

Bohumil Šmarda

Polarity compatible with a closure system

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 29 (1979), No. 1, 13–20

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101574>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1979

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

POLARITY COMPATIBLE WITH A CLOSURE SYSTEM

BOHUMIL ŠMARDA, Brno

(Received September 30, 1976)

A symmetric relation on a non empty set is called a *polarity*, in general. In [8] a *C-polarity* $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ on a closure space (S, Ω) is defined — S is a non empty set and Ω is a closure system on S — in the following way: $a \varrho_C(\Omega) b \Leftrightarrow \bar{a} \cap \bar{b} \subseteq \bar{C}$, where $a, b \in S, C \subseteq S$ and \bar{M} denotes the closure of $M \subseteq S$ in Ω . This *C-polarity* is a generalization of some polarities from [1], [3], [4], [5] and [7] defined in l-groups, po-groups, lattices and semigroups (see [8], § 3). We denote $p(A, C) = \{x \in S : \bar{x} \cap \bar{a} \subseteq \bar{C} \text{ for each } a \in A\}$, $p^{n+1}(A, C) = p[p^n(A, C) C]$ for every $A, C \subseteq S$ and a positive integer n ; $\Gamma_C(S, \Omega) = \{p(A, C) : A \subseteq S\}$, $\Gamma(S, \Omega) = \bigcap \{\Gamma_C(S, \Omega) : C \subseteq S\}$. A set $A \subseteq S, A = p^2(A, C)$ is called a *C-polar*.

In § 1 of this paper we compare a *C-polarity* and a (general) polarity using the results of F. ŠIK (see [6]). It is shown that the set $\Gamma_C(S, \Omega)$ of all *C-polars* on a closure space (S, Ω) is a complete Boolean algebra for each $C \subseteq S$, ordered by the set-inclusion (Corollary 1.4). Further, a polarity on (S, Ω) compatible with Ω is investigated. This polarity is characterized by the fact that all polars are closed. A *C-polarity* $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω for each $C \in \Omega$ if and only if Ω is an algebraic closure system and a distributive lattice (Theorem 1.11).

In § 2 we show that a *C-polarity* on a closure space is a polarity defined in [7] on a suitable semigroup and some connections of these polarities are given.

A *C-polarity* on special closure spaces (topological spaces of Bourbaki, spaces with closed points) is investigated in § 3.

1. POLARITY COMPATIBLE WITH A CLOSURE SYSTEM

1.1. Definition. A symmetric binary relation δ in a non empty set S is called a *polarity* in S . For each $A \subseteq S$ we define a set $\delta(A) = \{x \in S : x \delta a \text{ for each } a \in A\}$ and $\delta^n(A) = \delta[\delta^{n-1}(A)]$ for each positive integer n . If $A = \delta^2(A)$, then A is called a *δ -polar*. The set of all δ -polars in S will be denoted by $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ (or briefly Γ).

1.2. ([6], Theorem 3.) A) Let δ be a polarity in a set S . Then $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ is a complete lattice, infima in Γ are set meets, S and $A = \{s \in S : s \delta x \text{ for each } x \in S\}$ are the

greatest and the least element of Γ , respectively, and the map $A \in \Gamma \mapsto \delta(A)$ is an involution, i.e. $\delta^2(A) = A$, $\delta(\bigvee A_\alpha) = \bigwedge \delta(A_\alpha)$, $\delta(\bigwedge A_\alpha) = \bigvee \delta(A_\alpha)$ for all $A, A_\alpha \in \Gamma$.

B) Let δ be an antireflexive polarity in a set S . Then $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ is complemented and $\delta(A)$ is a complement of $A \in \Gamma_\delta(S)$.

C) Let δ be an antireflexive polarity in a set S with a property (D β):

$x \text{ non } \delta y \Rightarrow$ there exists $z \in S$ such that $z \text{ non } \delta z$, $z < x$, $z < y$, where $<$ is a quasiorder in S induced by $\delta(a < b \Leftrightarrow \{u \delta b \Rightarrow u \delta a\})$. Then $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ is a complete Boolean algebra.

1.3. ([6], Theorem 4.) A) Let \mathfrak{B} be a complete lattice of subsets of a set S , let infima in \mathfrak{B} be set meets and let $A \rightarrow A'$ be a map of \mathfrak{B} into \mathfrak{B} , fulfilling $A'' = A$, $(\bigvee A_\alpha)' = \bigwedge A'_\alpha$ for all $A, A_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}$. Denote by X the greatest element of \mathfrak{B} . Then there exists a unique polarity δ in X such that $\Gamma_\delta(X) = \mathfrak{B}$.

B) Let \mathfrak{B} be as in A) and in addition, let A' be a complement of A for any A in \mathfrak{B} . Then δ is antireflexive.

C) Let \mathfrak{B} be a complete Boolean algebra of subsets of a set S , let infima in \mathfrak{B} be set meets. Denote by X the greatest element of \mathfrak{B} . Then there exists a unique polarity δ in X such that $\Gamma_\delta(X) = \mathfrak{B}$. Furthermore, δ is antireflexive and δ has the property (D β) from 1.2.

Remark. The polarity δ from 1.3 is defined in the following way: $x \delta y \Leftrightarrow y \in \bar{x}'$, where $\bar{x} = \bigcap \{A \in \mathfrak{B} : x \in A\}$.

1.4. Corollary. The set $\Gamma_C(S, \Omega)$ of all C -polars on a closure space (S, Ω) is a complete Boolean algebra for each $C \subseteq S$, ordered by set-inclusion. Further, $\bigwedge_{i \in I} p(A_i, C) = \bigcap_{i \in I} p(A_i, C)$, $\bigvee_{i \in I} p(A_i, C) = p^2[\bigcup_{i \in I} p(A_i, C), C]$ for every $A_i \subseteq S$, $i \in I$

$\in I \neq \emptyset$ and a complement of a C -polar $p(A, C)$ is $p^2(A, C)$ for each $A \subseteq S$. The greatest element of $\Gamma_C(S, \Omega)$ is $S = p(\emptyset, C)$ and the smallest element of $\Gamma_C(S, \Omega)$ is $C = p(S, C)$.

Proof. C -polarity $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is a symmetric and antireflexive relation in S and we shall prove the property (D β) from 1.2, C): If $x \text{ non } \varrho_C(\Omega) y$, then $\bar{x} \cap \bar{y} \text{ non } \subseteq \bar{C}$ and if we choose $z \in (\bar{x} \cap \bar{y}) \setminus \bar{C}$, then $\bar{z} \cap \bar{z} = \bar{z} \text{ non } \subseteq \bar{C}$, i.e., $z \text{ non } \varrho_C(\Omega) z$. Further, if $u \varrho_C(\Omega) x$, then $\bar{u} \cap \bar{x} \subseteq \bar{C}$ and $\bar{u} \cap \bar{z} \subseteq \bar{u} \cap (\bar{x} \cap \bar{y}) \subseteq \bar{u} \cap \bar{x} \subseteq \bar{C}$, i.e., $u \varrho_C(\Omega) z$ and $z < x$ in the quasiorder $<$ induced by $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ in S . Similarly, we can prove $z < y$. The rest follows from 1.2.

1.5. Proposition. Let δ be an antireflexive polarity in S , $S \neq \emptyset$. Then $\varrho_\emptyset(\Gamma_\delta(S)) \cong \cong \delta$. Further, $\varrho_\emptyset(\Gamma_\delta(S)) = \delta$ if and only if $\delta^2(a) \cap \delta^2(b) = \delta^2(\emptyset)$ implies $a \delta b$ for $a, b \in S$.

Proof. If $a, b \in S$, then $a \delta b$ implies $\delta^2(b) \subseteq \delta(a)$ and $\delta^2(a) \cap \delta^2(b) \subseteq \delta^2(a) \cap \delta(a) = \delta^2(\emptyset)$. Further, $a \varrho_{\emptyset}(\Gamma_{\delta}(S)) b \Leftrightarrow \bar{a} \cap \bar{b} \subseteq \emptyset$ in $\Gamma_{\delta}(S) \Leftrightarrow \delta^2(a) \cap \delta^2(b) = \delta^2(\emptyset)$.

1.6. Corollary. *If δ is an antireflexive polarity in S , which fulfils $\delta \neq \emptyset$ and has the property $(D\beta)$ from 1.2, then $\delta = \Gamma_{\emptyset}(\Gamma_{\delta}(S))$.*

Proof. If x non δy , $x, y \in S$, then $(D\beta)$ implies the existence of an element $z \in S$ such that z non δz , $z < x$, $z < y$. The relation $z < x$ means: $s \delta x \Rightarrow s \delta z$, ($s \in S$), i.e., $z \in \delta^2(x)$. Similarly $z \in \delta^2(y)$. Further, $\delta^2(\emptyset) = \delta(S) = \{s \in S : s \delta x \text{ for every } x \in S\}$. If $z \in \delta^2(\emptyset)$, then $z \delta z$, a contradiction. Then $\delta^2(\emptyset) \neq \delta^2(x) \cap \delta^2(y)$ and the rest follows from 1.5.

Remark. C -polarity $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is antireflexive and has the property $(D\beta)$ (see the proof of 1.4) and thus $\varrho_C(\Omega) = \varrho_{\emptyset}(\Gamma_C(S, \Omega))$.

1.7. Definition. Let δ be a relation on a closure space (S, Ω) . We say that δ is compatible with Ω , when $s \delta A \Rightarrow s \delta \bar{A}$ for every $s \in S$ and $A \subseteq S$.

Remark. $s \delta A$ means $s \delta a$ for each $a \in A$.

1.8. Proposition. *Let δ be a symmetric relation on a closure space (S, Ω) . Then it holds:*

- 1) δ is compatible with Ω if and only if $\delta(\bar{A}) = \delta(A)$ for each $A \subseteq S$.
- 2) If δ is compatible with Ω , then $\Gamma(\delta) \subseteq \Omega$.

Proof. 1) is clear. 2) If $x \in \delta(\bar{A})$, then $x \in \delta^2(\{x\}) \subseteq \delta^2(\overline{\delta(A)}) = \delta^2(\delta(A)) = \delta(A)$ and $\delta(\bar{A}) \subseteq \delta(A)$.

1.9. Proposition. 1) C -polarity $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is a symmetric relation and $\varrho_C(\Omega)(A) = p(A, C)$ for every $A, C \subseteq S$. $\Gamma_C(S, \Omega) = \Gamma(\varrho_C(\Omega))$.

2) C -polarity $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω if and only if $\Gamma_C(S, \Omega) \subseteq \Omega$.

3) C -polarity $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω for each $C \subseteq S$ if and only if $\Gamma(S, \Omega) = \Omega$.

Proof. 1) $\varrho_C(\Omega)(A) = \{s \in S : s \varrho_C(\Omega) a \text{ for each } a \in A\} = p(A, C)$.

2) $\Leftarrow : \Gamma_C(S, \Omega) \subseteq \Omega$ implies $p(A, C) \cap \bar{A} \subseteq p(A, C) \cap p^2(A, C) = p(A, C) \cap p^2(A, C) = \bar{C}$ and similarly $p(\bar{A}, C) \cap \bar{A} \subseteq \bar{C}$. From [8], 1.7 we have $p(A, C) \subseteq p(\bar{A}, C) \subseteq p(A, C)$. \Rightarrow : see 1.8,2.

3) $\Leftarrow : \Gamma(S, \Omega) = \Omega$ implies $\Gamma_C(S, \Omega) \subseteq \Omega$ for each $C \subseteq S$ and the rest follows from 2. \Rightarrow : see 1.8,2.

1.10. Lemma. *Let (S, Ω) be a closure system and Ω a distributive lattice with operations $\bar{A} \wedge \bar{B} = \overline{A \cap B}$, $\bar{A} \vee \bar{B} = \overline{A \cup B}$ for every $A, B \subseteq S$. Then $\bar{x} \cap \bigcup \{\bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} \subseteq \bar{x} \cap \bigcup \{\bar{a} : a \in A\}$ for every $x \in S$, $A \subseteq S$.*

Proof. $\bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} = \bigcup\{\bar{x} \cap \bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} = \bigcup\{\bar{x} \cap \overline{\{a_{1N}, \dots, a_{kN}\}} : N = \{a_{1N}, \dots, a_{kN}\} \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} = \bigcup\{\bar{x} \cap (\bar{a}_{1N} \cup \dots \cup \bar{a}_{kN}) : N = \{a_{1N}, \dots, a_{kN}\} \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} = \bigcup\{(\bar{x} \cap \bar{a}_{1N}) \cup \dots \cup (\bar{x} \cap \bar{a}_{kN}) : N = \{a_{1N}, \dots, a_{kN}\} \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} \subseteq \bigcup\{(\bar{x} \cap \bar{a}_{1N}) \cup \dots \cup (\bar{x} \cap \bar{a}_{kN}) : N = \{a_{1N}, \dots, a_{kN}\} \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} = \bigcup\{\bar{x} \cap \bar{a} : a \in A\} = \bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\}.$

1.11. Theorem. *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 1) C -polarity $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω for each $C \in \Omega$.
- 2) $\bar{x} \cap \bar{A} = \bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\}$ for every $x \in S$, $A \subseteq S$.
- 3) Ω is an algebraic closure system and a distributive lattice with operations $\bar{A} \wedge \bar{B} = \overline{\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}}$, $\bar{A} \vee \bar{B} = \overline{A \cup B}$ for every $A, B \subseteq S$.

Remark. 1. An algebraic closure system Ω on S is a closure system with the property: $\bar{A} = \bigcup\{\bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\}$ for each $A \subseteq S$ (see [2]).

2. The assertion 2 is the same kind of distributivity in Ω : $\bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\} = \bar{x} \cap \bar{A} = \overline{\bar{x} \cap A} = \overline{\bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{a : a \in A\}}$.

Proof. $1 \Rightarrow 2$: The fact $\bigcup\{\bar{x} \cap \bar{a} : a \in A\} = \bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\} \subseteq \overline{\bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\}}$ implies $x \in p(A, \bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\}) = p(\bar{A}, \bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\})$. Thus $\bar{x} \cap \bar{A} = \bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{b} : b \in \bar{A}\} = \bigcup\{\bar{x} \cap \bar{b} : b \in \bar{A}\} \subseteq \overline{\bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\}}$. The inclusion $\bar{x} \cap \bar{A} \supseteq \overline{\bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\}}$ is clear.

$2 \Rightarrow 1$: If $x \in p(A, C)$, $A \subseteq S$, $C \in \Omega$, then $\bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\} = \bigcup\{\bar{x} \cap \bar{a} : a \in A\} \subseteq C$ and thus $\bar{x} \cap \bar{A} = \bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\} \subseteq C$. It means that $x \in p(\bar{A}, C)$ and $p(A, C) \subseteq p(\bar{A}, C)$. The inclusion $p(A, C) \supseteq p(\bar{A}, C)$ follows from [7], 1.2,d).

$1 \Rightarrow 3$: Let $X, Y, Z \subseteq S$. Then $(\bar{X} \cup \bar{Z}) \cap (\bar{Y} \cup \bar{Z}) = (\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}) \cup \bar{Z} \subseteq \overline{(\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}) \cup \bar{Z}}$. If we denote $(\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}) \cup \bar{Z} = K$, then $\bar{X} \cup \bar{Z} \subseteq p(\bar{Y} \cup \bar{Z}, K) = p(\overline{\bar{Y} \cup \bar{Z}}, K)$. It means $(\bar{X} \cup \bar{Z}) \cap (\overline{\bar{Y} \cup \bar{Z}}) \subseteq K$ and $\overline{\bar{Y} \cup \bar{Z}} \subseteq p(\bar{X} \cup \bar{Z}, K) = p(\overline{\bar{X} \cup \bar{Z}}, K)$. Finally, $\overline{\bar{X} \cup \bar{Z}} \cap \overline{\bar{Y} \cup \bar{Z}} \subseteq K = \overline{(\bar{X} \cap \bar{Y}) \cup \bar{Z}}$ and Ω is a distributive lattice. If $x \in \bar{A}$, then $\bar{x} \subseteq \bar{A}$ and $\bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} \subseteq \bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\} = \bar{x} \cap \bar{A} = \bar{x}$ (see Lemma 1.10 and $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$). This fact implies $x \in \bar{x} \subseteq \bigcup\{\bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\}$ and $\bar{A} \subseteq \bigcup\{\bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\}$. The inclusion $\bar{A} \supseteq \bigcup\{\bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\}$ is clear and Ω is an algebraic closure system.

$3 \Rightarrow 1$: Let $x \in p(A, C)$, $A \subseteq S$. Then $\bar{x} \cap \bar{a} \subseteq C$ for each $a \in A$, i.e., $\bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{a} : a \in A\} = \bigcup\{\bar{x} \cap \bar{a} : a \in A\} \subseteq C$. Now, if N is a finite subset in A , $N = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$, then $\bar{x} \cap N = \bar{x} \cap \bar{a}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{a}_k = \overline{(\bar{x} \cap \bar{a}_1) \cup \dots \cup (\bar{x} \cap \bar{a}_k)} \subseteq C$, because $\bar{x} \cap \bar{a}_i \subseteq C$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$). Further, $\bar{x} \cap \bar{A} = \bar{x} \cap \bigcup\{\bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} = \bigcup\{\bar{x} \cap \bar{N} : N \subseteq A \text{ finite}\} \subseteq C$, i.e., $x \in p(\bar{A}, C)$. Finally, $p(A, C) \subseteq p(\bar{A}, C)$, [8], 1.2,d) implies $p(A, C) \supseteq p(\bar{A}, C)$ and $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω .

1.12. Proposition. Let (S, Ω) be a closure system. Then it holds: 1. Let $A, C, D \subseteq S$, $A \supseteq C$. Then $p(A, C) \cap p(C, D) = p(A, D)$ if and only if $\varrho_D(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω and $\bar{D} \subseteq \bar{C}$.

2. If $\varrho_D(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω and $\bar{D} \subseteq \bar{C}$, then $\bar{D} = \bar{C} \cap p(C, D)$.

Proof. 1. \Rightarrow : $p(\bar{A}, D) = p(\bar{A}, A) \cap p(A, D) = S \cap p(A, D) = p(A, D)$ and 1.8.1 implies the compatibility of $\varrho_D(\Omega)$ with Ω . $\bar{D} = p(S, D) = p(S, C) \cap p(C, D) \subseteq p(S, C) = \bar{C}$.

\Leftarrow : If $x \in p(A, D)$, then $\bar{x} \cap \bar{a} \subseteq \bar{D} \subseteq \bar{C}$ for each $a \in A$ and $x \in p(A, C)$. Further, $\bar{x} \cap \bar{c} \subseteq \bar{x} \cap \bigcup \{\bar{a} : a \in A\} \subseteq \bar{D}$ for each $c \in C$. It means that $x \in p(C, D)$, i.e., $p(A, D) \subseteq p(A, C) \cap p(C, D)$. If $x \in p(A, C) \cap p(C, D)$, then $\bar{x} \cap \bar{a} \subseteq \bar{C}$, $\bar{x} \cap \bar{c} \subseteq \bar{D}$ for every $a \in A, c \in C$. Compatibility of $\varrho_D(\Omega)$ with Ω implies $x \in p(C, D) = p(\bar{C}, D)$, i.e., $\bar{x} \cap \bar{C} = \bar{x} \cap \bigcup \{\bar{y} : y \in \bar{C}\} = \bigcup \{\bar{x} \cap \bar{y} : y \in \bar{C}\} \subseteq \bar{D}$. Finally, $\bar{x} \cap \bar{a} \subseteq \bar{x} \cap \bar{C} \subseteq \bar{D}$ and $x \in p(A, D)$, $p(A, C) \cap p(C, D) \subseteq p(A, D)$.

2. From 1 it follows that $D = p(S, D) = p(S, C) \cap p(C, D) = \bar{C} \cap p(C, D)$.

2. POLARITY ON SEMIGROUPS

2.1. Definition. (See [7].) Let (S, \cdot) be a semigroup. A mapping $x : \exp S \rightarrow \exp S$ fulfilling the following conditions:

- I. $A \subseteq S \Rightarrow A \subseteq A_x$,
- II. $A, B \subseteq S$, $A \subseteq B_x \Rightarrow A_x \subseteq B_x$,
- III. $A \subseteq S \Rightarrow S \cdot A_x \subseteq A_x$,
- IV. $A, B \subseteq S \Rightarrow A \cdot B_x \subseteq (A \cdot B)_x$

is called an *ideal mapping* and a set $A \subseteq S$ with the property $A_x = A$ is called an *x-ideal* in S . A system of all *x-ideals* in S for a given ideal mapping is called an *x-system*.

2.2. Proposition. If Ω is a closure system on a set S and $x : \exp(\exp S) \rightarrow \exp(\exp S)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_x = \{X \in \exp S : X \subseteq \bar{A}, X \neq \emptyset \text{ for a suitable } A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \exp S$, then x is an ideal mapping in the commutative semigroup $(\exp S, \cdot)$, where $A \cdot B = \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$ for every $A, B \subseteq S$.

Proof. I. $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_x$ is clear. II. If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_x$, $Y \in \mathcal{A}_x$, then there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $Y \subseteq \bar{A}$. It means that $A \in \mathcal{B}_x$, i.e., there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \subseteq \bar{B}$ and $Y \subseteq \bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B} = \bar{B}$, $Y \in \mathcal{B}_x$. Finally, $\mathcal{A}_x \subseteq \mathcal{B}_x$. III. If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \exp S$, $X \in \mathcal{A}_x$, $Y \in \exp S$, then there exists $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $X \subseteq \bar{A}$, i.e., $X \cdot Y = \bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{A} \cap \bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{A}$. It implies $X \cdot Y \in \mathcal{A}_x$ and $\mathcal{A}_x \cdot \exp S \subseteq \mathcal{A}_x$. IV. If $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \exp S$, $X \in \mathcal{A}$, $Y \in \mathcal{B}_x$, then there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $Y \subseteq \bar{B}$ and thus $X \cdot Y = \bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} \subseteq \bar{X} \cap \bar{Y} \in \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{B}_x$.

2.3. Definition. (See [7].) Let (S, \cdot, e) be a commutative semigroup with a zero e (i.e., $s \cdot e = e \cdot s = e$ for each $s \in S$). We define a symmetric relation δ' in S , called δ' -polarity, in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} x \delta' y &\Leftrightarrow x \cdot y = e \quad \text{for } x, y \in S, \quad x \neq y, \\ x \delta' x &\Leftrightarrow x = e \quad \text{for } x \in S. \end{aligned}$$

2.4. Proposition. If (S, \cdot, e) is a commutative semigroup with a zero e , Ω is an x -system on S and $s \cdot s = e$ implies $s = e$, for $s \in S$, then it holds: $\delta' = \varrho_{\{e\}}(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow \{e\}_x = \{e\}$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : $p \in \{e\}_x \Rightarrow \{p\}_x \cap \{p\}_x = \{p\}_x \subseteq \{e\}_x \Rightarrow p \varrho_{\{e\}}(\Omega) p \Rightarrow p \delta' p \Rightarrow p = e \Rightarrow \{e\}_x = \{e\}$.

\Leftarrow : If $a \delta' b$, $a \neq b$, $a, b \in S$, then $a \cdot b = e$, $b \in \delta'(a)$, $\{b\}_x \subseteq \delta'(a)$, $\{a\}_x \subseteq \delta''(a)$ – see [7], 1.7. Now, if $p \in \{a\}_x \cap \{b\}_x$, then $p \in \delta''(a) \cap \delta'(a) = \{e\}_x = \{e\}$ and $\{a\}_x \cap \{b\}_x \subseteq \{e\}_x$, i.e., $a \varrho_{\{e\}}(\Omega) b$. If $a \delta' b$, $a = b$, then $a = b = e$ and $\{a\}_x \cap \{b\}_x \subseteq \{e\}_x$, i.e., $a \varrho_{\{e\}}(\Omega) b$.

Conversely, if $a \varrho_{\{e\}}(\Omega) b$, $a \neq b$, then $\{a\}_x \cap \{b\}_x \subseteq \{e\}_x = \{e\}$ and $a \cdot b \in \{a\}_x \cap \{b\}_x = \{e\}$, i.e., $a \cdot b = e$, $a \delta' b$. If $a \varrho_{\{e\}}(\Omega) b$, $a = b$, then $\{a\}_x = \{e\}$ and $a = e$, i.e., $a \delta' b$.

Notation. $(\exp S)_C = \{X \in \exp S : X \cong C\}$, $\Omega(\exp S) = \{\mathcal{A}_x : \mathcal{A} \subseteq \exp S\}$, $\Omega_C(\exp S) = \{\mathcal{A}_x : \mathcal{A} \subseteq (\exp S)_C\}$.

2.5. Corollary. If (S, Ω) is a non empty set with a closure system Ω , $C \in \Omega$, then $((\exp S)_C, \cdot)$ is a commutative semigroup with a zero C , where $A \cdot B = \bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$ for every $A, B \in (\exp S)_C$, and the restriction x_C of the mapping x from Proposition 2.2 on $(\exp S)_C$ is an ideal mapping in $(\exp S, \cdot)$ and also in $((\exp S)_C, \cdot)$. Further, a δ' -polarity in $((\exp S)_C, \cdot)$ is a $\{C\}$ -polarity $\varrho_{\{C\}}(\Omega_C(\exp S))$ in $((\exp S)_C, \Omega_C(\exp S))$.

Proof. The first part of Corollary can be proved similarly as Proposition 2.2. The second part follows from Proposition 2.4 and the fact $\{C\}_{x_C} = \{X \in (\exp S)_C : X \subseteq \bar{C}\} = \{C\}$.

2.6. Proposition. For a C -polarity $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ on a closure system (S, Ω) and a δ' -polarity on a commutative semigroup $((\exp S)_C, \cdot)$, where $C \in \Omega$, it holds:

1. $X \in \delta'(A) \Leftrightarrow \bar{X} \subseteq p(\bar{A}, C)$ for $A, X \in (\exp S)_C$.
2. Moreover, if $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω , then $\delta'(A) = (p(A, C))_{x_C}$, $\delta''(A) = (p^2(A, C))_{x_C}$, $\delta'[(p(A, C))_{x_C}] = \delta'(p(A, C))$, where $A \in (\exp S)_C$ and x_C is the ideal mapping on $(\exp S)_C$ from 2.5.

Proof. 1. $X \in \delta'(A) \Leftrightarrow X \cdot A = C \Leftrightarrow \bar{X} \cap \bar{A} = C \Leftrightarrow \bar{X} \subseteq p(\bar{A}, C)$.

2. The fact $\delta'(A) = (p(A, C))_{x_C}$ follows from 1 and 1.9, 2): $X \in \delta'(A) \Leftrightarrow \bar{X} \subseteq \subseteq p(\bar{A}, C) \Leftrightarrow \bar{X} \in \{p(\bar{A}, C)\}_{x_C} \Leftrightarrow X \in \{p(\bar{A}, C)\}_{x_C}$. Further, for each $X \in \delta'(p(\bar{A}, C))$ and each $Z \in [p(A, C)]_{x_C}$ we have $\bar{Z} \subseteq p(\bar{A}, C)$ and $X \cdot Z = \bar{X} \cap \bar{Z} \subseteq \bar{X} \cap p(\bar{A}, C) = \bar{X} \cap \overline{p(\bar{A}, C)} = X \cdot p(\bar{A}, C) = C$, i.e., $X \in \delta'[(p(A, C))_{x_C}]$. It means $\delta'(p(\bar{A}, C)) \subseteq \subseteq \delta'[(p(A, C))_{x_C}]$ and from $p(\bar{A}, C) \in (p(A, C))_{x_C}$ we have $\delta'(p(\bar{A}, C)) \subseteq \subseteq \delta'[(p(\bar{A}, C))_{x_C}]$. Finally, $\delta''(A) = \delta'(\delta'(A)) = \delta'[(p(\bar{A}, C))_{x_C}] = \delta'(p(\bar{A}, C)) = (p^2(\bar{A}, C))_{x_C}$.

3. POLARS ON SPECIAL CLOSURE SPACES

3.1. Proposition. *Let $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ be compatible with Ω for each $C \subseteq S$. Then Ω defines a topological space of Bourbaki on S if and only if $\Gamma(S, \Omega)$ is a sublattice of the lattice $(\exp S, \cup, \cap)$.*

Proof. \Rightarrow : For every $P, Q \in \Gamma(S, \Omega)$ it holds $P \cup Q = \bar{P} \cup Q = \overline{P \cup Q} \in \Omega = \Gamma(S, \Omega)$, see 1.9,3.

\Leftarrow : If $A, B \subseteq S$, then $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} = p(S \setminus A, A) \cup p(S \setminus B, B) \in \Gamma(S, \Omega) = \Omega$ (see 1.9,3 and [8], 1.5,a)), i.e., $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} = \overline{A \cup B} = A \cup B$.

3.2. Proposition. *If $\emptyset \in \Omega$, then $p(\bar{A}, \emptyset) = \{s \in S : \bar{s} \subseteq S \setminus \bar{A}\}$ for each $A \subseteq S$.*

Proof. If $s \in p(\bar{A}, \emptyset)$, then $\bar{s} \cap \bar{a} \subseteq \emptyset = \emptyset$ for each $a \in \bar{A}$, i.e., $\bar{s} \cap \bar{A} = \emptyset$ and $\bar{s} \subseteq S \setminus \bar{A}$. Further, if $\bar{s} \subseteq S \setminus \bar{A}$, then $\bar{s} \cap \bar{a} \subseteq (S \setminus \bar{A}) \cap \bar{A} = \emptyset$ for each $a \in \bar{A}$ and $s \in p(\bar{A}, \emptyset)$.

3.3. Theorem. *If $\{s\} \cup \emptyset \in \Omega$ for each $s \in S$, then $p(A, C) = (S \setminus A) \cup \bar{C}$ for every $A, C \subseteq S$. If $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω and $p(A, C) = (S \setminus A) \cup \bar{C}$ for every $A, C \subseteq S$, then $\{s\} \cup \emptyset \in \Omega$ for each $s \in S$.*

Proof. If $x \in p(A, C) \setminus \bar{C}$, then $\bar{x} \cap \bar{a} \subseteq C$ for every $a \in A$ and $x \neq a$, i.e., $x \in S \setminus A$, $p(A, C) \subseteq \bar{C} \cup (S \setminus A)$. Further, $\bar{C} \subseteq p(A, C)$ (see [8], 1.1,a)) and $\bar{s} \cap \bar{a} = (\{s\} \cup \emptyset) \cap (\{a\} \cup \emptyset) = (\{s\} \cap \{a\}) \cup \emptyset = \emptyset \subseteq C$ for every $s \in S \setminus A$ and $a \in A$, i.e., $\bar{C} \cup (S \setminus A) \subseteq p(A, C)$.

If $\varrho_C(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω , then we have $p(S \setminus \{s\}, \emptyset) = (S \setminus (S \setminus \{s\})) \cup \bar{\emptyset} = \{s\} \cup \emptyset$ for each $s \in S$ and thus $\{s\} \cup \emptyset \in \Omega$, see 1.8,2.

3.4. Corollary. *Let $\{s\} \in \Omega$ for each $s \in S$. Then $\varrho_\emptyset(\Omega)$ is compatible with Ω if and only if $\Omega = \exp S$.*

Proof. \Rightarrow : It is $\emptyset \in \Omega$ and $S \setminus A = p(A, \emptyset) = p(\bar{A}, \emptyset) = S \setminus \bar{A}$ (see 3.3), i.e., $A \in \Omega$ for each $A \subseteq S$. The second implication is clear.

References

- [1] *R. D. Byrd*: M-polars in lattice-ordered groups, *Czech. Math. J.* 18 (93), 1968, 230—239.
- [2] *P. M. Cohn*: *Universal Algebra*, New York, 1965.
- [3] *A. W. M. Glass*: Polars and their applications in directed interpolation groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 166 (1972), 1—25.
- [4] *J. Rachůnek*: Prime subgroups of ordered groups, *Czech. Math. J.* 24 (99), 1974, 541—551.
- [5] *F. Šik*: On the set of lattice ordered groups, *Czech. Math. J.* 6 (1956), 1—25.
- [6] *F. Šik*: A characterization of polarities the lattice of polars of which is Boolean, 1974 (unpublished).
- [7] *B. Šmarda*: Polars and x -ideals in semigroups, *Mat. čas.* 1 (26), 1976, 31—37.
- [8] *B. Šmarda*: Polars on closure spaces, *Arch. Math.* 2, XIII (1977), 117—124.

Author's address: 662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, ČSSR (Katedra algebry a geometrie PF UJEP).