Jan Paseka T_2 -separation axioms on frames

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 28 (1987), No. 2, 95--98

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701929

Terms of use:

© Karolinum, Publishing House of Charles University, Prague, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

T_2 -Separation Axioms on Frames

JAN PASEKA,*)

1987

Brno, Czechoslovakia

Received 29 March, 1987

In the theory of frames (or "pointless topologies"), several authors have tried to find a suitable form of separation axioms. Our purpose is to describe a T_2 -axiom in the form usual in the case of regular frames. T_2 -frames coincide for topological spaces with Hausdorff spaces but they are described independently on points. We also investigate almost compact frames and H-closed extensions of frames (see [5], 6.1, h, for spaces).

All unexplained facts concerning frames can be found in Johnstone [2] or in [5]. Recall that a *frame* is a complete lattice L in which the infinite distributive law

$$a \land \forall S = \bigvee \{a \land s : s \in S\}$$

holds for all $a \in L$, $S \subseteq L$.

Frames can be viewed as generalized topological spaces. Frames which are isomorphic to the frame O(T) of all open sets of a suitable topological space T are called topologies or spatial frames. Frames form a category denoted by Fmr (frame morphism are maps $f: K \to L$ of frames K, L preserving arbitrary joins and finite meets).

We shall investigate subcategories of Frm denoted by Frm_i which are defined by some separation axiom. We shall discuss three basic questions for these subcategories:

1. Is the meet of Frm_i with the category of sober spaces equal to the subcategory Top_i of Top (on the objects) defined by the same separation axiom as Frm_i ?

2. Is the category Frm_i closed with respect to sums and homomorphic images?

3. Does there exist for any frame $L \in Frm_i$ a topological space T, $O(T) \in Top_i$ such that L is a homomorphic image of O(T)? Is Frm_i the monocoreflective hull of Top_i ?

Consider some examples:

i = 1: In [5], frames in which *primes* (i.e. Λ -*irreducible* and \pm 1 elements) are dual atoms (called T_1 -frames), are investigated. The category of all T_1 -frames is the smallest monocoreflective subcategory in *Frm* containing all T_1 -spaces. Every

^{*)} Katedra algebry a geometrie PF UJEP, Janáčkovo nám. 2a, 662 95 Brno, Czechoslovakia.

 T_1 -frame is a homomorphic image of a T_1 -space and every spatial T_1 -frame is a T_1 -space.

i = 3: The main separation axiom for frames is *regularity* which is defined in the following way:

A frame Lis regular if

$$a = \bigvee (b \in L: b \lhd a)$$

holds for all $a \in L$, where $b \triangleleft a$ means $b^* \lor a = 1$. The positive answer of questions 1 and 2 is well known (see [2]). The last question was answered negatively by I. Kříž.

i = 2: We will now investigate some candidates for Hausdorffness on frames.

a) We say that an element $a \in L$, $a \neq 1$ is semiprime if $x \land y = 0$ implies $x \leq a$ or $y \leq a$ for any $x, y \in L$. Clearly, any prime element is semiprime.

We say that L is an S-frame if semiprime elements of L are dual atoms (see [5]). Every S-frame is a T_1 -frame and every spatial S-frame is a Hausdorff space. The subcategory S of S-frames is closed under sums and homomorphic images.

b) The following definition of a *Hausdorff frame* was introduced by P. T. Johnstone and Sun Shu-Hao in [3].

We say that a frame L is Hausdorff if for any $a, b \in L$ such that $1 \neq a \leq b$ there exists an element $l \in L$ with $l^* \leq a$, $l \leq b$. The subcategory HauFrm of Hausdorff frames is closed under sums and homomorphic images, every Hausdorff frame which is spatial is a Hausdorff space. Any Hausdorff frame is an S-frame.

c) We shall say that L is a T_2 -frame (see [4]) if for any $a, b \in L$ such that $1 \neq a \leq b$ there exists an element $l \in L$ with $l \leq a$, $l^* \leq a$ and $l \leq b$. Equivalently, L is a T_2 -frame if

$$a = \bigvee \{ l \in L : l \leq a, l^* \leq a \}$$

for any $a \in L$. Now, we can consequently define T_2 -frames in the form usual for regular frames. Clearly, every T_2 -frame is Hausdorff. The subcategory Frm_2 is closed under sums and homomorphic images. Evidently, spatial T_2 -frames are exactly Hausdorff spaces.

d) We say that a frame L is a T'_2 -frame if for any $a \in L$ there exists an ideal $A \subseteq \subseteq \{l \in L : l \leq a, l^* \leq a\}$ such that $a = \bigvee A$. Obviously, every T'_2 -frame is a T_2 -frame and any spatial T'_2 -frame is a Hausdorff space. The subcategory Frm'_2 of T'_2 -frames is closed under homomorphic images but we do not know whether T'_2 -frames are closed under sums.

Now, we have the following:

$$Reg \subseteq Frm_2^l \subseteq Frm_2 \subseteq HauFrm \subseteq S \subseteq Frm_1 \subseteq Frm$$
.

We now know that every Hausdorff topological space which is not regular has no T_2 -compactification but we can consider a T_2 -extension of the Hausdorff space with some properties of compactification. It is natural to investigate this question for T_2 -frames. We say that a frame Lis almost compact if it holds:

 $\bigvee(x_i: i \in I) = 1$ implies there exists $K \subseteq I$ finite such that $(\bigvee(x_k: k \in K))^{**} = 1$. Clearly, every spatial almost compact frame is an almost compact space. We can prove that a sum of almost compact frames is almost compact. Compact frames are almost compact and any almost compact frame has at least one semiprime element.

Proposition 1. There exists a compact normal T'_2 -frame which is not regular (i.e. is not spatial).

Proof. Let I be the closed interval [0, 1] with the usual topology. We put $K(O(I)) = \{(x, y): x \leq y, x \in O(I), y \text{ is a regular open set in } I\}$. We can easily verify that K(O(I)) is a compact normal T'_2 -frame which is not conjunctive.

Proposition 2. An almost compact frame which is a homomorphic image of a Hausdorff topology is a topology.

Proof. The proof is easy.

Corollary 3. A compact normal T'_2 -frame exists which is not a homomorphic image of a Hausdorff topology.

Now, let us describe the construction of an *H*-closed extension of a frame *L*. A maximal filter *F* on *L* is called a β -filter if

$$\bigvee (a^*: a \in F) = 1$$

holds. If $\{F_j: j \in J\}$ is the set of all β -filters on L then the subframe $L_\beta \subseteq L \times 2^J$, generated by the elements $\{(l, \emptyset): l \in L\} \cup \{(a, \{j\}): a \in F_j\}$, is called an *H*-closed extension of L. Clearly, any H-closed extension is almost compact.

Now, we have the following.

Proposition 4. An H-closed extension of a Hausdorff frame $(T_2$ -frame) is a Hausdorff frame $(T_2$ -frame).

Proposition 5. Let L be a frame. Then $(a, I) \in L_{\beta}$ is semiprime (prime, dual atom resp.) iff a = 1, $I = J - \{j\}$ for some $j \in J$ or a is semiprime (prime, dual atom resp.), I = J.

Corollary 6. There exists an almost compact T_2 -frame which is not dually atomic.

Corollary 7. Homomorphic images of Hausdorff topologies form a subcategory in Frm which is not closed under H-extensions.

It would be interesting to know whether some of preceding classes is the monocoreflective hull of Hausdorff spaces. However, we have not been able to answer this question.

References

- [1] CZÁSZÁR A.: General topology, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest 1978.
- [2] JOHNSTONE P. T.: Stone spaces, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [3] JOHNSTONE P. T. and SHU-HAO SUN: Weak products and Hausdorff locales, preprint.
- [4] PASEKA J. and ŠMARDA B.: T₂-frames and almost compact frames, preprint.
 [5] ROSICKÝ J. and ŠMARDA B.: T₁-locales, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 98 (1985), 81-86.