

Alois Švec

On mappings of a manifold into a Lie group

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 24 (1974), No. 1, 143–149

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101224>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON MAPPINGS OF A MANIFOLD INTO A LIE GROUP

ALOIS ŠVEC, Praha

(Received June 11, 1973)

In what follows I am concerned with the following problem: Let  $G$  be a Lie group,  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra,  $M$  a manifold and  $\varphi$  a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form over  $M$ ; under what conditions is there a mapping  $\Phi : M \rightarrow G$  such that  $\varphi = \Phi_*\omega$ ,  $\omega$  being the Maurer-Cartan form of  $G$ ? I study just the formal aspects of this question using the cohomology language; see, p. ex., V. GUILLEMIN and S. STERNBERG, *Deformation Theory of Pseudogroup Structures* (Memoirs of the AMS, No 64, 1966).

The paper has been written during my stay at the State University and the Pedagogical Institute at Vilnius, USSR.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra over  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $M$  a differentiable manifold of class  $C^\infty$ . Denote by  $a^p$  ( $p = 0, 1, \dots$ ) the sheaf of  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued  $p$ -forms on  $M$ , let  $A^p = \Gamma(a^p, M)$  be the  $\mathcal{R}$ -module of the sections of  $a^p$  over  $M$ . Further, be given  $\varphi \in A^1$  satisfying

$$(1) \quad d\varphi(X, Y) = -[\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)]$$

for arbitrary vector fields  $X, Y$  on  $M$ . We are going to use the following definition of the exterior differential: for  $\omega \in a^p$ ,  $d\omega \in a^{p+1}$  is given by

$$(2) \quad d\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) = \sum (-1)^{i+1} X_i \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{p+1}) + \\ + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \omega([X_i, X_j], X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{p+1}).$$

**Definition 1.** The operator

$$(3) \quad \delta_\varphi^p \equiv \delta : a^p \rightarrow a^{p+1}$$

be defined by

$$(4) \quad \delta\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) = \\ = d\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) + \sum (-1)^{i+1} [\varphi(X_i), \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{p+1})].$$

**Proposition 1.** *We have*

$$(5) \quad \delta^2 = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in a^p$ , the form  $\Omega \in a^{p+1}$  be defined by

$$(6) \quad \Omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}) = \sum (-1)^{i+1} [\varphi(X_i), \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{p+1})].$$

Then

$$(7) \quad d\Omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+2}) = \sum (-1)^i [\varphi(X_i), d\omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{p+2})] + \\ + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j+1} [d\varphi(X_i, X_j), \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{p+2})]$$

and  $\delta\omega = d\omega + \Omega$ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2\omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+2}) &= d\Omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p+2}) + \\ &+ \sum (-1)^{i+1} [\varphi(X_i), d\omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{p+2}) + \Omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{p+2})] = \\ &= \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j+1} [d\varphi(X_i, X_j), \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{p+2})] + \\ &+ \sum (-1)^{i+1} [\varphi(X_i), \Omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_{p+2})] = \\ &= \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} [[\varphi(X_i), \varphi(X_j)], \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{p+2})] + \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j+1} [\varphi(X_i), [\varphi(X_j), \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{p+2})]] + \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} [\varphi(X_j), [\varphi(X_i), \omega(X_1, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, \hat{X}_j, \dots, X_{p+2})]] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The details of the proof are omitted.

**Proposition 2.** (Poincaré lemma.) *Let  $\omega \in a^p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) be defined in a neighborhood  $U \subset M$  of the point  $m \in M$ , and let  $\delta\omega = 0$ . Then there is a neighborhood  $U_1 \subset U$  of  $m$  and  $\tau \in a^{p-1}$  defined on  $U_1$  such that  $\delta\tau = \omega$  on  $U_1$ .*

*Proof.* Write again  $\delta\omega = d\omega + \Omega$ ,  $\Omega$  being defined by (6). The proposition follows from the Poincaré lemma for  $d$  if  $d\Omega = 0$  is a consequence of  $d\omega + \Omega = 0$ . But this follows from (7).

Thus we get

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}_\varphi \subset a^0$  be the sheaf of the solutions of the equation*

$$(8) \quad \delta s \equiv ds + [\varphi, s] = 0;$$

*then*

$$(9) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\varphi \rightarrow a^0 \xrightarrow{\delta} a^1 \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots$$

*is the resolution of  $\mathcal{S}_\varphi$ .*

**Definition 2.** Denote by  $B_\varphi^p(M, g)$  ( $p = 1, 2, \dots$ ) the vector space of the forms of the type  $\delta\omega$  with  $\omega \in A^{p-1}$ ; let  $Z_\varphi^p(M, g)$  ( $p = 0, 1, \dots$ ) be the vector space of the forms  $\omega' \in A^p$  satisfying  $\delta\omega' = 0$ . The *cohomological groups* be defined by

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\varphi^p(M, g) &= Z_\varphi^p(M, g) / B_\varphi^p(M, g) \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2, \dots; \\ \mathcal{H}_\varphi^0(M, g) &= Z_\varphi^0(M, g). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.** The form  $\omega_1 \in A^1$  is called an *infinitesimal deformation* of  $\varphi$  if  $\omega_1 \in Z_\varphi^1(M, g)$ . A *deformation* of  $\varphi$  is a mapping  $\omega_t : J \rightarrow A^1$ , where (i)  $J \subset \mathcal{R}$  is a neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathcal{R}$ , (ii)  $\omega_0 = \varphi$ , (iii) for each  $t \in J$ , we have

$$(11) \quad d\omega_t(X, Y) = -[\omega_t(X), \omega_t(Y)],$$

(iv) the mapping  $\omega_t$  is analytic in  $t$ .

The form  $\omega_t$  may be written, in a suitable neighborhood  $J' \subset J$  of  $0 \in \mathcal{R}$ , as

$$(12) \quad \omega_t = \varphi + \omega_1 t + \omega_2 t^2 + \dots, \quad \omega_i \in A^1;$$

from (11), we get

$$(13) \quad \delta\omega_p(X, Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [\omega_i(X), \omega_{p-i}(Y)] \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, the form  $\omega_1 = (d\omega_t/dt)_{t=0}$  is an infinitesimal deformation of  $\varphi$ .

**Proposition 3.** Let the forms  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{q-1} \in A^1$  satisfy

$$(14) \quad \delta\omega_p(X, Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} [\omega_i(X), \omega_{p-i}(Y)] \quad \text{for } p = 1, \dots, q-1.$$

Then the form

$$(15) \quad \Psi_q(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} [\omega_i(X), \omega_{q-i}(Y)]$$

is contained in  $Z_\varphi^2(M, g)$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Psi_q(X, Y, Z) &= X\Psi_q(Y, Z) - Y\Psi_q(X, Z) + Z\Psi_q(X, Y) - \Psi_q([X, Y], Z) + \\ &+ \Psi_q([X, Z], Y) - \Psi_q([Y, Z], X) + [\varphi(X), \Psi_q(Y, Z)] - [\varphi(Y), \Psi_q(X, Z)] + \\ &+ [\varphi(Z), \Psi_q(X, Y)] = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \{ [X\omega_i(Y), \omega_{q-i}(Z)] + [\omega_i(Y), X\omega_{q-i}(Z)] - [Y\omega_i(X), \omega_{q-i}(Z)] - \\ &- [\omega_i(X), Y\omega_{q-i}(Z)] + [Z\omega_i(X), \omega_{q-i}(Y)] + [\omega_i(X), Z\omega_{q-i}(Y)] - \\ &- [\omega_i([X, Y], \omega_{q-i}(Z)] + [\omega_i([X, Z]), \omega_{q-i}(Y)] - [\omega_i([Y, Z]), \omega_{q-i}(X)] + \\ &+ [\varphi(X), [\omega_i(Y), \omega_{q-i}(Z)]] - [\varphi(Y), [\omega_i(X), \omega_{q-i}(Z)]] + \\ &+ [\varphi(Z), [\omega_i(X), \omega_{q-i}(Y)]] \} = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \{ [d\omega_i(X, Y), \omega_{q-i}(Z)] - [d\omega_i(X, Z), \omega_{q-i}(Y)] + [d\omega_i(Y, Z), \omega_{q-i}(X)] - \\
&\quad - [\omega_i(Y), [\omega_{q-i}(Z), \varphi(X)]] - [\omega_{q-i}(Z), [\varphi(X), \omega_i(Y)]] + \\
&\quad + [\omega_i(X), [\omega_{q-i}(Z), \varphi(Y)]] + [\omega_{q-i}(Z), [\varphi(Y), \omega_i(X)]] - \\
&\quad - [\omega_i(X), [\omega_{q-i}(Y), \varphi(Z)]] - [\omega_{q-i}(Y), [\varphi(Z), \omega_i(X)]] \} = \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \{ [\delta\omega_i(X, Y), \omega_{q-i}(Z)] - [\delta\omega_i(X, Z), \omega_{q-i}(Y)] + [\delta\omega_i(Y, Z), \omega_{q-i}(X)] \} = \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \{ [[\omega_j(X), \omega_{i-j}(Y)], \omega_{q-i}(Z)] - [[\omega_j(X), \omega_{i-j}(Z)], \omega_{q-i}(Y)] + \\
&\quad + [[\omega_j(Y), \omega_{i-j}(Z)], \omega_{q-i}(X)] \} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

**Definition 4.** A series of the type (12) is called a *formal deformation* of  $\varphi$  if the forms  $\omega_p$  satisfy (13).

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^2(M, g) = 0$ , and let  $\omega_1$  be an infinitesimal deformation of  $\varphi$ . Then there exists a formal deformation  $\omega_t = \varphi + \omega_1 t + \omega_2 t^2 + \dots$  of  $\varphi$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that we have already constructed the forms  $\omega_2, \dots, \omega_{q-1}$ ; we have to prove the existence of  $\omega_q$  satisfying  $\delta\omega_q = -\Psi_q$ . Because of  $\Psi_q \in Z_\varphi^2(M, g)$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^2(M, g) = 0$ , we have  $\Psi_q \in B_\varphi^2(M, g)$  and the existence of the form  $\omega_q$  follows.

Be given a Lie group  $G$  with the corresponding Lie algebra  $g$ . To make the calculations more simple, suppose that  $G \subset GL(N, \mathcal{R})$  for a convenient  $N$ ; this supposition does not restrict the generality of our considerations. Further, let  $\Phi : M \rightarrow G$  be a mapping such that

$$(16) \quad \varphi = g^{-1} dg;$$

of course, here I do suppose the existence of such a mapping. The precise meaning of (16) is as follows: Let  $m \in M$ ,  $X \in T_m(M)$ , then

$$(17) \quad \varphi(X) = \Phi(m)^{-1} \cdot d\Phi_m(X).$$

Because of  $\varphi(X) = g^{-1} \cdot Xg$ , we have  $g\varphi(X) = Xg$  and

$$Yg \cdot \varphi(X) + g \cdot Y\varphi(X) = YXg, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad Y\varphi(X) = g^{-1} \cdot YXg - \varphi(Y)\varphi(X).$$

Thus the form (16) satisfies (1). This is also obvious from the fact that (16) is the restriction of the Maurer-Cartan form.

**Definition 5.** The formal deformations (12) and

$$(18) \quad \tau_t = \varphi + \tau_1 t + \tau_2 t^2 + \dots$$

of  $\varphi$  are said to be *p-equivalent* ( $p = 1, 2, \dots$ ) if there is a mapping  $h : M \times J \rightarrow G$

(with  $J \subset \mathcal{R}$  a neighborhood of  $0 \in \mathcal{R}$  and  $h(m, 0) = e$ ) and forms  $\psi_{p+1}, \psi_{p+2}, \dots \in A^1$  such that

$$(19) \quad \omega_t = h^{-1}\tau_t h + h^{-1} d_M h + \psi_{p+1} t^{p+1} + \psi_{p+2} t^{p+2} + \dots,$$

$d_M$  denoting the differential satisfying  $d_M t = 0$ . The formal deformations of  $\varphi$  are *formally equivalent* if they are  $p$ -equivalent for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $\Phi : M \rightarrow G$  be a mapping inducing the form  $\varphi$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^1(M, g) = 0$ , and let the formal deformations  $\omega_t, \tau_t$  of  $\varphi$  satisfy  $\omega_t - \tau_t \in Z_\varphi^1(M, g)$ . Then  $\omega_t$  and  $\tau_t$  are formally equivalent.*

*Proof.* Obviously, it is sufficient to prove the following assertion: Let

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_t &= \varphi + \omega_1 t + \dots + \omega_p t^p + \omega_{p+1} t^{p+1} + \dots, \\ \tau_t &= \varphi + \omega_1 t + \dots + \omega_p t^p + \tau_{p+1} t^{p+1} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

be formal deformations of  $\varphi$  with  $\delta\omega_{p+1} = \delta\tau_{p+1}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^1(M, g) = 0$ ; then  $\omega_t$  and  $\tau_t$  are  $(p+1)$ -equivalent. On  $M$ , choose a coordinate neighborhood  $U$  with the local coordinates  $u^i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, \dim M$ ). On  $U$ , we have

$$(21) \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial u^i} = h\kappa_i, \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = h\kappa$$

with  $\kappa_i, \kappa : U \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow g$ . The integrability conditions of (21) are

$$(22) \quad \frac{\partial \kappa_i}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \kappa}{\partial u^i} = [\kappa_i, \kappa], \quad \frac{\partial \kappa_i}{\partial u^j} - \frac{\partial \kappa_j}{\partial u^i} = [\kappa_i, \kappa_j].$$

From  $h(u, 0) = e$ , we get  $\kappa_i(u, 0) = 0$ . Let us write, in  $U$ ,

$$(23) \quad \omega_t = A_i(u, t) du^i, \quad \tau_t = B_i(u, t) du^i;$$

we have

$$(24) \quad \frac{\partial^q A_i(u, 0)}{\partial t^q} = \frac{\partial^q B_i(u, 0)}{\partial t^q} \quad \text{for } q = 0, \dots, p.$$

Consider the mappings  $h : M \times J \rightarrow G$  such that

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^\alpha h(u, 0)}{\partial t^\alpha} &= 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, \dots, p, \quad \text{i.e.,} \\ \frac{\partial^\alpha \kappa(u, 0)}{\partial t^\alpha} &= 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha = 0, \dots, p-1. \end{aligned}$$

Further, consider the equation

$$(26) \quad h(u, t) A_i(u, t) = B_i(u, t) h(u, t) + \varkappa_i(u, t).$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{p-\alpha+1} h(u, t)}{\partial t^{p-\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial^\alpha A_i(u, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} = \\ & = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{p+1} \binom{p+1}{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{p-\alpha+1} B_i(u, t)}{\partial t^{p-\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial^\alpha h(u, t)}{\partial t^\alpha} + \frac{\partial^{p+1} \varkappa_i(u, t)}{\partial t^{p+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., taking regard of (24) and (25),

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^{p+1} h(u, 0)}{\partial t^{p+1}} A_i(u, 0) + \frac{\partial^{p+1} A_i(u, 0)}{\partial t^{p+1}} = \\ & = \frac{\partial^{p+1} B_i(u, 0)}{\partial t^{p+1}} + B_i(u, 0) \frac{\partial^{p+1} h(u, 0)}{\partial t^{p+1}} + \frac{\partial^{p+1} \varkappa_i(u, 0)}{\partial t^{p+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

From (21<sub>2</sub>) and (22<sub>1</sub>), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{p+1} h}{\partial t^{p+1}} &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^p \binom{p}{\alpha} \frac{\partial^\alpha h}{\partial t^\alpha} \frac{\partial^{p-\alpha} \varkappa}{\partial t^{p-\alpha}}, \\ \frac{\partial^{p+1} \varkappa_i}{\partial t^{p+1}} - \frac{\partial^{p+1} \varkappa}{\partial t^p \partial u^i} &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^p \binom{p}{\alpha} \left[ \frac{\partial^\alpha \varkappa_i}{\partial t^\alpha}, \frac{\partial^{p-\alpha} \varkappa}{\partial t^{p-\alpha}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^{p+1} h(u, 0)}{\partial t^{p+1}} = \frac{\partial^p \varkappa(u, 0)}{\partial t^p}, \quad \frac{\partial^{p+1} \varkappa_i(u, 0)}{\partial t^{p+1}} = \frac{\partial^{p+1} \varkappa(u, 0)}{\partial t^p \partial u^i}.$$

The equation (27) may be rewritten as

$$(28) \quad \frac{\partial^{p+1} A_i(u, 0)}{\partial t^{p+1}} - \frac{\partial^{p+1} B_i(u, 0)}{\partial t^{p+1}} = \frac{\partial^{p+1} \varkappa(u, 0)}{\partial u^i \partial t^p} + \left[ A_i(u, 0), \frac{\partial^p \varkappa(u, 0)}{\partial t^p} \right],$$

i.e.,

$$(29) \quad \omega_{p+1} - \tau_{p+1} = \delta v \cdot (p+1)!$$

valid now over all of  $M$ ; here,

$$(30) \quad v = \frac{\partial^p \varkappa(u, 0)}{\partial t^p}.$$

From  $\delta(\omega_{p+1} - \tau_{p+1}) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}_\phi^1(M, g) = 0$  there follows the existence of a  $v \in A^0$  satisfying (29); obviously, there is a mapping  $h : M \times J \rightarrow G$  satisfying  $h(u, 0) = e$ ,

(25) and (30). By means of this mapping, we substitute  $\tau_t$  by a formally equivalent deformation

$$(31) \quad \tau'_t = \varphi + \omega_1 t + \dots + \omega_{p+1} t^{p+1} + \tau'_{p+2} t^{p+2} + \dots$$

using (26). Clearly,  $\delta\omega_t = \delta\tau'_t$ .

Now, it is easy to see the validity of the following

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Phi : M \rightarrow G$  be a mapping inducing the form  $\varphi$ , and let  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^2(M, g) = 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^1(M, g)$  is the parameter space of the set of formally non-equivalent formal deformations of  $\varphi$ . If  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi^1(M, g) = 0$ , then each formal deformation of  $\varphi$  is formally equivalent to  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi$  being considered as the formal deformation  $\tau_t = \varphi$  of itself.*

*Author's address:* 118 00 Praha 1, Malostranské nám. 25, ČSSR (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta UK).