

Paul R. Fallone, Jr.

Some remarks concerning stable attractors

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 20 (1970), No. 4, 599–602

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100985>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1970

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SOME REMARKS CONCERNING STABLE ATTRACTORS*)

PAUL R. FALLONE, Jr., Storrs

(Received July 7, 1969)

Introduction. In [1] the following theorem is established: Let (X, π) be a dynamical system on the locally compact metric space X . If $M \subset X$ be compact, invariant, and (positively) asymptotically stable, then there is a real valued continuous mapping, $v : A(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, from the region of attraction of M into the non-negative reals which is uniformly unbounded on $A(M)$ and, in addition, satisfies:

$$(\dagger) \quad v(x) = 0 \text{ iff } x \in M;$$

$$(\ddagger) \quad v(\pi(x, t)) \equiv e^{-t} \cdot v(x) \text{ for every } (x, t) \in A(M) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We wish to establish some consequences of this theorem in the basic notation of [2]. All attracting is positively.

Definition 1. Let (X, π) be a dynamical system and let $M \subset X$ be attracting. A subset $N \subset X$ is called *pre-admissible* for M if N is a neighborhood of M in $A(M)$. A subset $N \subset X$ is called *admissible* for M if N is pre-admissible for M and N is positively invariant.

Note that $A(M)$ is always admissible for M and if N is pre-admissible for M , then $\gamma^+(N) = N^*$ is admissible for M .

Theorem 1. Let (X, π) be a dynamical system on a locally compact metric space and let $M \subset X$ be compact, invariant, and asymptotically stable. If $N \subset X$ is admissible for M , then there is a compact subset $N' \subset N$ which is admissible for M and which is a (strong) deformation retraction of N .

Proof. Let W be open in X with $M \subset W \subset \overline{W} \subset N$ with \overline{W} compact. Let $v : A(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be as above. We wish to select $k > 0$ so that $v^{-1}[0, k] \subset W$. Suppose no such k exists. Since v is uniformly unbounded on $A(M)$, there is a compact

*) The author was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF-GE-7938.

subset $K_1 \not\subseteq A(M)$ such that $v(x) \geq 1$ for every $x \in A(M) \setminus K_1$. Then for each integer $n = 2, 3, \dots$ there is an $x_n \in v^{-1}[0, 1/n] \cap (X \setminus W)$ and, hence, a subsequence converging to $x_0 \in K_1 \cap (X \setminus W)$. Since v is continuous on $A(M)$, we must have $v(x_0) = 0$ which contradicts (\dagger). Therefore such a $k > 0$ exists.

Now let $x \in N \setminus v^{-1}[0, k]$ and for each real t define $\pi_x(t) \equiv \pi(x, t)$. Then the composite mapping $v \circ (\pi_x | R^+) : R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ is continuous with $[v \circ (\pi_x | R^+)](0) \geq k$ and $[v \circ (\pi_x | R^+)](t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there is a $t_x \in R^+$ such that $[v \circ (\pi_x | R^+)](t_x) = k$ and t_x is unique by (\dagger).

Define a mapping $p : N \setminus v^{-1}[0, k] \rightarrow R^+$ by $p(x) = t_x$. The uniqueness of t_x insures the continuity of p .

Finally, define a mapping $H : N \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ by

$$H(y, s) = \begin{cases} y, & (y, s) \in v^{-1}[0, k] \times [0, 1] \\ \pi(y, s \cdot p(y)), & (y, s) \in (N \setminus v^{-1}[0, k]) \times [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Then $H(y, 0) = y$ for every $y \in N$, $H(y, s) = y$ for every $(y, s) \in v^{-1}[0, k] \times [0, 1]$, $H(N \times \{1\}) = v^{-1}[0, k]$, and H is continuous. Hence, $v^{-1}[0, k]$ is a (strong) deformation retraction of N . Put $N' = v^{-1}[0, k]$ and the proof is complete.

Theorem 2. *Under the same hypothesis as Theorem 1, if $N \subset X$ is preadmissible for M , then there is a compact subset $N' \subset N$ which is admissible for M and which is a retraction of N .*

Proof. Let $W \subset X$ and $k > 0$ be chosen as in Theorem 1. Define a mapping $r : N \rightarrow v^{-1}[0, k]$ by

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} \pi(x, p(x)), & x \in N \setminus v^{-1}[0, k] \\ x, & x \in v^{-1}[0, k] \end{cases}$$

where $p : N \setminus v^{-1}[0, k] \rightarrow R^+$ is the mapping constructed in the proof of Theorem 1. Put $N' = v^{-1}[0, k]$. Then r is continuous, r is the identity mapping on N' , N' is positively invariant by (\dagger), and $v^{-1}[0, k] \subset N'$. Hence, N' is admissible and the proof is complete.

If X is a compact (Hausdorff) space, G an $\{R$ -module, compact abelian group}, G' an R -module, and r an integer ≥ 0 , then $\{H_r(X), H^r(X)\}$ denotes the r -dimensional Čech {homology, cohomology} group of X over $\{G, G'\}$. Also $\{\tilde{H}_0(X), \tilde{H}^0(X)\}$ denotes the 0-dimensional augmented Čech {homology, cohomology} group of X over $\{G, G'\}$. If G is a vector space over a field, then we denote the dimension of $H_r(X)$ by $\beta_r(X)$.

Theorem 3. *Let (X, π) be a dynamical system on a locally compact metric space. Let $M \subset X$ be compact, invariant, and asymptotically stable. If $N \subset X$ is compact*

and admissible for M , then, for every integer $r \geq 0$, $H_r(M) \simeq H_r(N)$ and $H^r(M) \simeq H^r(N)$.

Proof. Choose $N' \subset N$ such that $N' = v^{-1}[0, k]$, $k > 0$, and N' is a (strong) deformation retraction of N . Then for $r \geq 0$, $H_r(N') \simeq H_r(N)$ and $H^r(N') \simeq H^r(N)$. It suffices to show $H_r(M) \simeq H_r(N')$ and $H^r(M) \simeq H^r(N')$. Let $\mathcal{V} = \{V_n = v^{-1}[0, k/n] \mid n \geq 1\}$. Then $\{\mathcal{V}, f\}$ is an inverse sequence of compact spaces over the positive integers, \mathbb{Z}^+ , where $f_{nm} : V_n \rightarrow V_m$ is an inclusion mapping whenever $m \leq n$ in \mathbb{Z}^+ . Then V_∞ is homeomorphic with $V' = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k = M$. Hence, $H_r(M) \simeq H_r(V_\infty)$ and $H^r(M) \simeq H^r(V_\infty)$.

Now if $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, V_{m+1} is a (strong) deformation retraction of V_m . To see this, note that for each $x \in V_m \setminus v^{-1}[0, k/m + 1]$ there is a unique $t_x \geq 0$ such that $v(\pi(x, t_x)) = k/m + 1$. The mapping $p : V_m \setminus v^{-1}[0, k/m + 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ determined by $p(x) = t_x$ is continuous and we may define $H : V_m \times [0, 1] \rightarrow V_m$ by

$$H(x, s) = \begin{cases} x, & (x, s) \in v^{-1}[0, k/m + 1] \times [0, 1] \\ \pi(x, s \cdot p(x)), & (x, s) \in (V_m \setminus v^{-1}[0, k/m + 1]) \times [0, 1] \end{cases}$$

to obtain the desired deformation.

Hence, whenever $m \leq n$ in \mathbb{Z}^+ , $f_{nm} : V_n \rightarrow V_m$ induces isomorphisms onto $f_{nm*} : H_r(V_n) \rightarrow H_r(V_m)$ and $f_{nm**} : H^r(V_m) \rightarrow H^r(V_n)$. Therefore, $\lim_{\leftarrow} \{H^r(\cdot), f_{**}\} \simeq H^r(V_1) = H^r(N')$ and $\lim_{\leftarrow} \{H_r(\cdot), f_*\} \simeq H_r(V_1) = H_r(N')$. But by the continuity axiom, $\lim_{\leftarrow} \{H^r(\cdot), f_{**}\} \simeq H^r(V_\infty)$ and $\lim_{\leftarrow} \{H_r(\cdot), f_*\} \simeq H_r(V_\infty)$. Hence, $H_r(M) \simeq H_r(N')$ and $H^r(M) \simeq H^r(N')$ and the proof is complete.

Corollary. Under the hypothesis of the theorem, if $N \subset X$ is preadmissible for M and contractible, then $H_r(M) = 0$ for $r \neq 0$, $H^r(M) = 0$ for $r \neq 0$, $\tilde{H}_0(M) = 0$ and $\tilde{H}^0(M) = 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2 there is a compact admissible $N' \subset N$ with a retraction of N . Hence, N is contractible. Hence, $H_r(N') = 0 = H^r(N')$ for $r \neq 0$ and $\tilde{H}_0(N') = 0 = \tilde{H}^0(N')$. By Theorem 3 these are the same for M .

Corollary. Under the hypothesis of the theorem, M is connected if and only if $A(M)$ is connected.

Proof. Suppose $A(M)$ is connected. Then there is an $N \subset A(M)$ which is compact, admissible for M , and a retraction of $A(M)$. Hence, $\tilde{\beta}_0(N) = 0$. Therefore, $\tilde{\beta}_0(M) = 0$ and M is connected. The rest of the proof follows directly.

Note that if $X = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $n \geq 1$, and $A(M) = \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then M and $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \setminus M$ are

connected. For M disconnects R^{n+1} if and only if $H_n(M; R_1) \neq 0$ where R_1 is the compact abelian group of real numbers modulo 1.

Finally, under the hypothesis of Theorem 3, there is a continuous mapping of $A(M)$ into the non-negative real number, $v : A(M) \rightarrow R^+$, such that M is the zero-set of v and each level set of v is the same homotopy type as $A(M) \setminus M$.

References

- [1] *N. P. Bhatia and G. P. Szegő*: Dynamical systems, stability theory and applications. Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [2] *J. Auslander, N. P. Bhatia, P. Seibert*: "Attractors in dynamical systems", Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex., 9 (1964), 55–66.

Author's address: The University of Connecticut, Dept. of Mathematics, Storrs, Connecticut 06268, U.S.A.