

Tomasz Urbański

Integration of a density and the fiber integral for regular Lie algebroids in a nonorientable case

In: Jan Slovák and Martin Čadek (eds.): Proceedings of the 18th Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1999. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 59. pp. 189--199.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701637>

## Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

## INTEGRATION OF A DENSITY AND THE FIBER INTEGRAL FOR REGULAR LIE ALGEBROIDS IN A NONORIENTABLE CASE

URBAŃSKI TOMASZ

### Abstract

This paper splits into two parts. The first drives to integral of a density. The second part refers to Lie algebroids. I define an integration operator of  $A$ -differential forms with values in an orientation bundle over the bundle of isotropy Lie algebras in vertically oriented Lie algebroid  $A$ . I establish five basic properties of this operator, its commutation with an exterior and Lie derivations. Some of them are proved here.

## 1 Introduction

Basic facts and concepts with respect to Lie algebroids can be found in [2], [3], [1], [4]. Required results referring to vertically oriented Lie algebroids and the fiber integral of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued forms are included in [3].

R. Bott, in the work [5], has defined an integration operation of differential forms on manifolds with values in an orientation bundle. This operation was a tool to cohomological researches of nonorientable manifolds. The aim of the presented work is to introduce an analogous fiber integral of  $\text{or}_M$ -valued forms on the ground of regular Lie algebroids with usage of ideas which comes from works [3] and [5].

In perspective, this work drives to an examination of a cohomology algebra of a regular Lie algebroid over a nonoriented base manifold.

In this paper we associate  $n$ -dimensional manifolds  $M$  and  $N$  with differential structures  $\mathfrak{A} = \{(U_\alpha, x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$  and  $\mathfrak{B} = \{(V_\beta, y_\beta)\}_{\beta \in J}$  respectively.

## 2 Differential Forms with Values in an Orientation Bundle

### 2.1 Pullback of Forms with Values in an Orientation Bundle

Consider an orientation bundle  $\text{or}_N$  of the differential manifold  $N$  [5]. Let  $\Omega(N; \text{or}_N)$  be the vector space of differential forms on  $N$  with values in the orientation bundle  $\text{or}_N$ . So,  $k$ -form  $\Phi$  is a global section of the vector bundle

$$\bigwedge^k T^*N \otimes \text{or}_N.$$

Pointwise we have  $\Phi_q : \bigwedge^k T_q N \rightarrow \text{or}_N|_q$ . In many sources an element of the  $\Omega(N; \text{or}_N)$  is also called a *density*.

To define a pullback operation assume that  $U$  is an open subset of  $M$ ,  $V$  is an open subset of  $N$  and  $T : U \rightarrow V$  is a diffeomorphism. Then, it is easy to show, that there exist an induced isomorphism of vector bundles  $\tilde{T} : \text{or}_M|_U \rightarrow \text{or}_N|_V$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi^{-1}[U] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{T}} & \pi^{-1}[V] \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ U & \xrightarrow{T} & V \end{array}$$

commutes. Knowing that, for arbitrary  $\Phi \in \Omega^k(N; \text{or}_N)$  we define a form  $T^*\Phi \in \Omega^k(U; \text{or}_M|_U)$  by a formula

$$(T^*\Phi)_p(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) = \tilde{T}_p^{-1}(\Phi_{T(p)}(T_*v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge T_*v_k)), \quad p \in U.$$

If we suppose that  $\omega \in \Omega^k(N)$ ,  $e \in \text{Sec or}_N$ , it is natural to define a form  $\omega \otimes e \in \Omega^k(N; \text{or}_N)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega \otimes e)_q &= \omega_q \otimes e_q : \bigwedge^k T_q N \longrightarrow \text{or}_N|_q \\ v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k &\longmapsto \omega_q(v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k) \cdot e_q. \end{aligned}$$

Then for any  $p \in U$  holds an equality

$$(T^*(\omega \otimes e))_p = (T^*\omega)_p \otimes \tilde{T}_p^{-1}(e_{T(p)}). \tag{1}$$

For each  $\alpha \in I$  denote by  $e_\alpha$  the map given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_\alpha : U_\alpha &\longrightarrow \text{or}_M \\ p &\longmapsto [(\alpha, p, 1)]. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

It states the vector basis of a module  $\text{Sec or}_M|_{U_\alpha}$ . Assume in addition  $x_\alpha = (x_1^\alpha, \dots, x_n^\alpha)$  is a local coordinate map of the manifold  $M$  corresponding to  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  is a form given by  $dx_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n^\alpha$ . Then we define a form  $|dx_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n^\alpha|$  with values in an orientation bundle  $\text{or}_M$ , by

$$|dx_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n^\alpha| = (dx_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n^\alpha) \otimes e_\alpha. \tag{3}$$

Now we can establish

**Proposition 1** *Suppose  $(U_\alpha, x_\alpha)$ ,  $(V_\beta, y_\beta)$  are two charts on  $M$  and  $N$  respectively, and let  $T : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\beta$  be a diffeomorphism (not necessary orientation-preserving). Then we have a relation*

$$T^* \left| dy_1^\beta \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n^\beta \right| = |J(T_{\beta\alpha})| \cdot |dx_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n^\alpha|.$$

Indeed, for each  $p \in U_\alpha$ , from (1) and the obvious equality  $\text{sgn } J(T_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(T(p))) = \text{sgn } J(T_{\beta\alpha}(p))$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(T^* \left| dy_1^\beta \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n^\beta \right| \right)_p \\ &= \left(T^* \left( dy_1^\beta \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n^\beta \right) \right)_p \otimes \tilde{T}_p^{-1}(e_\beta(T(p))) \\ &= \left(T^* \left( dy_1^\beta \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n^\beta \right) \right)_p \otimes (\text{sgn } J(T_{\beta\alpha}(p)) \cdot e_\alpha(p)) \\ &= \left(J(T_{\beta\alpha}(p)) \cdot (dx_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n^\alpha)_p\right) \otimes (\text{sgn } J(T_{\beta\alpha}(p)) \cdot e_\alpha(p)) \\ &= (\text{sgn } J(T_{\beta\alpha}(p)) \cdot J(T_{\beta\alpha}(p))) \cdot \left((dx_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n^\alpha)_p \otimes e_\alpha(p)\right) \\ &= (|J(T_{\beta\alpha})| \cdot |dx_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n^\alpha|)_p. \end{aligned}$$

In particular it follows, that if  $g \in \Omega^0(N)$  is an arbitrary real function, then

$$T^* \left( g \cdot \left| dy_1^\beta \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n^\beta \right| \right) = (g \circ T) \cdot |J(T_{\beta\alpha})| |dx_1^\alpha \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n^\alpha|.$$

### 2.2 Integral of a Density

Let pair  $(\mathbb{R}^n, y = id)$  be the canonical identity chart,  $U$  an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $g \in \Omega^0(U)$  a measurable function on  $U$ . We define

$$\int_U g \cdot |dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n| = \int_U g dy_1 \dots dy_n.$$

Suppose furthermore, that  $V$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $T : U \rightarrow V$  is a diffeomorphism and let  $\Phi \in \Omega_c^n(\mathbb{R}^n; \text{or}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$  be such a form, that  $\text{supp } \Phi \subset V$ . Then, by the classical change of variable formula

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U T^* \Phi &= \int_U (g \circ T) |JT| \cdot |dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n| \\ &= \int_V g \cdot |dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy_n| \\ &= \int_V \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

On arbitrary manifold  $M$  and a form  $\Phi \in \Omega_c^n(M; \text{or}_M)$  we define an integral

$$\int_M \Phi$$

in the following manner

- take an atlas  $\{(U_\alpha, x_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$  (not necessary maximal),
- take a subordinate partition of unity  $\{\rho_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ ,

- assume

$$\int_M \Phi = \sum_{\alpha} \int_{x_{\alpha}[U_{\alpha}]} (x_{\alpha}^{-1})^* (\rho_{\alpha} \cdot \Phi).$$

It can be easily shown, that above definition doesn't depend on choice of the atlas and the partition of unity.

### 3 Fiber Integral of a Density in a Vertically Oriented Lie Algebroid

#### 3.1 Definition and Basic Properties

Let  $\Omega_A(M; \text{or}_M)$  denotes a vector space of  $A$ -differential forms with values in an orientation bundle  $\text{or}_M$ , where  $A$  is an arbitrary Lie algebroid over the manifold  $M$ , i.e. a space of all cross-sections of  $\bigwedge A^* \otimes \text{or}_M$ .

**Definition 1** Suppose in addition, that  $A'$  is a second arbitrary Lie algebroid over the manifold  $N$ , and  $H : A|_U \rightarrow A'|_U$  is a homomorphism  $A$  in  $A'$  inducing a diffeomorphism  $\hat{H}$  of open subsets  $U \subset M$  on  $V \subset N$ . Let  $\tilde{H} : \text{or}_M|_U \rightarrow \text{or}_N|_V$  be the isomorphism of the vector bundles induced by  $\hat{H}$ . Then, for each form  $\Phi \in \Omega_{A'}^k(N; \text{or}_N)$  we define a form  $H^* \Phi \in \Omega_A^k(U; \text{or}_M|_U)$  by

$$(H^* \Phi)_p (t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_k) = \tilde{H}_p^{-1} \left( \Phi_{\hat{H}(p)} (Ht_1 \wedge \dots \wedge Ht_k) \right), \quad p \in U.$$

**Definition 2** An ordered pair  $(A, \varepsilon)$  is called vertically oriented Lie algebroid, if  $A$  is a regular Lie algebroid of rank  $n$  over a foliated manifold  $(M, \mathcal{F})$ ,

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \hookrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

is its Atiyah sequence, and  $\varepsilon$  is nowhere vanishing cross-section of the bundle  $\bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Definition 3** Let  $(A', \varepsilon')$  be one more vertically oriented Lie algebroid over a foliated manifold  $(A', \mathcal{F}')$ , and suppose that  $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}'$ . A homomorphism of Lie algebroids  $H : A \rightarrow A'$ , which induces  $\hat{H} : M \rightarrow M'$  and fulfils condition

$$\left( \bigwedge^n H^+ \right) (\varepsilon_p) = \varepsilon'_{\hat{H}p}, \quad p \in M$$

is called homomorphism of vertically oriented Lie algebroids  $(A, \varepsilon)$  into  $(A', \varepsilon')$ .

Since for any  $\Phi \in \Omega_A^{n+k}(M; \text{or}_M)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , the form  $\iota_{\varepsilon} \Phi \in \Omega_A^k(M; \text{or}_M)$  defined by

$$(\iota_{\varepsilon} \Phi)_p (t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_k) = \Phi_p (\varepsilon_p \wedge t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_k), \quad p \in M, t_i \in A|_p$$

is horizontal (i.e.  $\iota_{\eta} (\iota_{\varepsilon} \Phi) = 0$  for  $\eta \in \text{Sec } \mathfrak{g}$ ), there exists uniquely determined tangential differential form  $\Psi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^k(M; \text{or}_M)$  such that  $\iota_{\varepsilon} \Phi = \gamma^* \Psi$ . Assume furthermore, that if  $\deg \Phi < n$ , then  $\iota_{\varepsilon} \Phi = 0$ .

**Definition 4** *By an integration operator of  $A$ -differential forms on  $M$  with values in an orientation bundle  $\text{or}_M$  over the bundle of isotropy Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the vertically oriented Lie algebroid  $(A, \varepsilon)$  we mean the operator*

$$\int_A : \Omega_A^*(M; \text{or}_M) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{*-n}(M; \text{or}_M)$$

*such that for each  $\Phi \in \Omega_A^{n+k}(M; \text{or}_M)$  the value  $\int_A \Phi \in \Phi_{\mathcal{F}}^k(M; \text{or}_M)$  is the uniquely determined form defined by the formula*

$$\gamma^* \left( \int_A \Phi \right) = (-1)^{nk} \iota_{\varepsilon} \Phi.$$

**Proposition 2** *Integration operator defined above has the following properties*

- (a) *If  $H : (A, \varepsilon) \rightarrow (A', \varepsilon')$  is a homomorphism of vertically oriented Lie algebroids inducing the diffeomorphism  $\hat{H}$  of open subsets  $U \subset M$  on  $V \subset N$ , then there is an equality*

$$\hat{H}^* \circ \int_{A'} = \int_A \circ H^*$$

*on  $U$ .*

- (b)  $\int_A \circ \gamma^* = 0$ ,  
 (c)  $\int_A \gamma^* \Psi \wedge \phi = \Psi \wedge \int_A \phi$  for arbitrary forms  $\Psi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}(M; \text{or}_M)$  and  $\phi \in \Omega_A(M)$ ,  
 (d)  $\int_A \phi \wedge \gamma^* \Psi = (-1)^{nk} (\int_A \phi) \wedge \Psi$  for arbitrary forms  $\Psi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^k(M; \text{or}_M)$ ,  $\phi \in \Omega_A^{\geq n}(M)$ ,  
 (e)  $\int_A$  is an epimorphism.

We will omit proofs of properties (a) and (b) because they are based on simple calculations. Now we will set to proving the formula (c).

Let  $k, q$  be arbitrary integer numbers and  $\Psi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^k(M; \text{or}_M)$ ,  $\phi \in \Omega_A^q(M)$ . Locally we can write

$$\Psi = \psi \otimes e_{\alpha},$$

where  $\psi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^k(M)$ , and  $e_{\alpha}$  is defined in (2). Consider two cases

- if  $k + q < n$ , then both sides of the proved formula are equal to zero,
- if  $k + q \geq n$ , then there are two possible situations
  1.  $q < n$ . Then  $\int_A \phi = 0$ , so it should be proved, that

$$\int_A \gamma^* \Psi \wedge \phi = 0,$$

but it is easy to see by a simple calculation.

2.  $q \geq n$ . To prove considered formula it is enough to show, that

$$\gamma^* \left( \Psi \wedge \int_A \phi \right) = (-1)^{n(k+q-n)} \iota_\varepsilon (\gamma^* \Psi \wedge \phi).$$

To see it, let  $p \in M$  be an arbitrary point and  $t_i \in A|_p$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k+q$  be such that  $\varepsilon_p = t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \gamma^* \left( \Psi \wedge \int_A \phi \right) \right)_p (t_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge t_{k+q}) \\ &= \left( \gamma^* \Psi \wedge \gamma^* \int_A \phi \right)_p (t_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge t_{k+q}) \\ &= \left( \gamma^* \Psi \wedge (-1)^{n(q-n)} \iota_\varepsilon \phi \right)_p (t_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge t_{k+q}) \\ &= (-1)^{n(q-n)+k(q-n)} (\iota_\varepsilon \phi \wedge \gamma^* \Psi)_p (t_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge t_{k+q}) \\ &= (-1)^{n(q-n)+k(q-n)} (\phi \wedge \gamma^* \Psi)_p (t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_{k+q}) \\ &= (-1)^{n(q-n)+k(q-n)+qk} (\gamma^* \Psi \wedge \phi)_p (t_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t_{k+q}) \\ &= (-1)^{n(k+q-n)} \iota_\varepsilon (\gamma^* \Psi \wedge \phi)_p (t_{n+1} \wedge \dots \wedge t_{k+q}). \end{aligned}$$

Property (d) is a simple corollary of the formula (c), which we have just proved.

To show the property (e), let consider a section  $\sigma \in \text{Sec } \bigwedge^n g^*$  such that  $\iota_\varepsilon \sigma = 1$  and a form of the connection  $\kappa : A \rightarrow g$  (than  $\kappa|_g = id$ ). Than for arbitrary  $\Psi \in \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}(M; \text{or}_M)$  there holds an equality

$$\int_A \gamma^* \Psi \wedge \kappa^* \sigma = \Psi.$$

Indeed,

$$\int_A \gamma^* \Psi \wedge \kappa^* \sigma = \Psi \wedge \int_A \kappa^* \sigma,$$

but

$$\gamma^* \left( \int_A \kappa^* \sigma \right) = (-1)^{n \cdot 0} \iota_\varepsilon (\kappa^* \sigma) = \sigma(\kappa \circ \varepsilon) = \sigma(\varepsilon) = 1.$$

## 4 Commutation of the Integration Operator with Derivatives

### 4.1 Construction of exterior derivatives and Lie derivatives

Let  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  be an arbitrary vector field,  $\alpha \in I$  be any index,  $f \in C^\infty(U_\alpha)$  and  $e_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \text{or}_M$ . Then the formula

$$\nabla_X (f e_\alpha) = X(f) \cdot e_\alpha,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}})(\Psi)(X_0 \wedge \dots \wedge X_k) &= \\
 \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \mathcal{L}_{\lambda^{\nabla}|_{\mathcal{F}} \circ X_i} \left( \Psi \left( X_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \dots \wedge X_k \right) \right) &+ \\
 + \sum_{i < j}^k (-1)^{i+j} \Psi \left( [X_i, X_j] \wedge X_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{X}_j \wedge \dots \wedge X_k \right), & \\
 (d_A^{\text{or}})(\Phi)(\eta_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_k) &= \\
 \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \mathcal{L}_{L\sigma\eta_i} \left( \Phi(\eta_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\eta}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_k) \right) &+ \\
 + \sum_{i < j}^k (-1)^{i+j} \Phi \left( [[\eta_i, \eta_j]] \wedge \eta_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\eta}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\eta}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_k \right). &
 \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 1** *Incidentally, there is an exterior derivation operator  $d : \Omega^*(M; \text{or}_M) \rightarrow \Omega^{*+1}(M; \text{or}_M)$  locally defined, over  $U_\alpha$  by*

$$d(\omega \otimes e_\alpha) = (d\omega) \otimes e_\alpha$$

*in the R. Bott's book [5, p. 80]. See, that operator  $d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}}$  states its interpretation in the names of algebroids.*

**Proposition 3** *There holds an equality*

$$\gamma^* \circ d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}} = d_A^{\text{or}} \circ \gamma^*, \tag{4}$$

*where  $\gamma : A \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is an anchor.*

### 4.2 Theorems of a Destination

**Theorem 4** *The integration operator  $\int_A$  of  $A$ -differential forms on  $M$  with values in an orientation bundle  $\text{or}_M$  over the bundle of isotropy algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the vertically oriented Lie algebroid  $(A, \epsilon)$  commutes with exterior derivatives*

$$d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}} \circ \int_A = \int_A \circ d_A^{\text{or}} \tag{5}$$

*if and only if*

- (a1) *the isotropy Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}|_p$  are unimodular, and*
- (a2) *the cross-section  $\epsilon$  is invariant with respect to the adjoint representation of  $A$  on  $\bigwedge^n \mathfrak{g}$ .*



defines in a proper way the covariant derivative

$$\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \text{Sec or}_M \longrightarrow \text{Sec or}_M.$$

Hence, the map

$$\lambda^\nabla : TM \longrightarrow A(\text{or}_M)$$

defined by

$$\lambda^\nabla(v) = \nabla_v(\cdot), \quad v \in TM$$

is a connection in the regular Lie algebroid  $A(\text{or}_M)$ . Since  $\nabla$  is a flat connection,  $\lambda^\nabla$  is a homomorphism of the Lie algebroids, whence the map

$$L : A \rightarrow A(\text{or}_M)$$

defined by the formula

$$L = \lambda^\nabla \circ \gamma$$

states a representation of the Lie algebroid  $A$  in the orientation bundle  $\text{or}_M$  (for the definition of a representation see [4]).

Now we have operators

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}})_X &: \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}(M; \text{or}_M) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}(M; \text{or}_M), \\ (\theta_A^{\text{or}})_\eta &: \Omega_A(M; \text{or}_M) \longrightarrow \Omega_A(M; \text{or}_M), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}} &: \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}(M; \text{or}_M) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}(M; \text{or}_M), \\ d_A^{\text{or}} &: \Omega_A(M; \text{or}_M) \longrightarrow \Omega_A(M; \text{or}_M) \end{aligned}$$

called Lie derivatives (with respect to the  $\mathcal{F}$ -tangent field  $X$ , and the cross-section  $\eta \in \text{Sec } A$  respectively), and exterior derivatives respectively, described by the formulae

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}})_X(\Psi)(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_k) &= \mathcal{L}_{\lambda^\nabla|_{\mathcal{F}} \circ X}(\Psi(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_k)) + \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k \Psi(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge [X, X_i] \wedge \dots \wedge X_k), \\ (\theta_A^{\text{or}})_\eta(\Phi)(\eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_k) &= \mathcal{L}_{L \circ \eta}(\Phi(\eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_k)) + \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^k \Phi(\eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge [\eta, \eta_i] \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_k), \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\gamma^*$  is a monomorphism. So, we can express proved equality in a form

$$\gamma^* \left( d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}} \int_A \Phi \right) = \gamma^* \left( \int_A (d_A^{\text{or}} \Phi) \right), \quad \Phi \in \Omega_A(M; \text{or}_M).$$

Next, from (4) we obtain the following appearance of the proved formula

$$d_A^{\text{or}} \left( \gamma^* \left( \int_A \Phi \right) \right) = \gamma^* \left( \int_A (d_A^{\text{or}} \Phi) \right), \quad \Phi \in \Omega_A(M; \text{or}_M).$$

Finally, from the definition of an operator  $\mathcal{J}_A$  we see, that we can focus below on the equality

$$d_A^{\text{or}} \circ \mathfrak{z}_\epsilon (\Phi) = (-1)^n \mathfrak{z}_\epsilon \circ d_A^{\text{or}} (\Phi), \quad \Phi \in \Omega_A(M; \text{or}_M). \tag{6}$$

It ensue from the definition of the operator  $\mathfrak{z}$ , that if  $\text{deg } \Phi < n - 1$ , then both sides of (6) are permanent equal to zero. The same argument proves, that when  $\text{deg } \Phi = n - 1$ , then equality (6) refines to formula

$$\mathfrak{z}_\epsilon \circ d_A^{\text{or}} (\Phi) = 0, \quad \Phi \in \Omega_A^{n-1}(M; \text{or}_M).$$

Further, we have to give two technical lemmas.

**Lemma 5** *Equality (6) takes place for each form  $\Phi \in \Omega_A^{n+k}(M; \text{or}_M)$  ( $k \geq 0$  is fixed, and  $n + k \leq \text{rank } A$ ) if and only if for arbitrary sections  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k+1} \in \text{Sec}A$  and for arbitrary chosen neighbourhood  $U \subset M$  on which  $\epsilon = \sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_n$  for some  $\sigma_i \in \text{Sec}g$  (each point  $p \in M$  has a neighbourhood  $U$ , for which  $\epsilon$  is in such a form), holds the following equality*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \left( \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} [\sigma_i, \sigma_j] \wedge \sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\sigma}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\sigma}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_n \right) \wedge \\ & \wedge \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{k+1} \\ & + \sum_j (-1)^{j+n} \left( \sum_i \sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge [[\xi_j, \sigma_i]] \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_n \right) \wedge \\ & \wedge \xi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\xi}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \xi_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 6** *Equality (6) takes place for each form  $\Phi \in \Omega_A^{n-1}(M; \text{or}_M)$  if and only if*

$$\left. \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} ([\sigma_i, \sigma_j] \wedge \sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\sigma}_i \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\sigma}_j \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_n) \right|_U = 0$$

(when  $U$  and  $\sigma_i$  are such, as in the above lemma) or equivalently, when  $g|_p, p \in M$  is unimodular.

Now, suppose that holds the equality (5). Considering forms of degree  $n - 1$ , we obtain from the first lemma, unimodularity of  $g|_p, p \in M$ . Taking it under consideration, for arbitrary form of degree  $n$  (i.e.  $k = 0$ ), in view of the second lemma, we get the equality

$$\sum_i \sigma_1 \wedge \dots \wedge [\eta, \sigma_i] \wedge \dots \wedge \sigma_n \Big|_U = 0, \quad \eta \in \text{Sec } A,$$

which implies, that

$$\bigwedge^n \text{ad}_A(\eta)(\varepsilon) \Big|_U = 0,$$

i.e. the invariance of the section  $\varepsilon$  with respect to adjoint representation  $A$  in  $\bigwedge^n g$ .

Assume now, that there hold conditions (a1) and (a2). The unimodularity of  $g|_p, p \in M$  gives, according to the second lemma, equality (6) for forms of degree  $n - 1$ . For forms of degree  $\geq n$ , the equality (6) follows from the first lemma.

**Corollary 7** *The integration operator  $\int_A$  in unimodular invariantly oriented Lie algebroid  $(A, \varepsilon)$  of  $A$ -differential forms on  $M$  with values in an orientation bundle  $\text{or}_M$  over the bundle of isotropy algebras  $g$  induces morphism*

$$\int_A^\# : H_A^*(M; \text{or}_M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{F}}^{*-n}(M; \text{or}_M),$$

where  $H_A(M; \text{or}_M), H_{\mathcal{F}}(M; \text{or}_M)$  are cohomology algebras in the algebra  $\Omega_A(M; \text{or}_M)$  with respect to the operator  $d_A^{\text{or}}$ , and the algebra  $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}(M; \text{or}_M)$  with respect to the operator  $d_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}}$  respectively.

**Theorem 8** *The integration operator  $\int_A$  in unimodular invariantly oriented Lie algebroid  $(A, \varepsilon)$  of  $A$ -differential forms on  $M$  with values in an orientation bundle  $\text{or}_M$  over the bundle of isotropy algebras  $g$  commutes with Lie derivatives*

$$(\theta_{\mathcal{F}}^{\text{or}})_X \circ \int_A = \int_A \circ (\theta_A^{\text{or}})_\eta,$$

where  $\eta \in \text{Sec } A$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  are  $\gamma$ -related.

### References

- [1] J.Kubarski *The Chern-Weil homomorphism of regular Lie algebroids*, Publ. Dep. Math. University de Lyon 1, 1991.
- [2] J.Kubarski *Invariant Cohomology of Regular Lie Algebroids* Proceedings of the VII International Colloquium on Differential Geometry, "Analysis and Geometry in Foliated Manifolds", Santiago de Compostela, Spain 26-30 July, 1994. Publ. by World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.

- [3] J.Kubarski *Fibre integral in regular Lie algebroids*, Proceedings of the Conference "Differential Geometry", Budapest (Hungary), July 27-30, 1996.
- [4] K.Mac Kenzie, *Lie groupoides and Lie algebroids in differential Geometry*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 124, Cambridge, 1987.
- [5] R.Bott, L.W. Tu *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology* GTM 82, 1 edition, Springer-Verlag, 1982.