g \in \{e, f\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $T(e, f) = T(g, a)$. Then $(g, a) \in T(e, f)$ and according to Lemma 1 there exists $u \in S^1$ such that either $g = eu$, $a = fu$ or $g = fu$, $a = eu$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $g = eu$ and $a = fu$. Then we have $g = eg$. Since $(e, f) \in T(g, a)$, there exist $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $v \in S^1$ such that either $e = gv$, $f = a^m v$ or $e = a^m v$, $f = gv$. If $e = gv$, then $g = eg = (gv)g = gv = e$. If $e = a^m v$ and $f = gv$, then $g = eg = (a^m v)g = fa^m$ and thus we have $g = fg = (gv)g = gv = f$.

Lemma 3. *Let \mathcal{S} be a commutative semigroup, $e, f, g, h \in E(\mathcal{S})$ and $e \neq f$, $g \neq h$. If $T(e, f) = T(g, h)$, then $\{e, f\} = \{g, h\}$.*

Proof. Easily follows from Lemma 2.

Lemma 4. *Let $T \neq \text{id}_S$ be a tolerance on a commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$. Then T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if $T = T(x, y)$ for every pair $(x, y) \in T$, $x \neq y$.*

Proof. Assume that T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. If $(x, y) \in T$ and $x \neq y$, then $\text{id}_S \neq T(x, y) \subseteq T$. Hence we have $T = T(x, y)$.

Suppose that $T = T(x, y)$ for a pair $(x, y) \in T$, $x \neq y$. Let $\text{id}_S \neq K \subseteq T$ for some tolerance K of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. Evidently, then there exists a pair $(a, b) \in K$ such that $a \neq b$. Hence we have $T = T(a, b) \subseteq K$. Thus T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

Define a relation $\mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$ on a commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ by $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if $x, y \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $x < y$ and if $xu \neq yu$ for some $u \in S$, then there exists $v \in S$ such that $y = yuv$.

Theorem 1. *Let T be a tolerance on a commutative semigroup \mathcal{S} . Let $(e, f) \in T$ for some $e, f \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $e \neq f$. Then T is an atom of the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if $T = T(e, f)$ and $(e, f) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{R}_1^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$.*

Proof. Assume that T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. According to Lemma 4, we have $T = T(e, f)$ for $e, f \in E(\mathcal{S})$ and $e \neq f$. We shall show that either $e < f$ or $f < e$. By way of contradiction, we assume that $e \neq ef \neq f$. From (2) it follows that $(ef, e) \in T$ and, by Lemma 4, we have $T = T(ef, e)$. Since $ef \in E(\mathcal{S})$, from Lemma 3 it follows that $ef \in \{e, f\}$, which is a contradiction. We have the following possibilities:

Case 1. $e < f$. Then $e = ef$. If $eu \neq fu$ for some $u \in S$, then, by (2) and Lemma 4, we have $T = T(eu, fu)$. Since $(e, f) \in T$, according to Lemma 1 there exists $z \in S^1$ such that either $e = euz$, $f = fuz$ or $e = fuz$, $f = euz$. If $f = euz$, then $e = ef =$

$= e(euz) = eue = f$, a contradiction. Thus we have $f = fuz$. Put $v = z$ for $z \in S$ and $v = f$ for $z \in S^1 \setminus S$. Hence we have $f = fuv$. This means that $(e, f) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$.

Case 2. $f < e$. Using the same method as in Case 1, we obtain $(e, f) \in \mathcal{R}_1^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$.

Hence $T = T(e, f)$ and $(e, f) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{R}_1^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$.

Conversely, suppose that $T = T(e, f)$ for $(e, f) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{R}_1^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $(e, f) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$. Then $e < f$. Hence $ef = e$. We shall show that T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. Let $(x, y) \in T$ and $x \neq y$. By Lemma 1, there exists $z \in S^1$ such that either $x = ez, y = fz$ or $x = fz, y = ez$. Put $u = z$ for $z \in S$ and $u = f$ for $z \in S^1 \setminus S$. Then $eu \neq fu$. Since $(e, f) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$, there exists $v \in S$ such that $f = fuv$. Hence we have $e = ef = e(fuv) = evv$. This implies that either $e = xv, f = yv$ or $e = yv, f = xv$. According to Lemma 1, we have $(e, f) \in T(x, y)$. Thus $T \subseteq T(x, y) \subseteq T$ and so $T = T(x, y)$. By Lemma 4, T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

Now, define a relation $\mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$ on a commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ by $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if

- (i) $x \in E(\mathcal{S})$ and y is a periodic element of \mathcal{S} such that $x \in [y]$, where by $[y]$ we denote the subsemigroup of \mathcal{S} generated by y ;
- (ii) $[y]$ is either a cyclic subgroup of the prime order or $\text{card}[y] = 2$;
- (iii) if $xu \neq yu$ for some $u \in S$, then there exists $v \in S$ such that $y = yuv$.

We shall consider tolerances T of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ satisfying the following implication:

$$(3) \quad \text{if } (f, g) \in T \text{ for } f, g \in E(\mathcal{S}), \text{ then } f = g.$$

Theorem 2. *Let T be a tolerance on a commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$. Let $(e, b) \in T$ for some $e \in E(\mathcal{S})$ and $b \in S \setminus E(\mathcal{S})$. Then T is an atom of the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ satisfying (3) if and only if $T = T(e, b)$ and $(e, b) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.*

Proof. Suppose that T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ satisfying the condition (3). Then, by Lemma 4, we have $T = T(e, b)$.

Case 1. Assume that $e \neq be$. From (2) and Lemma 4 it follows that $T = T(e, be)$. According to Lemma 1, there exist $z \in S^1$ and $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $e = ez, b = (be)^m z$ or $e = (be)^m z, b = ez$. Then $be = b$.

Subcase 1a. Suppose that b is periodic. Then there exists $n \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $b^n \in E(\mathcal{S})$. By Lemma 1, we have $(e, b^n) \in T$. From (3) it follows that $b^n = e$ and so $[b]$ is a cyclic subgroup of \mathcal{S} . We shall show that $m = \text{card}[b]$ is prime. By way of contradiction, we assume that $k|m$ for some $k \in \mathcal{N}, 1 < k < m$. Then $e \neq b^k$. According to Lemma 1, we have $(e, b^k) \in T$ and, by Lemma 4, we obtain $T = T(e, b^k)$. By Lemma 1, there exist $w \in S^1$ and $r \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $e = ew, b = (b^k)^r w$ or $b = ew, e = (b^k)^r w$. Then either $b = b^{kr}$ or $e = b^{kr}b$. This implies that either $m|(kr - 1)$ or $m|(kr + 1)$, which is a contradiction.

Suppose that $eu \neq bu$ for some $u \in S$. Then, by (2) and Lemma 4, we have $T = T(eu, bu)$. According to Lemma 1, there exist $x \in S^1$ and $s \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $e = (eu)^s x, b = (bu)^s x$ or $e = (bu)^s x, b = (eu)^s x$. Suppose that $b = (bu)^s x$. If

$s > 1$, then $b = buv$, where $v = (bu)^{s-1}x \in S$. If $s = 1$, then $b = bux = b(ux)^2$ and so $b = buv$, where $v = ux^2 \in S$. Assume that $e = (bu)^s x$. Then $b = be = b(bu)^s x$. Thus we have $b = buv$, where $v = b(bu)^{s-1}x \in S$ if $s > 1$ and $v = bx \in S$ if $s = 1$. This gives in both cases $(e, b) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.

Subcase 1b. Suppose that b is not periodic. Then $e \neq b^2$. According to Lemmas 1 and 4, we have $T = T(e, b^2)$. From Lemma 1 it follows that there exist $z \in S^1$ and $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $e = ez$, $b = b^{2m}z$ or $e = b^{2m}z$, $b = ez$. Then either $b = b^{2m}z = b^{2m}ez = b^{2m}e = b^{2m}$ or $e = b^{2m}z = b^{2m}ez = b^{2m+1}$, a contradiction.

Case 2. Assume that $e = be$.

Subcase 2a. Suppose that b is periodic. Then there exists $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $b^m \in E(\mathcal{S})$. From Lemma 1 it follows that $(e, b^m) \in T$ and so, by (3), we have $b^m = e$. Thus $b^n = e$ for all $n \geq m$, $n \in \mathcal{N}$. Now, we shall show that $b^2 = e$. By way of contradiction, we assume that $e \neq b^2$. From Lemmas 1 and 4 it follows that $T = T(e, b^2)$ and according to Lemma 1, there exist $z \in S^1$ and $k \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $e = ez$, $b = b^{2k}z$ or $e = b^{2k}z$, $b = ez$. Suppose that $e = ez$ and $b = b^{2k}z$. Then we can prove by induction that $e = ez^r$ and $b = b^{2kr-r+1}z^r$ for all $r \in \mathcal{N}$. It is clear that there exists $s \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $2ks - s + 1 \geq m$. Hence we have $b = b^{2ks-s+1}z^s = ez^s = e$, which is a contradiction. If $e = b^{2k}z$ and $b = ez$, then $e = be = (ez)e = ez = b$, a contradiction. Therefore $e = b^2$ and so $\text{card}[b] = 2$.

Suppose that $eu \neq bu$ for some $u \in S$. From Lemmas 1 and 4 it follows that $T = T(eu, bu)$. By Lemma 1, there exist $x \in S^1$ and $r \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $e = (eu)^r x$, $b = (bu)^r x$ or $e = (bu)^r x$, $b = (eu)^r x$. Since $e \neq b$, we have $r = 1$. If $b = eux$, then $e = be = (eux)e = eux = b$, a contradiction. We can suppose that $b = bux$. Then $b = buv$ for $v = ux^2 \in S$. This means that $(e, b) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.

Subcase 2b. Suppose that b is not periodic. Then $e \neq b^2$ and so, by Lemmas 1 and 4, we have $T = T(e, b^2)$. From Lemma 1 it follows that there exist $z \in S^1$ and $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $e = ez$, $b = b^{2m}z$ or $e = b^{2m}z$, $b = ez$. Assume that $e = ez$ and $b = b^{2m}z$. Put $y = b^{2m-2}z$ for $m > 1$ and $y = z$ for $m = 1$. Hence we have $b = byb$ and so $ey = e$ and $by = (by)^2 \in E(\mathcal{S})$. Lemma 1 implies that $(ey, by) \in T$ and, by (3), we have $by = e = ey$. Thus $b = byb = eb = e$, which is a contradiction. If $b = ez$, then $e = eb = e(ez) = ez = b$, a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that $T = T(e, b)$ for $(e, b) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$. We shall show that T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. Let $(x, y) \in T$ and $x \neq y$. Evidently $T(x, y) \subseteq T$. According to Lemma 1, there exist $z \in S^1$ and $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $x = ez$, $y = b^m z$ or $x = b^m z$, $y = ez$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $x = ez$ and $y = b^m z$.

Case a. $[b]$ is a cyclic subgroup of the prime order p . Put $u = z$ for $z \in S$ and $u = e$ for $z \in S^1 \setminus S$. Then we have $x = eu$ and $y = b^m u$. We have $eu \neq bu$. Indeed, if $eu = bu$, then $x = eu = bu = b^2 u = \dots = b^m u = y$, which is a contradiction. Since $(e, b) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$, there exists $v \in S$ such that $b = buv$. Then $b^m = b^m uv = yv$ and $e = b^p = b^p uv = euv = xv$. Since $b^m \neq e$, there exists $n \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $(b^m)^n = b$. Then, by Lemma 1, we have $(e, b) = (e^n, (b^m)^n) = (x^n v^n, y^n v^n) \in T(x, y)$.

Case b. $\text{card}[b] = 2$ and $e = eb = b^2$. Since $x \neq y$, we have $x = ez$ and $y = bz$ for some $z \in S^1$. If $x = e$ and $y = b$, then $(e, b) = (x, y) \in T(x, y)$. If $z \in S$, then there exists $v \in S$ such that $b = bzv = yv$. Thus we have $e = eb = e(bzv) = ev = xv$. According to Lemma 1, we obtain $(e, b) = (xv, yv) \in T(x, y)$.

This gives in both cases $T = T(e, b) \subseteq T(x, y)$ and so $T = T(x, y)$. From Lemma 4 it follows that T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

Finally, we shall prove that T satisfies (3). Let $(f, g) \in T(e, b)$ for $f, g \in E(\mathcal{S})$. Then, by Lemma 1, there exist $w \in S^1$ and $k \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $f = ew, g = b^k w$ or $f = b^k w, g = ew$. We can suppose that $f = ew$ and $g = b^k w$. Then we have $ew = ew^2$ and $b^k w = b^{2k} w^2$. If $[b]$ is a cyclic subgroup of \mathcal{S} , then there exists b^{-k} such that $b^{-k} b^k = e$. Hence we have $f = ew = b^{-k} b^k w = b^{-k} b^{2k} w^2 = b^k e w^2 = b^k e w = b^k w = g$. If $\text{card}[b] = 2$ and $e = eb = b^2$, then $f = ew = ew^2 = (b^2)^k w^2 = b^k w = g$.

Define a relation $\mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{S})$ on a commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ by $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if

- (i) $x, y \in S \setminus E(\mathcal{S})$ and $x^2 = xy = y^2$;
- (ii) $xu \in E(\mathcal{S})$ for some $u \in S$ if and only if $yu \in E(\mathcal{S})$;
- (iii) if $xu \neq yu$ for some $u \in S$, then there exists $v \in S$ such that $x = xuv$ and $y = yuv$.

By induction we can prove the following implication:

$$(4) \quad \text{if } x^2 = xy = y^2 \text{ for } x, y \in S, \text{ then } x^n = y^n \text{ for all } n \in \mathcal{N}, n \geq 2.$$

Indeed, $x^{n+1} = x^n x = y^n x = y^{n-1} x y = y^{n-1} y^2 = y^{n+1}$ if $x^n = y^n$ and $n \geq 2$.

We shall consider tolerances T of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ satisfying the following implication

$$(5) \quad \text{if } (e, c) \in T \text{ and } e \in E(\mathcal{S}), \text{ then } e = c.$$

Theorem 3. *Let T be a tolerance on a commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$. Let $(a, b) \in T$ for some $a, b \in S \setminus E(\mathcal{S})$, $a \neq b$. Then T is an atom of the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ satisfying (5) if and only if $T = T(a, b)$ and $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{S})$.*

Proof. Suppose that T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ satisfying the condition (5). Then, by Lemma 4, we have $T = T(a, b)$. We shall show that $a^2 = ab$. By way of contradiction, we assume that $a^2 \neq ab$. From Lemma 1 and 4 it follows that $T = T(a^2, ab)$. According to Lemma 1, there exist $z \in S^1$ and $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $a = a^{2m} z$, $b = a^m b^m z$ or $a = a^m b^m z$, $b = a^{2m} z$. We have the following possibilities:

Case 1. $a = a^{2m} z$ and $b = a^m b^m z$. From Lemma 1 it follows that $(v, w) = (a^{2m-1} z, a^{m-1} b^m z) \in T$, where $a^0 = 1$ in \mathcal{S}^1 . It is easy to show that $v^2 = v$ and, by (5), we have $v = w$. This implies that $a = av = aw = b$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $a = a^m b^m z$ and $b = a^{2m} z$. Then $a^m = a^n b^n z^m$ and $b^m = a^{2n} z^m$, where

$n = m^2$. Putting $u = a^m z^{m+1}$, we have $a = a^m(a^{2^n} z^m) z = a^{2^n} u$ and $b = a^m(a^n b^n z^m) z = a^n b^n u$, which is a contradiction by Case 1.

Consequently, $a^2 = ab$. Dually we obtain that $b^2 = ab$.

Let $au \in E(\mathcal{S})$ for some $u \in S$. From Lemma 1 it follows that $(au, bu) \in T$ and so, by (5), we have $bu = au \in E(\mathcal{S})$. If $bu \in E(\mathcal{S})$, then analogously we obtain $au \in E(\mathcal{S})$.

Suppose that $au \neq bu$ for some $u \in S$. From Lemmas 1 and 4 it follows that $T = T(au, bu)$. By Lemma 1, there exist $x \in S^1$ and $n \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either $a = (au)^n x$, $b = (bu)^n x$ or $a = (bu)^n x$, $b = (au)^n x$. According to (4), we have $n = 1$.

Case 1. $a = aux$ and $b = bux$. If $x \in S$, then we put $v = x$. Therefore $a = auv$ and $b = buv$. If $x \in S^1 \setminus S$, then we have $a = au$ and $b = bu$. Then $a = au^2$ and $b = bu^2$ and so $a = auv$ and $b = buv$ for $v = u$.

Case 2. $a = bux$ and $b = aux$. Putting $v = ux^2 \in S$ we have $a = bux = au^2 x^2 = auv$ and $b = aux = bu^2 x^2 = buv$.

Conversely, suppose that $T = T(a, b)$ for $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{S})$. We shall show that T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(S)$. Let $(x, y) \in T$ and $x \neq y$. It is clear that $T(x, y) \subseteq T$. By Lemma 1 and (4), there exists $z \in S^1$ such that either $x = az$, $y = bz$ or $x = bz$, $y = az$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $x = az$ and $y = bz$. If $z \in S^1 \setminus S$, then $(a, b) = (x, y) \in T(x, y)$. If $z \in S$, then there exists $v \in S$ such that $a = azv = xv$ and $b = bzv = yv$. According to Lemma 1, we have $(a, b) \in T(x, y)$. This gives in both cases $T = T(x, y)$ and so, by Lemma 4, T is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

Finally, we shall show that T satisfies (5). Let $(e, c) \in T(a, b)$ for $e \in E(\mathcal{S})$. By way of contradiction, we assume that $e \neq c$. Then, by Lemma 1 and (4), there exists $w \in S^1$ such that either $e = aw$, $c = bw$ or $e = bw$, $c = aw$. We can suppose that $e = aw$, $c = bw$ and $w \in S$. Since $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{S})$, we have $bw \in E(\mathcal{S})$. Therefore $e = e^2 = a^2 w^2 = b^2 w^2 = bw = c$, a contradiction.

Define a relation $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ on a commutative semigroup \mathcal{S} by $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{S})$. The following result we obtain from Theorems 1, 2 and 3.

Theorem 4. *Let T be a tolerance on a commutative semigroup \mathcal{S} . Then T is an atom of the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if $T = T(a, b)$ for some pair $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$.*

From this and from Lemma 1 we have

Theorem 5. *The lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ of all tolerances on a commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ is atomic if and only if for any pair (a, b) of elements $a, b \in S$, $a \neq b$, there exist $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $u \in S^1$ such that $(a^m u, b^m u) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$.*

II

In this section we shall study some consequences of Theorems 1–5 for regular commutative semigroups and semilattices. Recall that every regular commutative semigroup \mathcal{S} is a semilattice of commutative groups (see [4]). Denote by \mathcal{L} the set

of all integers. An element z of \mathcal{S} belongs to the maximal subgroup G_e containing an idempotent e if and only if $z^0 = e$. It is known that for elements x, y of \mathcal{S} and for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$(6) \quad (xy)^k = x^k y^k.$$

Proposition 1. *Let \mathcal{S} be a regular commutative semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$;
- (ii) $x, y \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $x < y$ and for any $z \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $z < y$, we have $z \leq x$.
- (iii) $x, y \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $x < y$ and for any $z \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $z < y$, we have $zx = zy$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$. Then $x, y \in E(\mathcal{S})$ and $x < y$. Let $z < y$ for some $z \in E(\mathcal{S})$. Then $zy = z$. If $xz \neq z$, then there exists $v \in S$ such that $y = yzv \leq z$, a contradiction. Thus we have $xz = z$, which means $z \leq x$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $x < y$ for $x, y \in E(\mathcal{S})$. If $z < y$ for some $z \in E(\mathcal{S})$, then $z \leq x$ and so $zx = z = zy$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $x < y$ for $x, y \in E(\mathcal{S})$. This means $x = xy$. Suppose that $xu \neq yu$ for some $u \in S$. Then $yu^0 \leq y$. If $yu^0 < y$, then, by (iii), we have $(yu^0)x = (yu^0)y$ and so $xu^0 = xyu^0 = yu^0$. Therefore $xu = xu^0u = yu^0u = yu$, a contradiction. Hence we have $y = yu^0 = yuu^{-1}$. This implies that $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$.

Proposition 2. *Let \mathcal{S} be a regular commutative semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$;
- (ii) $x \in E(\mathcal{S})$, y is a periodic element of \mathcal{S} such that $x \in [y]$, where $[y]$ is a subgroup of \mathcal{S} of a prime order, and if $xz \neq zy$ for some $z \in E(\mathcal{S})$, then $x \leq z$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$. Then $x \in E(\mathcal{S})$ and $x \in [y]$, where y is a periodic element of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is a union of groups, $[y]$ is a subgroup of \mathcal{S} of a prime order. Assume that $xz \neq yz$ for some $z \in E(\mathcal{S})$. Then there exists $v \in S$ such that $y = yzv$ and so, by (6), we have $x = y^0 = y^0 z^0 v^0 = xzv^0$. Thus we have $x \leq z$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that $x \in E(\mathcal{S})$, y is a periodic element of \mathcal{S} such that $x \in [y]$, where $[y]$ is a subgroup of \mathcal{S} of a prime order. If $xu \neq yu$ for some $u \in S$, then $xu^0 \neq yu^0$. Thus, by hypothesis, we have $x \leq u^0$. This means that $x = xu^0$ and therefore we obtain $y = yx = yxu^0 = yu^0 = yuu^{-1}$. Hence $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.

Proposition 3. *If \mathcal{S} is a regular commutative semigroup, then $\mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{S}) = \emptyset$.*

Proof. If $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}_3(\mathcal{S})$, then $x^2 = xy = y^2$ and $x \neq y$. According to (6), we have $x^0 = (x^0)^2 = (y^0)^2 = y^0$. This implies that the elements x, y belong to the same maximal subgroup of \mathcal{S} and so $x = y$, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 5. *Let \mathcal{S} be a regular commutative semigroup. Then $(x, y) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if $(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$.*

Proof. It follows from Propositions 1, 2 and 3.

Theorem 6. *The lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ of all tolerances on a regular commutative semi-group $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ is atomic if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

(i) *for any pair (e, f) of idempotents $e, f \in S$, $e < f$, there exists $g \in E(\mathcal{S})$ such that $(eg, fg) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$;*

(ii) *for any pair (e, c) of elements $e \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $c \in S \setminus E(\mathcal{S})$ and $e = c^0$, there exist $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $g \in E(\mathcal{S})$ such that $(eg, c^m g) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.*

Proof. 1. Suppose that the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is atomic.

(i) Let $e, f \in E(\mathcal{S})$ and $e < f$. According to Theorem 5, there exists $u \in S^1$ such that $(eu, fu) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. We can suppose that $u \in S$. because if $u \in S^1 \setminus S$, then we put $u = f$. Thus we have $eu \neq fu$. We shall show that $fu \in E(\mathcal{S})$. By way of contradiction, we assume that $fu \notin E(\mathcal{S})$. Then by Propositions 1, 2 and 3 we have $eu = (fu)^0 \in E(\mathcal{S})$. According to (6), we obtain $eu = eu^0 u = (eu)^0 u = fu^0 u = fu$, a contradiction. Hence $fu \in E(\mathcal{S})$. Thus we have $eu = e(fu) \in E(\mathcal{S})$. Put $g = u^0$. Then $eg = eu^0 = (eu)^0 = eu$. Similarly we obtain $fg = fu$. Since $e < f$, we have $eg < fg$ and by Proposition 1 it follows that $(eg, fg) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$.

(ii) Let $e \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $c \in S \setminus E(\mathcal{S})$ and $e = c^0$. According to Theorem 5, there exist $m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $u \in S^1$ such that $(eu, c^m u) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{R}^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. We can suppose that $u \in S$, because if $u \in S^1 \setminus S$, then we put $u = e$. Hence by (6) we have $(eu)^0 = eu^0 = (c^m u)^0$. According to Propositions 1, 2 and 3, we obtain $(eu, c^m u) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S}) \cup \mathcal{R}_2^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$. Put $g = u^0$. We have the following possibilities:

Case 1. $eu \in E(\mathcal{S})$.

It follows from (6) that $eu = (eu)^0 = eu^0 = eg$. Finally, we have $c^m u = c^m eu = c^m eg = c^m g$. This implies that $(eg, c^m g) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.

Case 2. $c^m u \in E(\mathcal{S})$.

By (6) we have $c^m u = (c^m u)^0 = eg$ and $eu = (eu)(c^m u)^{-1} = c^{-m} g$. From this follows that $(c^{-m} g, eg) \in \mathcal{R}_2^{-1}(\mathcal{S})$ and so, by Lemma 5 and (6), we have $(eg, c^m g) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.

2. Let the conditions (i) and (ii) be satisfied. Using Theorem 5 we shall show that the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is atomic. Let $a, b \in S$ and $a \neq b$. Put $e = a^0$ and $f = b^0$.

Case 1. $af = be$.

Then we have $ab^{-1} = afb^{-1} = ebb^{-1} = ef$. If $e = f$, then $a = ae = af = be = bf = b$, which is a contradiction. Thus we have $e \neq f$. This implies that either $e \neq ef$ or $ef \neq f$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $ef \neq f$ and so $ef < f$. From (i) it follows that there exists $g \in E(\mathcal{S})$ such that $(efg, fg) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$. Put $u = b^{-1}g$. Hence we have $au = ab^{-1}g = efg$ and $bu = bb^{-1}g = fg$. Therefore $(au, bu) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$.

Case 2. $af \neq be$.

If $ef = a^{-1}b$, then $af = aef = aa^{-1}b = be$, a contradiction. Thus we have $ef \neq a^{-1}b$. Put $c = a^{-1}b$. By (6) we obtain $c^0 = ef$. According to (ii), there exist

$m \in \mathcal{N}$ and $g \in E(\mathcal{S})$ such that $(efg, c^m g) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$. Putting $u = a^{-m}fg$ we obtain $a^m u = a^m a^{-m}fg = efg$ and $b^m u = b^m a^{-m}fg = (a^{-1}b)^m g = c^m g$. Therefore $(a^m u, b^m u) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.

Note 1. Let \mathcal{S} be a regular commutative semigroup. The condition (i) of Theorem 6 is satisfied if and only if for any pair (e, f) of idempotents of \mathcal{S} , $e < f$, there exists $t \in E(\mathcal{S})$ such that $et < ft$ and $eh = fh$ for all $h \in E(\mathcal{S})$, where $h < t$.

Proof. Suppose that $(eg, fg) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$ for $e, f, g \in E(\mathcal{S})$ with $e < f$. Put $t = fg$. If $h < t$, $h \in E(\mathcal{S})$, then $h < g$. According to Proposition 1, we have $h(eg) = h(fg)$ and so $eh = fh$.

Conversely, let $e, f \in E(\mathcal{S})$ and assume that $et < ft$ for some $t \in E(\mathcal{S})$ and $eh = fh$ for all $h \in E(\mathcal{S})$, where $h < t$. We shall show that $(et, ft) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$. If $z < ft$ for some $z \in E(\mathcal{S})$, then $z < t$ and so $(et)z = ez = fz = (ft)z$. According to Proposition 1, we have $(et, ft) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$.

Corollary 1. The lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ of all tolerances on a semilattice $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ is atomic if and only if for any pair (e, f) of elements $e, f \in S$, $e < f$, there exists $t \in S$ such that $et < ft$ and $eh = fh$ for all $h < t$, $h \in S$.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 6 and Note 1.

Note 2. See the dual of Theorem 4 of [3].

III

A regular commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ can be found to be an algebra $\mathcal{S}^* = (S, \cdot, {}^{-1})$. From (1) it follows that a tolerance T on \mathcal{S}^* is a tolerance on \mathcal{S} satisfying the following implication:

$$(7) \quad \text{If } (a, b) \in T, \text{ then } (a^{-1}, b^{-1}) \in T.$$

Let T be a tolerance of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. By T^* we denote the relation on S defined by

$$(8) \quad (a, b) \in T^* \text{ if and only if } (a^{-1}, b^{-1}) \in T.$$

Using (6) we can easily show that T^* is a tolerance on \mathcal{S} . Further, we can prove that $*$ is an involutorial order-automorphism on $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. This means that for $T, U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ we have

$$(9) \quad T \subseteq U \Rightarrow T^* \subseteq U^*$$

and

$$(10) \quad (T^*)^* = T.$$

From (7) and (8) it follows that

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}); T = T^*\}.$$

If $a, b \in S$, we denote by $I(a, b)$ the least tolerance on $\mathcal{S}^* = (S, \cdot, {}^{-1})$ containing the pair (a, b) . It is clear that

$$(11) \quad T(a, b) \subseteq I(a, b).$$

Lemma 6. *Let $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ be a regular commutative semigroup and $a, b \in S$. Then $(x, y) \in I(a, b)$ for $x \neq y$ if and only if there exist $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $u \in S^1$ such that either*

$$x = a^k u, \quad y = b^k u$$

or

$$x = b^k u, \quad y = a^k u.$$

Proof. Apply (2) and (7).

Theorem 7. *Let \mathcal{S} be a regular commutative semigroup. $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ is a complete sublattice of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. Moreover the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$;
- (ii) $I(a, b) = T(a, b)$ for all elements a, b of \mathcal{S} , $a \neq b$;
- (iii) \mathcal{S} is either periodic or $E(\mathcal{S})$ contains the greatest element f and the maximal subgroup G_e of \mathcal{S} is periodic for each $e < f$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Evident.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose the condition (ii) is satisfied. We shall prove the following implication:

(12) If an element x of \mathcal{S} is not periodic, then x^0 is the greatest element in $E(\mathcal{S})$.

Let $x \in S$ and $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$. Suppose that x is not periodic. For an arbitrary idempotent e of \mathcal{S} , by Lemma 6, we have $(e, x^{-1}) \in I(e, x) = T(e, x)$. According to Lemma 1, there exist $u \in S^1$ and $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that either

$$(13) \quad e = eu \quad \text{and} \quad x^{-1} = x^m u$$

or

$$(14) \quad e = x^m u \quad \text{and} \quad x^{-1} = eu.$$

First, we shall show that $f = x^0$ is a maximal element in $E(\mathcal{S})$. Suppose that $f \leq e$ for some $e \in E(\mathcal{S})$. If (13) is satisfied, then $x^{-1} = x^m u = x^m f u = x^m f e u = x^m f e = x^m f = x^m$ and so x is a periodic element, which is a contradiction. There holds (14) and so $f = f e = f x^m u = x^m u = e$.

Now, we shall prove that f is the greatest element in $E(\mathcal{S})$. Let e be an arbitrary idempotent of \mathcal{S} . Suppose that (13) holds. It is clear that $u \in S$. Put $g = u^0$. Then, by (6), we have $e = (eu)^0 = eg$ and $f = (x^{-1})^0 = (x^m u)^0 = fg$. This means that $e \leq g$ and $f \leq g$. The idempotent f is maximal in $E(\mathcal{S})$, hence $f = g$. Thus we have $e \leq f$. If (14) is satisfied, then $ef = x^m u f = x^m u = e$. Therefore we have $e \leq f$.

The rest of the proof follows immediately from (12).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose that \mathcal{S} satisfies the condition (iii). To prove (i) it suffices to

show $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$. This means that every tolerance $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ fulfils the implication (7). Let $(x, y) \in T$. Put $e = x^0$ and $g = y^0$.

Case 1. Let $e = g$. Then $(x, y) \in T$ implies $(y^{-1}xx^{-1}, y^{-1}yx^{-1}) \in T$. Thus we have $(y^{-1}, x^{-1}) \in T$, hence $(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) \in T$.

Case 2. Let $e \neq g$.

Subcase 2a. The elements x, y are periodic. Then there exists $m \in \mathcal{N}$, $m > 1$, such that $x^m = e$ and $y^m = g$. According to (2), we have $(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) = (x^{m-1}, y^{m-1}) \in T$.

Subcase 2b. One of the elements x, y is not periodic. Without loss of generality we can suppose that x is not periodic. Then $g < e$ and so $ge = g$. This implies that yx^{-1} belongs to the maximal subgroup G_g . Hence yx^{-1} is a periodic element. There exists $m \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $xy^{-1} = (yx^{-1})^{-1} = (yx^{-1})^m$. Then, by (2), $(x, y) \in T$ implies that $(e, yx^{-1}) = (xx^{-1}, yx^{-1}) \in T$ and so $(e, xy^{-1}) = (e^m, (yx^{-1})^m) \in T$. Since $eg = g$, we have $y^{-1} = gy^{-1} = egy^{-1} = ey^{-1} = x^{-1}(xy^{-1})$ and thus, by (2), we obtain $(x^{-1}, y^{-1}) = (x^{-1}e, x^{-1}(xy^{-1})) \in T$.

Using the same method of proof as in Lemma 4, we obtain:

Lemma 7. *Let $I \neq \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}}$ be a tolerance on a regular commutative semigroup $\mathcal{S}^* = (\mathcal{S}, \cdot, {}^{-1})$. Then I is an atom of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ if and only if $I = I(x, y)$ for any pair $(x, y) \in I$, $x \neq y$.*

Theorem 8. *Let \mathcal{S} be a regular commutative semigroup. Then the atoms of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ and of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ coincide.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ be a regular commutative semigroup. Let T be an atom in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. From Theorem 4 it follows that $T = T(a, b)$ for some pair $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$. According to Propositions 1, 2 and 3, the elements a, b are periodic. By Lemma 1 and Lemma 6, we have $T(a, b) = I(a, b)$. Therefore $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$. From Theorem 7 it follows that T is an atom in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$.

Let I be an atom in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$. We shall show that I is an atom in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

Case 1. Suppose that there exist $e, f \in E(\mathcal{S})$ such that $(e, f) \in I$ and $e < f$. Since I is an atom in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$, by Lemma 7 we have $I = I(e, f)$. Let $(x, y) \in I$ for some $x, y \in S$ and $x \neq y$. According to Lemma 7, we obtain $I(x, y) = I(e, f)$. Without loss of generality, by Lemma 6, we can suppose that $e = x^k u$, $f = y^k u$ for some $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ and some $u \in S^1$. We shall show that $(e, f) \in T(x, y)$. If $k > 0$, then, by Lemma 1, we have $(e, f) \in T(x, y)$. If $k < 0$, then according to (6), we obtain $e = x^{-k} u^{-1}$, $f = y^{-k} u^{-1}$ and so $(e, f) \in T(x, y)$. Suppose that $k = 0$. Then $e = x^0 u$ and $f = y^0 u$. By (6) we have $e = x^0 u^0$, $f = y^0 u^0$ and so $e \leq x^0$, $f \leq y^0$. Since $(x, y) \in I(e, f)$, according to Lemma 6, we have either $x = ev$, $y = fv$ or $x = fv$, $y = ev$ for some $v \in S^1$. If $y = ev$, then, by (6), we have $y^0 = ev^0$ and so $y^0 \leq e$. This implies that $f \leq e$, which is a contradiction. Hence we have $x = ev$ and $y = fv$. From (6) it follows that $x^0 = ev^0$, $y^0 = fv^0$ and so $x^0 \leq e$, $y^0 \leq f$. Therefore $x^0 = e$ and $y^0 = f$. Further, we have $xv^{-1} = evv^{-1} = ev^0 = x^0 = e$ and $yv^{-1} = fvv^{-1} = fv^0 =$

$= y^0 = f$. According to Lemma 1, we obtain $(e, f) = (xv^{-1}, yv^{-1}) \in T(x, y)$. Using Lemma 1 and (11), we get $I = T(e, f) \subseteq T(x, y) \subseteq I(x, y) = I$ and so $I = T(x, y)$ for every pair $(x, y) \in I, x \neq y$. From Lemma 4 it follows that I is an atom in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

Case 2. Suppose that the following implication is true:

$$(15) \quad \text{If } (e, f) \in I, \quad e, f \in E(\mathcal{S}) \quad \text{and} \quad e \leq f, \quad \text{then} \quad e = f.$$

Since $\text{id}_S \neq I$, there exist $a, b \in S$ such that $(a, b) \in I$ and $a \neq b$. Put $a^0 = e$ and $b^0 = f$. From (7) and (2) it follows that $(e, f) = (aa^{-1}, bb^{-1}) \in I$ and so $(e, ef) \in I$ and $(ef, f) \in I$. According to (15), we have $e = ef = f$. Put $c = ba^{-1}$. Since $a \neq b$, we have $e \neq c$. By (2), we obtain $(e, c) = (aa^{-1}, ba^{-1}) \in I$. We shall show that c is periodic. By way of contradiction, we assume that c is not periodic. Then $e \neq c^2$. From (2) it follows that $(e, c^2) \in I$. Since I is an atom in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$, we have $I(e, c^2) = I = I(e, c)$. According to Lemma 6, there exist $u \in S^1$ and $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that either $e = eu, c = c^{2k}u$ or $e = c^{2k}u, c = eu$. Hence we have either $c = c^{2k}$ or $e = c^{2k+1}$, which is a contradiction in both cases. Therefore the element c is periodic.

Now, we shall prove that $I = I(e, c)$ is an atom in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$. Let $(x, y) \in I$ for some $x, y \in S$ and $x \neq y$. Using the same method of proof as at the beginning of Case 2 we obtain $x^0 = y^0$. Since I is an atom in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$, according to Lemma 7, we have $I(e, c) = I = I(x, y)$. Lemma 6 implies that there exist $k \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $u \in S^1$ such that either $e = x^k u, c = y^k u$ or $e = y^k u, c = x^k u$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $e = x^k u, c = y^k u$. Since $e \neq c$, we have $k \neq 0$. If $k > 0$, then from Lemma 1 it follows that $(e, c) \in T(x, y)$. If $k < 0$, then, by (6), we obtain $e = x^{-k} u^{-1}, c^{-1} = y^{-k} u^{-1}$ and so $(e, c^{-1}) \in T(x, y)$. According to Lemma 1, Lemma 6 and (11), we have $I = I(e, c) \subseteq T(e, c) \cap T(e, c^{-1}) \subseteq T(x, y) \subseteq I(x, y) = I$, because c is a periodic element of \mathcal{S} . Hence $I = T(x, y)$ for every pair $(x, y) \in I, x \neq y$. Lemma 4 implies that I in an atom is $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$.

Theorem 9. *Let \mathcal{S} be a regular commutative semigroup. Then the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is atomic if and only if the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ is atomic.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ be a regular commutative semigroup. Suppose that the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is atomic. From Theorem 7 and Theorem 8 it follows that the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ is atomic.

Now, assume that the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ is atomic. Using Theorem 6 we shall show that the lattice $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is atomic.

Let $e, f \in E(\mathcal{S}), e < f$. According to Theorem 8 and Theorem 4, there exists a pair $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $(a, b) \in I(e, f)$. Without loss of generality we can suppose (by Lemma 6) that $a = eu, b = fu$ for some $u \in S^1$. If $eu^0 = fu^0$, then $a = eu^0 u = fu^0 u = b$, which is a contradiction. Thus, by (6), we have $a^0 = eu^0 \neq fu^0 = b^0$ and so according to Propositions 1, 2 and 3, we obtain that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$. Therefore $(eu^0, fu^0) = (a^0, b^0) = (a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_1(\mathcal{S})$.

Let $e \in E(\mathcal{S}), c \in S \setminus E(\mathcal{S})$ and $c^0 = e$. According to Theorem 8 and Theorem 4, there exists a pair $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{S})$ such that $(a, b) \in I(e, c)$. From Lemma 6 it follows

that either $a = eu$, $b = c^k u$ or $a = c^k u$, $b = eu$ for some $u \in S^1$ and some $k \in \mathcal{L}$, $k \neq 0$. Using (6) we have $a^0 = eu^0 = b^0$ and so, by Propositions 1, 2 and 3 we obtain that $(a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$. Thus we have $a = a^0$ and $ab = b$.

Case 1. Suppose that $a = eu$ and $b = c^k u$. Then $a = e(eu) = ea$ and $b = ab = (ea)(c^k u) = c^k a^2 = c^k a$. If $k > 0$, then $(ea, c^k a) = (a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$. If $k < 0$, then, by Lemma 5 and (6), we have $(ea, c^{-k} a) = (a, b^{-1}) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.

Case 2. Suppose that $a = c^k u$ and $b = eu$. Then $a = (c^k u)a = (c^k u)(eu^0) = e(c^k u) = ea$ and by (6) we have $b = ab = a^{-1}b = (c^{-k} u^{-1})(eu) = c^{-k} eu^0 = c^{-k} a$. If $k > 0$, then according to Lemma 5 and (6), we have $(ea, c^k a) = (a, b^{-1}) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$. If $k < 0$, then $(ea, c^{-k} a) = (a, b) \in \mathcal{R}_2(\mathcal{S})$.

Recall that a tolerance T on an algebra \mathcal{A} is a congruence on \mathcal{A} if and only if it is transitive. By $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})$ we denote the lattice of all congruences on \mathcal{A} . It is well known (see Theorem 7.36 of [4]) that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}^*)$ for every regular commutative semigroup. This implies that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ for every regular commutative semigroup. Further, it is known (see [5] and [6]) that for a commutative semigroup \mathcal{S} with at least three elements we have $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ if and only if \mathcal{S} is a group. Now, we shall consider the case when $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$.

Theorem 10. *Let \mathcal{S} be a regular commutative semigroup with at least three elements. Then the following conditions on \mathcal{S} are equivalent:*

- (i) $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$;
- (iii) \mathcal{S} is a group.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Evident.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\mathcal{S} = (S, \cdot)$ be a regular commutative semigroup. First, we shall prove the following implication:

(16) If in \mathcal{S} there exist elements e, a, b such that $e \in E(\mathcal{S})$, $e < a^0$, $e < b^0$ and $a \neq b$, then $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$.

Indeed, we define a relation I on S as follows: $(x, y) \in I$ if and only if either $x = y$ or $x^0 \leq e$ or $y^0 \leq e$. It is easy to show that I is a tolerance on \mathcal{S}^* . Clearly $(a, e) \in I$, $(e, b) \in I$ and $(a, b) \notin I$. Hence I is not transitive and so $I \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*) \setminus \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S})$.

Now, we can prove the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). By way of contradiction, we assume that a regular commutative semigroup \mathcal{S} (with $\text{card } S \geq 3$) is no group and satisfies the condition $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$.

Case 1. $\text{card } E(\mathcal{S}) \geq 3$. It can be shown that there exist $e, f, g \in E(\mathcal{S})$ such that $e < f$, $e < g$ and $f \neq g$. From (16) it follows that $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $\text{card } E(\mathcal{S}) = 2$. Then $E(\mathcal{S}) = \{e, f\}$, where $e < f$.

Subcase 2a. There exists $c \in S$ such that $c \neq f$ and $c^0 = f$. According to (16), we have $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) \neq \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}^*)$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2b. For every $z \in S$, $z^0 = f$, we have $z = f$. Define a relation I on \mathcal{S} as follows: $(x, y) \in I$ if and only if either $x^0 = y^0$ or $(x, y) = (e, f)$ or $(x, y) = (f, e)$. It is easy to show that I is a tolerance on \mathcal{S}^* and so, by hypothesis, I is a congruence on \mathcal{S} . Since $\text{card } S \geq 3$, there exists $c \in S$, $c^0 = e$ and $c \neq e$. We have $(c, e) \in I$, $(e, f) \in I$ and $(c, f) \notin I$. Hence I is not transitive, which is a contradiction.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). This is well known (see [5]).

References

- [1] *Chajda I.*: Lattices of compatible relations. Arch. Math. (Brno) 13 (1977), 89–96.
- [2] *Chajda I.* and *Zelinka B.*: Lattices of tolerances. Čas. přest. mat. 102 (1977), 10–24.
- [3] *Chajda I.* and *Niemenen J.*: Atomicity of tolerance lattices. Czech. Math. J. 30 (1980), 606 to 609.
- [4] *Clifford A. H.* and *Preston G. B.*: The algebraic theory of semigroups. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. Vol. I (1961); Vol. II (1967).
- [5] *Zelinka B.*: Tolerance in algebraic structures II. Czech. Math. J. 25 (1975), 175–178.
- [6] *Pondělíček B.*: On tolerances on periodic semigroups. Czech. Math. J. 28 (1978), 647–649.

Author's address: 166 27 Praha 6 - Dejvice, Suchbátarova 2, ČSSR (FEL ČVUT).