

Bohumil Šmarda

The lattice of topologies of topological l -groups

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 26 (1976), No. 1, 128–136

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101379>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1976

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE LATTICE OF TOPOLOGIES OF TOPOLOGICAL L-GROUPS

BOHUMIL ŠMARDA, Brno

(Received June 6, 1974)

On a lattice ordered group G (l-group G) we can consider lattices $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ of all topologies $(\mathfrak{Y}(G))$ of all topologies where the group operation in G is continuous, $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ of all topologies where the group and lattice operations in G are continuous) with the same underlying set $|G|$. If all topologies in $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ ($\mathfrak{Y}(G)$, $\mathfrak{L}(G)$) are T_0 -topologies, then we use the notation $\mathfrak{F}_0(G)$ ($\mathfrak{Y}_0(G)$, $\mathfrak{L}_0(G)$, respectively). In this paper relations and properties of those lattices, namely complementarity, modularity and distributivity are investigated. The main results are restricted to abelian groups.

Topological lattice ordered group (notation: *tl-group*) is an l-group G with a topology in which both group and lattice operations are continuous. In this paper every topology is considered in the sense of Bourbaki and is usually given by a basis Σ^* of open sets (neighbourhood basis). This topology is denoted by $\tau(\Sigma^*)$, the topological space on a set N with the topology $\tau(\Sigma^*)$ is denoted by (N, Σ^*) and for every $M \subseteq N$ the closure of M in $\tau(\Sigma^*)$ is denoted by \overline{M}_{Σ^*} . In case that the group operation in a group G is continuous in a certain topology we can give this topology by a basis Σ of open sets containing zero in G (neighbourhood basis of zero). This topology is denoted by $\tau(\Sigma)$, the topological group G with the topology $\tau(\Sigma)$ is denoted by (G, Σ) and for every $M \subseteq G$ the closure of M in $\tau(\Sigma)$ is denoted by \overline{M}_{Σ} . The next two theorems are fundamental for our work (see [3]):

Theorem A. *Let (G, Σ) be a tl-group. Then Σ fulfils the following conditions:*

1. *The intersection of two arbitrary sets of Σ contains a set of Σ .*
2. *For any set $U \in \Sigma$ there exists a set $V \in \Sigma$ such that $V - V \subseteq U$.*
3. *For any set $U \in \Sigma$ and any element $u \in U$ there exists a set $V \in \Sigma$ such that $V + u \subseteq U$.*
4. *For any set $U \in \Sigma$ and any element $g \in G$ there exists a set $V \in \Sigma$ such that $-g + V + g \subseteq U$.*
5. *For any set $U \in \Sigma$ and any element $g \in G$ there exists a set $V \in \Sigma$ such that $(V - g^+) \vee (V + g^-) \subseteq U$.*

Theorem B. Let G be an l -group. Let Σ be a system of subsets of G fulfilling the conditions 1–5 of Theorem A. Then (G, Σ) is a tl -group.

Remark. $\cap \Sigma = \cap \{U : U \in \Sigma\}$.

1.

1.1. Definition. Let $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathfrak{F}(G)$. Then we shall say that τ_1 is stronger than τ_2 (τ_2 is weaker than τ_1) if there exist neighbourhood bases Σ_1^* in τ_1 and Σ_2^* in τ_2 such that $\Sigma_1^* \supseteq \Sigma_2^*$. We shall write $\tau_1 \geq \tau_2$.

Remark. The relation \geq introduced in Definition 1.1 is a partial order on the set $\mathfrak{F}(G)$.

1.2. Let $\tau(\Sigma_1), \tau(\Sigma_2) \in \mathfrak{Y}(G)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1. $\tau(\Sigma_1) \geq \tau(\Sigma_2)$.
2. For any set $M \subseteq G$ it holds $\overline{M}_{\Sigma_1} \subseteq \overline{M}_{\Sigma_2}$.
3. For any neighbourhood $U \in \Sigma_2$ there exists a neighbourhood $V \in \Sigma_1$ such that $U \supseteq V$.
4. For systems Σ^1 and Σ^2 of all open sets containing zero in G in $\tau(\Sigma_1)$ and $\tau(\Sigma_2)$ it holds $\Sigma^1 \supseteq \Sigma^2$.

1.3. Theorem. The set $\mathfrak{Q}(G)$ of all topologies of tl -groups on $|G|$ is a complete lattice with the greatest element $\tau(\Sigma^0)$, where $\Sigma^0 = \{X \subseteq G : 0 \in X\}$ and the smallest element $\tau(\Sigma_0)$, where $\Sigma_0 = \{G\}$.

Proof. If $\tau(\Sigma_i) \in \mathfrak{Q}(G)$, $i \in I$, then $\tau(\Sigma^0) \geq \tau(\Sigma_i) \geq \tau(\Sigma_0)$. Let $Q = \{\cap U_i : U_i \in \Sigma_i, i \in I, \text{card } \{i \in I : U_i \neq G\} < \aleph_0\}$ and let us prove by virtue of Theorem B that $\bigvee_{\mathfrak{Q}(G)} \{\tau(\Sigma_i) : i \in I\} = \tau(Q)$:

Let $W_1 = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i^1, W_2 = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i^2$, where only for finite number of indices $i \in I$, U_i^1 and U_i^2 are different from G . Hence $W_1 \cap W_2 = \bigcap_{i \in I} (U_i^1 \cap U_i^2) \supseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$, where $U_i = G$ for such $i \in I$ that $U_i^1 \cap U_i^2 = G$ and $U_i \subseteq U_i^1 \cap U_i^2$, $U_i \in \Sigma_i$ for such $i \in I$ that $U_i^1 \cap U_i^2 \neq G$. Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} U_i \in Q$.

Now, let $W = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i \in Q$. Then there exists a set $I_0 \subseteq I$, $\text{card } I_0 < \aleph_0$ such that $U_i \neq G$ for $i \in I_0$ and $U_i = G$ for $i \in I \setminus I_0$. For arbitrary elements $w \in W, g \in G, i \in I_0$ there exists a neighbourhood $V_i \in \Sigma_i$ with the property $V_i - V_i \subseteq U_i$ (or $V_i + w \subseteq U_i, -g + V_i + g \subseteq U_i, (V_i + g^-) \vee (V_i - g^+) \subseteq U_i$) for $i \in I_0$ – see Theorem A. For $i \in I \setminus I_0$ these relations hold for $V_i = G$. It means $\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i - \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i \subseteq$

$$\begin{aligned} & \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} (V_i - V_i) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i \quad (\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i + w = \bigcap_{i \in I} (V_i + w) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i, \quad -g + \bigcap_{i \in I} V_i + g = \\ & = \bigcap_{i \in I} (-g + V_i + g) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i, \quad (\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i - g^+ \vee (\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i + g^-) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} [(V_i - g^+) \vee \\ & \vee (V_i + g^-)]) \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i, \text{ respectively}), \text{ where only for } i \in I_0, I_0 \subseteq I, \text{ card } I_0 < \aleph_0 \end{aligned}$$

it is $V_i \neq G$ and thus $\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i \in Q$. With regard to Theorem B, $\tau(Q) \in \mathfrak{Q}(G)$.

Finally, $\bigcup_{i \in I} \Sigma_i \subseteq Q$ and $\tau(Q) \geq \tau(\Sigma_i)$ for $i \in I$. If there exists $\tau(\Sigma) \in \mathfrak{Q}(G)$ such that $\tau(\Sigma) \geq \tau(\Sigma_i)$, $i \in I$, then $\Sigma \supseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} \Sigma_i$ and also $\Sigma \supseteq Q$, i.e., $\tau(\Sigma) \geq \tau(Q)$.

1.4. Corollary. *If $\tau(\Sigma_i) \in \mathfrak{Q}(G)$, $i \in I$, then it holds $\bigvee_{\mathfrak{B}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_i)$ ($i \in I$) = $\bigvee_{\mathfrak{Y}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_i)$ ($i \in I$) = $\bigvee_{\mathfrak{Q}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_i)$ ($i \in I$) = $\tau(Q)$, where $Q = \{\bigcap U_i \mid (i \in I) : U_i \in \Sigma_i, \text{ card } \{i \in I : U_i \neq G\} < \aleph_0\}$.*

2.

2.1. Definition. Let $\tau(\Sigma_1), \tau(\Sigma_2) \in \mathfrak{Y}(G)$. We recall that $\tau(\Sigma_1)$ and $\tau(\Sigma_2)$ are *permutable* if for any $U \in \Sigma_1, V \in \Sigma_2$ there exist $U_1, U_2 \in \Sigma_1, V_1, V_2 \in \Sigma_2$ such that $U + V \supseteq V_1 + U_1, V + U \supseteq U_2 + V_2$.

2.2. Theorem. *If $\tau(\Sigma_1), \tau(\Sigma_2) \in \mathfrak{Y}(G)$, $\Sigma = \{U + V : U \in \Sigma_1, V \in \Sigma_2\}$, $\Sigma' = \{V + U : U \in \Sigma_1, V \in \Sigma_2\}$ then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. $\tau(\Sigma_1)$ and $\tau(\Sigma_2)$ are permutable topologies.
2. $\tau(\Sigma) = \tau(\Sigma')$.
3. $\tau(\Sigma_1) \wedge_{\mathfrak{Y}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_2) = \tau(\Sigma)$.

Proof. 1 \Rightarrow 3: First, we prove that the system Σ fulfils all conditions of the neighbourhood basis of zero of a topology from $\mathfrak{Y}(G)$:

1. For any $U + V, U_1 + V_1 \in \Sigma$ it is $(U + V) \cap (U_1 + V_1) \supseteq (U \cap U_1) + (V \cap V_1) \supseteq U_2 + V_2$, where $U_2 \in \Sigma_1, U_2 \subseteq U \cap U_1, V_2 \in \Sigma_2, V_2 \subseteq V \cap V_1$.

2. For any $U + V \in \Sigma$ there exist $U' \in \Sigma_1, V' \in \Sigma_2$ such that $U \supseteq U' + U', V \supseteq V' + V'$ and because $U' + V' \in \Sigma$ there exist $U'' \in \Sigma_1, V'' \in \Sigma_2$ such that $U' + V' \supseteq V'' + U'', U'' + V'' \in \Sigma, U'' \subseteq U', V'' \subseteq V'$ and $(U'' + V'') + (U'' + V'') = U'' + (V'' + U'') + V'' \subseteq U'' + (U' + V') + V'' \subseteq (U' + U') + (V' + V') \subseteq U + V$. Further, $V''' \in \Sigma_2, U''' \in \Sigma_1$ exist such that $-V''' \subseteq V'', -U''' \subseteq U'', U''' + V''' \in \Sigma$ and $-(U''' + V''') = -V''' - U''' \subseteq V'' + U'' \subseteq U' + V' \subseteq U + V$.

3. For any $U + V \in \Sigma$ and any $u + v \in U + V$ there exist $U' \in \Sigma_1, V' \in \Sigma_2, V'' \in \Sigma_2$ such that $U' + u \subseteq U, V' + v \subseteq V, -u + V'' + v \subseteq V'$. Hence $U' + V'' \in \Sigma, (U' + V'') + (u + v) = U' + (V'' + u) + v \subseteq U' + (u + V') + v = (U' + u) + (V' + v) \subseteq U + V$.

4. For any $U + V \in \Sigma, g \in G$ there exist $U_1 \in \Sigma_1, V_1 \in \Sigma_2$ such that $-g + U_1 + g \subseteq U, -g + V_1 + g \subseteq V$ and therefore $-g + (U_1 + V_1) + g = (-g + U_1 + g) + (-g + V_1 + g) \subseteq U + V, U_1 + V_1 \in \Sigma$.

Together, $\tau(\Sigma) \in \mathfrak{Y}(G)$.

Now, we prove that $\tau(\Sigma_1) \wedge_{\mathfrak{Y}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_2) = \tau(\Sigma)$. Clearly $\tau(\Sigma) \leq \tau(\Sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ and if there exists $\tau(\Sigma_0) \in \mathfrak{Y}(G)$, $\tau(\Sigma_0) \leq \tau(\Sigma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$, then for any neighbourhood $U_0 \in \Sigma_0$ there exists $W_0 \in \Sigma_0$ such that $U_0 \supseteq W_0 + W_0$. Further, there exist $U_1 \in \Sigma_1$, $V_1 \in \Sigma_2$, $W_0 \supseteq U_1 \cup V_1$ and therefore $U_0 \supseteq U_1 + V_1$, $U_1 + V_1 \in \Sigma$, i.e., $\tau(\Sigma_0) \leq \tau(\Sigma)$ – see 1.2.

3 \Rightarrow 1: For any $U \in \Sigma_1$, $V \in \Sigma_2$ there exist $U_1 \in \Sigma_1$, $V_1 \in \Sigma_2$, $\pm U_1 \subseteq U$, $\pm V_1 \subseteq V$, $U + V \supseteq (U_1 + V_1) + (U_1 + V_1) \supseteq V_1 + U_1$. Neighbourhoods $U_2 \in \Sigma_1$, $V_2 \in \Sigma_2$ exist such that $U_1 + V_1 \supseteq -(U_2 + V_2)$ and $V + U \supseteq -V_1 - U_1 = -(U_1 + V_1) \supseteq U_2 + V_2$. It means that $\tau(\Sigma)$ and $\tau(\Sigma')$ are permutable.

1 \Leftrightarrow 2 follows from Definition 2.1 and from 1.2.

2.3. Corollary. *If G is an abelian group, $\tau(\Sigma_1), \tau(\Sigma_2) \in \mathfrak{Y}(G)$, then $\tau(\Sigma_1) \wedge_{\mathfrak{Y}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_2) = \tau(\Sigma)$, where $\Sigma = \{U + V : U \in \Sigma_1, V \in \Sigma_2\}$.*

2.4. Definition (see [6]). Let (M, \geq, τ) be a partially ordered set with a topology $\tau = \tau(\Sigma^*)$. We shall call the partial order \geq *continuous with respect to the topology τ* if it holds: If $a, b \in M$, $a \text{ non } \leq b$ then there exist $U, V \in \Sigma^*$, $a \in U$, $b \in V$ such that for any $u \in U$, $v \in V$ it is $u \text{ non } \leq v$.

2.5. *Let (G, \leq, Σ) be a partially ordered topological group. Then the partial order \leq is continuous with respect to $\tau(\Sigma)$ if and only if for any $g \in G$, $g \text{ non } \leq 0$ there exists a neighbourhood $U \in \Sigma$ with the property $g \text{ non } \leq u$ for any $u \in U$.*

Proof. If \leq is continuous with respect to $\tau(\Sigma)$, then $U \in \Sigma$ exists such that for any $u, u_1 \in U$ it is $g + u_1 \text{ non } \leq u$ and also $g \text{ non } \leq u$.

On the contrary, if $a, b \in G$, $a \text{ non } \leq b$ exist and for any $U \in \Sigma$ there exist elements $u_1, u_2 \in U$ such that $a + u_1 > b + u_2$, then $g = -b + a > u_2 - u_1$. But according to the condition from the proposition $U_0 \in \Sigma$ exists such that $g \text{ non } \leq n$ for any $u \in U_0$. If we choose $U \in \Sigma$ such that $U_0 \supseteq U - U$, we get a contradiction.

2.6. *If (G, Σ) is a tl-group, then its lattice order is continuous with respect to $\tau(\Sigma)$ if and only if $\tau(\Sigma)$ is a T_0 -topology.*

Proof. \Rightarrow : It follows from [6], L.2.

\Leftarrow : Let $g \text{ non } \leq 0$ and $W_{g \vee 0} = \{x \in G : g \vee 0 \text{ non } \leq x \text{ non } \leq -(g \vee 0)\}$. Then with regard to [6], § 2 the set $W_{g \vee 0}$ is open in $\tau(\Sigma)$ and hence $W \in \Sigma$ exists such that $W \vee W \subseteq W_{g \vee 0}$. Now, the existence of an element $w \in W$, $g \leq w$ leads to a contradiction, because $g \vee 0 \leq w \vee 0 \in W \vee W \subseteq W_{g \vee 0}$.

2.7. Definition. Let (G, \geq, Σ) be a partially ordered topological group. The topology $\tau(\Sigma)$ is called *locally convex* if for any $U \in \Sigma$ there exists $V \in \Sigma$, $V \subseteq U$, V being a convex set in order \geq .

The topology $\tau(\Sigma)$ is called *weakly locally convex* if for any $U \in \Sigma$ there exists $V \in \Sigma$ with the property: $v_1, v_2 \in V, g \in G, v_1 \geq g \geq v_2 \Rightarrow g \in U$.

2.8. *An abelian tl-group (G, Σ) with a T_0 -topology $\tau(\Sigma)$ is a uniform ordered space with a locally convex topology $\tau(\Sigma)$.*

Proof. In order to establish the fact that (G, Σ) is a uniform ordered space it is sufficient to prove the next two assertions (see [2], Prop. 12): $1^\circ G^+ = \{g \in G : g \geq 0\}$ is a closed set in $\tau(\Sigma)$; this is evident;

2° For any $U \in \Sigma$ there exists $V \in \Sigma$ with the property $0 \leq x \leq y, y \in V \Rightarrow x \in U$.

This assertion is also valid, because the existence of $U \in \Sigma$ such that for any $V \in \Sigma$ there exist $x \in G \setminus U, y \in V, 0 \leq x \leq y$ implies the existence of $U_1, V \in \Sigma, V \subseteq U_1 \subseteq U, \pm U_1 \pm U_1 \subseteq U, x^- \vee (V - x^+) \subseteq U$, the validity of $y - x = 0 \vee (y - x) \in \epsilon x^- \vee (V - x^+) \subseteq U_1, x \in -U_1 + y \subseteq -U_1 + U_1 \subseteq U$ and together a contradiction. The local convexity of $\tau(\Sigma)$ follows from [2], Prop. 9.

2.9. *Let (G, Σ) be an abelian topological group with a T_0 -topology and let G be an l-group. Then (G, Σ) is a tl-group if and only if it holds:*

- (i) $\tau(\Sigma)$ is locally convex,
- (ii) for any $U \in \Sigma$ there exists $V \in \Sigma$ such that $V \vee 0 \subseteq U$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : see 2.8.

\Leftarrow : If $g \in G, U \in \Sigma$, then $V_i \in \Sigma, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ exist such that $U \supseteq V_1, V_1$ is a convex set, $\pm V_4 \subseteq V_3, V_3 \subseteq V_2, V_3 \vee 0 \subseteq V_2, \pm V_2 \subseteq V_1$. Hence for any $v \in V_4$ it is $v^+ \in V_4 \vee 0 \subseteq V_1, v^- = -(-v \vee 0) \in -(V_3 \vee 0) \subseteq V_1, v^+ = v^+ + (-g^+ \vee g^-) = (v^+ - g^+) \vee (v^+ + g^-) \geq (-g^+) \vee (v^+ + g^-) \geq (v^- - g^+) \vee (v^- + g^-) = v^-$. Hence $-g^+ \vee (V_4 + g^-) \subseteq V_1 \subseteq U$ and the rest follows from [4], 1.1.

Remark. If (G, Σ) is a topological group, then for any $u \in U$ there exists $V_u \in \Sigma$ such that $V_u + u \subseteq U$ and therefore $\cap \Sigma + U \subseteq \cup \{V_u + u : u \in U\} \subseteq U$.

2.10. *If (G, Σ) is an abelian tl-group, then $\tau(\Sigma)$ is locally convex.*

Proof. For $\tau(\Sigma) \in \mathfrak{L}_0(G)$ the proposition follows from 2.8. If $\tau(\Sigma) \in \mathfrak{L}(G) \setminus \mathfrak{L}_0(G)$, then $\cap \Sigma \neq \{0\}$ is a closed l-ideal in G (see [4], 1.4) and $G/\cap \Sigma$ is an abelian tl-group with a T_0 -topology $\tau(\Sigma/\cap \Sigma)$, where $\Sigma/\cap \Sigma = \{(U + \cap \Sigma)/\cap \Sigma : U \in \Sigma\}$ and $\tau(\Sigma/\cap \Sigma)$ is locally convex (see 2.8). It means that for any $U \in \Sigma$ there exists $V \in \Sigma$ such that $(V + \cap \Sigma)/\cap \Sigma$ is a convex set in an l-factorgroup $G/\cap \Sigma$. If $v_1, v_2 \in V, x \in G, v_1 \geq x \geq v_2$, then $v_1 + \cap \Sigma \geq x + \cap \Sigma \geq v_2 + \cap \Sigma$ in $G/\cap \Sigma$ and $x + \cap \Sigma \subseteq V + \cap \Sigma$. Consequently $x \in V + \cap \Sigma = V$ (see Remark) and V is a convex set.

2.11. *If (G, Σ_i) are tl-groups with locally convex topologies $\tau(\Sigma_i), i = 1, 2$, then $\tau(\Sigma)$ is weakly locally convex, where $\Sigma = \{U + V : U \in \Sigma_1, V \in \Sigma_2\}$.*

PROOF. If $U \in \Sigma_1, V \in \Sigma_2$ are arbitrary neighbourhoods, then there exist convex neighbourhoods $U_1 \in \Sigma_1, V_1 \in \Sigma_2, U_1 + U_1 \subseteq U, V_1 + V_1 \subseteq V$ and neighbourhoods $U_2, U' \in \Sigma_1, V_2, V' \in \Sigma_2$ such that $\pm U' \pm U' \subseteq U_2, U_2 \vee U_2 \subseteq U_1, \pm V' \pm \pm V' \subseteq V_2, V_2 \wedge V_2 \subseteq V_1$. For any $u_1, u_2 \in U', v_1, v_2 \in V', g \in G, u_1 + v_1 \geq \geq g \geq u_2 + v_2$ it is $-u_2 + u_1 \geq -u_2 + g - v_1 \geq v_2 - v_1, -u_2 + u_1 \in U_2, v_2 - v_1 \in V_2$ and if we denote $m = -u_2 + g - v_1$, it is $(-u_2 + u_1)^+ \geq m^+ \geq \geq (v_2 - v_1)^+ \geq 0, 0 \geq (-u_2 + u_1)^- \geq m^- \geq (v_2 - v_1)^-, (-u_2 + u_1)^+ \in U_1, (v_2 - v_1)^- \in V_1$, too. Together $m^+ \in U_1, m^- \in V_1, m = m^+ + m^- \in U_1 + V_1$ and $g \in u_2 + U_1 + V_1 + v_1 \subseteq (U_1 + U_1) + (V_1 + V_1) \subseteq U + V$.

2.12. Theorem. *If G is an abelian l-group, then the lattice $\mathfrak{Q}(G)$ is a sublattice in the lattice $\mathfrak{Q}(G)$.*

Proof. With regard to 1.4, 2.3 and [4], 1.1 it is sufficient to prove the fact that for any $\tau(\Sigma_i) \in \mathfrak{Q}(G), i = 1, 2$, the system $\Sigma = \{U + V : U \in \Sigma_1, V \in \Sigma_2\}$ fulfils the property: For any $g \in G, U + V \in \Sigma$ there exists $U_0 + V_0 \in \Sigma$ such that $-g^+ \vee \vee (U_0 + V_0 + g^-) \subseteq U + V$.

To this aim, let $g \in G, U + V \in \Sigma$ be arbitrarily chosen. Then there exists $U' + + V' \in \Sigma$ such that $U + V \supseteq U' + V'$ and for any $u_1, u_2 \in U', v_1, v_2 \in V', g \in G$ from $u_1 + v_1 \geq g \geq u_2 + v_2$ it follows $g \in U + V$ (see 2.11). Further, there exist $U_0 \in \Sigma_1, V_0 \in \Sigma_2, U_0 \subseteq U', V_0 \subseteq V', V_0 \vee 0 \subseteq V', V_0 \wedge 0 \subseteq V', -g^+ \vee (U_0 + + g^-) \subseteq U'$ and therefore for any $u_0 \in U_0, v_0 \in V_0$ there exist $u \in U', v, v' \in V'$ such that $u + v = [-g^+ \vee (u_0 + g^-)] + (v_0 \vee 0) = (-g^+ + v_0) \vee (-g^+) \vee (u_0 \vee \vee (u_0 + g^- + v_0) \vee (u_0 + g^-) \geq (-g^+) \vee (u_0 + v_0 + g^-) \geq [(-g^+ + v_0) \vee \vee (u_0 + v_0 + g^-)] \wedge [(-g^+) \vee (u_0 + v_0 + g^-)] \wedge [(u_0 + g^-) \vee (-g^+ + v_0)] \wedge \wedge [(u_0 + g^-) \vee (-g^+)] = [(-g^+ + v_0) \wedge (-g^+)] \vee [(u_0 + v_0 + g^-) \wedge (u_0 + + g^-)] = [(-g^+) + (v_0 \wedge 0)] \vee [u_0 + g^+ + (v_0 \wedge 0)] = [-g^+ \vee (u_0 + g^-)] + + (v_0 \wedge 0) = u + v'$. It means that $-g^+ \vee (u_0 + v_0 + g^-) \in U + V$, for any $u_0 \in U_0, v_0 \in V_0$ and $\tau(\Sigma) \in \mathfrak{Q}(G), \tau(\Sigma) = \tau(\Sigma_1) \wedge_{\mathfrak{Q}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_2)$.

2.13. *If G is an abelian fully ordered group, then it holds: 1. $\mathfrak{Q}(G)$ is a chain.*

2. *If $\tau \in \mathfrak{Q}_0(G), \tau$ is no discrete topology, then τ is the interval topology.*

3. *The interval topology in G is a dual atom in $\mathfrak{Q}(G)$.*

Proof. If $\tau(\Sigma) \in \mathfrak{Q}_0(G), \tau(\Sigma') \in \mathfrak{Q}(G) \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_0(G)$, then $\cap \Sigma = \{0\}$, there exists an element $s, 0 \leq s \in \cap \Sigma'$ and $\cap \Sigma' \neq \{0\}$ is an l-ideal in G (see [4], 1.2). Clearly, $U \in \Sigma, s \notin U$ exists and according to [4], 2.2 $V \in \Sigma$ exists such that for any $v \in V$ it is $s > |v|$. It means that $V \subseteq \cap \Sigma'$ and $\tau(\Sigma) > \tau(\Sigma')$ – see 1.2.

Let now $\tau(\Sigma_1), \tau(\Sigma_2) \in \mathfrak{Q}(G) \setminus \mathfrak{Q}_0(G), \tau(\Sigma_1) \parallel \tau(\Sigma_2)$. If $\cap \Sigma_1 \not\subseteq \cap \Sigma_2$, then there exists $s_2 \in \cap \Sigma_2 \setminus \cap \Sigma_1, 0 < s_2$ and according to [4], 2.2 there exists $V \in \Sigma_1$ such that for any $v \in V$ it is $s_2 > |v|$, i.e., $V \subseteq \cap \Sigma_2$. Hence and from 1.2 it is $\tau(\Sigma_1) \leq \tau(\Sigma_2)$, a contradiction. Similarly we prove that the case $\cap \Sigma_2 \not\subseteq \cap \Sigma_1$ is impossible. Therefore

$s_1 \in \cap \Sigma_1 \setminus \cap \Sigma_2$, $0 < s_1$, $s_2 \in \cap \Sigma_2 \setminus \cap \Sigma_1$, $0 < s_2$ exist and again [4], 2.2 implies the existence of neighbourhoods $V_1 \in \Sigma_1$, $V_2 \in \Sigma_2$ such that for any $v_1 \in V_1$, $v_2 \in V_2$ it holds $s_1 > |v_2|$, $s_2 > |v_1|$. It means that $V_1 \subseteq \cap \Sigma_2$, $V_2 \subseteq \cap \Sigma_1$ and $\tau(\Sigma_1) = \tau(\Sigma_2)$.

Finally, if $\tau(\Sigma) \in \mathfrak{L}_0(G)$, $\tau(\Sigma)$ is no discrete topology, then the sets $W_g = \{x \in G : |g| > x > -|g|\}$ are open in $\tau(\Sigma)$ for any $g \in G$. Hence $\tau(\Sigma) \geq \iota$, where ι is the interval topology in G . On the other hand, for any $U \in \Sigma$ there exists $V \in \Sigma$, $V \subseteq U$, V being a convex set in G (see 2.8) and there exists an element $v \in V$, $0 < v$, $-v \in V$ (see [4], 2.1). Then the set $W_v = \{x \in G : v > x > -v\} \subseteq V \subseteq U$ and $\iota \geq \tau(\Sigma)$, i.e., $\tau(\Sigma) = \iota$.

Remark. In [1] an example is given with the property that a set $\mathfrak{Y}_0(G)$ is no lattice but only a \vee -semilattice in $\mathfrak{Y}(G)$. In that case G is a fully ordered abelian group.

3.

In the end of this paper let us deal with the complementarity of topologies on groups in lattices \mathfrak{F} , \mathfrak{Y} and \mathfrak{L} and modularity and distributivity of lattices \mathfrak{Y} and \mathfrak{L} .

3.1. Theorem. *If G is an abelian group, then $\mathfrak{Y}(G)$ is a modular lattice.*

Proof. Let $\tau(\Sigma_i) \in \mathfrak{Y}(G)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\tau(\Sigma_1) \leq \tau(\Sigma_2)$. We can suppose that Σ_i are formed by all open sets in $\tau(\Sigma_i)$ containing zero in G ($i = 1, 2, 3$). Let us denote $\tau' = \tau(\Sigma') = \tau(\Sigma_1) \vee_{\mathfrak{Y}(G)} [\tau(\Sigma_2) \wedge_{\mathfrak{Y}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_3)]$, $\tau'' = \tau(\Sigma'') = [\tau(\Sigma_1) \vee_{\mathfrak{Y}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_3)] \wedge_{\mathfrak{Y}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_2)$. According to Theorems 1.3 and 2.2 $\Sigma' = \{U_1 \cap (U_2 + U_3) : U_i \in \Sigma_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}$ and $\Sigma'' = \{(U_1 \cap U_3) + U_2 : U_i \in \Sigma_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}$. If $U'' \in \Sigma''$ is an arbitrary neighbourhood, then $U'' = (U_1 \cap U_3) + U_2$, $U_i \in \Sigma_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and there exist $U_1^0 \in \Sigma_1$, $U_2^0 \in \Sigma_2$ such that $-U_1^0 + U_1^0 \subseteq U_1$, $U_2^0 \subseteq U_1^0 \cap U_2$ because $U_1^0 \in \Sigma_1 \subseteq \Sigma_2$. Hence for any $u' \in U' = U_1^0 \cap (U_2^0 + U_3)$, $U' \in \Sigma'$, it holds $u' = u_2 + u_3 \in U_1^0$, where $u_2 \in U_2^0$, $u_3 \in U_3$. It means that $u_3 = -u_2 + u' \in -U_2^0 + U_1^0 \subseteq -U_1^0 + U_1^0 \subseteq U_1$, i.e., $u' \in U_2 + (U_1 \cap U_3)$, $U' \subseteq U''$, $\tau' \geq \tau''$ (see 1.2). It is clear that $\tau' \leq \tau''$ and together $\mathfrak{Y}(G)$ is a modular lattice.

Example. If G is an abelian group, $G = A_1 \times A_2 = A_1 \times A_3$ are direct products, $A_2 \neq A_3$, $A_2 \neq \{0\} \neq A_3$, then for the topologies $\tau(\Sigma_i)$, where $\Sigma_i = \{X \subseteq G : A_i \subseteq X\}$ it holds $\tau(\Sigma_i) \in \mathfrak{Y}(G)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and $\tau(\Sigma_1)$ is a complement to $\tau(\Sigma_2)$ and $\tau(\Sigma_3)$ – see 3.4, $\tau(\Sigma_2) \neq \tau(\Sigma_3)$, i.e., $\mathfrak{Y}(G)$ is no distributive lattice.

3.2. Lemma. *If G is an l -group, $a, b, c \in G$, $a, b, c \geq 0$, then*

$$a \wedge (b + c) \leq (a \wedge b) + (a \wedge c).$$

Proof. $(a \wedge b) + (a \wedge c) = [(a \wedge b) + a] \wedge [(a \wedge b) + c] = 2a \wedge (b + a) \wedge (a + c) \wedge (b + c) \geq a \wedge (b + c)$.

3.3. Theorem. *If G is an abelian l -group, then $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ is a distributive lattice.*

Proof. Let $\tau(\Sigma_i) \in \mathfrak{L}(G)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and let us denote $\tau' = \tau(\Sigma') = \tau(\Sigma_1) \vee_{\mathfrak{L}(G)} \vee_{\mathfrak{L}(G)} [\tau(\Sigma_2) \wedge_{\mathfrak{L}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_3)]$, $\tau'' = \tau(\Sigma'') = [\tau(\Sigma_1) \vee_{\mathfrak{L}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_2)] \wedge_{\mathfrak{L}(G)} [\tau(\Sigma_1) \vee_{\mathfrak{L}(G)} \vee_{\mathfrak{L}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_3)]$. It is clear that $\tau' \leq \tau''$ and we proceed to prove $\tau' \geq \tau''$:

Theorem 1.3, 2.2 and 2.12 imply $\Sigma' = \{U_1 \cap (U_2 + U_3) : U_i \in \Sigma_i, i = 1, 2, 3\}$, $\Sigma'' = \{(U_1 \cap U_2) + (U'_1 \cap U_3) : U_i \in \Sigma_i, U'_1 \in \Sigma_1, i = 1, 2, 3\}$. If $U'' \in \Sigma''$ is an arbitrary neighbourhood, then there exist $V, W \in \Sigma''$ such that $\pm V \subseteq W$, $\pm W \subseteq U''$, W, V are convex sets (see 2.10). Hence $V = (U_1 \cap U_2) + (U'_1 \cap U_3)$, $U_i \in \Sigma_i, i = 1, 2, 3, U'_1 \in \Sigma_1$ and with regard to Theorem A $U_i^0 \in \Sigma_i$ exist, $U_i^0 \subseteq U_i, U_1^0 \subseteq U'_1$, U_i^0 are convex sets, $i = 1, 2, 3$ (see 2.10) and $U_i^1 \in \Sigma_i$ exist, $U_i^1 \subseteq U_i^0, U_1^1 \subseteq U'_1$ such that $|U_i^1| = \{|u| : u \in U_i^1\} \subseteq U_i^1 \vee -U_i^1 \subseteq U_i^0$. It means that $|U_1^1| \wedge |U_2^1| \subseteq U_1^0 \cap U_2^0, |U_1^1| \wedge |U_3^1| \subseteq U_1^0 \cap U_3^0$.

Now, if $U' = U_1^1 \cap (U_2^1 + U_3^1)$, then $U' \in \Sigma'$ and for any element $u \in U'$ it holds $u = u_2 + u_3 \in U_1^1$, where $u_2 \in U_2^1, u_3 \in U_3^1$ are suitable elements. Hence $0 \leq |u| = |u| \wedge |u_2 + u_3| \leq |u| \wedge (|u_2| + |u_3|) \leq (|u| \wedge |u_2|) + (|u| \wedge |u_3|) \in (|U_1^1| \wedge |U_2^1|) + (|U_1^1| \wedge |U_3^1|) \subseteq (U_1^0 \cap U_2^0) + (U_1^0 \cap U_3^0) \subseteq V$ (see L. 3.2), i.e., $|u| \in V \subseteq W, -|u| \in W, u \in W \subseteq U''$. Together $U' \subseteq U'', \tau' \geq \tau''$ (see 1.2). Hence $\tau' = \tau''$ and $\mathfrak{L}(G)$ is a distributive lattice.

3.4. Theorem. *Let G be a group, $\tau(\Sigma_1), \tau(\Sigma_2) \in \mathfrak{Y}(G)$, $(\tau(\Sigma_1), \tau(\Sigma_2))$ are permutable topologies in $\mathfrak{Y}(G)$. Then $\tau(\Sigma_1)$ and $\tau(\Sigma_2)$ are complementary topologies in the lattice $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ ($\mathfrak{Y}(G)$) if and only if $\cap \Sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1, \cap \Sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2$ and $\cap \Sigma_1, \cap \Sigma_2$ are complementary direct factors in G .*

Proof. \Leftarrow : If $\cap \Sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1, \cap \Sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2$ then $\cap \Sigma_1 \cap \cap \Sigma_2 = \{0\}$, $\cap \Sigma_1 + \cap \Sigma_2 = G$ and thus $\tau(\Sigma_1) \vee_{\mathfrak{F}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_2)$ is a discrete topology and $\tau(\Sigma_1) \wedge_{\mathfrak{F}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_2) = \tau(\{G\})$.

\Rightarrow : With regard to [1], Theorem 3.5, the fact that $\tau(\Sigma_1)$ and $\tau(\Sigma_2)$ are complementary in $\mathfrak{F}(G)$ implies the existence of a neighbourhood basis of zero $\Sigma_1^0 \subseteq \Sigma_1, \Sigma_2^0 \subseteq \Sigma_2$ such that any $U \in \Sigma_1^0$ and any $V \in \Sigma_2^0$ are complementary direct factors in G . This implies $\Sigma_1^0 = \{\cap \Sigma_1^0\} = \{\cap \Sigma_1\}, \Sigma_2^0 = \{\cap \Sigma_2^0\} = \{\cap \Sigma_2\}$ and $\cap \Sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1, \cap \Sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2, \cap \Sigma_1, \cap \Sigma_2$ are complementary direct factors in G .

The rest for permutable topologies follows from the fact $\tau(\Sigma_1) \wedge_{\mathfrak{Y}(G)} \tau(\Sigma_2) = \tau(\Sigma)$, where $\Sigma = \{U + V : U \in \Sigma_1, V \in \Sigma_2\}$ (see 2.2).

3.5. Corollary. *Let G be an abelian l -group. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

1. $\tau(\Sigma_1)$ and $\tau(\Sigma_2)$ are complementary in the lattice $\mathfrak{F}(G)$.
2. $\tau(\Sigma_1)$ and $\tau(\Sigma_2)$ are complementary in the lattice $\mathfrak{Y}(G)$.
3. $\tau(\Sigma_1)$ and $\tau(\Sigma_2)$ are complementary in the lattice $\mathfrak{L}(G)$.
4. $\cap \Sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1, \cap \Sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2$ and $\cap \Sigma_1, \cap \Sigma_2$ are complementary direct factors in G .

Proof. $1 \Leftrightarrow 2$ (see 3.2), $2 \Leftrightarrow 3$ (see 2.12), $4 \Rightarrow 1$ follows from Theorem 3.4.

$1 \Rightarrow 4$: According to [4], $1.2 \cap \Sigma_1, \cap \Sigma_2$ are l-ideals in G and the rest follows from Theorem 3.4.

References

- [1] *M. Lamper*: Complements in the lattice of all topologies of top. groups, Arch. Math. (Brno), T. 4 (1974), 221–230.
- [2] *I. Nachbin*: Topology and order, Van Nostrand 1965.
- [3] *B. Šmarda*: Topologies in l-groups, Arch. Math. (Brno), T. 3 (1967), 69–81.
- [4] *B. Šmarda*: Some types of tl-groups, Publ. Fac. Sci. Univ. J. E. Purkyně (Brno), 507 (1969), 341–352.
- [5] *B. Šmarda*: Connectivity in tl-groups, to appear.
- [6] *L. E. Ward*: Partially ordered topological spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954), 144–161.

Author's address: 662 95 Brno, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, ČSSR (Universita J. E. Purkyně).