

Alois Švec

Several new characterizations of the sphere

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 25 (1975), No. 4, 645–652

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101360>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1975

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SEVERAL NEW CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE SPHERE

ALOIS ŠVEC, Praha

(Received November 18, 1974)

1. Preliminaries. Let M be an ovaloid of class C^4 in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space E^3 . Let $\{U_\alpha\}$ be its covering by domains such that in each U_α there is a field of orthonormal frames $(M; v_1, v_2, v_3)$ with $v_1, v_2 \in T(M)$. The orientation of v_3 be chosen in such a way that the principal curvatures are positive. Then

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} dM &= \omega^1 v_1 + \omega^2 v_2, & dv_1 &= \omega_1^2 v_2 + \omega_1^3 v_3, \\ dv_2 &= -\omega_1^2 v_1 + \omega_2^3 v_3, & dv_3 &= -\omega_1^3 v_1 - \omega_2^3 v_2; \\ d\omega^i &= \omega^j \wedge \omega_j^i, & d\omega_i^j &= \omega_i^k \wedge \omega_k^j; & \omega_i^i + \omega_j^j &= 0, & \omega^3 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the well known prolongation procedure, we get the existence of functions (in each U_α) $a, b, c; \alpha, \dots, \delta; A, \dots, E$ such that

$$(2) \quad \omega_1^3 = a\omega^1 + b\omega^2, \quad \omega_2^3 = b\omega^1 + c\omega^2;$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} da - 2b\omega_1^2 &= \alpha\omega^1 + \beta\omega^2, \\ db + (a - c)\omega_1^2 &= \beta\omega^1 + \gamma\omega^2, \\ dc + 2b\omega_1^2 &= \gamma\omega^1 + \delta\omega^2; \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} d\alpha - 3\beta\omega_1^2 &= A\omega^1 + (B - bK)\omega^2, \\ d\beta + (\alpha - 2\gamma)\omega_1^2 &= (B + bK)\omega^1 + (C + aK)\omega^2, \\ d\gamma + (2\beta - \delta)\omega_1^2 &= (C + cK)\omega^1 + (D + bK)\omega^2, \\ d\delta + 3\gamma\omega_1^2 &= (D - bK)\omega^1 + E\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

As always,

$$(5) \quad K = ac - b^2, \quad 2H = a + c$$

denote the Gauss and mean curvature respectively.

Let $f : M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be a function. The derivatives f_i, f_{ij} of its restriction to U_α with respect to the frames $(M; v_1, v_2, v_3)$ are introduced by means of the formulas

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} df &= f_1\omega^1 + f_2\omega^2; \\ df_1 - f_2\omega_1^2 &= f_{11}\omega^1 + f_{12}\omega^2, \quad df_2 + f_1\omega_1^2 = f_{12}\omega^1 + f_{22}\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the functions

$$(7) \quad f_1^2 + f_2^2, \quad (a - c)(f_{11} - f_{22}) + 4bf_{12}$$

do not depend on the choice of the moving frames. The domain U_α be covered by coordinates (u, v) such that

$$(8) \quad \omega^1 = r(u, v) du, \quad \omega^2 = s(u, v) dv; \quad rs \neq 0;$$

such coordinates do exist. We get

$$(9) \quad \omega_1^2 = -s^{-1}r_v du + r^{-1}s_u dv$$

and

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} f_1 &= r^{-1}f_u, \quad f_2 = s^{-1}f_v; \\ f_{11} &= r^2f_{uu} - r^{-3}r_u f_u + r^{-1}s^{-2}r_v f_v, \\ f_{12} &= r^{-1}s^{-1}f_{uv} - r^{-2}s^{-1}r_v f_u - r^{-1}s^{-2}s_u f_v, \\ f_{22} &= s^{-2}f_{vv} + r^{-2}s^{-1}s_u f_u - s^{-3}s_v f_v. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following version of the (weak) maximum principle: *Let f satisfy, in U_α , the equation*

$$(11) \quad a_{11}f_{11} + 2a_{12}f_{12} + a_{22}f_{22} + a_1f_1 + a_2f_2 + a_0f = \varphi$$

with $a_{ij}x^i x^j$ positive, $a_0 \leq 0$ and $\varphi \geq 0$, then f cannot have a positive maximum inside of U_α without being constant; see [1], p. 109.

2. Two generalized H -theorems. On M , consider the function

$$(12) \quad f = 2(H^2 - K) = \frac{1}{2}(a - c)^2 + 2b^2;$$

of course, $f \geq 0$, and we have $f = 0$ in the umbilical points. We get

$$(13) \quad f_1 = (a - c)(\alpha - \gamma) + 4b\beta, \quad f_2 = (a - c)(\beta - \delta) + 4b\gamma;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) \quad f_{11} &= (c^2 - ac + 4b^2)K + (\alpha - \gamma)^2 + 4\beta^2 + (a - c)(A - C) + 4bB, \\
 f_{12} &= 2b(a + c)K + (\alpha - \gamma)(\beta - \delta) + 4b\gamma + (a - c)(B - D) + 4bC, \\
 f_{22} &= (a^2 - ac + 4b^2)K + (\beta - \delta)^2 + 4\gamma^2 + (a - c)(C - E) + 4bD.
 \end{aligned}$$

The covariant derivatives of the mean curvature H are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad 2H_1 &= \alpha + \gamma, \quad 2H_2 = \beta + \delta; \\
 (16) \quad 2H_{11} &= cK + A + C, \quad 2H_{12} = B + D, \quad 2H_{22} = aK + C + E.
 \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying the equations (14), (16) successively by 1, 0, 1, $c - a$, $-4b$, $a - c$ and adding them, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad f_{11} + f_{22} - 4Kf &= 2\{(a - c)(H_{11} - H_{22}) + 4bH_{12} + H_1^2 + H_2^2\} + \\
 &+ 2\{(2\gamma - H_1)^2 + (2\beta - H_2)^2\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

Theorem 1. *Let $M \subset E^3$ be an ovaloid, and let its mean curvature satisfy*

$$(18) \quad (a - c)(H_{11} - H_{22}) + 4bH_{12} + H_1^2 + H_2^2 \geq 0.$$

Then M is a sphere.

Next, we are going to prove the following

Theorem 2. *Let $M \subset E^3$ be a part of an ovaloid such that: (i) M has a net of lines of curvature, (ii) ∂M consists of umbilical points, (iii) v_1 and v_2 being the unit tangent vector fields of the lines of curvature and $S : M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ a function satisfying*

$$(19) \quad S^2 \leq 57 - 40\sqrt{2},$$

we have

$$(20) \quad v_1v_1H - v_2v_2H + S[v_1v_2]H = 0.$$

Then M is a part of a sphere.

The lines of curvature being given by

$$(21) \quad b(\omega^1)^2 + (c - a)\omega^1\omega^2 - b(\omega^2)^2 = 0,$$

we have to suppose

$$(22) \quad b = 0;$$

a and c are then the principal curvatures respectively. From (3) and (4),

$$(23) \quad v_1 a = \alpha, \quad v_2 a = \beta, \quad v_1 c = \gamma, \quad v_2 c = \delta;$$

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} (a - c) v_1 v_1 a &= 3\beta^2 + (a - c) A, \\ (a - c) v_1 v_2 a &= (2\gamma - \alpha) \beta + (a - c) B, \\ (a - c) v_2 v_1 a &= 3\beta\gamma + (a - c) B, \\ (a - c) v_2 v_2 a &= (2\gamma - \alpha) \gamma + (a - c) (C + aK), \\ (a - c) v_1 v_1 c &= (\delta - 2\beta) \beta + (a - c) (C + cK), \\ (a - c) v_1 v_2 c &= -3\beta\gamma + (a - c) D, \\ (a - c) v_2 v_1 c &= (\delta - 2\beta) \gamma + (a - c) D, \\ (a - c) v_2 v_2 c &= -3\gamma^2 + (a - c) E. \end{aligned}$$

The equation (20) implies

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \alpha\gamma + \beta\delta - (a - c)^2 K + \\ + (a - c) (A - E) - S(\alpha\beta + 2\beta\gamma + \gamma\delta) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The elimination of A, C, E from (14_{1,3}) and (25) yields

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{11} + f_{22} - 4Kf &= (\alpha - \frac{3}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{2}S\beta)^2 + (\delta - \frac{3}{2}\beta + \frac{1}{2}S\gamma)^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\{(7 - S^2)\beta^2 + 20S\beta\gamma + (7 - S^2)\gamma^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last term is non-negative for each β and γ because of (19). This concludes the proof of our theorem.

Let us add the following remark. Let M satisfy (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2. On M , consider the second order operators of the form

$$(27) \quad P = S_1 v_1 v_1 + S_2 v_2 v_2 + S_3 v_1 v_2 + S_4 v_2 v_1,$$

$S_1, \dots, S_4 : M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ being functions. Now, our problem is to determine the class of operators (27) with the following property: The surface M satisfying $PH = 0$ (and knowing nothing more about it), we are able to prove by means of the maximum principle using the function $H^2 - K$ that it is a part of a sphere. It is not difficult to see that the just defined class of operators is given by (iii) of Theorem 2.

3. A generalization of the K -theorem. We are going to prove a somewhat modified version of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. Let $M \subset E^3$ be an ovaloid satisfying, at each of its points, the following conditions: (i)

$$(28) \quad (a - c)(K_{11} - K_{22}) + 4bK_{12} \geq 0,$$

(ii) $k_1 \leq k_2$ being the principal curvatures, we have $k_2 \leq 3k_1$. Then M is a sphere.

We have

$$(29) \quad K_1 = a\gamma + c\alpha - 2b\beta, \quad K_2 = a\delta + c\beta - 2b\gamma;$$

$$(30) \quad K_{11} = (ac - 2b^2)K + 2\alpha\gamma - 2\beta^2 + cA - 2bB + aC,$$

$$K_{12} = -b(a + c)K + \alpha\delta - \beta\gamma + cB - 2bC + aD,$$

$$K_{22} = (ac - 2b^2)K + 2\beta\delta - 2\gamma^2 + cC - 2bD + aE.$$

Multiplying the equations (14), (30) successively by $c, -2b, a, c - a, -4b, a - c$ and adding them together, we get

$$(31) \quad cf_{11} - 2bf_{12} + af_{22} - 4HKf = (a - c)(K_{11} - K_{22}) + 4bK_{12} + T$$

with

$$(32) \quad T = a(3\beta^2 + \delta^2 + 2\gamma^2 - 2\alpha\gamma) - \\ - 2b(\alpha + \gamma)(\beta + \delta) + c(\alpha^2 + 3\gamma^2 + 2\beta^2 - 2\beta\delta).$$

We have to prove $T \geq 0$. The term T being an invariant of the surface (which is easy to see), it is sufficient to prove the inequality in a generic point $m \in M$ using a convenient field of moving frames around m . Let us choose this field in such a way that $b(m) = 0$. Then, at m ,

$$(33) \quad T = c^{-1}(c\alpha - a\gamma)^2 + a^{-1}(a\delta - c\beta)^2 + \\ + 2H\{a^{-1}(3a - c)\beta^2 + c^{-1}(3c - a)\gamma^2\};$$

$a(m)$ and $c(m)$ being the principal curvatures at m , we are done.

The classical K -theorem follows easily. Our starting point is the equation (30), we have to prove that $K = \text{const.}$ implies $T \geq 0$. Around a generic point $m \in M$, choose the moving frames as above. We have

$$K_1 = a\gamma + c\alpha = 0, \quad K_2 = a\delta + c\beta = 0 \quad \text{at } m,$$

and we get the existence of numbers ϱ, σ such that

$$\alpha = \varrho a, \quad \gamma = -\varrho c, \quad \beta = \sigma a, \quad \delta = -\sigma c \quad \text{at } m.$$

Then

$$T(m) = (3a^2 + 2ac + 3c^2)(a\sigma^2 + c\varrho^2) \geq 0.$$

4. Another generalization of the H -theorem. Consider the invariant 1-form

$$(34) \quad \tau = (a\beta + b\gamma - b\alpha - c\beta)\omega^1 + (a\gamma + b\delta - b\beta - c\gamma)\omega^2$$

on M ; it is easy to see that

$$(35) \quad d\tau = -2\{\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha\gamma - \beta\delta + 2(H^2 - K)K\}\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2.$$

The following assertion follows: Let M be a surface with ∂M umbilical, then

$$(36) \quad \int_M \{\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha\gamma - \beta\delta + 2(H^2 - K)K\}\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 = 0.$$

Now, we are in the position to prove

Theorem 4. *Let M be a surface with ∂M umbilical, and let*

$$(37) \quad 4(H^2 - K)K \geq H_1^2 + H_2^2.$$

The point $m \in M$ being non-umbilical, there exists its neighbourhood $U \subset M$ such that $K = 0$ in U . (I do not suppose M to be an ovaloid!)

Because of (15), (36) may be rewritten as

$$(38) \quad \int_M \{(2\beta - H_2)^2 + (2\gamma - H_1)^2 - H_1^2 - H_2^2 + 4(H^2 - K)K\}\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 = 0.$$

From (37), we obtain $2\beta = H_2$, $2\gamma = H_1$, i.e.,

$$(39) \quad \alpha = 3\gamma, \quad \delta = 3\beta.$$

In a suitable neighborhood U of the point m , we may choose the frames in such a way that $b = 0$, $a \neq c$; the equations (3) reduce to

$$(40) \quad da = 3\gamma\omega^1 + \beta\omega^2, \quad (a - c)\omega_1^2 = \beta\omega^1 + \gamma\omega^2, \quad dc = \gamma\omega^1 + 3\beta\omega^2.$$

By exterior differentiation, taking regard of (40),

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} 3(d\gamma - \beta\omega_1^2) \wedge \omega^1 + (d\beta + \gamma\omega_1^2) \wedge \omega^2 &= 0, \\ (d\beta + \gamma\omega_1^2) \wedge \omega^1 + (d\gamma - \beta\omega_1^2) \wedge \omega^2 &= (c - a)ac\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2, \\ (d\gamma - \beta\omega_1^2) \wedge \omega^1 + 3(d\beta + \gamma\omega_1^2) \wedge \omega^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus there is a function ϱ such that

$$(42) \quad d\beta + \gamma\omega_1^2 = (\varrho - ac^2)\omega^2, \quad d\gamma - \beta\omega_1^2 = (\varrho - a^2c)\omega^1.$$

By exterior differentiation,

$$(43) \quad (d\varrho - 3a^2\beta\omega^2) \wedge \omega^1 = 0, \quad (d\varrho - 3c^2\gamma\omega^1) \wedge \omega^2 = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(44) \quad d\varrho = 3c^2\gamma\omega^1 + 3a^2\beta\omega^2.$$

A further exterior differentiation yields

$$(45) \quad (a - c)\beta\gamma = 0.$$

Suppose $\beta = 0 \neq \gamma$, the case $\beta \neq 0 = \gamma$ being symmetric. We have

$$(46) \quad da = 3\gamma\omega^1, \quad dc = \gamma\omega^1,$$

and the equation $H_1^2 + H_2^2 = 4(H^2 - K)K$ turns out to be

$$(47) \quad a^3c - 2a^2c^2 + ac^3 = 4\gamma^2.$$

We get (taking regard of $\gamma \neq 0$!)

$$(48) \quad a^3 + 13a^2c - 9ac^2 + 3c^3 = 8\gamma, \\ 11a^2 + 30ac - 21c^2 = 0, \quad 2a + c = 0, \quad 7\gamma = 0$$

by a series of successive differentiations; hence a contradiction. Thus we have $\beta = \gamma = 0$, i.e., $\omega_1^2 = 0$ because of (40₂) and $a \neq c$. But this means $ac\omega^1 \wedge \omega^2 = 0$, i.e., $K = 0$.

5. General Weingarten surfaces. We are going to prove

Theorem 5. *Let M be an ovaloid or a part of it bounded by umbilical points. Let $H, K : M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ be its mean and Gauss curvature respectively. On a domain \mathcal{D} of \mathcal{R}^2 containing $H(M) \times K(M)$, be given a function $f(x, y)$ satisfying*

$$(49) \quad F(x, y) := f_x^2 + 4xf_xf_y + 4yf_y^2 > 0$$

on \mathcal{D} . If $f(H, K) = 0$, M is a sphere or a part of it.

We have

$$(50) \quad \begin{aligned} 2 dH &= (\alpha + \gamma) \omega^1 + (\beta + \delta) \omega^2, \\ dK &= (a\gamma + c\alpha - 2b\beta) \omega^1 + (a\delta + c\beta - 2b\gamma) \omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $m \in M$ be a generic point. Around m , choose the moving frames in such a way that $b(m) = 0$. The equation $f(H, K) = 0$ implies

$$(51) \quad \begin{aligned} (f_H + 2cf_K) \alpha + (f_H + 2af_K) \gamma &= 0, \\ (f_H + 2cf_K) \beta + (f_H + 2af_K) \delta &= 0 \quad \text{at } m. \end{aligned}$$

Because of

$$(f_H + 2cf_K)(f_H + 2af_K) = F(H, K) > 0,$$

α and γ as well as β and δ have opposite signs at m . Thus $\beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha\gamma - \beta\delta \geq 0$ at m ; applying now (36), we are done.

Bibliography

- [1] *H. Huck - R. Roitzsch - U. Simon - W. Vortisch - R. Walden - B. Wegner - W. Wendland: Beweismethoden der Differentialgeometrie im Grossen. Springer-Verlag 1973; Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 335.*

Author's address: 118 00 Praha 1, Malostranské nám. 25, ČSSR (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).