

Otomar Hájek

Sections of dynamical systems in E^2

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 15 (1965), No. 2, 205–211

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/100662>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1965

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SECTIONS OF DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS IN E^2

OTOMAR HÁJEK, Praha

(Received December 7, 1963)

It is shown that every section of an abstract dynamical system in E^2 , if it is a locally connected continuum, is an arc or a simple closed curve. Further results concern existence of local sections with special properties, in abstract dynamical systems on uniformisable spaces.

For dynamical systems defined by differential equations on euclidean spaces E^n (and, more generally, on differentiable n -manifolds), the local structure of the trajectories near a noncritical point is described by the "characteristic neighbourhood"; this consists of points on arcs of trajectories which intersect some $(n - 1)$ -dimensional non-tangent hypersurface.

Secondly, for abstract dynamical systems on metric spaces (and, more generally, on uniformisable spaces), the local structure near a non-critical point is described by the Whitney-Bebutov theorem, affirming the existence of a closed set or *section*, which plays the role of the non-tangent hypersurface. The situation may be described, up to a homeomorphism, in infinite-dimensional Hilbert space (countably infinite if the carrier space is metric).

Now, possibly one cannot define differential systems on abstract spaces; however, one may easily have abstract dynamical systems (non-differentiable!) on euclidean E^n , and this concept is most useful for practical applications. The Whitney-Bebutov theorem still applies; and then there arise questions as to further properties of the sections: e.g., is a section $(n - 1)$ -dimensional? (The answer is affirmative if the section is itself locally euclidean). The present paper is devoted to this class of questions.

Let P be a separated uniformisable space (cf. [1]). A *dynamical system* on P is a mapping \oplus such that

(1) $\oplus: P \times E^1 \rightarrow P$ is continuous onto (the value of \oplus at (x, ϑ) will be denoted by $x \oplus \vartheta$), and

$$(2) (x \oplus \vartheta_1) \oplus \vartheta_2 = x \oplus (\vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2), x \in P, \vartheta_j \in E^1.$$

(See also [4], p. 346.) We shall occasionally use $x \oplus \vartheta_1 + \vartheta_2$ to denote the element of (2); and, in a similar sense, $x \oplus -\vartheta$ instead of $x \oplus (-\vartheta)$. For $X \subset P$, $A \subset E^1$, $X \oplus A$ will denote the set of all $x \oplus \vartheta$ with $x \in X$, $\vartheta \in A$; and also write $X \oplus \vartheta$ in place of $X \oplus \{\vartheta\}$, etc.

Lemma 1. $x \oplus 0 = x$ for $x \in P$.

Proof. From (2) we have $(x \oplus \vartheta) \oplus 0 = x \oplus \vartheta + 0 = x \oplus \vartheta$, so that $y \oplus 0 = y$ for all y of the form $x \oplus \vartheta$, i.e. in range \oplus . However, from (1), \oplus maps onto P .

It is immediate that, for fixed $\vartheta \in E^1$, the mappings $\oplus \vartheta : P \rightarrow P$ defined by $(x) \oplus \vartheta = x \oplus \vartheta$ form a (topological) group of continuous mappings. Hence each $\oplus \vartheta$ is a homeomorphism of P ; and, in particular,

$$(\cup X_\alpha) \oplus \vartheta = \cup (X_\alpha \oplus \vartheta), \quad \overline{X \oplus \vartheta} = \overline{X} \oplus \vartheta$$

etc. Furthermore,

Lemma 2. If $X \subset P$, $A \subset E^1$ and A is compact, then $\overline{X \oplus A} = \overline{X} \oplus \overline{A}$.

Proof. In any case $\overline{X \oplus A} \subset \overline{X \oplus A}$, since \oplus is continuous in $P \times E^1$. To prove the opposite inclusion, take any $x \in \overline{X \oplus A}$. Then $x_\alpha \oplus \vartheta_\alpha \rightarrow x$ for generalized sequences $x_\alpha \in X$ and $\vartheta_\alpha \in A$. Since \overline{A} is compact, there is a convergent subsequence $\vartheta_\beta \rightarrow \vartheta_0 \in \overline{A}$, and then

$$x_\beta = (x_\beta \oplus \vartheta_\beta) \oplus -\vartheta_\beta \rightarrow x \oplus -\vartheta_0,$$

and necessarily $x \oplus -\vartheta_0 \in \overline{X}$. Thus

$$x = (x \oplus -\vartheta_0) \oplus \vartheta_0 \in \overline{X} \oplus \overline{A}$$

as was to be proved.

The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 3. The set of all $x \in P$ which have $x = x \oplus \vartheta$ for all $\vartheta \in E^1$ (the critical points of \oplus) is closed.

The proof is almost trivial. If x is a limit of a generalised sequence of x_α , then also $x_\alpha \oplus \vartheta \rightarrow x \oplus \vartheta$; if the x_α are critical, $x_\alpha \oplus \vartheta = x_\alpha \rightarrow x$, and hence $x \oplus \vartheta = x$. This holds for all $\vartheta \in E^1$, i.e. x is critical.

Definition. A subset $S \subset P$ is a section (of length $\lambda > 0$) if

$$x \in S, x \oplus \vartheta \in S, |\vartheta| \leq \lambda \text{ implies } \vartheta = 0.$$

(Also see [4], p. 352.) Obviously every subset of a section is itself a section, with any length $\leq \lambda$. Obviously a section of length λ cannot meet any cycle with (primitive) period $\leq \lambda$; in particular, it cannot meet a critical point.

Now, the closure of a section need not be a section (of any positive length). Also, if we have two sections S_1, S_2 which are sufficiently far apart (in a certain sense), then $S_1 \cup S_2$ will again be a section. Thus interest centers more around closed connected sections. Finally, an empty set, and also a set consisting of a single non-critical point is trivially a section. We are interested in rather richer sections than these.

Theorem 1. *Let \oplus be a dynamical system on a 2-manifold P . Then every section S which is a locally connected continuum is either a simple arc or a simple closed curve.*

In the proof, two non-trivial parts will be separated out as lemmas. We assume the situation described in the premiss of the theorem.

Lemma 4. *If there is an arc $\widehat{axb} \subset S$ then locally at x , S is an arc (in fact, the arc \widehat{axb}).*

Proof. Let $y = p(\sigma)$, $|\sigma| \leq \sigma_0 > 0$, be a parametrisation of \widehat{axb} , $p(0) = x$. Let $2\lambda_0$ be the length of S . Then the mapping h defined by

$$h(\vartheta, \sigma) = p(\sigma) \oplus \vartheta \quad (|\vartheta| \leq \lambda_0, |\sigma| \leq \sigma_0)$$

is continuous and 1-1 into a 2-manifold P . Thus it is a homeomorphism, and the image of the interval in E^2 is a closed simplex s^2 in P (the Invariance of Domain Theorem); in particular, s^2 is a neighbourhood of x . Obviously S meets s^2 only at \widehat{axb} , by choice of λ_0 . This proves the lemma.

Lemma 5. *S is a local dendrite.*

Proof. Since P is a 2-manifold, i. e. locally euclidean, it is metrisable. We shall show that there is a positive lower bound to the diameters of simple closed curves in S (this implies our lemma: cf. [3], p. 228). Since S is compact, it suffices to show that any $x \in S$ has a neighbourhood U such that there are no simple closed curves entirely in $U \cap S$.

There is a neighbourhood U_0 of x and a $\lambda \in E^1$ such that $0 < 2\lambda < \text{length } S$ and $U_0 \cap (U_0 \oplus \lambda) = \emptyset$; since otherwise there would exist arbitrarily small ϑ with $x_x \rightarrow x$, $x_x \oplus \vartheta \rightarrow x$, although $x \in S$ is noncritical. There is a homeomorphic image U of an open disc in E^2 , with $x \in U \subset U_0$.

Now assume there exists a closed curve $C \subset U \cap S$. Then S separates U ; let x_0 be a point in the interior of C . By choice of U , $x_0 \in U$. Since $U \subset U_0$, $U_0 \cap (U_0 \oplus \lambda) = \emptyset$, $x_0 \oplus \lambda$ is not in the interior of C , and there is a ϑ_1 with $0 < \vartheta_1 < \lambda$, $x_0 \oplus \vartheta_1 \in C \subset S$. We also have $(U_0 \oplus -\lambda) \cap U_0 = \emptyset$, so that analogously there is a ϑ_{-1} with $0 > \vartheta_{-1} > -\lambda$, $x_0 \oplus \vartheta_{-1} \in C \subset S$. But this contradicts $2\lambda < \text{length } S$ and proves our lemma.

Proof of theorem 1. For this it suffices to show that S is an arc locally at each $x \in S$; since then it is a routine matter to prove that S , compact connected, is an arc or simple closed curve.

We already have lemma 5; furthermore, from lemma 4 it follows that S , and hence also any subcontinuum of S , has no branch points. Hence it remains to prove that any non-degenerate dendrite without branch points is an arc or simple closed curve. This is rather obvious; and may be proved directly, using the basic property of dendrites D , that distinct points x, y of D are on a subarc $\widehat{xy} \subset D$ uniquely determined by its end points [3, p. 225]. (A possible proof proceeds via the Zorn lemma to obtain a saturated arc in D .)

The idea of this theorem (but not its proof) goes back to [5]. The situation considered there concerned a single differential equation $y' = f(x, y)$ with $x, y, f(x, y) \in \mathbb{E}^1$ and f continuous, but without unicity assumptions. A set $S \subset \mathbb{E}^2$ was called trajectory-intersecting if there is no solution through distinct points of S . Then [5, § 6, lemma] every such S may be continuously completely ordered; and thus [l.c., theorem 21] a trajectory-intersecting continuum is a simple arc.

Returning to the general situation treated in theorem 1, the topological structure of the dynamical system near S is then completely described by the homeomorphism h constructed in lemma 4. It remains to show that there exist sufficiently many sections-continua. This may be done in a far more general setting than 2-manifolds. The construction, a mild generalisation (from metric to separated uniformisable spaces) of the Whitney-Bebutov construction, will be performed in detail, since further consequences will be drawn from it. (Lemma 7 is then the Whitney-Bebutov theorem [4, pp. 352–357].)

Construction. Let \oplus be a dynamical system on a separated uniformisable space P ; thus P is completely regular [1, chap. IX, p. 9]. Let $x_0 \in P$ be a noncritical point.

There is a $\vartheta \neq 0$ with $x_0 \neq x_0 \oplus \vartheta$; we may assume, say, $\vartheta = 1$. There is a continuous real-valued function ψ on P with

$$\psi(x_0) = 0 < \psi(x_0 \oplus 1) = 1$$

(if P is metrisable one may take $\psi(x) = \varrho(x, x_0)/\varrho(x_0 \oplus 1, x_0)$). Define φ by

$$\varphi(x) = \int_0^1 \psi(x \oplus \sigma) d\sigma;$$

Obviously then

$$\varphi(x \oplus \vartheta) = \int_{\vartheta}^{\vartheta+1} \psi(x \oplus \sigma) d\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \varphi(x \oplus \vartheta) = \psi(x \oplus \vartheta + 1) - \psi(x \oplus \vartheta)$$

are both continuous functions of (x, ϑ) . Since $(\partial/\partial \vartheta) \varphi(x \oplus \vartheta) = 1$ for $x = x_0$, $\vartheta = 0$, there is a neighbourhood U_1 of x_0 and a $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta} \varphi(x \oplus \vartheta) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in U_1, \quad |\vartheta| \leq 2\lambda.$$

In particular, $\varphi(x_0 \oplus \lambda) > \varphi(x_0) > \varphi(x_0 \oplus -\lambda)$, and thus there is a neighbourhood U_2 of x_0 such that

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x \oplus \lambda) > \varphi(x_0) > \varphi(x \oplus -\lambda) \quad \text{for } x \in U_2.$$

Now take any neighbourhood U of x_0 with $\bar{U} \subset U_1 \cap U_2$ (particular choices of this U will, subsequently, imply diverse properties of the section to be constructed). Finally, set

$$(3) \quad S = \{x \in P: \varphi(x) = \varphi(x_0)\} \cap (\bar{U} \oplus \langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle),$$

$$(4) \quad F = S \oplus \langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle.$$

We will now consider some properties of the objects just obtained.

Lemma 6. *Both S, F are closed, and $x_0 \in S, \bar{U} \subset F$. The relations*

$$(5) \quad x \in \bar{U}, \quad p(x) = x \oplus \vartheta \in S, \quad |\vartheta| \leq \lambda$$

define a continuous closed map p of \bar{U} onto S .

Proof. From continuity of φ and lemma 2, S and hence F are closed. Obviously $x_0 \in S$. Take any $x \in \bar{U}$; from (2), $\varphi(x \oplus \vartheta) = \varphi(x_0)$ for some $\vartheta \in \langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle$; thus $x \oplus \vartheta \in S$ and therefore $x \in F$; this proves $\bar{U} \subset F$.

As concerns the map p , it has just been shown that to any given $x \in \bar{U}$ there is a $\vartheta \in \langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle$ with $x \oplus \vartheta \in S$, i.e. with $\varphi(x \oplus \vartheta) = \varphi(x_0)$. This ϑ is determined uniquely, since $\partial\varphi/\partial\vartheta > 0$ on the arc $x \oplus \langle -2\lambda, 2\lambda \rangle$. Thus (5) indeed define a map $p: \bar{U} \rightarrow S$. From (3), p is onto.

Continuity: Let $x_\alpha \rightarrow x$ in \bar{U} (generalised sequence), let $px_\alpha = x_\alpha \oplus \vartheta_\alpha, px = x \oplus \vartheta$. Take any confinal x_β , and from ϑ_β select a confinal convergent $\vartheta_\gamma \rightarrow \vartheta'$. Obviously then

$$px_\gamma = x_\gamma \oplus \vartheta_\gamma \rightarrow x \oplus \vartheta' \in S,$$

by closedness of S , and $|\vartheta'| \leq \lambda$ since $|\vartheta_\alpha| \leq \lambda$. From unicity, $\vartheta' = \vartheta$, so that $px_\gamma \rightarrow px$. This proves $px_\alpha \rightarrow px$, i.e., continuity.

Closedness: Let $px_\alpha \rightarrow y$ in S ; then $px_\alpha = x_\alpha \oplus \vartheta_\alpha$ with $|\vartheta_\alpha| \leq \lambda$, and one may take a convergent confinal $\vartheta_\beta \rightarrow \vartheta'$. Necessarily, $|\vartheta'| \leq \lambda$, and

$$x_\beta = (x_\beta \oplus \vartheta_\beta) \oplus -\vartheta_\beta \rightarrow y \oplus -\vartheta' \in \bar{U},$$

since \bar{U} is closed. Obviously $p(y \oplus -\vartheta') = y$; thus, closedness.

Lemma 7. *S is a closed section of length λ , and generates F , a neighbourhood of x_0 .*

Proof. F is a neighbourhood of x_0 since U is such and $\bar{U} \subset F$; both S, F are closed (lemma 6).

Assume S is not a section of length λ , and aim at a contradiction. Then there exist $x, x \oplus \vartheta$ in S with $0 < \vartheta \leq \lambda$. From (3), $S \subset \bar{U} \oplus \langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle$, so that there is a $\vartheta_0 \in \langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle$ with $x \oplus \vartheta_0 \in \bar{U} \subset \bar{U}_1$. Now apply (1): on the arc

$$(x \oplus \vartheta_0) \oplus \langle -2\lambda, 2\lambda \rangle,$$

and this includes both x and $x \oplus \vartheta$, there is $\partial\varphi/\partial\vartheta > 0$; thus one cannot have both $\varphi(x) = \varphi(x_0) = \varphi(x \oplus \vartheta)$; this contradiction completes the proof.

Theorem 2. *Given a dynamical system on a separated uniformisable space P , and a noncritical $x_0 \in P$, there exist sections $S \ni x_0$ generating arbitrarily small neighbourhoods of x_0 . If P is locally compact and/or locally connected, then S may be taken compact and/or connected, respectively. Furthermore, if P is metrisable and has property \mathcal{S} , then S may also be taken locally connected.*

Proof. Preserve the preceding notation. The first assertion follows from lemma 7; if λ, U are taken small, then

$$F = S \oplus \langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle \subset \bar{U} \oplus \langle -2\lambda, 2\lambda \rangle$$

may be made as small as one pleases.

If P is locally compact, one may take \bar{U} compact; from lemma 6, p maps \bar{U} continuously onto S , which is then also compact. Similarly for connectedness. If P has property \mathcal{S} , one may take \bar{U} with property \mathcal{S} and hence locally connected [6, p. 217, 215, 212]; since p is a closed continuous mapping onto, S is also locally connected [*l.c.*, p. 200].

Now consider several dynamical systems on the same uniform space P, \mathcal{U} . For one of these, say \oplus_0 , perform the construction of S as above; for any other \oplus , define F by (4). Do lemmas 6, 7 hold, at least for \oplus sufficiently near to \oplus_0 ? The answer is affirmative, if “sufficiently near” is reasonably interpreted.

Theorem 3. *Assume the above construction for a dynamical system \oplus_0 . Then the set of all dynamical system \oplus on P, \mathcal{U} such that (i) S is a closed section of length λ , and (ii) $\bar{U} \subset S \oplus \langle -\lambda, \lambda \rangle$, is a neighbourhood of \oplus_0 in the topology of uniform convergence if P is locally compact.*

Proof. It may be noticed that the proofs of lemmas 6 and 7 (corresponding to (i) and (ii) depend, in essence, only on the relations (1) and (2), respectively. Now consider the topology of uniform convergence [2, p. 5] on the set of all continuous maps $P \times \mathbb{E}^1 \rightarrow P$, and the its subspace consisting of dynamical systems on P, \mathcal{U} . The set of all dynamical systems \oplus on P, \mathcal{U} such that, on taking U_1 compact,

$$\psi(x \oplus \vartheta + 1) - \psi(x \oplus \vartheta) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in U_1, |\vartheta| \leq 2\lambda$$

is open, and contains \oplus_0 by construction. Similarly, the set of \oplus with

$$\int_0^1 \psi((x \oplus \lambda) \oplus_0 \sigma) d\sigma > \varphi(x_0) > \int_0^1 \psi((x \oplus -\lambda) \oplus_0 \sigma) d\sigma \quad \text{for } x \in U_2$$

is open and contains \oplus_0 . This concludes the proof of theorem 3.

References

- [1] *Bourbaki N.*: Topologie générale. Ch. I & II, 2nd ed., A. S. et I., Paris 1951.
- [2] *Bourbaki N.*: Topologie générale. Ch. IX & X, A. S. et I., Paris 1949.
- [3] *Kuratowski K.*: Topologie II. Warszawa—Wroclaw 1950.
- [4] *Немыцкий В. В., Степанов В. В.*: Качественная теория дифференциальных уравнений, Гостехиздат, Москва—Ленинград 1949.
- [5] *Hájek O.*: Singularity diferenciální rovnice II. Pěkroky MFA, II, 137—144.
- [6] *Hall D. W., Spencer G. L.*: Elementary Topology. Wiley, New York 1955.

Резюме

СЕЧЕНИЯ ДИНАМИЧЕСКИХ СИСТЕМ В E^2

ОТОМАР ГАЕК (Otomar Hájek), Прага

Изучаются топологические свойства локальных сечений абстрактных динамических систем в тихоновском пространстве (см. [4] в случае метрических пространств). Основная конструкция Уитней-Бebutова — в несколько упрощенном виде — используется для перенесения некоторых локальных свойств пространства на конструируемое сечение.

Если несущее топологическое многообразие размерности два, то всякое локально связанное континуум-сечение является простой дугой или простой замкнутой кривой (теорема 1). Из этих теорем следует существование трансверсальных кривых, проходящих через наперед заданную некритическую точку, в абстрактных системах на плоских многообразиях.

Наконец, показано (теорема 3), что сечение из т. 2 остается сечением при малых изменениях динамической системы (в равномерной топологии).