

Anton Dekrét

On canonical forms on non-holonomic and semi-holonomic prolongations of principal fibre bundles

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 22 (1972), No. 4, 653–662

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101132>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1972

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON CANONICAL FORMS ON NON-HOLONOMIC
AND SEMI-HOLONOMIC PROLONGATIONS
OF PRINCIPAL FIBRE BUNDLES

ANTON DEKRÉT, Žilina

(Received November 13, 1971)

Using the theory of jets, KOLÁŘ [3] introduced canonical forms on holonomic prolongations of principal fibre bundles and justified the algorithm for the determination of their structure equations. (This algorithm was also used by LAPTEV [6] and GHEORGHIEV [2].) In the present paper, using Kolář's results, we shall find the structure equations of canonical forms on non-holonomic and semi-holonomic prolongations of principal fibre bundles as well as the Maurer-Cartan equations of the structure groups of these bundles. In particular, we shall show that the structure equations of "the non-holonomic differential group of order r " introduced by LUMISTE in [9] (see also [7]) are the structure equations of the group L_r^n of all invertible semi-holonomic r -jets of R^n into R^n with the source and the target O . Further, the semi-holonomic extensions of the canonical form θ_1 of $W^1(P)$ are introduced and some relations to the theory of linear connections are explained. We shall use the terminology and notation of the theory of jets (see [1]) throughout the paper. Our considerations are in the category C^∞ .

1. Let M_1, M_2 be manifolds, $n_i = \dim M_i$, $i = 1, 2$. As usual, $\tilde{J}_x^r(M_1, M_2)$ or $\tilde{J}_x^r(M_1, M_2)$ denotes respectively the manifold of all non-holonomic or semi-holonomic r -jets of M_1 into M_2 with source $x \in M_1$. Let U be a coordinate neighbourhood on $\tilde{J}_x^r(M_1, M_2)$ and let

$$c_{i_1 \dots i_r}^j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2; \quad i_1, \dots, i_r = 0, 1, \dots, n_1$$

be coordinate functions on U , see [12]. Denote by ζ the rule of dropping all the zero components in a multiindex. VÍRSÍK [12] deduced the following property of semi-holonomic jets.

Lemma 1. Let $\tilde{f} \in U \subset \tilde{J}_x^r(M_1, M_2)$. Then \tilde{f} is semi-holonomic if and only if its coordinates $c_{i_1 \dots i_r}^j(\tilde{f})$ satisfy

$$\zeta(i_1 \dots i_r) = \zeta(k_1 \dots k_r) \Rightarrow c_{i_1 \dots i_r}^j(\tilde{f}) = c_{k_1 \dots k_r}^j(\tilde{f}).$$

2. In what follows we shall use the following indices: $A, B = 1, \dots, n + m$; $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = n + 1, \dots, n + m$, $h, t, p, q = 1, \dots, n$; $i, j, k = 0, 1, \dots, n$.

Let $P(B, G, \pi)$ be a principal fibre bundle, $n = \dim B$, $m = \dim G$. Let $U \subset R^n$ be an open subset, $0 \in U$ and let V be an open subset of B . A local isomorphism $\Psi_{\varphi, \sigma}: U \times G \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(V)$, $\Psi_{\varphi, \sigma}(x, g) = [\sigma(\varphi(x))]g$, will be called an *allowable chart* on P , where φ is a diffeomorphism $U \rightarrow V$ and σ is a local cross-section $V \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(V)$. The first prolongation of P is the set $W^1(P)$ of all 1-jets of allowable charts on P with the source $(0, e) \in R^n \times G$. $W^1(P)$ is a principal fibre bundle over B with the structure group G_n^1 of all 1-jets of allowable charts $\Psi_{\varphi, \sigma}$ on $R^n \times G$ with the source $(0, e)$ satisfying $\varphi(0) = 0$. We can identify $W^1(P) \equiv H^1(B) \otimes J^1(P)$ where $H^1(B) \otimes J^1(P)$ means the fibre product over B of $H^1(B)$ and $J^1(P)$ and G_n^1 is the semidirect product $L_n^1 \bar{\times} T_n^1(G)$ of the groups L_n^1 and $T_n^1(G)$ with respect to the action $S \mapsto \rightarrow SX$ ($S \in T_n^1(G)$, $X \in L_n^1$) of L_n^1 on $T_n^1(G)$. In other words,

$$(1) \quad (X_2, S_2)(X_1, S_1) = (X_2X_1, (S_2X_1)S_1)$$

where X_2X_1, S_2X_1 is the composition of jets and $(S_1X_1)S_1$ is the product in the group $T_n^1(G)$. By induction, we define $\tilde{W}^r(P) = W^1(\tilde{W}^{r-1}(P))$, and call it *the r-th non-holonomic prolongation of P*. $\tilde{W}^r(P)$ has a natural structure of a principal fibre bundle $\tilde{W}^r(P)(B, \tilde{G}_n^r)$, where the group \tilde{G}_n^r is determined by the recurrent formula $\tilde{G}_n^r = (\tilde{G}_n^{r-1})_n^1$.

We can identify

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{W}^r(P) &= \tilde{H}_n^r(B) \otimes \tilde{J}^r(P), \\ \tilde{G}_n^r &= \tilde{L}_n^r \bar{\times} \tilde{T}_n^r(G), \quad \text{see [11] and [4].} \end{aligned}$$

This identification will be denoted by τ . It results from the definition of $\tilde{W}^r(P)$ that $\tilde{W}^r(P)$ is the set of all 1-jets of the local isomorphisms $\Psi_{\varphi, \sigma}$ of $R^n \times \tilde{G}_n^{r-1}$ into $\tilde{W}^{r-1}(P)$ with the source $(0, e_{r-1})$, where e_{r-1} is the unit of the group \tilde{G}_n^{r-1} . These local isomorphisms will be called *non-holonomic allowable (r - 1)-charts* on P .

Let $u \in \tilde{W}^r(P)$, $u = j_{(0, e_{r-1})}^1 \Psi$, where Ψ is a non-holonomic allowable $(r - 1)$ -chart on P . Denote by β the natural projection $\beta u = \Psi(0, e_{r-1})$. Let $X \in T_u(\tilde{W}^r(P))$, $X = j_0^1 \gamma(t)$. Then $\Psi_*^{-1} \beta_* X = j_0^1 \Psi_*^{-1} [\beta \gamma(t)] \in T_{(0, e_{r-1})}(R^n \times \tilde{G}_n^{r-1}) \equiv \tilde{w}_n^{r-1}(G)$. Thus we get a vector-valued form on $\tilde{W}^r(P)$ with values in $\tilde{w}_n^{r-1}(G)$

$$(2) \quad \Theta_r(X) = \Psi_*^{-1} \beta_* X.$$

Definition 1. The form Θ_r , determined by (2) will be said to be the canonical form on $\tilde{W}^r(P)$.

In [4], Kolář introduced an admissible extension of Θ_r in the holonomic case. Put $*\tilde{W}^{r+1}(P) = W^2(\tilde{W}^{r-1}(P))$, i.e., $*\tilde{W}^{r+1}(P)$ is the second holonomic prolongation of the principal fibre bundle $\tilde{W}^{r-1}(P)$. According to Lemma 3 of [4], $*\tilde{W}^{r+1}(P)$ is a principal fibre bundle $*\tilde{W}^{r+1}(P) (\tilde{W}^r(P), {}^0(\tilde{G}_n^{r-1})_n^2)$, where ${}^0(\tilde{G}_n^{r-1})_n^2$ is the kernel of the homomorphism $j_2^1 : (\tilde{G}_n^{r-1})_n^2 \rightarrow \tilde{G}_n^r$ (j_2^1 is the natural projection of 2-jets into 1-jets). Since ${}^0(\tilde{G}_n^{r-1})_n^2$ is homeomorphic to a number space, the global sections of $*\tilde{W}^{r+1}(P)$ exist. Let σ be a global section of $*\tilde{W}^{r+1}(P)$; $u \in \tilde{W}^r(P)$, $\sigma(u) = j_{(0, e_{r-1})}^2 \Psi$, where Ψ is a non-holonomic allowable $(r-1)$ -chart; $X \in T_u(\tilde{W}^r(P))$, $X = j_0^1 \gamma(t)$. Denote by $\Psi^{-1} \gamma(t)$ the image of the jet $\gamma(t)$ by the map Ψ^{-1} . Then $j_0^1 \Psi^{-1} \gamma(t) \in \tilde{w}_n^r(G)$. The form

$$(2') \quad \Theta'_r(X) = j_0^1 \Psi^{-1} \gamma(t)$$

will be said to be an admissible extension of the canonical form Θ_r on $\tilde{W}^r(P)$. One can see easily that

$$(3) \quad (j_r^{r-1})_* \Theta'_r(X) = \Theta_r(X).$$

3. Let e_α be a basis of \mathfrak{g} (as usual \mathfrak{g} denotes the Lie algebra of G). Let ω^α be the dual basis of \mathfrak{g}^* and let

$$d\omega^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \omega^\beta \wedge \omega^\gamma$$

be the structure equations of G . Let c^α be the canonical coordinates in some neighbourhood of $e \in G$ determined by e_α , and let e^α be canonical coordinates on R^n . Denote by c_{i_1, \dots, i_r}^A the corresponding local coordinates on $R^n \times \tilde{G}_n^r$. Let $e_A^{i_1, \dots, i_r}$ be the corresponding basis of $\tilde{w}_n^r(G)$. The space $\tilde{w}_n^{r-1}(G)$ is isomorphic to the subspace $\{e_A^{i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} 0}\} \subset \tilde{w}_n^r(G)$. Taking into account this isomorphism and (3), we can write

$$\Theta_r(X) = \Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} 0}^A(X) e^{i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} 0}, \quad \Theta'_r(X) = \Theta_r(X) + \Theta_{i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} \alpha}^A(X) e_A^{i_1, \dots, i_{r-1} \alpha}.$$

Kolář, [3], deduced the following structure equations of Θ_1 :

$$(4) \quad d\Theta_0^p = \Theta_0^q \wedge \Theta_q^p, \quad d\Theta_0 = \frac{1}{2} c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \Theta_0^\beta \wedge \Theta_0^\gamma + \Theta_0^\alpha \wedge \Theta_\alpha^0.$$

The relations (4) do not depend on the choice of an admissible extension of Θ_1 (see [4], Theorem 5). The exterior differentiation of (4) yields

$$(4)' \quad d\Theta_q^A = \Theta_q^B \wedge \Theta_B^A + \Theta_0^p \wedge \hat{\Theta}_{qp}^A,$$

where the forms $\hat{\Theta}_{qp}^A$ satisfy $\Theta_0^q \wedge \Theta_0^p \wedge \hat{\Theta}_{qp}^A = 0$, $\Theta_\beta^p = 0$, $\Theta_\beta^\alpha = c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \Theta_0^\gamma$. This implies the following structure equations of G_n^1 (see [4]):

$$d\omega_0^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \omega_0^\beta \wedge \omega_0^\gamma, \quad d\omega_p^A = \omega_p^B \wedge \omega_B^A,$$

where $\omega_\beta^\alpha = c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \omega_0^\gamma$, $\omega_\beta^q = 0$ and $\omega_0^\alpha, \omega_q^A$ form the basis of \mathcal{G}_n^1 dual to e^0, e_A^q .

Proposition 1. (Structure equations of the canonical form Θ_r) Let $\Theta_{i_1 \dots i_r}^A$ be the components of Θ_r' . Then

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} d\Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\alpha &= \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^p \wedge \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^q \\ d\Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\beta \wedge \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\gamma + \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^p \wedge \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Further, if i_p is the first number different from 0 in the sequence (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r) , then

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} d\Theta_{i_1 \dots i_p \dots i_r}^A &= \sum_{(k_{p+1} \dots k_r)} \Theta_{0 \dots 0 i_p (k_{p+1} \dots k_r)}^B \wedge \Theta_{0 \dots 0 B (k_{p+1} \dots k_r)}^A + \\ &+ \sum_{s=\langle p+1 \rangle}^{\langle r \rangle} \sum_{(k_{s+1} \dots k_r)} \Theta_{0 \dots 0 i_s (k_{s+1} \dots k_r)}^q \wedge \Theta_{0 \dots 0 i_p \dots i_{s-1} q (k_{s+1} \dots k_r)}^A + \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^q \wedge \hat{\Theta}_{i_1 \dots i_r q}^A, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{0 \dots 0 \beta i_q \dots i_r}^\alpha &= c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \Theta_{0 \dots 0 i_q \dots i_r}^\gamma, \quad \Theta_{0 \dots 0 \beta i_r \dots i_r}^q = 0, \\ \hat{\Theta}_{i_1 \dots i_r - 1 0 q}^A &= \Theta_{i_1 \dots i_r - 1 q}^A, \quad \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^p \wedge \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^q \wedge \hat{\Theta}_{i_1 \dots i_r - 1 p q}^A = 0; \end{aligned}$$

$\sum_{(k_{p+1} \dots k_r)}$ denotes the summation over all sequences (k_{p+1}, \dots, k_r) such that $k_j = i_j$ or $k_j = 0$, $\hat{k}_j = i_j - k_j$ and $\sum_{s=\langle p+1 \rangle}^{\langle r \rangle}$ means the summation over the integers $s = p+1, \dots, r$ for which $i_s \neq 0$.

Proof (by induction). For $r = 1$, (5) and (6) are equivalent to (4) and (4'). Assume by induction that the components of Θ_r' satisfy (5) and (6). Then the forms $\omega_{0 \dots 0}^\alpha, \omega_{i_1 \dots i_r}^\alpha$ of the dual basis to $e_\alpha^{0 \dots 0}, \dots, e_\alpha^{i_1 \dots i_r}$ satisfy the following structure equations of the group \tilde{G}_n^r :

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_{0 \dots 0}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \omega_{0 \dots 0}^\beta \wedge \omega_{0 \dots 0}^\gamma, \\ d\omega_{i_1 \dots i_p \dots i_r}^A &= \sum_{(k_{p+1} \dots k_r)} \omega_{0 \dots 0 i_p (k_{p+1} \dots k_r)}^B \wedge \omega_{0 \dots 0 B k_{p+1} \dots k_r}^A + \\ &+ \sum_{s=\langle p+1 \rangle}^{\langle r \rangle} \sum_{(k_{s+1} \dots k_r)} \omega_{0 \dots 0 i_s (k_{s+1} \dots k_r)}^q \wedge \omega_{0 \dots 0 i_p \dots i_{s-1} q (k_{s+1} \dots k_r)}^A, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_{0 \dots 0 \beta i_j \dots i_r}^\alpha = c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \omega_{0 \dots 0 i_j \dots i_r}^\gamma, \quad \omega_{0 \dots 0 \beta i_j \dots i_r}^q = 0.$$

Since $\tilde{W}^{r+1} = W^1(\tilde{W}^r)$, we can use (4). Hence the components of Θ_{r+1} satisfy:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} d\Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\alpha &= \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^p \wedge \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^q, \\ d\Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\beta \wedge \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\gamma + \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^p \wedge \Theta_{0 \dots 0}^\alpha, \\ d\Theta_{i_1 \dots i_p \dots i_r 0}^A &= \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where Ω is the form which can be obtained formally from the form on the right side of (6) by adding zero to the end of every multiindex and

$$\hat{\Theta}_{i_1 \dots i_r q 0}^A = \Theta_{i_1 \dots i_r q}^A.$$

The exterior differentiation of (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta_{0\dots 0}^q \wedge \left\{ \sum_{(k_p+1\dots k_r)} [\Theta_{0\dots 0 i_p(k_p+1\dots k_r)q}^B \wedge \Theta_{0\dots 0 B(k_p+1\dots k_r)0}^A + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \Theta_{0\dots 0 i_p(k_p+1\dots k_r)0}^B \wedge \Theta_{0\dots 0 B(k_p+1\dots k_r)q}^A] + \right. \\ & + \sum_{s=\langle p+1 \rangle}^{\langle r \rangle} \sum_{(k_s+1\dots k_r)} [\Theta_{0\dots 0 i_s(k_s+1\dots k_r)q}^t \wedge \Theta_{0\dots 0 i_p\dots i_{s-1}t(k_s+1\dots k_r)0}^A + \\ & \quad \left. + \Theta_{0\dots 0 i_s(k_s+1\dots k_r)0}^t \wedge \Theta_{0\dots 0 i_p\dots i_{s-1}t(k_s+1\dots k_r)q}^A] + \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \Theta_{0\dots 0q}^t \wedge \Theta_{i_1\dots i_r t}^A - d\Theta_{i_1\dots i_r q}^A \right\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the generalized Cartan's lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d\Theta_{i_1\dots i_r i_{r+1}}^A &= \sum_{(k_p+1\dots k_{r+1})} \Theta_{0\dots 0 i_p(k_p+1\dots k_{r+1})}^B \wedge \Theta_{0\dots 0 B(k_p+1\dots k_{r+1})}^A + \\ & + \sum_{s=\langle p+1 \rangle}^{\langle r+1 \rangle} \sum_{(k_s+1\dots k_{r+1})} \Theta_{0\dots 0 i_s(k_s+1\dots k_{r+1})}^t \wedge \Theta_{0\dots 0 i_p\dots i_{s-1}t(k_s+1\dots k_{r+1})}^A + \Theta_{0\dots 0}^t \wedge \Theta_{i_1\dots i_r+1 t}^A, \end{aligned}$$

where $i_{r+1} = q$ and $\Theta_{0\dots 0}^q \wedge \Theta_{0\dots 0}^t \wedge \hat{\Theta}_{i_1\dots i_r+1 t}^A = 0$. QED.

Remark 1. We have simultaneously proved that the equations (*) are the structure equations of \tilde{G}_n^r .

4. The space $W^1(P)$ is the first prolongation of P ; $\tau(W^1(P)) = H^1(B) \otimes J^1(P)$. Denote by p_1, p_2 the natural projections

$$p_1 : H^1(B) \otimes J^1(P) \rightarrow H^1(B), \quad p_2 : H^1(B) \otimes J^1(P) \rightarrow J^1(P).$$

Let $\Psi_{\varphi, \sigma}$ be an allowable chart on the principal fibre bundle $W^1(P)(B, G_n^1)$. The chart $\Psi_{\varphi, \sigma}$ will be called a *semi-holonomic allowable chart of the first order on P* if

$$j_0^1[p_1 \tau(\sigma\varphi)] \in \bar{H}^2(B), \quad j_{\varphi(0)}^1[p_2 \tau(\sigma)] \in \bar{J}^2(P).$$

The set $\bar{W}^2(P) = \bar{W}^1(W^1(P))$ of all 1-jets of all semi-holonomic allowable charts of the first order on P with the source $(0, e_1) \in R_n \times G_n^1$ will be called the *second semi-holonomic prolongation of P* . We can identify

$$\bar{W}^2(P) \equiv \bar{H}^2(B) \otimes \bar{J}^2(P).$$

By induction, we define $\bar{W}^r(P) = \bar{W}^1(\bar{W}^{r-1}(P))$ and call it, the *r -th semi-holonomic prolongation of P* . It is possible to identify

$$\bar{W}^r(P) \equiv \bar{H}^r(B) \otimes \bar{J}^r(P).$$

The space $\bar{W}^r(P)$ is a principal fibre bundle $\bar{W}^r(P)(B, \bar{G}_n^r)$, where the group \bar{G}_n^r can be identified with $\bar{L}_n^r \bar{\times} \bar{T}_n^r(G)$. This identification will be denoted by ξ .

The canonical form Θ_r on $\overline{W}^r(P)$ is defined by (2), where ${}^u\Psi$ is a semi-holonomic admissible chart on $\overline{W}^{r-1}(P)$. Θ_r is a vector-valued form with values in $\overline{w}_n^{r-1}(G) = T_{(0, e_{r-1})}(R^n \times \overline{G}_n^{r-1})$. In particular, we can identify $B \equiv B \times \{e\}$, where $\{e\}$ is the trivial one-element group. Then the canonical form Θ_r on $\overline{W}^r(B \times \{e\})$ coincides with the canonical form of $\overline{H}^r(B)$ introduced in [10].

Let σ be a global section of the principal fibre bundle ${}^*\overline{W}^{r+1}(P) (\overline{W}^r(P), {}^*\overline{G}_n^{r+1}) = W^2(\overline{W}^{r-1}(P)) \cap \overline{W}^{r+1}(P)$, where ${}^*G_n^{r+1}$ denotes the kernel of the homomorphism $j_2^1 : (\overline{G}_n^{r-1})_n^2 \cap \overline{G}_n^{r+1} \rightarrow \overline{G}_n^r$. Then a form Θ'_r (which will be called an admissible extension of Θ_r on $\overline{W}^r(P)$) is defined analogously to (2'). Θ'_r is a $\overline{w}_n^r(G)$ -valued form. Obviously, the diagram

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T(\overline{W}^r(P)) & \longrightarrow & \overline{w}_n^r(G) \\ \downarrow (\tau^{-1}\xi)_* & & \downarrow (\tau^{-1}\xi)_* \\ T(\overline{W}^r(P)) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{w}_n^r(G) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Diagram (8) implies the identification of Θ_r on $\overline{W}^r(P)$ with the restriction of Θ_r on $\tilde{W}^r(P)$ to $(\tau^{-1}\xi)_* T(\overline{W}^r(P))$. Let

$$\overline{\Theta}'_r = \Theta'_r | (\tau^{-1}\xi)_* T(\overline{W}^r(P)), \quad \overline{\Theta}^A_{i_1 \dots i_r} = \Theta^A_{i_1 \dots i_r} | (\tau^{-1}\xi)_* T(\overline{W}^r(P)),$$

where $\Theta^A_{i_1 \dots i_r}$ are the components of Θ'_r on $\tilde{W}^r(P)$. Consequently, writing $\overline{\Theta}^A_{j_1 \dots j_r}$ instead of $\Theta^A_{j_1 \dots j_r}$ in equations (5) and (6) we obtain the structure equations of the canonical form Θ_r on $\overline{W}^r(P)$. Then the structure equations of \overline{G}_n^r have the following form:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} d\omega^{\alpha}_{0 \dots 0} &= \frac{1}{2} c^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \omega^{\beta}_{0 \dots 0} \wedge \omega^{\gamma}_{0 \dots 0} \\ d\overline{\omega}^A_{i_1 \dots i_p \dots i_r} &= \sum_{(k_{p+1} \dots k_r)} \overline{\omega}^B_{0 \dots 0 i_p (k_{p+1} \dots k_r)} \wedge \overline{\omega}^A_{0 \dots 0 B (k_{p+1} \dots k_r)} + \\ &+ \sum_{s < p+1} \sum_{(k_{s+1} \dots k_r)} \overline{\omega}^t_{0 \dots 0 i_s (k_{s+1} \dots k_r)} \wedge \overline{\omega}^A_{0 \dots 0 i_p \dots i_{s-1} t (k_{s+1} \dots k_r)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\omega}^A_{j_1 \dots j_r} &= \omega^A_{j_1 \dots j_r} | (\tau^{-1}\xi)_* T(\overline{G}_n^r), \\ \overline{\omega}^{\alpha}_{0 \dots 0 \beta i_j \dots i_r} &= c^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma} \overline{\omega}^{\gamma}_{0 \dots 0 i_j \dots i_r}, \quad \overline{\omega}^{\alpha}_{0 \dots 0 \beta i_j \dots i_r} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Θ'_r is a vector-valued form with values in $(\tau^{-1}\xi)_* \overline{w}_n^r(G) \equiv \overline{w}_n^r(G)$. Lemma 1 implies: $\overline{w}_n^r(G)$ is determined by equations

$$dc^A_{i_1 \dots i_r} = dc^A_{k_1 \dots k_r}, \quad \zeta(i_1 \dots i_r) = \zeta(k_1 \dots k_r).$$

For this reason, if $\zeta(i_1 \dots i_r) = \zeta(k_1 \dots k_r)$, then

$$\overline{\omega}^A_{i_1 \dots i_r} = \overline{\omega}^A_{k_1 \dots k_r}, \quad \overline{\Theta}^A_{i_1 \dots i_r} = \overline{\Theta}^A_{k_1 \dots k_r}.$$

Therefore we can drop the zero components in all multiindices in (9). In particular, considering $P = B \times \{e\}$, equations (9) for $A = q$ yield the structure equations of the group L_n^r . But these equations are identical with the equations (4,36) of (4). Hence the structure equations of the non-holonomic differential group of order r introduced by Lumiste in [5] coincide with the structure equations of L_n^r .

5. Our previous considerations demonstrate sufficiently the importance of Θ'_r for the determination of the structure equations of Θ_r . Now, we shall show the role of the holonomic prolongations of the second order in the definition of Θ'_2 . Let ${}^0\bar{G}_n^2$ be the kernel of the homomorphism $j_2^1: \bar{G}_n^2 \rightarrow G_n^1$. It is easy to see that ${}^0\bar{G}_n^2(P)$ has a structure of a principal fibre bundle $\bar{W}^2(P)(W^1(P), {}^0\bar{G}_n^2)$. Let $\bar{\sigma}$ be a global section of $\bar{W}^2(P)(W^1(P), {}^0\bar{G}_n^2)$. Let $u \in W^1(P)$, $\bar{\sigma}(u) = j_{(0,e_1)}^1 \Psi$, where Ψ is a semi-holonomic allowable chart of the first order on P . Let $X \in T_u(W^1(P))$, $X = j_0^1 \gamma(t)$. The form

$${}^s\Theta_1(X) = j_0^1(\Psi^{-1} \gamma(t))$$

will be called a semi-holonomic extension of Θ_1 . ${}^s\Theta_1$ is a vector-valued form on $W^1(P)$ with values in $w_n^1(G)$. Obviously, it holds

$$(j_1^0)_* {}^s\Theta_1 = \Theta_1.$$

Since our following results are based on direct evaluations we shall consider directly the bundles $W^1(R^n \times G)$ and $\bar{W}^2(R^n \times G)$. Let us identify

$$\begin{aligned} W^1(R^n \times G) &= R^n \times G_n^1 = R^n \times (L_n^1 \bar{\times} T_n^1(G)), \\ \bar{W}^2(R^n \times G) &= R^n \times \bar{G}_n^2 = R^n \times (L_n^2 \bar{\times} \bar{T}_n^2(G)). \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we shall use on $W^1(R^n \times G)$ the local coordinates $x^t, a_q^t, b^\alpha, b_q^\alpha$ where

x^t are the canonical coordinates of $X \in R^n$,

a_q^t are the coordinates of a jet $a \in L_n^1$,

b^α, b_q^α are the coordinates of a jet $b \in T_n^1(G)$.

A non-holonomic allowable 1-chart Ψ on $R^n \times G_n^1$ is given by $\Psi(x, h) = [\varphi(x), \sigma(x)h]$, where $\varphi(x)$ is a diffeomorphism $U \rightarrow V$, $\sigma(x)$ is a differentiable mapping $U \rightarrow G_n^1$ ($U, V \subset R^n$ are open subsets, $0 \in U$) and $\sigma(x)h$ is the product in G_n^1 . Let us use the following notation: $x' = \varphi(x)$, $h = (a, b)$, $\sigma(x) = ({}^1a, {}^1b) \in L_n^1 \times T_n^1(G)$, $(a', b') = \sigma(x)h$, $\Psi(x; a; b) = (x'; a'; b')$. Using (1) we obtain: If Ψ is a semi-holonomic allowable 1-chart on $R^n \times G_n^1$, Ψ is given by the formula

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} x'^t &= {}^0a_q^t x^q + {}^0x^t, \\ a' &= {}^1aa, \end{aligned}$$

$$b'^\alpha = F^\alpha({}^1ba, b) = ({}^1ba)^\alpha + b^\alpha + \text{terms of higher order},$$

$$b_q'^\alpha = F_q^\alpha({}^1ba, b) = ({}^1ba)_q^\alpha + b_q^\alpha + \text{terms of higher order},$$

where F^α, F_q^α are functions determining the product in G_n^1 , 1aa or 1ba is the composition of jets in L_n^1 or $T_n^1(G)$ respectively and

$${}^1a_q^t = {}^0a_q^t + {}^0a_{qp}^t x^p, \quad {}^1b^\alpha = {}^0b^\alpha + {}^0b_p^\alpha x^p, \quad {}^1b_q^\alpha = {}^0b_q^\alpha + {}^0b_{qp}^\alpha x^p.$$

Thus, the coordinates of $j_0^1\Psi$ are $({}^0x^t; {}^0a_q^t, {}^0a_{qp}^t; {}^0b^\alpha, {}^0b_q^\alpha, {}^0b_{qp}^\alpha)$. Let $e_p, e_p^q, e_\alpha, e_\alpha^q$ be the corresponding basis of $T_{(0, e_1)}(R^n \times G_n^1)$. Then

$${}^s\Theta_1 = \Theta^p e_p + \Theta_q^p e_p^q + \Theta^\alpha e_\alpha + \Theta_q^\alpha e_\alpha^q,$$

where $\Theta^p, \Theta_q^p, \Theta^\alpha, \Theta_q^\alpha$ are some scalar 1-forms on $W^1(R^n \times G_n^1)$. Denote by $E_p, E_p^q, E^\alpha, E_q^\alpha$ the basis of $T(W^1(R^n \times G_n^1))$ dual to $\Theta^p, \Theta_q^p, \Theta^\alpha, \Theta_q^\alpha$.

Let ${}^s\Theta_1$ be determined by a section $\bar{\sigma}$. Let $\bar{\sigma}(u) = ({}^0x; a_q^t, {}^0a_{qp}^t; {}^0b^\alpha, {}^0b_q^\alpha, {}^0b_{qp}^\alpha)$. Let $\bar{\sigma}(u) = j_0^1\Psi$. Then Ψ is given by (9). Let $e_t = j_0^1\gamma(v)$, $\gamma(v) = (x^p = \delta_t^p v; a_q^p = \delta_t^p; b^\alpha = 0, b_q^\alpha = 0)$. Using (9), we find directly:

$$E_t(u) = j_0^1\Psi(\gamma(v)) = {}^0a_t^q \frac{\partial}{\partial x^q} + {}^0a_{pt}^q \frac{\partial}{\partial a_p^q} + {}^0b_t^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial b^\alpha} + {}^0b_{pt}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial b_p^\alpha}$$

and

$$[E_t, E_q](u) = ({}^0a_{qt}^p - {}^0a_{tq}^p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^p} + ({}^0b_{qt}^\alpha - {}^0b_{tq}^\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + 0 \text{ mod } E_A^p.$$

But this implies:

$${}^s\Theta_1 \left[({}^0a_{qt}^p - {}^0a_{tq}^p) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^p} + ({}^0b_{qt}^\alpha - {}^0b_{tq}^\alpha) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \right] = 0 \text{ mod } e_A^p$$

if and only if ${}^0a_{qt}^p = {}^0a_{tq}^p, {}^0b_{qt}^\alpha = {}^0b_{tq}^\alpha$.

Quite analogously to Kolář, [4], we evaluate

$$[E_q, E_t^p] = -\delta_q^p E_t \text{ mod } E_A^h, \quad [E_q, E_t^\alpha] = -\delta_q^\alpha E_t \text{ mod } E_A^s, \\ [E_t, E_\alpha] = 0 \text{ mod } E_A^s.$$

Now, calculating $d{}^s\Theta_1(X, Y)$, we deduce

Proposition 2. *Let ${}^s\Theta_1$ be a semi-holonomic extension of Θ_1 determined by a section $\bar{\sigma}$. Then the value of $\bar{\sigma}$ lie in $W^2(P)$ if and only if*

$$d\Theta^t = \Theta^p \wedge \Theta_p^t, \quad d\Theta^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} c_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \Theta^\beta \wedge \Theta^\gamma + \Theta^p \wedge \Theta_p^\alpha.$$

Corollary. *In particular, if $P = B \times \{e\}$ then it holds: Let ${}^s\Theta_1$ be a semi-holonomic extension of the canonical form Θ_1 of $H^1(B)$ determined by a section $\bar{\sigma}$. Let Θ^p, Θ_p^t be the components of ${}^s\Theta_1$. Then the values of $\bar{\sigma}$ lie in $H^2(B)$ if and only if*

$$d\Theta^t = \Theta^p \wedge \Theta_p^t.$$

Remark 2 (due to Kolář). The preceding corollary is in the following relation to some properties of linear connections. The linear connection without torsion are in a one-to-one correspondence with the reductions of the principal fibre bundle $H^2(B)$ to the subgroup $L_n^1 \subset L_n^2$, see [3]. Libermann, [7], proves that the connections on $H^1(B)$ are in a one-to-one correspondence with the reductions of the principal fibre bundle $\bar{H}^2(B)$ to L_n^1 . We can explain this fact in the following way: It is

$$\bar{H}^2(B) = W^1(H^1(B)) = H^1(B) \otimes J^1(H^1(B)).$$

An element $(u, X) \in H^1(B) \otimes J^1(H^1(B))$ is semi-holonomic if and only if $u = j_1^0 X$. That is why we can identify

$$\bar{H}^2(B) = J^1(H^1(B)), \quad \text{see also [9].}$$

Let Γ be a connection on $H^1(B)$ (Γ is an invariant global section $H^1(B) \rightarrow J^1(H^1(B))$ see [5]). Hence Γ determines a section $\tilde{\Gamma} : H^1(B) \rightarrow \bar{H}^2(B)$. Denote by $R(\Gamma)$ the set $\tilde{\Gamma}(H^1(B))$. One can see easily that $R(\Gamma)$ is the reduction of $\bar{H}^2(B)$ to L_n^1 treated by Libermann. In fact her considerations contain also the assertion that Γ is without torsion if and only if $R(\Gamma) \subset H^2(B)$. We find it remarkable to show that this result follows also from the preceding corollary. Let Θ_2 be the canonical form on $\bar{H}^2(B)$. Denote by θ_2 its restriction to $R(\Gamma)$. Let ω be the canonical form of Γ and let φ be the canonical form on $H^1(B)$. The diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R^n & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & T(H^1(B)) \\ \downarrow pr_1 & & \updownarrow \\ R^n \otimes I_n^1 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{\theta}_2} & T(R(\Gamma)) \\ \downarrow pr_2 & & \updownarrow \\ I_n^1 & \xleftarrow{\omega} & T(H^1(B)) \end{array}$$

is commutative (I_n^1 denotes the Lie algebra of L_n^1), see [6], Proposition 1. Let φ^p or ω_q^p be the components of φ or ω respectively. Then

$$d\varphi^p = \varphi^q \wedge \omega_q^p + D\varphi^p,$$

where D denotes the absolute differential with respect to the connection Γ and $D\varphi$ is the torsion form of Γ . Diagram (10) implies that φ^i, ω_j^i are the components of the semi-holonomic extension of ω determined by the section $\tilde{\Gamma}$. The preceding corollary yields: $D\varphi^p = 0$ (e.i. the connection Γ is without torsion) if and only if $R(\Gamma) \subset H^2(B)$.

References

- [1] *Ch. Ehresmann*: Les prolongements d'une variété différentiable, C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris 234 (1952), 1424—5.
Extension du calcul des jets aux jets non-holonomes, CRAS Paris 239 (1954), 1762—64.
Les prolongements d'une espace fibré différentiable, CRAS Paris 240 (1955), 1755—57.
- [2] *Gheorghiev G.*: Sur les prolongements réguliers des espaces fibrés et les groupes de Lie associés, CRAS 266 (1968), 165—68.
- [3] *Kobayashi S.*: Canonical forms on frame bundles of higher order contact, Proc. of symposia in pure math., vol. III., A.M.S., 1961, 186—93.
- [4] *Kolář I.*: Canonical forms on the prolongation of principal fibre bundles, Revue Roumaine Math. Pur. Apl., T. XVI, N. 7 (1971), 1091—105.
- [5] *Kolář I.*: On the torsion of spaces with connection, Cz. Math. Journal 21 (96) 1971, Praha, 124—136.
- [6] *Kolář I.*: On the absolute differentiation of geometric object fields, to appear.
- [7] *Laptev G. F.*: Structure equations of principal fibre bundles (Russian), Trudy g. seminara II. 1969, 161—78.
- [8] *Liebermann P.*: Sur la géometrie des prolongements des espaces fibrés vectoriels, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 14 (1964), 145—72.
- [9] *Lumiste U. G.*: Svjaznosti v odnorodnych rasslojenjach, Mat. sb. 1966, 69, 419—454.
- [10] *Yuen Ping Cheng*: Sur les repères d'ordre supérieur et les connexions linéaires d'ordre supérieur, CRAS Paris Sér. A, 270 (1970) A957—A959.
- [11] *Viršik J.*: A generalised point of view to higher order connections on fibre bundles, Cz. Math. J. 19 (94) (1969), 110—42.
- [12] *Viršik J.*: Non-holonomic connections on vector bundles I., Cz. Math. J. 17 (92) (1967), 108—147.

Author's address: Žilina, Marxa-Engelsa 25, ČSSR (Katedra matematiky a deskriptívnej geometrie VŠD).