

Georgi D. Dimov; Gino Tironi

Some remarks on almost radially in function spaces

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 28 (1987), No. 2, 49--58

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701923>

Terms of use:

© Karolinum, Publishing House of Charles University, Prague, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

Some Remarks on Almost Radiality in Function Spaces

GEORGI DIMOV,*)

Sofia, Bulgaria

GINO TIRONI,**)

Trieste, Italy

Received 23 March, 1987

Recently it was proved, by Gerlits, Nagy and Szentmiklóssy, that the space $C_p(X)$ of continuous real functions on X , with the topology of pointwise convergence, is radial if and only if it is Fréchet and that there exists a space X for which $C_p(X)$ is pseudoradial but not Fréchet. To find the precise border between the properties of being pseudoradial and Fréchet for $C_p(X)$, we introduce the classes of u -pseudoradial and u -almost radial spaces. If $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ is a λ -sequence, a function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \lambda$ is called an S -function if $f_\alpha(x) = f_{\varphi(x)}(x)$ for every $\alpha \geq \varphi(x)$ and every $x \in X$. (S, f) is said to be an $u\lambda$ -sequence if it is an ω -sequence or it is a λ -sequence ($\lambda > \omega$) and has a continuous S -function. A space $C_p(X)$ is called u -almost radial if for any non-closed A in it, there is an $u\lambda$ -sequence (S, f) such that S is a λ -sequence in A and $f \in \bar{A} - A$. Various properties of u -pseudoradial and of u -almost radial spaces are proved. In particular, that, if ξ is an ordinal number, then $C_p(\xi)$ is pseudoradial if and only if it is u -almost radial. This implies that there exist u -almost radial spaces $C_p(X)$ which are not Fréchet.

1. Introduction

All spaces mentioned in this paper are completely regular Hausdorff spaces.

In [G] J. Gerlits proved that for spaces $C_p(X)$ of continuous real valued functions on a topological space X endowed with the topology of pointwise convergence, the notion of Fréchet and of sequential space coincide. Recently, J. Gerlits showed in [GNS] that also the notion of radial space coincide with the notion of Fréchet space for $C_p(X)$ spaces, and Gerlits, Nagy and Szentmiklóssy showed in [GNS] that the notions of pseudoradial and Fréchet spaces are different for $C_p(X)$.

In [AIT] a new class of spaces, namely the class of almost radial spaces, was

*) Institute of Mathematics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 1090 Sofia, Box 373, Bulgaria.

***) Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Trieste, I-34100 Trieste, Piazzale Europa 1, Italy.

This work was developed as a part of the program of the National Group "Topology" of the Italian Ministry of Education, while the first author (G. D.) was visiting the Department of Mathematical Sciences in Trieste, under a C.N.R. grant.

introduced. It contains the class of radial and is contained in the class of pseudo-radial spaces. A natural question arises after Gerlits theorem from [GNS], whether the notions of almost radial and of Fréchet space coincide in $C_p(X)$. In this paper it is shown that these properties are different in $C_p(X)$. Moreover, a special subclass of the class of all almost radial spaces of the type $C_p(X)$ is introduced, namely the class of *u-almost radial spaces*, which contains the class of radial spaces of the type $C_p(X)$ (i.e. the class of spaces $C_p(X)$ which are Fréchet spaces) but is different from it. The class of *u-almost radial spaces* is defined using the notion of $u\lambda$ -sequence which is introduced here as a generalization of the notion of uniformly convergent sequence of real-valued functions for “long” sequences of real-valued functions (namely, for λ -sequences).

For spaces of the type $C_p(X)$ a new subclass of the pseudoradial spaces is introduced: the class of *u-pseudoradial spaces*. It is observed that if X is metacompact, then $C_p(X)$ is *u-pseudoradial* if and only if it is Fréchet. On the other hand, every *u-almost radial space* is *u-pseudoradial* and hence the radial spaces $C_p(X)$ are a proper subclass of the *u-pseudoradial spaces* $C_p(X)$.

A. V. Arhangel'skii posed the problem of characterizing internally the class of spaces X for which $C_p(X)$ is pseudoradial. Here a rather complicated characterization of this class is given, which can be regarded as a first step towards the solution of Arhangel'skii's question.

2. Preliminary results and definitions

2.1. Definition. For any cardinal number λ a λ -sequence $S = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ in a topological space X is a function from λ to X .

2.2. Definition. [AIT], [DIT] Let $S = (x_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ be a λ -sequence in X and $x \in X$. The pair (S, x) is called a $t\lambda$ -sequence if λ is an initial and regular ordinal number, x is a limit point of S , $x_\alpha = x_\beta$, for $\alpha \neq \beta$, $\alpha, \beta < \lambda$ and $x \notin \text{cl} \{x_\alpha \in S : \alpha < \beta\}$ for any $\beta < \lambda$.

2.3 Notations. Let X be a topological space and $A \subset X$. We shall use the following notations:

$\text{Lim } A = \{x \in X : \text{there exists a } \lambda\text{-sequence } S \text{ of points in } A, \text{ such that } x \text{ is a limit point of } S\};$
 $t\text{-Lim } A = \{x \in X : \text{there exists a } \lambda\text{-sequence } S \text{ of points in } A, \text{ such that } (S, x) \text{ is a } t\lambda\text{-sequence}\};$

If τ is a cardinal number, then

$\text{Lim}_\tau A = \{x \in X : \text{there is a } \lambda\text{-sequence } S \text{ of points of } A \text{ such that } \lambda \leq \tau \text{ and } x \text{ is a limit point of } S\}.$

2.4 Definition. [H] A topological space X is said to be pseudoradial if every subset A of X , for which $\text{Lim } A \subset A$ holds, is closed in X . A topological space X is radial if for every subset A of X $\text{Lim } A = \bar{A}$ holds.

2.5 Definition. [AIT] A topological space X is said to be almost radial if every subset A of X , for which $t\text{-Lim } A \subset A$ holds, is closed in X .

2.6 Theorem. (Gerlits [G, GNS]) The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) $C_p(X)$ is a Fréchet space;
- (b) $C_p(X)$ is a sequential space;
- (c) $C_p(X)$ is a radial space.

Let us recall that an internal characterization of spaces X for which $C_p(X)$ is Fréchet is given in [GN].

2.7 Theorem (Gerlits, Nagy, Szentmiklóssy [GNS]) Let ξ be an ordinal number, also considered as the topological space of all ordinal numbers less than ξ with the usual order topology. Then $C_p(\xi)$ is Fréchet if and only if $\text{cf}(\xi) \leq \omega$. $C_p(\xi)$ is pseudoradial and non-Fréchet if and only if ξ is regular and $\lambda^\omega < \xi$ for every $\lambda < \xi$ (i.e. ξ is ω_1 -inaccessible).

2.8 Theorem. [GNS] If X is metacompact and $C_p(X)$ is pseudoradial then X is Lindelöf.

We will denote by \mathbb{R} the real line with its natural topology, by \mathbb{Q} the rational numbers in \mathbb{R} and by \mathbb{Q}^+ the non-negative rationals. If ξ is an ordinal number, we will use the same notation for the topological space of all ordinal numbers less than ξ , with the usual order topology. We denote by c_0 the function $c_0: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $c_0(x) = 0$ for every $x \in X$.

Recall that a family Ω of subsets of a set X is called an ω -cover of X (see [GN]) if for every finite subset F of X there is an element U of Ω such that $F \subset U$. Finally, if $\Omega = \{U_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda\}$ is a family of subsets of a set X , then we denote $\text{Lim } \Omega = \bigcup \{ \bigcap \{ U_\beta: \alpha \leq \beta < \lambda \}: \alpha < \lambda \}$.

3. Results

3.1 Definition. Let λ be a regular uncountable cardinal number and $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ be a λ -sequence in $C_p(X)$. A function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \lambda$ is said to be an S -function if $f_\alpha(x) = f_{\varphi(x)}(x)$ for every $\alpha \geq \varphi(x)$ and for every $x \in X$.

3.2 Remark. It is well known that if λ is a regular uncountable cardinal and $(a_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ is a convergent λ -sequence in \mathbb{R} , then there exists $\alpha_0 < \lambda$ such that $a_\alpha = a_{\alpha_0}$ for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ($\alpha < \lambda$). Hence, for every convergent λ -sequence $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ in $C_p(X)$ there is an S -function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \lambda$, namely $\varphi(x) = \min \{ \alpha < \lambda: f_\beta(x) = f_\alpha(x) \text{ for every } \beta \geq \alpha \}$.

3.3 Definition. Let λ be a regular uncountable cardinal. A λ -sequence ($t\lambda$ -sequence) $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ in $C_p(X)$ will be said to be a $u\lambda$ -sequence ($ut\lambda$ -sequence) if there is a continuous S -function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \lambda$. It will be convenient to call every usual (countable) sequence $S = (f_n)_{n \in \omega}$ a $u\omega$ -sequence (a $ut\omega$ -sequence).

3.4 Remark. Let λ be a regular uncountable cardinal and $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ be a convergent λ -sequence in $C_p(X)$. Let f be the limit function. It is natural to say that S is *uniformly convergent* to f if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $\alpha_0 < \lambda$ such that $|f(x) - f_\alpha(x)| < \varepsilon$ for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ and every $x \in X$. But this means that there exists $\bar{\alpha} < \lambda$, such that $f_\alpha = f$ for every $\alpha \geq \bar{\alpha}$.

Hence, putting $\varphi(x) = \bar{\alpha}$ for every $x \in X$, we obtain a continuous S -function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \lambda$. So, every uniformly convergent λ -sequence is an $u\lambda$ -sequence. It is easy to see that the convergence is not true.

The following proposition (whose obvious proof is omitted) is probably known.

3.5 Proposition. Let λ be a regular uncountable cardinal and $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ be a λ -sequence in $C_p(X)$. Then S is convergent in $C_p(X)$ (i.e. there is a continuous function f such that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} f_\alpha(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in X$) if and only if there is an S -function

$\varphi: X \rightarrow \lambda$ such that for every $n \in \omega$ there exists an open neighborhood $U_{n,x}$ of x such that $|f_{\varphi(x)}(x) - f_{\varphi(y)}(y)| < 1/n$ for every $y \in U_{n,x}$.

It is very easy to prove (directly or using Proposition 3.5) the following proposition, which again (see Remark 3.4) exhibits the analogy between $u\lambda$ -sequences and uniformly convergent sequences.

3.6 Proposition. Let λ be a regular uncountable cardinal and $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ be an $u\lambda$ -sequence in $C_p(X)$. Then S is convergent in $C_p(X)$.

3.7 Example. There exists a space X and a convergent λ -sequence $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ in $C_p(X)$, such that λ is a regular uncountable cardinal and there is no $u\lambda'$ -sequence in the set $\{f_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda\}$ ($\lambda' \leq \lambda$) convergent to the limit function of S .

Proof. Let λ be a regular uncountable cardinal and X be the Alexandroff's long line of size λ (see [E]). Let $f_\alpha: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for $\alpha < \lambda$, be defined by $f_\alpha(x) = 0$ if $x \leq \alpha$, $f_\alpha(x) = 1$ if $x \geq \alpha + 1$, and f_α be linearly increasing from 0 to 1 for $\alpha \leq x \leq \alpha + 1$. Then $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ is a convergent to c_0 λ -sequence. Since X is connected, every $u\lambda'$ -sequence $S' = (g_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda'}$ (where λ' is a regular uncountable cardinal) is almost trivial (i.e. there exists $\alpha_0 < \lambda'$, such that $g_\alpha = g_{\alpha_0}$ for every $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$ ($\alpha < \lambda'$)). Hence c_0 cannot be limit function of any $u\lambda'$ -sequence in the set $\{f_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda\}$. ■

3.8 Definition. Let X be a space. The space $Y = C_p(X)$ is called *u-pseudoradial* (respectively, *u-almost radial*) if for every non-closed subset A of Y there exist a $u\lambda$ -sequence (respectively, a $ut\lambda$ -sequence) $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ in A , which converges in Y to some $f \in \bar{A} \setminus A$.

3.9 Remark. Obviously, every u -pseudoradial (respectively, u -almost radial) space is pseudoradial (respectively, almost radial), and every u -almost radial space is u -pseudoradial. Also every Fréchet space is u -almost radial and hence, by Gerlits' theorem (see Theorem 2.6 here) every radial space is u -almost radial. (Of course, here by space we mean a space of type $C_p(X)$).

3.10 Proposition. Let X be a Lindelöf space. Then $C_p(X)$ is u -pseudoradial if and only if it is Fréchet.

Proof. Let λ be a regular uncountable cardinal and let $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ be a $u\lambda$ -sequence in $C_p(X)$. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow \lambda$ be a continuous S -function which exists by the definition of $u\lambda$ -sequence. Then for every $x \in X$ there is an open neighborhood U_x of x such that $\varphi(y) \leq \varphi(x)$ for any $y \in U_x$. Since X is Lindelöf, there exists a subcover $\{U_{x_n}: n \in \omega\}$ of the open cover $\{U_x: x \in X\}$ of X . Let $\alpha_0 = \sup \{\varphi(x_n): n \in \omega\}$. Then $\alpha_0 < \lambda$ and $\varphi(x) \leq \alpha_0$ for every $x \in X$. This means $f_\alpha = f_\beta$ for $\alpha, \beta \geq \alpha_0$, i.e. the λ -sequence S is almost trivial.

Hence, if $C_p(X)$ is u -pseudoradial, then $C_p(X)$ is Fréchet. The converse is clear.

3.11 Corollary. Let X be metacompact. Then $C_p(X)$ is u -pseudoradial if and only if it is Fréchet.

Proof. Let $C_p(X)$ be u -pseudoradial. Then it is pseudoradial and, since X is metacompact, from Gerlits' theorem (see Theorem 2.8 here) it follows that X is Lindelöf. Now apply Proposition 3.10. ■

3.12 Proposition. Let ξ be a regular cardinal. Then $C_p(\xi)$ is pseudoradial if and only if it is almost radial.

Proof. Let $C_p(\xi)$ be pseudoradial. If $cf(\xi) \leq \omega$, then, by Theorem 2.7, it is Fréchet and hence almost radial. So we can suppose that $cf(\xi) > \omega$. Let λ be a regular uncountable cardinal, $\lambda \leq \xi$ and $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ be a convergent to c_0 λ -sequence in $C_p(\xi)$.

Since $cf(\xi) > \omega$, for every $\alpha < \lambda$ there exists $c_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x_\alpha \in \xi$ such that $f_\alpha \upharpoonright [x_\alpha, \xi) \equiv c_\alpha$.

Let us suppose first that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} c_\alpha = 0$.

Since $cf(\xi) > \omega$, we have that $\beta\xi = \xi + 1$. Hence every $f_\alpha \in S$ has an extension $\beta f_\alpha: \xi + 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Obviously, $\beta f_\alpha(\xi) = c_\alpha$.

Since $\lim c_\alpha = 0$, we obtain that the λ -sequence $S^\beta = (\beta f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$ is convergent to c_0 in $C_p(\xi + 1)$. But, since $cf(\xi + 1) = 1 \leq \omega$, we obtain, from Theorem 2.7, that $C_p(\xi + 1)$ is a Fréchet space. Hence there is a sequence $(\beta f_{\alpha_n})_{n \in \omega}$ converges to c_0 in $C_p(\xi + 1)$. But then $(f_{\alpha_n})_{n \in \omega}$ converges to c_0 in $C_p(\xi)$. So the function c_0 can be obtained as a limit function of an usual sequence in the set $\{f_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda\}$.

Let now be false that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \lambda} c_\alpha = 0$.

Then we can suppose, eventually taking some λ -subsequence of S , that $c_\alpha \neq 0$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$. Indeed, if there is a cofinal λ -subsequence $(c_{\alpha_\beta})_{\beta < \lambda}$ of the λ -sequence $(c_\alpha)_{\alpha < \lambda}$, such that $c_{\alpha_\beta} = 0$ for every $\beta < \lambda$, then the λ -sequence $S' = (f_{\alpha_\beta})_{\beta < \lambda}$ is convergent to c_0 λ -sequence in $C_p(\xi)$ and $\lim c_{\alpha_\beta} = 0$. Hence we can argue as in the previous case. So, we can suppose that there exists α_0 such that $c_\alpha \neq 0$ for any $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$. Now, we can obviously take a λ -sequence converging to c_0 in $C_p(\xi)$ for which $c_\alpha \neq 0$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$.

Let, for every $k \in \omega$, $A_k = \{\alpha < \lambda: |c_\alpha| \geq 1/k\}$.

Then $\bigcup \{A_k: k \in \omega\} = \lambda$ and, since λ is a regular uncountable cardinal, it follows that there exists $k_0 \in \omega$ such that $|A_{k_0}| = \lambda$.

So, passing eventually to some cofinal subsequence of S , we can suppose that $|c_\alpha| \geq 1/k_0$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$.

Let $U(k_0, f_\alpha) = \{x \in \xi: |f_\alpha(x)| < 1/k_0\}$, for $\alpha < \lambda$. Since $|c_\alpha| \geq 1/k_0$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$, we obtain that $U(k_0, f_\alpha) \subset [0, x_\alpha)$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$.

Hence $|U(k_0, f_\alpha)| < \xi$, for every $\alpha < \lambda$.

Let now $\lambda' < \lambda$. Then, since $\lambda \leq \xi$ and ξ is a regular cardinal, we have

$$|\bigcup \{(k_0, f_\alpha): \alpha < \lambda'\}| < \xi.$$

Hence $\{U(k_0, f_\alpha): \alpha < \lambda'\}$ is not a cover of ξ and, consequently, not an ω -cover of ξ . This implies that $c_0 \notin \text{cl} \{f_\alpha: \alpha < \lambda'\}$ for every $\lambda' < \lambda$, since the following fact is easily verified:

Claim. Let $A = \{f_\alpha: \alpha < \tau\} \subset C_p(X)$ and $U(k, \alpha) = \{x \in X: |f_\alpha(x)| < 1/k\}$, for $\alpha < \tau$, $k \in \omega$. Then $c_0 \in \bar{A}$ if and only if $\Omega_k = \{U(k, \alpha): \alpha < \tau\}$ is an ω -cover of X for every $k \in \omega$.

So, every convergent to c_0 λ -sequence S in $C_p(\xi)$ contains a cofinal convergent to c_0 $t\lambda$ -subsequence \bar{S} .

Hence, we have proved that for every $A \subset C_p(\xi)$, $\text{Lim } A = t\text{-Lim } A$ holds. Consequently, $C_p(\xi)$ is almost radial. ■

3.13 Corollary. Let ξ be an ordinal number. Then $C_p(\xi)$ is almost radial if and only if $C_p(\xi)$ is pseudoradial.

Proof. If $\text{cf}(\xi) \leq \omega$ then $C_p(\xi)$ is Fréchet (Theorem 2.7) and all is clear. If $\text{cf}(\xi) > \omega$ then, again by Theorem 2.7, $C_p(\xi)$ pseudoradial implies that ξ is a regular cardinal number. Now we can apply Proposition 3.12. ■

3.14 Lemma. Let ξ be a regular cardinal and $A \subset C_p(\xi)$. Then

$$\text{Lim } A = \text{Lim}_{\aleph_0} A \cup \text{Lim}_\xi A.$$

Proof. The proof follows from arguments similar to those given in Proposition 3.12.

3.15 Proposition. Let ξ be an ordinal number. Then $C_p(\xi)$ is pseudoradial if and only if it is u -pseudoradial.

Proof. Let $C_p(\xi)$ be pseudoradial. If it is Fréchet, then obviously it is u -pseudoradial. So, let us suppose that $C_p(\xi)$ is not Fréchet. Then ξ is an uncountable regular cardinal (even ω_1 -inaccessible), by Theorem 2.7.

Let us introduce the following operation \sim on S -functions.

If $\varphi: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ is an S -function, we define $\tilde{\varphi}: \xi \rightarrow \xi$ by

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi(x) & \text{if } x < \varphi(x) \\ x + 1 & \text{if } x \geq \varphi(x) \text{ and } x \text{ is a non-limit ordinal} \\ x & \text{if } x \geq \varphi(x) \text{ and } x \text{ is a limit ordinal.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $\tilde{\varphi}$ is again an S -function, but $\tilde{\varphi}(x) \geq x$ holds for every $x \in \xi$.

Let $S = (f_\alpha)_{\alpha < \xi} \rightarrow c_0$. We will prove that there is a cofinal ξ -subsequence S' of S , which has an S -function $\varphi': \xi \rightarrow \xi$ of the following type:

$$\varphi'(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \text{ is a limit ordinal} \\ x + 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is a non-limit ordinal.} \end{cases}$$

For convenience, we will use the following notations, which distinguish between different occurrences of ξ . Let $\xi = [0, \xi]$; $\xi^{(\alpha)} \equiv \xi$ for any $\alpha \in \xi$, $\xi^{(-1)} \equiv \xi$; $\pi_\alpha: \xi^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \xi$ is the “identity” function for $\alpha \in \xi$ and $\alpha = -1$. Put $\kappa_{-1} = \pi_{-1}$. If α is a limit ordinal, define $\xi^{(\alpha)} \equiv \xi$ and $\tilde{\pi}_\alpha: \xi^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \xi$, the “identity” function.

Consider the sequence S above, where each $f_\alpha: \xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. Let $\varphi_{-1}: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{(-1)}$ be the following function:

$$\varphi_{-1}(x) = \pi_{-1}^{-1}(\min \{ \alpha < \xi : f_\beta(x) = 0, \forall \beta \geq \alpha \}).$$

Obviously this is a well-defined function and it is an S -function.

Consider $\tilde{\varphi}_{-1}: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{(-1)}$.

We shall define, by transfinite induction, for any $\alpha \in \xi$, points $y_\alpha \in \xi$ and, for α non-limit $z_\alpha \in \xi$; functions $\kappa_\alpha: \xi^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \xi$ and $\varphi_\alpha: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{(\alpha)}$ (for $-1 \leq \alpha < \xi$); functions μ_α (for $\alpha \in \xi$), which, when α is non-limit, go from $\xi^{(\alpha)}$ to $\xi^{(\alpha-1)}$, while, when α is a limit ordinal, go from $\xi^{(\alpha)}$ to $\xi^{(\alpha)}$, finally, for $\alpha \in \xi$, ξ -sequences $S^{(\alpha)} = (f_\delta^{(\alpha)})_{\delta < \xi(\alpha)}$ of continuous functions $f_\delta^{(\alpha)}: \xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that they are all defined for every $\alpha \leq \beta$, where $-1 \leq \beta < \xi$.

Let $\alpha = \beta + 1$. Define

$$y_{\beta+1} = y_\alpha = \min \{ x \in \xi : \tilde{\varphi}_\beta(x) \neq \pi_\beta^{-1}(x) \text{ for } x \text{ limit, or } \tilde{\varphi}_\beta(x) \neq \pi_\beta^{-1}(x) + 1, \text{ for } x \text{ non-limit} \}.$$

Define $\mu_\alpha: \xi^{(\beta+1)} \rightarrow \xi^{(\beta)}$ by

$$\mu_{\beta+1}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \pi_{\beta}^{-1} \pi_{\beta+1}(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma < \pi_{\beta+1}^{-1}(y_{\beta+1}) \\ \pi_{\beta}^{-1}(y_{\beta+1}) & \text{if } \gamma = \pi_{\beta+1}^{-1}(y_{\beta+1}) \text{ and } y_{\beta+1} \text{ is non-limit} \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(y_{\beta+1}) + \pi_{\beta}^{-1}(\lambda) & \text{if } \gamma = \pi_{\beta+1}^{-1}(y_{\beta+1}) + 1 + \pi_{\beta+1}^{-1}(\lambda), y_{\beta+1} \\ & \text{is non-limit, } \lambda \in \xi \\ \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(y_{\beta+1}) + \pi_{\beta}^{-1}(\lambda) & \text{if } \gamma = \pi_{\beta+1}^{-1}(y_{\beta+1}) + \pi_{\beta+1}^{-1}(\lambda), y_{\beta+1} \\ & \text{is limit, } \lambda \in \xi. \end{cases}$$

Let $\kappa_{\beta+1} = \kappa_{\beta} \mu_{\beta+1}$. So $\kappa_{\beta+1}: \xi^{(\beta+1)} \rightarrow \xi$.

Define $S^{(\beta+1)} = (f_{\delta}^{(\beta+1)})_{\delta < \xi}(\beta+1)$ by $f_{\delta}^{(\beta+1)} = f_{\kappa_{\beta+1}(\delta)}$.

Define $\varphi_{\beta+1}: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{(\beta+1)}$ by

$$\varphi_{\beta+1}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\beta+1}^{-1} \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(x) & \text{if } (x < y_{\beta+1}) \text{ or } (x \geq y_{\beta+1} \text{ and } \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(x) > \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(y_{\beta+1})) \\ \pi_{\beta+1}^{-1}(y_{\beta+1}) & \text{if } x \geq y_{\beta+1}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(x) \leq \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(y_{\beta+1}) \text{ and } y_{\beta+1} \text{ limit} \\ \pi_{\beta+1}^{-1}(y_{\beta+1}) + 1 & \text{if } x \geq y_{\beta+1}, \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(x) \leq \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(y_{\beta+1}) \text{ and } y_{\beta+1} \\ & \text{non-limit.} \end{cases}$$

Define $z_{\beta+1} = \kappa_{\beta} \tilde{\varphi}_{\beta}(y_{\beta})$.

Let α be a limit ordinal. Define $\varphi'_{\alpha}: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{(\alpha)}$ by

$$\varphi'_{\alpha}(x) = \min \{ \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1} \pi_{\beta} \varphi_{\beta}(x) : -1 \leq \beta < \alpha \}.$$

Take $\tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{(\alpha)}$.

Define $y_{\alpha} = \min \{ x \in \xi : \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(x) \neq \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(x) \text{ for } x \text{ limit, or } \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(x) \neq \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(x) + 1 \text{ for } x \text{ non-limit} \}$.

Define $y'_{\alpha} = \sup \{ y_{\beta} : \beta < \alpha \}$ and $\bar{y}_{\alpha} = \sup \{ \kappa_{\beta} \pi_{\beta}^{-1}(y_{\beta}) : \beta < \alpha \}$.

Define $\kappa'_{\alpha}: \xi^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \xi$ by

$$\kappa'_{\alpha}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}(\gamma) & \text{if } \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(0) \leq \gamma < \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_0) \\ \kappa_{\beta}(\pi_{\beta}^{-1} \pi_{\alpha}(\gamma)) & \text{if } \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}(y_{\beta}) \leq \gamma < \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}(y_{\beta+1}), \text{ for } \beta < \alpha, \beta \text{ non-limit} \\ \kappa_{\beta}(\pi_{\beta}^{-1} \pi_{\alpha}(\gamma)) & \text{if } \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(y'_{\beta}) \leq \gamma < \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_{\beta+1}), \text{ for } \beta < \alpha, \beta \text{ limit} \\ \bar{y}_{\alpha} + \lambda & \text{if } \gamma = \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(y'_{\alpha}) + \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(\lambda), \lambda \in \xi. \end{cases}$$

Define now $\mu_{\alpha}: \xi^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \xi^{(\alpha)}$ by

$$\mu_{\alpha}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1} \pi_{\alpha}(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma < \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_{\alpha}) \\ \tilde{\pi}_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_{\alpha}) & \text{if } \gamma = \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_{\alpha}) \text{ and } y_{\alpha} \text{ is non-limit} \\ \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha}) + \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\lambda) & \text{if } \gamma = \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_{\alpha}) + 1 + \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\lambda), \lambda \in \xi, y_{\alpha} \text{ non-limit} \\ \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha}) + \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\lambda) & \text{if } \gamma = \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_{\alpha}) + \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(\lambda), \lambda \in \xi, y_{\alpha} \text{ limit.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\kappa_{\alpha}: \xi^{(\alpha)} \rightarrow \xi$ be given by $\kappa_{\alpha} = \kappa'_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}$ and $S^{(\alpha)} = (f_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)})_{\gamma \in \xi^{(\alpha)}}$ where $f_{\gamma}^{(\alpha)} = f_{\kappa_{\alpha}(\gamma)}$.

Define $\varphi_{\alpha}: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{(\alpha)}$ by

$$\varphi_{\alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu_{\alpha}^{-1} \varphi'_{\alpha}(x) & \text{if } (x < y_{\alpha}) \text{ or } (x \geq y_{\alpha} \text{ and } \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(x) > \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha})) \\ \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_{\alpha}) + 1 & \text{if } x \geq y_{\alpha}, \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(x) \leq \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha}) \text{ and } y_{\alpha} \text{ non-limit} \\ \pi_{\alpha}^{-1}(y_{\alpha}) & \text{if } x \geq y_{\alpha}, \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(x) \leq \tilde{\varphi}'_{\alpha}(y_{\alpha}) \text{ and } y_{\alpha} \text{ is limit.} \end{cases}$$

Take $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha: \xi \rightarrow \xi^{(\alpha)}$.

Let us now remark that, if at some step $\alpha > 0$ the point y_α is 0 (as the minimum of an empty set), then we stop the induction because all what we need is already done. So, the above written formulas hold on the assumption that $y_\alpha \neq 0$ for every $\alpha > 0$. Then induction can be done for every $\alpha < \xi$, since ξ is a regular cardinal number.

From the construction, it easily follows that the functions $\mu_\alpha, \kappa_\alpha, \kappa'_\alpha$ are one-to-one. Moreover, the following hold:

$$y_0 < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < y'_\omega \leq y_\omega < y_{\omega+1} < \dots; z_0 < z_1 < \dots < z_n < \dots \\ \dots < z_{\omega+1} < \dots; S \supseteq S^{(0)} \supseteq S^{(1)} \supseteq \dots; (f_{Z_\beta})_{\beta \leq \alpha} \subset S^{(\alpha)};$$

$\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha$ is an S -function for $S^{(\alpha)}$ and

$$(\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha | [0, y_\alpha])(x) = \begin{cases} \pi_\alpha^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \text{ is limit} \\ \pi_\alpha^{-1}(x) + 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is non-limit} \end{cases}$$

Define now $\kappa': \xi \rightarrow \xi$ by

$$\kappa'(\gamma) = \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } 0 \leq \gamma < y_0 \\ \kappa_\beta \pi_\beta^{-1}(\gamma) & \text{if } y_\beta \leq \gamma < y_{\beta+1} \text{ and } \beta \text{ is non-limit} \\ \kappa_\beta \pi_\beta^{-1}(\gamma) & \text{if } y'_\beta \leq \gamma < y_{\beta+1} \text{ and } \beta \text{ is limit.} \end{cases}$$

Finally, define $S' = (f'_\gamma)_{\gamma < \xi}$ by $f'_\gamma = f_{\kappa'(\gamma)}$, and $\varphi': \xi \rightarrow \xi$ by

$$\varphi'(x) = \min \{ \pi_\beta \tilde{\varphi}_\beta(x) : -1 \leq \beta < \xi \}.$$

Then $S' \supset (f_{Z_\alpha})_{\alpha < \xi}$, α non-limit,

$$\varphi'(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \text{ is limit} \\ x + 1 & \text{if } x \text{ is non-limit,} \end{cases}$$

and φ' is an S -function for S' . But φ' is obviously a continuous function. Hence S' is a $u\xi$ -subsequence of S which converges to c_0 in $C_p(\xi)$.

Now, using Lemma 3.14, we obtain that $C_p(\xi)$ is u -pseudoradial.

The converse implication is obvious. ■

3.16 Theorem. Let ξ be an ordinal number. Then $C_p(\xi)$ is pseudoradial if and only if it is u -almost radial.

Proof. Let $C_p(\xi)$ be pseudoradial. Then, by Corollary 3.13, it is almost radial. In the proof of Proposition 3.15, it was shown that every convergent ξ -sequence in $C_p(\xi)$ has an $u\xi$ -subsequence. Hence, if we start with a $t\xi$ -sequence S , then we obtain, arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.15, an $ut\xi$ -subsequence of S . This implies that $C_p(\xi)$ is u -almost radial (using once more Lemma 3.14). ■

3.17 Remark. $C_p(X)$ is pseudoradial (respectively, almost radial) if and only if the space X has the following property: for every family of open systems $\{\omega, =$

$= \{U_\alpha^r: \alpha < \lambda\}: r \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ (where λ is a cardinal number) such that, for every $\alpha < \lambda$, $\bigcup\{U_\alpha^r: r \in \mathbb{Q}\} = X$, $\bar{U}_\alpha^r \subset U_\alpha^s$ for $r < s$, $\bigcup\{U_\alpha^r: r < t\} = U_\alpha^t$, $(\bigcap\{U_\alpha^r: r \in \mathbb{Q}^+\}) \setminus U_\alpha^0 \neq X$ and $\omega_r = \{U_\alpha^r \setminus \bar{U}_\alpha^{-r}: \alpha < \lambda\}$ is an ω -cover for every $r \in \mathbb{Q}^+$, there exist a $\lambda' \leq \lambda$, a function $S: \lambda' \rightarrow \lambda$ and an open cover $\omega = \{U^r: r \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ such that $\bigcup\{U^r: r < t\} = U^t$, $\bar{U}^r \subset U^s$ for $r < s$, $\omega \neq \{U_\alpha^r: r \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ for every $\alpha < \lambda$, and, for every $k \in \omega$, $\text{Lim}\{V_\beta^k: \beta < \lambda'\} = X$ (respectively, and for every $\beta_0 < \lambda'$, there exists $k \in \omega$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_{\beta_0}^k = \{V_\beta^k: \beta < \beta_0\}$ is not an ω -cover of X), where for every $\beta < \lambda$ and every $k \in \omega$, $V_\beta^k = \bigcup\{(U_{S(\beta)}^r \cap U^p) \setminus (\bar{U}_{S(\beta)}^s \cup \bar{U}^q): r, s, p, q \in \mathbb{Q}, r > s, p > q, r - q < 1/k, p - s < 1/k\}$.

The proof is direct and technical, although almost straightforward and for this reason we omit it.

References

- [AIT] ARHANGEL'SKII A. V., ISLER R., TIRONI G.: Pseudo-radial spaces and another generalization of sequential spaces. *Convergence Structures 1984* (Bechyně), Math. Research, Band 24, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1985 33–37.
- [DIT] DIMOV G., ISLER R., TIRONI G.: On functions preserving almost radially and their relations to radial and pseudo-radial spaces. Preprint.
- [E] ENGELKING R.: *General Topology*. PWN, Warszawa, 1977.
- [G] GERLITS J.: Some properties of $C(X)$, II. *Topology and its Applications* 15 (1983) 255 to 262.
- [GN] GERLITS J., NAGY Zs.: Some properties of $C(X)$, I. *Topology and its Applications* 14 (1982) 151–161.
- [GNS] GERLITS J., NAGY Zs., SZENTMIKLÓSSY Z.: Some convergence properties in function spaces. Preprint.
- [H] HERRLICH H.: Quotienten geordneter Räume und Folgenkonvergenz. *Fund. Math.* 61 (1967) 79–81.