

Stanislav P. Ponomarev

On some descriptive characterizations of the n^{-1} -property

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 37 (1996), No. 2, 65--69

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/702036>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1996

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

On Some Descriptive Characterizations of the N^{-1} -Property

S. P. PONOMAREV

Bydgoszcz*)

Received 15. March 1996

Let E be a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R}^n . A mapping (i.e. function) $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ is said to have the N^{-1} -property [1] if

$$\forall A \subset \mathbb{R}^k \{ |A| = 0 \Rightarrow |f^{-1}(A)| = 0 \}, \quad (1)$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the outer Lebesgue measure. Being in a certain sense “inverse” with respect to the widely known Lusin’s N -property, the N^{-1} -property turns out to be interesting in some settings particularly when one studies properties of a composite mapping $g \circ f$ via properties of g and f which are fulfilled almost everywhere (a.e.). For instance if g and f are a.e. differentiable, each on its domain, and $g \circ f$ is defined, then $g \circ f$ is not in general a.e. differentiable, but it certainly is if f has the N^{-1} -property. Some systematic study of the N^{-1} -property for smooth and for a.e. differentiable functions can be found in [3] (where the N^{-1} -property was termed as the “0”-property), [4].

In what follows we deal with continuous (or continuous a.e. differentiable) functions $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ where M is a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $|M| > 0$.

It can be easily checked [3] that the N^{-1} -property is equivalent to each of the following properties:

$$(N_1^{-1}) \forall A \subset M, \quad A \text{ compact } \{ |A| > 0 \Rightarrow |f(A)| > 0 \};$$

$$(N_2^{-1}) \forall B \subset \mathbb{R}^k, \quad B \text{ compact } \{ |B| = 0 \Rightarrow |f(B)| = 0 \};$$

1. Our first result concerns continuous mappings

Let M be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $|M| > 0$. Let $X = C(M, \mathbb{R}^k)$ i.e. the space of all continuous mappings $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ with the norm $\|f\| = \sup_{x \in M} \|f(x)\|$. We

*) Instytut Matematyki WSP, ul. Chodkiewicza 30, 85-064 Bydgoszcz, Poland

denote by $N^{-1} = N^{-1}(X)$ the subset of X consisting of all mappings with the N^{-1} -property.

Theorem 1. N^{-1} is the 1st category $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -subset of X .

Proof. Clearly we may assume that $M \subset \mathcal{Q} := [0, 1]^n$. For natural numbers m, v we let

$$G_{m,v} = \{f \in X : \exists A \subset M, A \text{ compact}, |A| \geq |M|/2m, |f(A)| < v^{-2}\}. \quad (2)$$

It is almost immediate that $G_{m,v}$ is open.

For if $f \in G_{m,v}$ then there exists a compact set $A \subset M$ having properties indicated in (2). We can therefore take an open set $\Omega \supset f(A)$ such that $|\Omega| < v^{-2}$. Since $f(A)$ is compact, we have

$$\varepsilon := \text{dist}(f(A), \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \Omega) > 0,$$

whence it follows that the ball $\{f \in X : \|f - f_0\| < \varepsilon\}$ is contained in $G_{m,v}$. Next we will show that $G_{m,v}$ is dense in X . To this end we subdivide $[0, 1]$, for each natural $p > 1$, into p equal intervals. This gives us the partition of the cube $\mathcal{Q} = [0, 1]^n$ into p^n equal cubes Q_{pi} , $1 \leq i \leq p^n$.

Fix a number α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, sufficiently close to 1, such that we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p^n} |Q'_{pi} \cap M| < |M|/2m, \quad (3)$$

where Q'_{pi} is the cube, concentric with Q_{pi} , defined by the relation $\text{diam } Q'_{pi} = \alpha Q_{pi}$. Next for each $i \in \{1, \dots, p^n\}$ consider one more cube Q''_{pi} concentric with Q_{pi} , and defined by $\text{diam } Q''_{pi} = (2 - \alpha) Q_{pi}$. It follows from this construction that

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, p^n\} : Q'_{pi} \cap \bigcup_{j \neq i} \text{Int } Q''_{pj} = \emptyset \quad (4)$$

and that the family

$$\{\text{Int } Q''_{pi}, 1 \leq i \leq p^n\} \quad (5)$$

forms an open covering of \mathcal{Q} . Let $\{\theta_{pi}, 1 \leq i \leq p^n\}$ be a continuous partition of unity subordinated to the covering (5) ($\text{supp } \theta_{pi} \subset \text{Int } Q''_{pi}$). Then by (4) we have

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, p^n\} \forall x \in Q'_{pi} : \sum_{j=1}^{p^n} \theta_{pj}(x) = \theta_{pi}(x) = 1. \quad (6)$$

Let $I_p = \{i \in \{1, \dots, p^n\} : Q_{pi} \cap M \neq \emptyset\}$. For each $i \in I_p$ fix a point $x_{pi} \in Q_{pi} \cap M$. Now let f be any element of the space X . For each natural $p > 1$ consider the mapping $f_p : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ defined by

$$f_p(x) = \sum_{i \in I_p} f(x_{pi}) \theta_{pi}(x), \quad x \in M. \quad (7)$$

It is trivial that $f_p \in X$. We will show that $f_p \in G_{m,v}$. Let

$$A_p = \bigcup_{i \in I_p} Q'_{pi} \cap M. \quad (8)$$

By (3) we have $|A_p| \geq |M|/2m$, whereas (6) yields that d_p is constant on each set $Q'_{pi} \cap M$ whence $|f_p(A_p)| = 0$ and consequently, $f_p \in G_{m,v}$ for each $p > 1$ (and each v). Before showing that $f_p \rightarrow f$ we will make the following remark. Let $x \in Q$. Then $x \in Q_{pi}$ for some i . Let

$$\mathcal{I}(p, i) = \{j \in \{1, \dots, p^n\} : Q''_{pj} \cap Q_{pi} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

An easy consideration shows that there exist at most 3^n cubes Q''_{pj} intersecting Q_{pi} . These are exactly those cubes for which $Q_{pj} \cap Q_{pi} \neq \emptyset$. Hence $\text{card } \mathcal{I}(p, i) \leq 3^n$. Furthermore all such cubes Q''_{pj} are contained in the cube Q_{pi}^* concentric with Q_{pi} and whose diameter is five times bigger than that of the cube Q_{pi} (clearly $\text{diam } Q_{pi}^* = 5\sqrt{n/p}$). Now let $x \in M$. Then $x \in Q_{pi}$ for some i and, taking into consideration the above remark, we obtain that

$$\|f_p(x) - f(x)\| \leq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{I}(p, i)} \|f(x_{pj}) - f(x)\| \theta_{pj}(x) \leq 3^n \omega(f, 5\sqrt{n/p}),$$

where $\omega(f, \delta)$ is the modulus of continuity of f . Thus $f_p \rightarrow f$ in X as $p \rightarrow \infty$ which shows that $G_{m,v}$ is dense in X .

Next we wish to prove that

$$X \setminus N^{-1} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} G_m, \quad (9)$$

where

$$G_m := \bigcap_{v=1}^{\infty} G_{m,v}.$$

Let $f \in X \setminus N^{-1}$. There exists a (compact) set $A \subset M$, $|A| > 0$ such that $|f(A)| = 0$. It follows immediately that $f \in G_{m,v}$ for each $m \geq |M|/2|A|$ and each v , i.e. f is in the right hand part of (9). Conversely, let $f \in G_{m,v}$ for some m , and let $\{A_v\}$ be the corresponding sequence of (compact) subsets of M such that

$$|A_v| \geq |M|/2m, |f(A_v)| < v^{-2}. \quad (10)$$

Consider the set $B := \limsup A_v$. The first relation in (10) implies that $|B| \geq |M|/2m$, and from the second one we get that for each i

$$|f(B)| \leq \sum_{v \geq i} |f(A_v)| \leq \sum_{v \geq i} v^{-2},$$

whence $|f(B)| = 0$, which means that $f \in X \setminus N^{-1}$. Thus we have shown that (9) holds.

Now to finish the proof of the theorem it remains to observe that $G_{m,v}$ being open and dense in the complete space X , each G_m is a dense \mathcal{G}_δ -set. And we conclude finally from (9) that N^{-1} is the 1st category $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma\delta}$ -set.

2. N^{-1} -property for continuous almost everywhere differentiable mappings

In this section we restrict ourselves to considering mappings $Q = [0, 1]^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and we will make use of.

Theorem 2. [3] *Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous a.e. differentiable mapping, where Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Then the following equivalence holds*

$$f \text{ has the } N^{-1}\text{-property} \Leftrightarrow \det f' \neq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega. \quad (11)$$

Now let X be the space of all continuous a.e. differentiable mappings $f = (f^1, \dots, f^n): Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $D_j f^i \in L(Q)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where $D_j f^i = \partial f^i / \partial x_j$. The norm on X is defined by

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in Q} \|f(x)\| + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \int_Q |D_j f^i| \, dx \quad (12)$$

and again we denote by $N^{-1} = N^{-1}(X)$ the set of all elements of X having the N^{-1} -property.

Theorem 3. N^{-1} is a \mathcal{G}_δ -subset of X .

Proof. It is obvious that Theorem 2 works if an open set Ω is replaced by the closed cube Q . Applying (11) we may write at once

$$X \setminus N^{-1} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m, \quad (13)$$

where

$$F_m = \left\{ f \in X : \exists A \subset Q \text{ measurable, } |A| \geq \frac{1}{m}, \det f' \Big|_A = 0 \right\}. \quad (14)$$

This reduces the proof to showing that the sets F_m are closed. Let $f_k \rightarrow f$ in X , $f_k = (f_k^1, \dots, f_k^n) \in F_m$, $f = (f^1, \dots, f^n)$. By (12) it follows that $D_j f_k^i \rightarrow D_j f^i$ in $L^1(Q)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Hence there exists a subsequence $\{f_{k_\nu}\}$ such that $D_j f_{k_\nu}^i \rightarrow D_j f^i$ a.e. on Q , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Denote by $\{A_{k_\nu}\}$ the sequence of corresponding measurable sets determined by (14) i.e. such that for each ν

$$|A_{k_\nu}| \geq \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \det f'_{k_\nu} \Big|_{A_{k_\nu}} = 0, \quad (15)$$

and let $A := \limsup A_{k_\nu}$. Rejecting, if necessary, sets of measure zero, we may assume with no loss of generality that $D_j f_{k_\nu}^i \rightarrow D_j f^i$ (pointwise) on A and that f and f_{k_ν} , $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$, are differentiable on A . Let x be any point of A . There exists a subsequence $\{A_{k_{\nu_s}}\}$ (depending on x) such that $x \in A_{k_{\nu_s}}$ for all s . Then by (15) we get

$$\det f'(x) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \det f'_{k_{\nu_s}}(x) = 0.$$

Hence $\det f' = 0$ on A , and since $|A| \geq \frac{1}{m}$ we have that $f = \lim f_k \in F_m$. Thus F_m is closed which concludes the proof.

Remark. It was observed in [3] that Theorem 2 remains valid, with minor modification in proof, if differentiability a.e. is replaced by existence a.e. of finite derivatives $D_j f^i$ (in which case $f'(x)$ in (11) is understood as a linear operator whose matrix in standard basis of \mathbb{R}^n is $(D_j f^i(x))$). A brief examination of the proof then show that Theorem 3 is also valid under this weaker assumption.

References

- [1] VODOPYANOV S. K., GOLDSHTEIN V. M., *Quasiconformal mapping and spaces of functions with generalized derivatives*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 17 (1976), 515–531 (Russian).
- [2] FEDERER H., *Geometric measure theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1960.
- [3] PONOMAREV S. P., *Submersions and inverse images of sets of measure zero*, Sibirsk. Math. Zh. 28 (1987) 199–210 (Russian).
- [4] PONOMAREV S. P., *The N^{-1} -property of mapping and Luzin's condition (N)*, Soviet Math. Dokl. vol. 37 (1988) 656–658.