

Jaroslav Drahoš

Representations of presheaves of semiuniformisable spaces, and representation of a presheaf by the presheaf of all continuous sections in its covering-space

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 21 (1971), No. 4, 590–609

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101058>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1971

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

REPRESENTATIONS OF PRESHEAVES
OF SEMIUNIFORMISABLE SPACES,
AND REPRESENTATION OF A PRESHEAF BY THE PRESHEAF
OF ALL CONTINUOUS SECTIONS IN ITS COVERING-SPACE

JAROSLAV PECHANEC-DRAHOŠ, Praha

(Received December 15, 1969)

INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$ be a presheaf of closure spaces over a topological space X , P its covering space and $\mathcal{S}' = \{A_U; \tilde{\varrho}_{UV}; X\}$ its natural representation. That is to say, we know that every $a \in S_U$ can be regarded in a natural way as a section $a(x)$ over U in P . Denoting the assignment $a \rightarrow a(x)$ by p_U , then to the set S_U there corresponds a set $A_U = p_U(S_U)$ of sections over U . Moreover, let us denote by $\tilde{\varrho}_{UV} : A_U \rightarrow A_V$ the map defined as follows: $a(x) \in A_U \rightarrow \tilde{\varrho}_{UV}(a(x)) = a(x)/V = [\varrho_{UV}(a)](x)$. Then $\mathcal{S}' = \{A_U; \tilde{\varrho}_{UV}; X\}$ is a presheaf of sets over X . If \mathcal{S} satisfies convenient natural requirements, every p_U is injective and thus \mathcal{S}' is a natural representation of \mathcal{S} with help of the presheaf of certain sets of sections in P . Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the set of all open subsets in X . We say, that a nonempty family \mathcal{K} of subsets of a set L is cofilter base, if the following holds: $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow K_1 \cup K_2 \subset K_3$ for some $K_3 \in \mathcal{K}$.

We say, that to the presheaf $\mathcal{S} = (S_U; \varrho_{UV}; X)$ there is given a cofiltration $\kappa = \{\mathcal{K}_a^U; U \in \mathcal{B}(X), a \in S_U\}$, if for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $a \in S_U$ there is given a cofilter base \mathcal{K}_a^U in U such that for $V \in \mathcal{B}(U)$ we have: „To every $K \in \mathcal{K}_{\varrho_{UV}(a)}^V$ there exists $L \in \mathcal{K}_a^U$, such that $K \subset L$ ”.

Let be given a closure t in P . Further let for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, q_U be some method, which enables us to form a closure $q_U(t)$ in A_U from t . Then $\mathcal{S}' = \{(A_U, q_U(t)); \tilde{\varrho}_{UV}; X\}$ is a presheaf of sets A_U with the closures $q_U(t)$. Now we can try to find a closure t in P , so that all the natural maps $p_U : (S_U, \tau_U) \rightarrow (A_U, q_U(t))$ would be homeomorphisms. Every such closure we call normal. Here we restrict ourselves to the case, when the method q_U is the closure of uniform convergence on some cofiltration κ . (Briefly u.c. on κ).

In the paper two questions are dealt with:

1. If there is given a cofiltration \varkappa and if $k_U(t)$ is a closure of uniform convergence on \varkappa , we study, when there exists a normal closure t in P .

2. We study, if there exists in P a closure t generating a representation, i.e. for which the following is satisfied:

(a) There exists a cofiltration \varkappa for which t is normal.

(b) If for $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ $\Gamma(U, t)$ is the set of all continuous sections over U , then $\Gamma(U, t) = A_U$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

That is to say, if t generates such a representation, then $\mathcal{S}' = \{(A_U, k_U(t)); \tilde{q}_{UV}; X\}$ is not only a set representation, but even a topological representation of $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); q_{UV}; X\}$, i.e. \mathcal{S} can be represented even topologically using the presheaf of all continuous sections in its covering space. ($k_U(t)$ is the closure of u.c. on \varkappa).

In the first chapter we study the case, when $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \eta_U); q_{UV}; X\}$ is a presheaf of semiuniform spaces and when the stalks $\psi^{-1}(x)$ in its covering space P are isomorphic under the family of maps $\Psi = \{\psi_{xy}; x, y \in X; \psi_{xy}: \psi^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(y)\}$. If t is a closure in P such that every induced closure t_x in $\psi^{-1}(x)$ is generated by a semiuniformity η_x and $\psi_{xy}: (\psi^{-1}(x), \eta_x) \rightarrow (\psi^{-1}(y), \eta_y)$ are isomorphisms, then for a given cofiltration \varkappa we can define the semiuniformity $n(t)$ of uniform convergence on \varkappa using the transferring of η_x by ψ_{xy} . We try to find a normal closure t in P , i.e. such a t for which the all natural maps $p_U: (S_U, \eta_U) \rightarrow (A_U, n(t))$ are isomorphisms.

In 1.3.20,21 we find two necessary, in 1.3.22 a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a normal closure. In §4 we construct a semiuniformity η in P such that if 1.3.22 holds, the closure t_1 generated by η is normal.

In the second chapter we try to find a closure t in the covering space P of a presheaf $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); q_{UV}; X\}$, generating a representation, i.e. such a t , for which there exists a cofiltration \varkappa such that all the natural maps $p_U: (S_U, \tau_U) \rightarrow (A_U, k(t))$ are homeomorphisms, and for every U $A_U = \Gamma(U, t)$ ($k(t)$ is the closure of uniform convergence on \varkappa , $\Gamma(U, t)$ is the set of all continuous sections over U in the space (P, t)). Theorem 2.1.7 contains a necessary and sufficient condition for the fulfillment of the inclusion $\Gamma(U, t) \subset A_U$. In §2 we show that every continuous map $f: U \rightarrow (S_U, \tau_U)$ generates $\varphi^f \in \Gamma(U, t)$ if $t \geq t^*$ (t^* is the natural closure from [5], (2.6.2)). From this follows a necessary condition 2.2.4 for the inclusion $\Gamma(U, t) \subset A_U$ where $t \geq t^*$, which implies that even for very reasonable presheaves the inclusion $\Gamma(U, t^*) \subset A_U$ need not be satisfied. The main results are 2.4.9, 2.5.3, for they are sufficient conditions for the existence of a closure generating a representation.

The paper is a continuation of my papers "Representations of presheaves of closure spaces" [5] and "Modifications of closure collections" [4]. The notations and some results from them are used here, therefore it is suitable to have them at hand. Because we could not avoid a complicated notations, it is necessary before reading to go through the agreements and notations.

Agreements and notations

The set of all open subsets of a topological space X we shall denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$.

0.1. Definition. A *presheaf* of the sets over the topological space X is a system

$$(0.2) \quad \mathcal{S} = \{S_U; \varrho_{UV}; X\},$$

where S_U for $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ are sets, and ϱ_{UV} for every $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $V \subset U$ is a map $\varrho_{UV} : S_U \rightarrow S_V$ such that the following holds:

(1) For $V' \subset V \subset U$ there is $\varrho_{UV'} = \varrho_{VV'} \circ \varrho_{UV}$.

(2) $\varrho_{UU} = i_U$ — identity map of S_U onto S_U for all $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

We say, that the presheaf \mathcal{S} in (0.2) is a presheaf of closure spaces (semiuniform spaces) over X , if in every set S_U there is given a closure τ_U (a semiuniformity η_U) and the maps ϱ_{UV} are continuous (uniformly continuous) maps of the closure (semiuniform) spaces $\varrho_{UV} : (S_U, \tau_U) \rightarrow (S_V, \tau_V)$ ($(S_U, \eta_U) \rightarrow (S_V, \eta_V)$). Where it is not important to repeat the all data, we shall speak only about a presheaf. Mostly the system of maps ϱ_{UV} we shall not distinguish, and we shall write simply $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); X\}$.

If \mathcal{S} is a presheaf over X from (0.2), we can to every $x_0 \in X$ assign the system

$$(0.3) \quad \mathcal{S}_{x_0} = \{S_U; \varrho_{UV}; U, V \in \mathcal{B}(X); x_0 \in U, V\}.$$

Because ϱ_{UV} satisfies the conditions (1), (2) from (0.1), we can form the set $\mathcal{F}_{x_0} = \varinjlim \mathcal{S}_{x_0}$ — the inductive limit of \mathcal{S}_{x_0} .

0.4. Definition. The set \mathcal{F}_{x_0} will be called *fiber over the point x_0* . For every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ containing x_0 , there exists a natural map of the set S_U into \mathcal{F}_{x_0} .

0.5. Notation. Let $x_0 \in U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. The natural map of S_U into \mathcal{F}_{x_0} will be denoted by ξ_{Ux_0} . If $a \in S_U$, then the element $\xi_{Ux_0}(a) \in \mathcal{F}_{x_0}$ will be called *germ of the element a over the point x_0* .

Let us set $P = \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{F}_x$. Further let ψ be a map of the set P onto X , constructed in this way: If $\alpha \in P$, then there exists the unique $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_{x_0}$. Let us set $\psi(\alpha) = x_0$.

0.6. Notation. The set P we shall call *covering space of the presheaf \mathcal{S}* . The map ψ we shall call *projection*.

0.7. Remark. Clearly there is $\mathcal{F}_{x_0} = \psi^{-1}(x_0)$. Thus for the fiber over x_0 we shall more frequently use the symbol $\psi^{-1}(x_0)$. The capital P will in the next denote only the covering space of \mathcal{S} .

0.8. Definition. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Every map $r : U \rightarrow P$ for which $\psi \circ r = i_U$ is the identity map of U onto U we shall call *section over U* .

If $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $a \in S_U$, then we can to every $x \in U$ assign an element $h_a(x) \in P$ as follows: $h_a(x) = \xi_{Ux}(a)$ (see (0.5)).

According to our way of introduction of ξ_{Ux} and ψ , there is $(\psi \circ h_a)(x) = \psi(\xi_{Ux}(a)) = x$. Thus the map h_a is a section over U .

If we assign in this way to every $a \in S_U$ the section h_a over U , we get a certain set of sections over U .

0.9. Notation. The map which to every $a \in S_U$ assigns the section h_a over U we shall denote by p_U and we shall call it natural map. The set of sections $\{p_U(a); a \in S_U\}$ we shall denote by A_U . Instead of h_a we shall write briefly $a(x)$, where x denotes the variable, taking values from U . Thus $a(x)$ is the section over U such that

$$(0.10) \quad p_U(a) = a(x).$$

The element $\xi_{Ux_0}(a)$ we shall denote with accordance with our agreements by $a(x_0)$ and we shall say that the section $a(x)$ goes through the point $\alpha = a(x_0)$. Thus there is

$$(0.11) \quad \xi_{Ux_0}(a) = a(x_0).$$

0.12. Remark. If $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $V \subset U$ and $a(x) \in A_U$ is a section over U from (0.9), we can to $a(x)$ assign a section from A_V as follows: $a(x) \rightarrow a(x)|V$, i.e. the restriction of $a(x)$ to V . Let us denote this map by \tilde{q}_{UV} . Then $\tilde{q}_{UV}(a(x)) \in A_V$ and we have the commutative diagram

$$(0.13) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S_U & \xrightarrow{p_U} & A_U \\ \downarrow q_{UV} & & \downarrow \tilde{q}_{UV} \\ S_V & \xrightarrow{p_V} & A_V \end{array}$$

0.14. Remark. For $x_0 \in U$ there exists a natural map $\tilde{\xi}_{Ux_0} : A_U \rightarrow \psi^{-1}(x_0)$. Namely, if there is $a(x) \in A_U$, then we set $\tilde{\xi}_{Ux_0}(a(x)) = a(x_0)$. According to (0.10,11) we have $\tilde{\xi}_{Ux_0}(p_U(a)) = \xi_{Ux_0}(a) = a(x_0)$. Thus the following diagram is commutative:

$$(0.15) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S_U & \xrightarrow{p_U} & A_U \\ \xi_{Ux_0} \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\xi}_{Ux_0} \\ & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_{x_0}} & \end{array}$$

0.16. Remark. The natural map p_U from (0.10) need not be injective. This can be reached by adding this assumption:

0.17. Assumption. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $a, b \in S_U$ and let \mathcal{V} be an open covering of U . If $Q_{UV}(a) = Q_{UV}(b)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$, then $a = b$.

This assumption implies the injectivity of p_U . In the next we suppose stably, that this assumption is satisfied.

0.18. Definition. Let $\alpha \in P$, $x_0 = \psi(\alpha)$. Then there exists $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that for some $a \in S_U$ there is $\xi_{Ux_0}(a) = a(x_0) = \alpha$.

Every such a we shall call *generating element* for α . Similarly the section $a(x) \in A_U$ will be called *generating section* for α .

0.19. Notation. Let $a \in S_U$, let $A \subset U$ be an arbitrary subset. Let us denote

$$\xi_{UA}(a) = \bigcup_{y \in A} \xi_{Uy}(a)$$

and further, more generally, if $M \subset S_U$ is an arbitrary subset,

$$\xi_{UA}(M) = \bigcup_{a \in M} \xi_{UA}(a).$$

Thus $\xi_{UA}(a)$ and $\xi_{UA}(M)$ are subsets of P . By (0.5) for $x_0 \in A$ there is

$$\xi_{UA}(M) \cap \psi^{-1}(x_0) = \xi_{Ux_0}(M).$$

In the same way (with respect to (0.9,14) we proceed if $M \subset A_U$. Thus, for example, if $A \subset U$, $M \subset \psi^{-1}(A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{UA}^{-1}(M) &= \{a; a \in S_U, a(y) \in M, y \in A\}, \\ \xi_{UA}^{-1}(M) &= \{a(x); a(x) \in A_U, a(y) \in M, y \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

0.20. Notation. The set $\xi_{UA}(a)$ from (0.19) we shall denote by $\text{gr}_A a$ and we shall call it graph of the section $a(x)$ (resp. of the element a) over A . By (0.9,11) there is $\xi_{UA}(a) = \bigcup_{y \in A} a(y)$, and thus the word graph has the objective meaning.

0.21. Notation. Let (X, t) be a closure space, M its subset.

A. If $M \subset X$, then every filter-base of t -neighborhoods of M we shall denote by $\Delta(M; t)$.

B. By the symbol $\text{ind}_M t$ we shall denote the closure in M , induced by restriction of t to M . If $x \in M$ and $\Delta(X; t)$ is a filter-base of t -neighborhoods of x , then the filter of $\text{ind}_M t$ -neighborhoods of x we denote briefly $M \cap \Delta(X; t)$. The relation „the closure u is finer than v ” we denote briefly by $u \leq v$.

C. If \mathcal{F} is such a filter in X , that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$ there is $M \subset F$, we say, that \mathcal{F} is a filter round M . If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are two filter-bases and \mathcal{F} majorizes \mathcal{G} , we write briefly $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$. If $\mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{G} \leq \mathcal{F}$, we write $\mathcal{F} \sim \mathcal{G}$.

D. For $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $M \subset U$ let us set

$$B(M; U) = \{V; V \in \mathcal{B}(U), M \subset V\}.$$

0.22. Notation. In the set X let us have a nonempty family Ω of closures. The coarsest (finest) closure in X , finer (coarser) than every closure from Ω we shall denote by $\underline{\lim} \Phi$ (resp. $\underline{\lim} \Omega$).

0.23. Remark. Let $\{(X_\alpha; \tau_\alpha); \alpha \in A\}$ be a nonempty family of closure spaces, let X be a set, and for every $\alpha \in A$ let φ_α be a map $\varphi_\alpha : (X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha) \rightarrow X$ (resp. $\varphi_\alpha : X \rightarrow (X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$). Then if $\tau = \underline{\lim} \tau_\alpha$ (resp. $\tau = \underline{\lim} \tau_\alpha$) is the inductive (resp. projective) limit of the closures τ_α , then (0.22) is in keeping with this notation.

0.24. Remark. The map $f : (Q, u) \rightarrow (X, \underline{\lim} \tau_\alpha)$ is continuous iff for every α the map $\varphi_\alpha \circ f : (Q, u) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ is continuous. A similar remark is true for $\underline{\lim} \tau_\alpha$.

0.25. Notation. If $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ is a map, let $\bar{\varphi} : M \times M \rightarrow N \times N$ be the map defined as follows: $(x, y) \in M \times M \rightarrow \bar{\varphi}(x, y) = (\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$.

0.26. Agreement. When speaking about a compact space in a topological space X , we suppose, that X is Hausdorff space.

0.27. Agreement. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. By the symbol $\Pi_U(\Pi_U^0)$ we denote the set of all open coverings (of all finite open coverings) of U .

0.28. Notation. Let X, Y be two sets, let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map and let \mathcal{F} be a filter-base in X . Then the filter base $\{f(F); F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ in Y we denote by $f(\mathcal{F})$.

0.29. Notation. For a semiuniform space (X, η) let us denote by $\mathcal{D}(X; \eta)$ the filter base of η -neighborhoods of the diagonal in $X \times X$.

0.30. Notation. For a set X let us denote by d the discrete topology in X , and by h the coarsest topology in X , where the only open sets are \emptyset and X .

0.31. Definition. We say that the presheaf $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$ is *projective*, if the following condition holds: „If $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_\alpha$, $U, V_\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, and if there exist the elements $a_\alpha \in S_{V_\alpha}$ such that for $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta$ there is $\varrho_{V_\alpha, V_\alpha \cap V_\beta}(a_\alpha) = \varrho_{V_\beta, V_\alpha \cap V_\beta}(a_\beta)$, then there exists $a \in S_U$ such that $\varrho_{UV_\alpha}(a) = a_\alpha$ for all α .

Because we assume, that (0.17) holds, there exists the unique such $a \in S_U$.

0.32. Definition. We say that \mathcal{S} is a presheaf with the unique continuation, if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. X is locally connected,
2. if $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ is connected, $a, b \in S_U$, and $\xi_{Ux_0}(a) = \xi_{Ux_0}(b)$ for some $x_0 \in U$, then $a = b$.

0.33. Remark. Let P be the covering space of the presheaf $\mathcal{S} = \{S_U, X\}$. If $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, then by (0.9) every $a(x) \in A_U$ is a section over U . Let us set $\Omega' = \{t; t$ is a closure in P such that for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ every $a(x) \in A_U$ is a continuous map of U into the closure space $(P, t)\}$.

0.34. Definition, notation. The closure $\underline{\lim} \Omega'$ we call *sheaf topology* and we denote it by t_s . If t is a closure in P , and $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, then the set of all continuous sections over U we denote by $\Gamma(U, t)$.

0.35. Remark. If the presheaf is projective, then $\Gamma(U, t_s) = A_U$ (see [4]). t_s is the finest of all closures t in P , for which there is $A_U \subset \Gamma(U, t)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

0.36. Notation. Let (X_α, u_α) be closure spaces. The space (X, u) will be called topological sum of the spaces (X_α, u_α) if $X = \bigcup_\alpha X_\alpha$ and $u = \underline{\lim} u_\alpha$.

0.37. Notation. A nonempty family \mathcal{K} of subsets of the set L we shall call cofilter base (resp. cofilter) if the following holds: $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow K_1 \cup K_2 \subset K_3$ for some $K_3 \in \mathcal{K}$, resp. $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{K} \Rightarrow K_1 \cup K_2 \in \mathcal{K}$.

Chapter 1.

UNIFORM CONVERGENCE ON COFILTRATION. THE SEMIUNIFORM CASE

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \eta_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$ be a presheaf of semiuniform spaces from (0.1) ($\varrho_{UV} : (S_U, \eta_U) \rightarrow (S_V, \eta_V)$ uniformly continuous), P its covering space, t a closure in P . We introduce the semiuniformity of uniform convergence on the cofiltration $\varkappa = \{\mathcal{K}_a^U; U \in \mathcal{B}(X), a \in S_U\}$ and we try to find a normal closure in P .

1. Basic assumptions

For every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ let be given a cofilter \mathcal{K}^U of sets in U such that for $U, V \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $V \subset U$ there is

$$(1.1.1) \quad \mathcal{K}^V = \{K; K \in \mathcal{K}^U, K \subset V\}.$$

In the whole chapter we assume

1.1.2. Assumption. The presheaf \mathcal{S} have the following properties: For $U' \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $x, y \in U'$ there exists an injective collection of maps ${}^{U'}\Phi_{xy}$ from the presheaf S_x into S_y (see (0.3)) such that

(a) If for $U \in B(x; U')$ and $V \in B(y; U')$ the map ${}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{UV} \in {}^{U'}\Phi_{xy}$, ${}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{UV}: S_U \rightarrow S_V$ is defined, then to every $U_1 \in B(x; U)$ there exists $V_1 \in B(y; V)$ for which ${}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{U_1V_1}$ is defined.

(b) ${}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{UV}: (S_U, \eta_U) \rightarrow (S_V, \eta_V)$ is an isomorphism.

(c) ${}^{U'}\varphi_{yz}^{VV_1} \circ {}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{UV} = {}^{U'}\varphi_{xz}^{UV_1}$, if both maps on the left are defined.

(d) ${}^{U'}\varphi_{xx}^{UU} = i_U$ – identity – if the map on the left is defined.

(e) The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_U & \xrightarrow{{}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{UV}} & S_V \\ \downarrow \varrho_{UU_1} & & \downarrow \varrho_{VV_1} \\ S_{U_1} & \xrightarrow{{}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{U_1V_1}} & S_{V_1} \end{array}$$

is commutative, if all the maps here are defined. (Notation from (0.25)).

(f) For $U', U'' \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $x, y \in U' \cap U''$ there is ${}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{UV} = {}^{U''}\varphi_{xy}^{UV}$, if both maps here are defined.

1.1.3. Remark. A. Let G be a topological group with the group operation $+$, $X \in \mathcal{B}(G)$, and $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$ a presheaf over X , where for $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ S_U is the set of all continuous real functions on U and η_U is, for example, the uniformity of uniform convergence. Let $U' \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $x_0, y_0 \in U'$. Then there exists $U \in B(x_0, U')$ and $V \in B(y_0; U')$ such that

1. $V = U + (y_0 - x_0)$.

2. If $f(x) \in S_U$, then $\varphi(y) = f(y - y_0 + x_0) \in S_V$.

3. If we assign in this way to every $f \in S_U$ a function $\varphi \in S_V$, we get an injective map ${}^{U'}\varphi_{x_0y_0}^{UV}: S_U \rightarrow S_V$, which is surjective.

4. The size of the neighborhoods U and V of the points x_0 and y_0 , for which ${}^{U'}\varphi_{x_0y_0}^{UV}$ exists, depends on the size of U' and the location of x_0 and y_0 in U' .

5. It can be easily seen, that for the functor ${}^{U'}\Phi_{x_0y_0} = \{{}^{U'}\varphi_{x_0y_0}^{UV}\}$ (1.1.2) holds.

B. If there exists ${}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{UV}$, we may assume the existence of ${}^{U'}\varphi_{yx}^{VU}$, since we may put ${}^{U'}\varphi_{yx}^{VU} = ({}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{UV})^{-1}$.

1.1.4. Corollary. If $U' \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $x, y \in U'$, then there exists a natural map ${}^{U'}\psi_{xy}$ of the stalk $\psi^{-1}(x)$ onto $\psi^{-1}(y)$, generated by the set of maps ${}^{U'}\Phi_{xy}$. For $U', U'' \in$

$\in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $x, y \in U' \cap U''$ there is $U' \psi_{xy} = U'' \psi_{xy}$, therefore we write briefly ψ_{xy} . Here ψ_{xy} is injective and for $x, y, z \in X$ we have

- (a) $\psi_{yz} \psi_{xy} = \psi_{xz}$.
- (b) $\psi_{xx} = \text{identity}$.

Proof. The existence of $U' \psi_{xy}$ follows from (1.1.2e). The property (a) resp. (b) follows from (1.1.2c) resp. (1.1.2d). The equality $U' \psi_{xy} = U'' \psi_{xy}$ follows from (1.1.2f). We are going to prove the injectivity of ψ_{xy} . Let $\alpha, \beta \in \psi^{-1}(x)$ and $\psi_{xy}(\alpha) = \psi_{xy}(\beta)$. Let $a \in S_U$ resp. $b \in S_U$ be a generating element for α resp. β . We may assume, that ${}^x \varphi_{xy}^{UV}$ is defined for some $V \in B(y, X)$. Then for some $V_1 \in B(y, V)$ there is $\varrho_{VV_1} {}^x \varphi_{xy}^{UV}(a) = \varrho_{VV_1} {}^x \varphi_{xy}^{UV}(b)$. By (1.1.2a), (1.1.3B), ${}^x \varphi_{yx}^{V_1 U_1}$ is defined for some $U_1 \in B(X; U)$. Hence

$$(1.1.5) \quad {}^x \varphi_{yx}^{V_1 U_1} \varrho_{VV_1} {}^x \varphi_{xy}^{UV}(a) = {}^x \varphi_{yx}^{V_1 U_1} \varrho_{VV_1} {}^x \varphi_{xy}^{UV}(b).$$

By (1.1.2e) we get

$${}^x \varphi_{yx}^{V_1 U_1} \varrho_{VV_1} {}^x \varphi_{xy}^{UV}(a) = {}^x \varphi_{yx}^{V_1 U_1} {}^x \varphi_{xy}^{U_1 V_1} \varrho_{UU_1}(a) = {}^x \varphi_{yx}^{U_1 U_1} \varrho_{UU_1}(a) = \varrho_{UU_1}(a).$$

(1.1.5) implies $\varrho_{UU_1}(a) = \varrho_{UU_1}(b)$, hence $\alpha = \beta$.

1.1.6. Remark. Let us set

$$(1.1.7) \quad \Phi = \{U' \Phi_{xy}; U' \in \mathcal{B}(X), x, y \in U'\}; \Psi = \{\psi_{xy}; x, y \in X\}.$$

For the presheaf \mathcal{S} there could exist several systems Φ and they could generally give us various systems Ψ of stalk-isomorphisms. Thus we have to take a fixed Φ , form the system Ψ corresponding to it, and in the next to study only the system Ψ . For another system Ψ (for instance if we took another Φ) we should get similar results, depending on our choice of Ψ . Further let Φ be fixed and let Ψ be the system from (1.1.7), corresponding to it. As well we could simply suppose, that we have a system Ψ of stalk-isomorphisms from (1.1.7), which satisfies (a), (b) from (1.1.4).

1.1.8. Notation. Let t be a closure in P . Let us denote

$$(1.1.9) \quad z(t) = \{\eta_x; x \in X\},$$

a family of semiuniformities, such that

- (a) η_x is a semiuniformity in $\psi^{-1}(x)$ for all $x \in X$.
- (b) η_x generates the closure $\text{ind}_{\psi^{-1}(x)} t$.
- (c) $\psi_{xy} : (\psi^{-1}(x); \eta_x) \rightarrow (\psi^{-1}(y); \eta_y)$ is an isomorphism.

For the closure t let $Z(t)$ be the set of all systems $z(t)$ from (1.1.8). (For some closures t in P there is $Z(t) = \emptyset$.)

2. Introduction of notions

Let t be a closure in P , $z(t) \in Z(t)$, $x_0 \in X$, $K \subset X$ an arbitrary set and let $M \in \mathcal{D}(x_0; \eta_{x_0})$ (see (0.29)). Let us set (notation from (0.25)):

$$(1.2.1) \quad F(K; M) = \bigcup_{y \in K} \bar{\psi}_{x_0 y}(M),$$

$$(1.2.2) \quad \mathcal{F}_K(x_0) = \{F(K; M); M \in \mathcal{D}(x_0; \eta_{x_0})\}.$$

It is clear, that $\mathcal{F}_K(x_0)$ is a filter base in $\psi^{-1}(K) \times \psi^{-1}(K)$ round the diagonal. If $x_1 \in X$, then $\mathcal{F}_K(x_0) \sim \mathcal{F}_K(x_1)$, for $(\psi^{-1}(x_0); \eta_{x_0})$ and $(\psi^{-1}(x_1); \eta_{x_1})$ are isomorphic under the map $\psi_{x_0 y_0}$ and (1.1.4a) holds. Thus we may write simply \mathcal{F}_K .

For $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $K \in \mathcal{K}^U$ (see (1.1.1)) and $F \in \mathcal{F}_K$ let

$$(1.2.3) \quad P(U, K, F) = (\xi_{UK} \times \xi_{UK})^{-1}(F) = \\ = \{(a(x), b(y)); a(x), b(x) \in A_U, (a(y), b(y)) \in F \text{ for all } y \in K\}.$$

$$(1.2.4) \quad \mathcal{P}(U) = \{P(U, K, F); K \in \mathcal{K}^U, F \in \mathcal{F}_K\}.$$

1.2.5. Definition. Clearly $\mathcal{P}(U)$ is a filter base in $A_U \times A_U$ round diagonal. The semiuniformity in the sets A_U generated by $\mathcal{P}(U)$ we call *semiuniformity of uniform convergence on the cofiltration κ* from (1.1.1) and denote it by $n_z(t)$, by which we express its dependence on t and $z(t) \in Z(t)$. The natural map $p_U : (S_U, \eta_U) \rightarrow (A_U, n_z(t))$ we denote by $p_U^{n_z(t)}$. The closure t in P we call *normal*, if $Z(t) \neq \emptyset$ and for some $z(t) \in Z(t)$ the all $p_U^{n_z(t)}$ are isomorphisms.

3. Normal closure

1.3.1. Notation. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $K \in \mathcal{K}^U$. Every map $\mu : B(K; U) \rightarrow \prod_{\beta(K, U)} \mathcal{D}(S_V; \eta_V)$ such that for $V \in B(K; U)$ there is $\mu(V) = N^V \in \mathcal{D}(S_V; \eta_V)$ we shall call choice. The chain from U to K is the family

$$(1.3.2) \quad \mathcal{B}(U, K, N^V) = \{N^V; V \in B(K; U), N^V = \mu(V)\}.$$

For $x_0 \in X$ let

$$(1.3.3) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}(U, K, N^V)) = \bigcup_{K \subset V \subset U} \bigcup_{y \in K} \bar{\psi}_{y x_0} \xi_{V y}(N^V),$$

$$(1.3.4) \quad \mathcal{B}(K; U) = \{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}(U, K, N^V)); \mathcal{B}(U, K, N^V) \text{ is a chain from } U \text{ to } K\}.$$

1.3.5. Proposition. Let $U, U' \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $K \in \mathcal{K}^U \cap \mathcal{K}^{U'}$. Then $\mathcal{B}(K, U')$, $\mathcal{B}(K; U)$ are equivalent filter bases in $\psi(x_0) \times \psi(x_0)$ round the diagonal.

Proof. If $S = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}(U, K, N^V)) \in \mathcal{B}(K; U)$, let us set for $V \in B(K; U')$, $\tilde{N}^V = \bar{\varrho}_{V' \cap U}^{-1}(N^V \cap U)$. Then $\tilde{S} = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}(U', K, \tilde{N}^V)) \in \mathcal{B}(K; U')$ and $\tilde{S} \subset S$.

1.3.6. Corollary. Instead of $\mathcal{B}(K; U)$ we can write $\mathcal{B}(K)$, and use allways the more convenient base $\mathcal{B}(K; U)$. The semiuniformity, generated in $\psi^{-1}(x_0)$ by the bases $\mathcal{B}(K)$ we denote by η_K . Further for $\mathcal{X}^X = \bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{B}(X)} \mathcal{X}^U$ let

$$(1.3.7) \quad \eta^* = \varinjlim_{K \in \mathcal{X}^X} \eta_K.$$

Of course, it does not mater, in which stalk $\psi^{-1}(x_0)$ we form the semiuniformities η_K and η^* , for all the stalks $\psi^{-1}(x)$, $\psi^{-1}(y)$ are isomorphic under the map ψ_{xy} , which we use for transferring of semiuniformities, and (1.1.4) holds.

For the sake of brevity we introduce for the uniform continuity the abbreviation u.c.

1.3.8. Proposition. *Let t be a closure in P , $z(t) \in Z(t)$. All the maps $p_{\bar{U}}^{z(t)}$ are u.c. iff in $\psi^{-1}(x_0)$ we have $\eta^* \leq \eta_{x_0}$.*

Proof. Let all the $p_{\bar{U}}^{z(t)}$ be u.c., $K \in \mathcal{X}^X$ (see (1.3.6)). We shall prove, that $\eta_K \leq \eta_{x_0}$. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $V \in B(K; U)$, $M \in \mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(x_0); \eta_{x_0})$.

Then according to (1.2.1) $F = F(K; M) \in \mathcal{F}_K$ and by (1.2.3–5) $P = P(V; K, F) \in \mathcal{D}(A_V; \eta_z(t))$. Because $p_{\bar{U}}^{z(t)}$ is u.c., we have $\bar{p}_V(N^V) \subset P$ for some $N^V \in \mathcal{D}(S_V; \eta_V)$. Hence by (1.2.1,3) there is $\bar{p}_V(N^V) \subset (\xi_{VK} \times \xi_{VK})^{-1} (\bigcup_{y \in K} \bar{\psi}_{x_0 y}(M))$, i.e. $N^V \subset \xi_{VK}^{-1} (\bigcup_{y \in K} \bar{\psi}_{x_0 y}(M))$. Thus for all $y \in K$ we have $\xi_{Vy}(N^V) \subset \bar{\psi}_{x_0 y}(M)$, $\bar{\psi}_{y x_0} \xi_{Vy}(N^V) \subset M$ and hence

$$(1.3.9) \quad \bigcup_{y \in K} \bar{\psi}_{y x_0} \xi_{Vy}(N^V) \subset M.$$

To every $V \in \mathcal{B}(U)$ we find in this way N^V for which (1.3.9) holds. Then

$$(1.3.10) \quad S = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}(U, K, N^V)) \subset M$$

and $S \in \mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(x_0); \eta_K)$. By (1.3.10) we have $\eta_K \leq \eta_{x_0}$. Because it holds for every $K \in \mathcal{X}^X$, we get $\eta^* \leq \eta_{x_0}$. Conversely, let $\eta^* \leq \eta_{x_0}$. Thus for every $K \in \mathcal{X}^X$ we have $\eta_K \leq \eta_{x_0}$. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $P = P(U, K, F) \in \mathcal{D}(A_U; \eta_z(t))$ for $F = F(K; M) \in \mathcal{F}_K$, where $M \in \mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(x_0); \eta_{x_0})$. By (1.3.6) we can find $S = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}(U, K, N^V)) \in \mathcal{B}(K; U)$ such that $S \subset M$. By (1.3.3) there is $\bigcup_{y \in K} \bar{\psi}_{y x_0} \xi_{Uy}(N^U) \subset M$ and therefore

$$(1.3.11) \quad \xi_{Uy}(N^U) \subset \bar{\psi}_{x_0 y}(M)$$

for all $y \in K$. By (1.2.1,2) we have $F = F(K; M) = \bigcup_{y \in K} \bar{\psi}_{x_0 y}(M) \in \mathcal{F}_K$ and by (1.3.11) there is $\bar{p}_U(N^U) \subset P(U; K, F)$, which finishes the proof.

If $K \subset X$ is an arbitrary set and $D \in \mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(x_0); \eta^*)$, let

$$(1.3.12) \quad G(K; M) = \bigcup_{y \in K} \bar{\psi}_{x_0 y}(M),$$

$$(1.3.13) \quad \mathcal{G}_K = \{G(K; M); M \in \mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(x_0); \eta^*)\},$$

which is a filter base in $\psi^{-1}(K) \times \psi^{-1}(K)$ round the diagonal. Here for $K \subset L$, $\mathcal{G}_K \sim \mathcal{G}_L \cap \psi^{-1}(K)$.

For $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $K \in \mathcal{K}^U$, $G \in \mathcal{G}_K$ let

$$(1.3.14) \quad E(U; K, G) = (\bar{\xi}_{UK} \times \bar{\xi}_{UK})^{-1}(G)$$

$$(1.3.15) \quad \mathcal{E}(U) = \{E(U; K, G); K \in \mathcal{K}^U, G \in \mathcal{G}_K\}.$$

1.3.16. Notation. It is clear, that $\mathcal{E}(U)$ is a filter base in $A_U \times A_U$ round the diagonal. The semiuniformity generated there by it we shall denote by n . The natural map $p_U : (S_U, \eta_U) \rightarrow (A_U, n)$ we denote by p_U^n .

1.3.17. Proposition. A. All the maps p_U^n are u.c.

B. For the closure t in P , and $z(t) \in Z(t)$ the all $p_U^{n_z(t)}$ are u.c. iff $n \leq n_z(t)$ in every A_U .

Proof. A. If $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $E = E(U; K, G) = (\bar{\xi}_{UK} \times \bar{\xi}_{UK})^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{D}(A_U, n)$ (where $G = G(K; M)$ for some $M \in \mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(x_0); \eta^*)$), then by (1.3.7,5) $S \subset M$ for some $S = \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}(U, K, N^U)) \in \mathcal{B}(K; U)$. By (1.3.3) we get $\bigcup_{y \in K} \bar{\psi}_{y x_0} \bar{\xi}_{Uy}(N^U) \subset M$. Thus for all $y \in K$ there is $\bar{\xi}_{Uy}(N^U) \subset \bar{\psi}_{x_0 y}(M)$, hence $\bar{p}_U(N^U) \subset E$, which we were to prove.

B. From u.c. of all $p_U^{n_z(t)}$ (by (1.3.8)) follows $\eta^* \leq \eta_{x_0}$ in $\psi^{-1}(x_0)$. Therefore for every $K \in \mathcal{K}^X$ there is $\mathcal{G}_K \leq \mathcal{F}_K$ (compare (1.3.12,13) and (1.2.1,2)). Thus by (1.3.14,15) and (1.2.3,4) there is $n \leq n_z(t)$ in all A_U . Conversely, if $n \leq n_z(t)$ in all A_U , A. implies u.c. of all $p_U^{n_z(t)}$. From (1.3.17) we get immediately

1.3.18. Proposition. For $z(t) \in Z(t)$ let the all $(p_U^{n_z(t)})^{-1}$ be u.c. Then $n_z(t) \leq n$ in all A_U . If the all $p_U^{n_z(t)}$ are isomorphisms, $n_z(t) = n$ in all A_U .

1.3.19. Definition. The closure t in P we call *polonormal*, if there exists $z(t) \in Z(t)$ such that $n_z(t) = n$ in all A_U . (1.3.18) implies the following two theorems:

1.3.20. Theorem. The necessary condition for the existence of a normal closure in P is the u.c. of all $(p_U^n)^{-1}$.

1.3.21. Theorem. The necessary condition for the existence of a normal closure is the existence of a polonormal closure.

1.3.22. Theorem. *If there exists a polonormal closure t_1 in P then there exists a normal closure in P iff all the $(p_v^n)^{-1}$ are u.c. If this condition holds, then t_1 is normal.*

Proof. If t is normal, then by (1.3.18) the all $(p_v^n)^{-1}$ are u.c. Conversely, from u.c. of all $(p_v^n)^{-1}$ follows, that t_1 is normal.

4. Polonormal closure

1.4.1. Notation. Let \mathcal{G}_X be the base from (1.3.13) for $K = X$, which is a filter base in $P \times P$ round the diagonal. Thus it generates a semiuniformity v there. For $x \in X$ let $v_x = \text{ind}_{\psi^{-1}(x)}v$. From (1.3.12–15) follows immediately.

1.4.2. Proposition. (a) *For $x, y \in X$, $\psi_{xy} = (\psi^{-1}(x); v_x) \rightarrow (\psi^{-1}(y); v_y)$ is an isomorphism.*

(b) *If t_0 is the closure generated in P by v , then $Z(t_0) \neq \emptyset$, because by (a) $z_0 = z_0(t_0) = \{v_x; x \in X\} \in Z(t_0)$.*

(c) *$n_{z_0}(t_0) = n$ in all A_U .*

Thus t_0 is polynormal.

(1.3.22) implies

1.4.3. Theorem. *The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a normal closure t in P is the u.c. of all $(p_v^n)^{-1}$. If this condition holds, then t_0 is normal.*

1.4.4. Remark. Let $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$. From (1.3.12) we get easily, that the stalks $\psi^{-1}(x), \psi^{-1}(y)$ are mutually separated under the closure t_0 . Every stalk $\psi^{-1}(x)$ is a clopen (closed and open) set in (P, t_0) . Therefore there is not $A_U \subset \Gamma(U, t_0)$ (see (0.34)).

1.4.5. Notation. Let t_s be the sheaf topology from (0.34). Let us denote by t_1 the topological sum of the closures t_0 and t_s (see (0.36)). Then $\text{ind}_{\psi^{-1}(x)}t_s = \text{ind}_{\psi^{-1}(x)}t_0$ for all $x \in X$ and thus by (1.4.2) and (0.35) we get.

1.4.6. Theorem. (a) *$Z(t_1) \neq \emptyset$, because $z_1 = z_1(t_1) = \{v_x; x \in X\} \in Z(t_1)$.*

(b) *$n_{z_1}(t_1) = n$ in all A_U . thus t_1 is polonormal.*

(c) *$A_U \subset \Gamma(U, t_1)$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.*

Thus the theorem (1.4.3) holds for the closure t_1 .

Now we shall prove the continuity of all $(p_v^n)^{-1}$ in one special case.

1.4.7. Agreement. For $x_0 \in X$ let η_{x_0} be a semiuniformity in $\psi^{-1}(x_0)$. Let $T \in \mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(x_0), \eta_{x_0})$, $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $K \subset U$ an arbitrary set. Then the set $F = F(K, T)$

resp. $P(U; K, F)$ of the form (1.2.1) resp. (1.2.3) we denote by $\text{transf}_K T$ resp. $\text{ind transf}_K T$. The set of the form (1.2.2) resp. (1.2.4) we denote by $\text{transf}_K \mathcal{D}(x_0; \eta_{x_0})$ resp. $\text{ind transf}_K \mathcal{D}(x_0, \eta_{x_0})$. The semiuniformity, which is generated in A_U by these bases we denote by $\text{ind } \eta_{x_0}$.

1.4.8. Proposition. *Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \eta_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$ be a presheaf of some sets of continuous functions on a topological group X with the group operation $+$. For \mathcal{S} let be given a cofiltration $\kappa = \{\mathcal{X}^U; U \in \mathcal{B}(X)\}$ such that every $K \in \mathcal{X}^U$ is relatively compact in U and (1.1.1) holds. Let η_U be the semiuniformity of uniform convergence on \mathcal{X}^U . For $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $x, y \in U$ let the functor ${}^U\Phi_{xy}$ from (1.1.2) be as in (1.1.3). Let $\Psi = \{\psi_{xy}, x, y \in X\}$ be the family corresponding by (1.1.4,6) to it. If some \mathcal{X}^U contains a single point $x_0 = K_0 \in \mathcal{X}_0$, then the all $(p_U^n)^{-1}$ are u.c. (n is the closure from (1.3.16) formed for κ).*

Proof. If $x_0 = K_0 \in \mathcal{X}^U$, then for η_{K_0} from (1.3.6) there is $\eta_{K_0} = \eta_{x_0} = \varinjlim_{V \in \mathcal{B}(X_0; U)} \eta_V$. Because \mathcal{S} satisfies (1.1.2), it can be easily seen, that if $\tilde{\eta}_y = \varinjlim_{V \in \mathcal{B}(y; U)} \eta_V$, then $\psi_{xy} : (\psi^{-1}(x); \tilde{\eta}_x) \rightarrow (\psi^{-1}(y); \tilde{\eta}_y)$ is an isomorphism.

Let $z \in U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $\text{col}_U \varepsilon_V = \{\varepsilon_V; V \in \mathcal{B}(z; U), \varepsilon_V > 0\}$, $N^z \text{col}_U \varepsilon_V = \{(\text{germ}_z g_1^V, \text{germ}_z g_2^V); V \in \mathcal{B}(z; U), (g_1^V, g_2^V) \in S_V \times S_V, |g_1^V(z) - g_2^V(z)| < \varepsilon_V\}$. Then for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ $\mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(z); \tilde{\eta}_z) \sim \{N^z \text{col}_U \varepsilon_V; \text{col}_U \varepsilon_V\} = C_U$. Let $U' \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $K \in \mathcal{X}^{U'}$, $x, y \in U'$. The definition of ${}^{U'}\Phi_{xy}$ implies easily, that if ${}^{U'}\varphi_{xy}^{UV}$ is defined, then $\psi_{xy}(N^x \text{col}_U \varepsilon_V) = N^y \text{col}_U \varepsilon_V$. There exists $V_0 \in \mathcal{B}(x_0; U')$ such that if $x \in K$, then $V_x = V_0 + x - x_0 \in \mathcal{B}(x; U')$, and ${}^{U'}\varphi_{x_0 x}^{V_0 V_x}$ is defined. Let $N_0 = N^{x_0} \text{col}_{V_0} \varepsilon_V \in \mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(x_0); \eta_{x_0})$, $\varepsilon_{V_0} = \varepsilon_0$. Let

$$(1.4.9) \quad L(K; \varepsilon_0) = \{(f, g); (f, g) \in S_{U'} \times S_{U'}, |f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon_0, x \in K\}.$$

Then $L(K; \varepsilon_0) \in \mathcal{D}(S_{U'}; \eta_{U'})$ and $\bar{p}_U(L(K; \varepsilon_0)) \subset \text{ind transf}_K N_0$, which proves the u.c. of all maps $p_U : (S_U, \eta_U) \rightarrow (t_U, \text{ind } \eta_{x_0})$. Because $\eta_{x_0} \leq \eta^*$ and η^* is the finest of the all semiuniformities λ , for which the all $p_U : (S_U, \eta_U) \rightarrow (A_U, \text{ind } \lambda)$ are u.c., we get $\eta_{x_0} = \eta^*$. Now let $L(K; \varepsilon) \in \mathcal{D}(S_{U'}; \eta_{U'})$ be of the form (1.4.9). Let us take $N_0 = N^{x_0} \text{col}_U \varepsilon_V \in \mathcal{D}(\psi^{-1}(x_0), \eta^*)$ such that $\varepsilon_V \leq \varepsilon$ for all $V \in \mathcal{B}(x_0; V_0)$. Then $(\bar{p}_U)^{-1}(\text{ind transf}_K N_0) \subset L(K; \varepsilon)$, which proves the u.c. of $(p_U^n)^{-1}$.

Chapter 2.

SECTIONS IN THE COVERING SPACE

Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$ be a presheaf of closure spaces, P its covering space, t a closure in P , $\Gamma(U, t)$ the set of all continuous sections over $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, and q some method, which enables us in every A_U to construct from t a closure $q(t)$. We study,

when there exist q and t such that all the natural maps $p_U : (S_U, \tau_U) \rightarrow (A_U, q(t))$ are homeomorphisms, and such that $A_U = \Gamma(U, t)$ for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. In the whole chapter let t^* be the natural closure in P from [5], (2.6.2).

1. Relation between $\Gamma(U, t)$ and the set of solvable sections

2.1.1. Definition. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. By *collection* we shall call every set

$$(2.1.2) \quad [\varphi] = \{(a_x, V_x); x \in U\}$$

where for every $x \in U$ there is $V_x \in \mathcal{B}(x, U)$, and $a_x \in S_{V_x}$. A collection $[\varphi]$ we call *solvable*, if there exists $a \in S_U$ such that $a(x) = a_x(x)$ for all $x \in U$ (see (0.9)). The element a we call *solution of the collection* $[\varphi]$. We say, that a collection $[\varphi'] = \{(b_x, U_x); x \in X\}$ refines a collection $[\varphi]$ from (2.1.2), if there is

- (a) $U_x \subset V_x$ for all $x \in U$,
- (b) $\varrho_{V_x U_x}(a_x) = b_x$.

A collection $[\varphi]$ from (2.1.2) we call *smooth*, if there is

$$(2.1.3) \quad \varrho_{V_x, V_x \cap V_y}(a_x) = \varrho_{V_y, V_x \cap V_y}(a_y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in U.$$

2.1.4. Proposition. Let \mathcal{S} be a projective presheaf (see (0.31)). A collection $[\varphi]$ is solvable iff there exists a smooth collection $[\varphi']$, refining $[\varphi]$.

Proof. Let $[\varphi]$ from (2.1.2) be solvable, a its colution. Thus $a(x) = a_x(x)$ for all $x \in U$. But it means, that to every $x \in U$ there exists $U_x \in \mathcal{B}(x; V_x)$ such that $b_x = \varrho_{V_x U_x}(a_x) = \varrho_{U U_x}(a)$. Then the collection

$$(2.1.5) \quad [\varphi'] = \{(b_x, U_x); x \in X\}$$

refines $[\varphi]$. Here for $x, y \in U$ we have $\varrho_{U_x, U_x \cap U_y}(b_x) = \varrho_{U, U_x \cap U_y}(a) = \varrho_{U_y, U_x \cap U_y}(b_y)$, thus $[\varphi']$ is smooth. For the proof of this implication we did not need the projectivity of \mathcal{S} .

Conversely, if $[\varphi']$ from (2.1.5) is smooth, then there exists (as a result of the projectivity of \mathcal{S}) an element $a \in S_U$ such that $\varrho_{U, U_x}(a) = b_x$ for all $x \in U$. Because $[\varphi']$ refines $[\varphi]$, $[\varphi]$ is solvable by a . The proof is finished.

Every section φ over U (see (0.8)) determines (not uniquely) some collection. That is because for every $x \in U$ the germ $\varphi(x)$ has a representative (i.e. a generating element) $a_x \in S_{V_x}$ for some $V_x \in \mathcal{B}(x, U)$. Then $\{(a_x, V_x); x \in U\}$ is a collection determined by φ .

2.1.6. Notation. Every collection determined by φ we call collection of the section φ and denote it by $[\varphi]$. It is clear, that every collection $[\varphi']$, refining $[\varphi]$ is again a collection of φ .

2.1.7. Proposition. Let t be a closure in P , $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Then $\Gamma(U, t) \subset A_U$ iff every $\varphi \in \Gamma(U, t)$ has a solvable collection.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \Gamma(U, t)$. If its collection $[\varphi]$ is solvable by a , then $a(x) = \varphi(x)$ for all $x \in U$, hence $p_U(a) = \varphi \in A_U$. The converse implication is trivial.

2.1.8. Remark. According to (2.1.4) we can see, that the solvability of a collection depends only on the inner structure of the sets A_U and not on the way of defining the closures τ_U in S_U , or on the closure t in P . Thus the set of all solvable collections is given in advance.

2. Relation between $\Gamma(U, t)$ and $S(U, S_U)$

2.2.1. Notation. If $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, let u be the topology in U induced from X . Let $S(U, S_U)$ denote the set of all continuous maps $f : (U, u) \rightarrow (S_U, \tau_U)$. If $f : U \rightarrow S_U$ is any (not necessary continuous) map, we denote by $V_f : U \rightarrow S_U \times U$ the map constructed as follows: $x \in U \Rightarrow V_f(x) = (f(x), x)$. Let δ_U be the natural map from [5], (2.6.1), i.e. the map $\delta_U : S_U \times U \rightarrow P$ defined as follows: $a \in S_U, x_0 \in U \Rightarrow \delta_U(a, x_0) = a(x_0)$. Then we denote

$$(2.2.2) \quad \varphi^f = \delta_U \circ V_f : U \rightarrow P.$$

Every map $f : U \rightarrow S_U$ is determined by the set

$$(2.2.2A) \quad f \equiv \{a_x; x \in U, a_x = f(x)\},$$

where for every $x \in U$ there is $a_x \in S_U$. Thus every such f is uniquely determined by the collection $\{(a_x, V_x), x \in U\}$ of the form (2.1.2), where $V_x = U$ for all $x \in U$. This collection we shall call collection corresponding to f , but we shall write it in the form (2.2.2A), regarding, that it is the collection $\{(a_x; U), x \in U\}$ from (2.1.1). We say, that $f : U \rightarrow S_U$ is solvable, if it has a solvable collection.

2.2.3. Proposition. Let the closure t in P be coarser than the natural closure t^* , $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. The necessary condition for the inclusion $\Gamma(U, t) \subset A_U$ is the solvability of all $f \in S(U, S_U)$.

Proof. Let $f : (U, u) \rightarrow (S_U, \tau_U)$ be continuous. Then the map $V_f : (U, u) \rightarrow (S_U \times U, \tau_U \times u)$ from (2.2.1) is also continuous. Because $t^* \leq t$, the natural map $\delta_U : (S_U \times U, \tau_U \times u) \rightarrow (P, t)$ is continuous (see [5], (2.6.2)). Hence the map $\varphi^f = \delta_U \circ V_f : U \rightarrow P$ from (2.2.2) is also continuous. Thus $\varphi^f \in \Gamma(U, t)$. We can see, that the collection (2.2.2A) corresponding to f is as well the collection $[\varphi^f]$ of the section φ^f (see (2.1.6)). Now the assertion follows from (2.1.7).

2.2.4. Remark. Let \mathcal{S} be with the unique continuation (see (0.32)), $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ connected, $f \in S(U, S_U)$, $f \equiv \{(a_x, U); x \in U\}$. It can be easily seen, that f is solvable

iff $a_x = a_y$ for all $x, y \in U$. Thus if there exists a nonconstant $f \in S(U, \tau_U)$, there is not $\Gamma(U, t) \subset A_U$ for any $t \geq t^*$. It implies, that there is not $\Gamma(U, t) \subset A_U$ in both examples (2.2.8).

2.2.5. Remark. From (2.1.4) we can see, that the solvability of the collection (2.2.2A) corresponding to f , depends only on the inner structure of S_U . It does not depend on the particular closure in S_U . Thus the set of all solvable collections of type (2.2.2A) is given in advance. If τ_U is such a closure, that some $f \in S(U, S_U)$ is not solvable, then there is not (by (2.2.3)) $\Gamma(U, t) \subset A_U$ for any closure $t, t \geq t^*$.

2.2.6. Remark. If every $f \in S(U, S_U)$ is solvable, it does not mean yet, that $\Gamma(U, t) \subset A_U$, because every $\varphi \in \Gamma(U, t)$ need not be represented by the map $f \in S(U, S_U)$ such that $\varphi = \varphi^f$ (see (2.2.2)). If $\varphi \in \Gamma(U, t)$, then φ is represented only by its collection $[\varphi]$ of the form (2.2.1). So us to decide whether $\varphi \in A_U$, we have (2.1.7). The proposition (2.2.3) often shows, when there is not $\Gamma(U, t) \subset A_U$, as we shall see in examples. The proposition (2.1.7) says much more, but it is qualified by the continuity of the section $\varphi \in \Gamma(U, t)$ whereas (2.2.3) is qualified by the continuity of $f : U \rightarrow S_U$. And it is more difficult to verify the solvability of the collection $[\varphi]$, than the solvability of f from the continuity of $f : U \rightarrow S_U$, not regarding the difficulties when deciding, if φ is continuous or not.

2.2.7. Remark. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and let us assume: "If $V \in \mathcal{B}(U)$, $b \in S_V$, then there exists $a \in S_U$ such that $\varrho_{UV}(a) = b$ ". Then every section φ over U has a collection $[\varphi] = \{(a_x, U); x \in X\}$. The assignment $x \rightarrow a_x$ is a map $f : U \rightarrow S_U$. But if φ is continuous, the map f , corresponding to it in this way, need not be continuous.

2.2.8. Examples. (1) Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); \varrho_{UV}; E_1\}$ be the presheaf of all constant functions over E_1 , τ_U the closure of pointwise convergence. We can easily find, that (P, t^*) and E_2 are homeomorphic under the identity map. To every $a \in S_U$ there corresponds a constant section $a(x) = a \in \Gamma(U, t^*)$. The section $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = x \in \Gamma(U, t^*)$ corresponds to the non-solvable map $f \in S(U, S_U)$, where $f(x) = x \in S_U$ for $x \in U$. Thus $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \varphi^f(x)$ and $\varphi^f \notin A_U$. Hence $A_U \not\subseteq \Gamma(U, t^*)$. Every continuous function on U , which is not constant on any component of U represents a non-solvable continuous map f of U into (S_U, τ_U) and the corresponding φ^f does not lie in A_U . It can be seen, that $\Gamma(U, t^*)$ is (under the map $f \rightarrow \varphi^f$) isomorphic to the set of all continuous functions on U .

(2) Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); \varrho_{UV}; E_1\}$ be the presheaf of all polynomials, τ_U the topology of locally uniform convergence. For $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ let us define $f : U \rightarrow S_U$ as follows:

$$(2.2.9) \quad f(x) = 2xt - x^2,$$

thus to every $x \in U$ we assign the polynomial $2xt - x^2$ of the variable t . First, if $x_n, x_0 \in U$, $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ then $f(x_n) = 2x_n t - x_n^2 \rightarrow 2x_0 t - x_0^2$ locally uniformly. Thus

$f \in S(U, S_U)$ and therefore $\varphi^f \in \Gamma(U, t^*)$. The map f is not solvable, because $\varphi^f(x) = \text{germ}_x(2xt - x^2)$ is the germ of the polynomial $2xt - x^2$ of the variable t at the point x . If for some $a \in S_U$ there were $a = \varphi^f$, then a would coincide with $2xt - x^2$ on a neighborhood of every $x \in U$, i.e. particularly $a(x) = 2x^2 - x^2 = x^2$. Thus a is necessarily the polynomial x^2 . But $2xt - x^2$ does not coincide with t^2 in any neighborhood. Thus $\varphi^f \in \Gamma(U, t^*) - A_U$. Similarly, if we set $f(x) = a_0(x)t^n + \dots + a_n(x)$, we get a map $f : U \rightarrow S_U$. If the all $a_j(x)$ are continuous functions, f is continuous and $\varphi^f \in \Gamma(U, t^*)$. If there were $\varphi^f = p_U(a) \in A_U$, then there would be $a = a_0(x)x^n + \dots + a_n(x)$. If such element does not lie in S_U , i.e. if any a_j is not constant on any component of U , then $\varphi^f \notin A_U$.

The presheafs in the both previous examples have the unique continuation (see (0.32)) and their closure collections are projective (see [4], (1.1.4)) in spite of $A_U \not\subseteq \Gamma(U, t^*)$.

3. The case when the closures $q(t)$ are jointly continuous

Now we are going to try to find a closure t in P and a method q such that

$$(2.3.1) \quad p_U^t : (S_U, \tau_U) \rightarrow (A_U, q(t)) \text{ are homeomorphisms,}$$

$$(2.3.2) \quad j_U^t : (A_U \times U, q(t) \times u) \rightarrow (P, t) \text{ are continuous, i.e. the all } q(t) \text{ are jointly continuous,}$$

$$(2.3.3) \quad A_U = \Gamma(U, t) \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

A. If (2.3.1,2) holds, then the all natural maps (see (2.2.1)) $\delta_U : (S_U \times U, \tau_U \times u) \rightarrow (P, t)$ are continuous. By the definition of t^* in [5], (2.6.2) we have $t^* \leq t$.

B. Let X be locally compact. If (2.3.2) holds, then $q(t) \leq l(t)$ in every A_U , where $l(t)$ is the closure of uniform convergence on compact sets (see [5] (2.1.2)). (Kelley, General topology – [3] ch. 7).

C. We have clearly the inclusion $A_U \subset \Gamma(U, t^*)$ for all U . Because by A. there must be $t^* \leq t$, we can see, that in those cases, when there is not $A_U = \Gamma(U, t^*)$, for all $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, it is not possible to find a closure t and a method q satisfying (2.3.1–3). For example, (2.1.7) implies.

2.3.4. Proposition. *If for some $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ there exists a nonsolvable $\varphi \in \Gamma(U, t^*)$, then (2.3.1–3) is not true for any couple t, q . It happens particularly, if for some $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ there exists a non-solvable $f \in S(U, S_U)$. If for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ every $\varphi \in \Gamma(U, t^*)$ is solvable, then (2.3.2,3) holds for the method $q = l$ and the closure t^* (l is the closure of uniform convergence on compact sets).*

Now the only problem is, whether (2.3.1) is true for $q(t^*) = l(t^*)$. In the convenient cases it can be decided with help of tools from chap. 2. in [5].

4. Sufficient condition for the representation

The requirements (2.3.1–3) lied to the inequality $t^* \leq t$. But the examples show, that (2.3.3) does not hold even for some very convenient presheaves. Thus we shall omit the requirement (2.3.2).

2.4.1. Definition. We say, that the closure t in P generates a *representation*, if for some method q and the closure t (2.3.1,3) is satisfied.

2.4.2. Notation. Every stalk $\psi^{-1}(x)$ we shall provide with the coarse topology $t_x = h$ (see (0.30)). Let t_h (resp. t_s) be the closure in P , which is the topological sum of the closures t_x , (resp. the sheaf topology from (0.34)). Let t_σ be the topological sum of t_h and t_s .

2.4.3. Proposition. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); \varrho_{UV}; x\}$ be a projective presheaf, t a closure in its covering space, $t_s \leq t \leq t_\sigma$. Then $\Gamma(U, t) = A_U$ for all $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

Proof. For all U there is $A_U \subset \Gamma(U, t_s) \subset \Gamma(U, t) \subset \Gamma(U, t_\sigma)$ (see (0.34)). We shall prove, that $\Gamma(U, t_\sigma) \subset A_U$ for every U . If $\alpha \in P$, $x_0 = \psi(\alpha)$, then by the definition of t_σ and (0.34) we get: If for some $V \in B(x_0; X)$ the element $a \in S_V$ is a generating one for α (see (0.18)), then

$$(2.4.4) \quad \Delta(\alpha; t_\sigma) = \{gr_{V'} \cdot a \cup \psi^{-1}(x_0); V' \in B(x_0; V)\} - \text{see (0.20)}.$$

Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $\varphi \in \Gamma(U, t_\sigma)$, $[\varphi] = \{(a_x, V_x); x \in U\}$ a collection of the section φ . If $x \in U$, then a_x is a generating element for $\varphi(x)$, thus

$$(2.4.5) \quad a_x(x) = \varphi(x).$$

According to (2.4.4) $O = gr_{V_x} a_x \cup \psi^{-1}(x) \in \Delta(\varphi(x), t_\sigma)$. Thus there exists $\tilde{V}_x \in B(x; V_x)$ such that for $y \in \tilde{V}_x$ there is $\varphi(y) \in O$. The form of the set O implies

$$(2.4.6) \quad \varphi(y) = a_x(y) \quad \text{for } y \in \tilde{V}_x - x.$$

Hence by (2.4.5), (2.4.6) holds for all $y \in \tilde{V}_x$. Moreover let us set $b_x = \varrho_{V_x \tilde{V}_x}(a_x)$. We have

$$(2.4.7) \quad \varphi(y) = b_x(y) \quad \text{for } y \in \tilde{V}_x.$$

To every $x \in U$ let us construct in this way \tilde{V}_x and $b_x \in S_{\tilde{V}_x}$, such that (2.4.7) holds. The collection $[\varphi'] = \{(b_x, \tilde{V}_x); x \in U\}$ is a collection of φ . Let $x, y \in U$ such that $\tilde{V}_x \cap \tilde{V}_y \neq \emptyset$. For $z \in \tilde{V}_x \cap \tilde{V}_y$ (2.4.7) implies $b_x(z) = \varphi(z) = b_y(z)$. By (0.17) we have $\varrho_{\tilde{V}_x \tilde{V}_x \cap \tilde{V}_y}(b_x) = \varrho_{\tilde{V}_y \tilde{V}_x \cap \tilde{V}_y}(b_y)$, and thus $[\varphi']$ is smooth. By (2.1.4) $[\varphi']$ is solvable. (2.1.7) implies $\varphi \in A_U$, which finishes the proof.

In [5], (3.2.4.5) we have for every $x \in X$ denoted by u_x the closure in $\psi^{-1}(x)$ defined as follows: $u_x = \text{ind}_{\psi^{-1}(x)} t^*$. We have denoted there by \tilde{t} the topological sum of the closures u_x and by \hat{t} the topological sum of \tilde{t} and t_s (see (0.36)). Then clearly

$$(2.4.8) \quad t_s \leq \hat{t} \leq t_\sigma.$$

2.4.9. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$ be a projective presheaf, $\mu = \{\tau_U\}$ its closure collection, μ^0 the pointwise modification of μ (see [5], (3.3.4)). If $\mu = \mu^0$, then the closure $\hat{\tau}$ generates a representation.

Proof. The equality $\mu = \mu^0$ and (3.2.7) in [5] imply, that $\hat{\tau}$ is normal, i.e. the all $p_U^{\hat{\tau}} : (S_U, \tau_U) \rightarrow (A_U, b(\hat{\tau}))$ are homeomorphisms, where $b(\hat{\tau})$ is the closure of pointwise convergence (see [5], (3.1.2)). From (2.4.8,3) follows, that $\hat{\tau}$ generates a representation.

5. The sufficient condition for representation in the semiuniform case

2.5.1. Notation. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \eta_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$ be a presheaf of semiuniform spaces. As in chap. 1 let for every $U \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be given a cofilter \mathcal{K}^U in U , such that for $V \subset U$ there is

$$(2.5.2) \quad K^V = \{K; K \in \mathcal{K}^U, K \subset V\}.$$

For the closure t and $z(t) \in Z(t)$ (see (1.1.8)) let $u_z(t)$ be the semiuniformity of uniform convergence on the cofilters \mathcal{K}^U (see (1.2.5)), and let n resp. v be the semiuniformity (1.3.16) resp. (1.4.1). Further let t_0 be the closure in P , generated by v (see (1.1.2b)), and as in (1.4.5) let t_1 be the topological sum of t_0 and the sheaf topology t_s .

2.5.3. Theorem. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{(S_U, \tau_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$ be a presheaf of closure spaces. Let every τ_U be generated by a semiuniformity η_U . Let there exists a cofiltration from (2.5.1) such that if we form $u_z(t)$, n , v , t_0 , t_1 for it and the presheaf $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \{(S_U, \eta_U); \varrho_{UV}; X\}$, then all the maps $(p_U^n)^{-1} : (A_U, n) \rightarrow (S_U, \eta_U)$ are uniformly continuous. Then the t_1 forms a representation.

Proof. By (1.4.6,4) all the $p_U^{t_1} : (S_U, \eta_U) \rightarrow (A_U, n_{z_1}(t_1))$ are isomorphisms for some $z_1 = z_1(t_1) \in Z(t_1)$. Thus if $q(t_1)$ is a closure in A_U generated by $u_{z_1}(t_1)$, the all $p_U^{t_1} : (S_U, \tau_U) \rightarrow (A_U, q(t_1))$ are homeomorphisms. Let t_σ be the closure from (2.4.2). By (1.4.4,5) we get $t_s \leq t_1 \leq t_\sigma$. By (2.4.3) we have $\Gamma(U, t_1) = A_U$, which finishes the proof.

References

- [1] Z. Frolík: Structure projective and structure inductive presheaves. Celebrazioni archimedee del secolo XX. Simposio di topologia.
- [2] E. Čech: Topological spaces, Prague 1966.
- [3] J. L. Kelley: General topology, New York, Van Nostrand 1955.
- [4] J. Pechanec-Drahoš: Modifications of closure collections. Czech. Math. Journal, 21 (96), (1971), 577–589.
- [5] J. Pechanec-Drahoš: Representations of presheaves of closure spaces. Czech. Math. Journal, 22 (97), (1972), No 1.

Author's address: Praha 8 - Karlín, Sokolovská 83, ČSSR (Matematicko-fyzikální fakulta KU).