

Stefan Papadima

Complex cohomology automorphisms of compact homogeneous spaces of positive Euler characteristic

In: Jarolím Bureš and Vladimír Souček (eds.): Proceedings of the Winter School "Geometry and Physics". Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Palermo, 1987. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, Supplemento No. 16. pp. [217]--226.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/701424>

Terms of use:

© Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 1987

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

COMPLEX COHOMOLOGY AUTOMORPHISMS OF COMPACT
HOMOGENEOUS SPACES OF POSITIVE EULER CHARACTERISTIC

Stefan Papadima

Introduction

Let G be a compact connected semisimple Lie group and let K be a proper closed connected subgroup of the same rank. Consider a common maximal torus and denote by V its Lie algebra. One then has a pair of root systems, $R=(R_K \subset R_G \subset V)$, and a pair of Weyl groups, $(W_K \subset W_G \subset GL(V \otimes \mathbb{F}))$, $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , which naturally act on the polynomial graded algebra on $V \otimes \mathbb{F}$ (graded by $\deg(V \otimes \mathbb{F})^* = 1$), giving thus rise to a pair of graded subalgebras of invariants, $(I_G(\mathbb{F}) \subset I_K(\mathbb{F}))$. One knows that $H^*(G/K; \mathbb{F}) = I_K(\mathbb{F}) / I_K(\mathbb{F}) \cdot I_G^+(\mathbb{F})$ (as graded algebras, provided the degrees of the right hand side are doubled). Consider next the normalizers of the Weyl groups, $N_G(\mathbb{F}) = N_{GL(V \otimes \mathbb{F})}(W_G)$ (and similarly for K) and the group $N(\mathbb{F}) = N_G(\mathbb{F}) \cap N_K(\mathbb{F})$, which naturally acts on the polynomial algebra on $V \otimes \mathbb{F}$, preserving the invariant subalgebras and thus giving rise to a group morphism $p: N(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}H^*(G/K; \mathbb{F})$, whose image was considered in [9] under the name of "cohomology automorphisms of Lie type".

This paper is devoted to the study of $\text{Aut}H^*(G/K; \mathbb{C})$, centered around the general question: are all cohomology automorphisms of Lie type? This question makes sense for any characteristic zero field coefficients \mathbb{F} (see [9]); if K =maximal torus, then the answer is yes, for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ [8]. Our first result here establishes the same answer for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ and gives a precise description of $\text{Aut}H^*(G/T; \mathbb{C})$, T = maximal torus. Consider the orthogonal decomposition $V = \bigoplus V^i$ (corresponding to the infinitesimal splitting of G as a product of simple groups) and denote by $D(\mathbb{F}) \subset GL(V \otimes \mathbb{F})$ the subgroup of elements which act as scalars of \mathbb{F}^* on each $V^i \otimes \mathbb{F}$ ($\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$).

Theorem 1. p is an isomorphism $N(\mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}H^*(G/T; \mathbb{C})$ and $N(\mathbb{C}) = D(\mathbb{C}) \cdot N(\mathbb{R})$.

For a complete description of $N(\mathbb{R})$, see [8].

If $G = \text{SU}(n)$, then the conjecture of [4, 7] on $\text{Aut}H^*(G/K; \mathbb{Q})$ is equivalent to the fact that all \mathbb{Q} -cohomology automorphisms are of Lie type ([9]), and was verified in many particular cases, by several

authors. On the other hand, there are examples where not all \mathbb{F} -cohomology automorphisms are of Lie type (see [9] for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{Q},\mathbb{R}$, and the example given in the next section, for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$), therefore a more reasonable question would be: when are all \mathbb{F} -cohomology automorphisms of Lie type?

Our next result provides an equivalent formulation of this property ($\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C}$). Consider the graded \mathbb{F} -vector space $Q_G=I_G^+/I_G^+ \cdot I_G^+$ (similarly for K) and the linear degree zero map $Q_i:Q_G \rightarrow Q_K$ induced by the inclusion $i:I_G \subset I_K$; denote its kernel by h^o , its cokernel by h^e and set $h=h^o \oplus h^e$. Since plainly Q_i commutes with the obvious actions of N on Q_G and Q_K , we may consider the odd, even and total dual homotopy representations of N in $GL(h^o), GL(h^e)$ and $GL(h)$, to be denoted in the sequel by r_L^o, r_L^e and r_L . Rational homotopy theory [10] identifies h^o, h^e and h with the graded spaces of odd-dimensional, even-dimensional, respectively all multiplicative generators of the \mathbb{F} -minimal model of G/K (and consequently with $(\pi_{\text{odd}}(G/K) \otimes \mathbb{F})^*$, $(\pi_{\text{even}}(G/K) \otimes \mathbb{F})^*$, respectively $(\pi_*(G/K) \otimes \mathbb{F})^*$, which explains our terminology). Since G/K is formal, $\text{Auth}^*(G/K)$ acts (up to algebraic homotopy) on the \mathbb{F} -minimal model, thus inducing (genuine) representations in $GL(h^o), GL(h^e)$ and $GL(h)$, to be denoted by r_H^o, r_H^e and r_H (the precise construction of these dual homotopy representations of $\text{Auth}^*(G/K)$ is given in Section 2).

Theorem 2. Suppose that the unipotent radical (see e.g. [6]) of the linear algebraic group $\text{Auth}^*(G/K;\mathbb{C})$ is trivial. Then ρ is onto if and only if $r_L^e(N(\mathbb{F}))=r_H^e(\text{Auth}^*(G/K;\mathbb{F}))$, $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C},\mathbb{R}$.

We remark that the assumption on the unipotent radical is always fulfilled if G is simple (by the main result of [11], which states that the identity component of $\text{Auth}^*(G/K;\mathbb{C})$ is a 1-dimensional algebraic torus). On the other hand r_L turns out to be quite manageable (see Sections 2,3).

Theorem 3. If G is simple and W_K is a normal subgroup of W_G , then all complex cohomology automorphisms of G/K are of Lie type.

We should point out that the statement above is false for real coefficients (see Section 3). Needless to say, complexification is often a useful device; in our case, it turns out to be rather obligatory, which finally reformulates our main question as: when are all complex cohomology automorphisms of Lie type?

1. Compact Lie groups modulo maximal tori

We begin by making some preliminary remarks, on the way of proving Theorem 1. As a notational simplifying convention, we are going to suppress the subscript G (recalling that, when $K=T$, R_K is void and W_K is trivial). Denoting, for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} , by $A(\mathbb{F})$ the subgroup of $GL(V \otimes \mathbb{F})$ consisting of those elements whose natural action on $\mathbb{F}[V \otimes \mathbb{F}]$ preserves the ideal generated by $I^+(\mathbb{F})$, notice that $N(\mathbb{F}) \subset A(\mathbb{F})$, that there is a natural group morphism :

$$p : A(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Auth}^*(G/T; \mathbb{F}) \quad \text{which extends}$$

our p in the theorem, and which is an isomorphism ([8], Prop.2.1); [8] also gives that $A(\mathbb{R})=N(\mathbb{R})$. Complexification induces inclusions $A(\mathbb{R}) \subset A(\mathbb{C})$ and $N(\mathbb{R}) \subset N(\mathbb{C})$; to be more precise $A(\mathbb{R})=A(\mathbb{C}) \cap GL(V)$ and $N(\mathbb{R})=N(\mathbb{C}) \cap GL(V)$.

We claim now that it will be enough to show that

$$(1) \quad A(\mathbb{C}) \subset A(\mathbb{R}) \cdot D(\mathbb{C})$$

Indeed, knowing this we immediately deduce that $A(\mathbb{C})=N(\mathbb{C})$, hence our first assertion of the theorem, and next that $N(\mathbb{C})=N(\mathbb{R}) \cdot D(\mathbb{C})$. The other assertion is a consequence of the fact that $N(\mathbb{R}) \cdot D(\mathbb{C}) = D(\mathbb{C}) \cdot N(\mathbb{R})$, which in turn follows from the fact (proved in [8]) that the action of $N(\mathbb{R})$ on V permutes the decomposition $V = \bigoplus V^i$.

Choose a system of simple roots for $R, S = \bigsqcup S^i (S^i \subset V^i)$, and consider the associated positive roots, $R_+ \subset R$.

1.1. Lemma. For any $g \in A(\mathbb{C})$ and for any $a \in R$ there exist (uniquely) $t_a \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $q_a \in R_+$ such that $g(a) = t_a \cdot q_a$.

Proof. Uniqueness is clear. The existence proof is essentially the proof of Theorem 1.1 [8]. Denote by n the number of positive roots, recall that $\dim(G/T) = 2n$ and consider the nonzero degree n homogeneous polynomial function on $(V \otimes \mathbb{C})^*$ defined by

$$(2) \quad J(x) = \langle x^n, [G/T] \rangle, \quad x \in (V \otimes \mathbb{C})^*$$

Also consider the nonzero degree n homogeneous polynomial $J_0 = \prod_{a \in R_+} L_a$,

where $L_a(x) = x(a)$, $x \in (V \otimes \mathbb{C})^*$. One infers from [1] that J is a nonzero complex multiple of J_0 . If $g \in A(\mathbb{C})$ then clearly $J \circ g^*$ is a nonzero multiple of J , hence g^* permutes the irreducible factors of J_0 (up to nonzero complex scalars), i.e. given any $a \in R_+$ there exist $t \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $b \in R_+$ such that $L_a \circ g^* = t \cdot L_b$, that is $L_{g(a)} = L_{t \cdot b}$, whence $g(a) = t \cdot b$, which gives the lemma.

1.2. Lemma. Fix $g \in A(\mathbb{C})$ and keep the notations of the previous

lemma. If $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a+b \in \mathbb{R}$ then $t_a \in \mathbb{R}^*$ if and only if $t_b \in \mathbb{R}^*$.

Proof. Suppose that $t_a \in \mathbb{R}^*$, but $t_b \notin \mathbb{R}^*$ and write that $g(a) = t_a \cdot q_a$, $g(b) = t_b \cdot q_b$, $g(a+b) = t_{a+b} \cdot q_{a+b} = t_a \cdot q_a + t_b \cdot q_b$. Equating the imaginary parts of the last equality, we find out that q_{a+b} and q_b are proportional, which implies that the roots $a+b$ and b are proportional (over \mathbb{R}), whence $a+b = \pm b$, which is absurd.

1.3. End of proof of Theorem 1. Pick a simple root $a_i \in S^1$, for any i . Given $g \in A(\mathbb{C})$, write $g(a_i) = t_i \cdot b_i$, with $t_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $b_i \in R_+$ (by Lemma 1.1). Define $d \in D(\mathbb{C})$ by $d = \text{diag}(t_i^{-1})$ and notice that $gd(a_i) = b_i \in \mathbb{R}^* \cdot R_+$, for any i . Given any $c_i \in S^1$, choose a path connecting c_i to a_i in the Coxeter graph, repeatedly apply Lemma 1.2 to gd and conclude that $gd(S^1) \subset \mathbb{R}^* \cdot R_+ \subset V$, for any i . Since S is known to generate V as an \mathbb{R} -vector space [1], we infer that $gd \in GL(V)$, hence $gd \in A(\mathbb{R})$, which proves the desired inclusion (1) and thus finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

1.4. Corollary. For a general maximal rank subgroup $K \subset G$ we have $N(\mathbb{C}) = D(\mathbb{C}) \cdot N(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. We have just seen that $N_G(\mathbb{C}) \subset D(\mathbb{C}) \cdot GL(V)$, hence $N(\mathbb{C}) \subset D(\mathbb{C}) \cdot N(\mathbb{R})$ (since $D(\mathbb{F}) \subset N(\mathbb{F})$, due to the fact that $W_G = xW_G^i$, with $W_G^i \subset GL(V)$, and similarly for W_K). The other inclusion is clear.

1.5. Example. Consider $U(3) \subset SO(7)$ (Example 6.9 of [9]). We have noticed there that $p(N(\mathbb{R}))$ consists of grading \mathbb{R} -automorphisms (i.e. those which act on H^{2j} as $t^j \cdot \text{id}$, for some $t \in \mathbb{R}^*$) and exhibited an \mathbb{F} -cohomology automorphism ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$) which is not a grading \mathbb{F} -automorphism. By the previous corollary $p(N(\mathbb{C})) = p(\mathbb{C}^*) \cdot p(N(\mathbb{R}))$ will again consist only of grading \mathbb{C} -automorphisms, which shows that not all automorphisms of $H^*(SO(7)/U(3); \mathbb{C})$ are of Lie type.

2. The dual homotopy representations

We start by constructing the dual homotopy representation r_H of $\text{Auth}^*(G/K; \mathbb{F})$ in $GL(h)$ ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$). In order to do this, we begin by recalling the classical construction of a free dga model of $H^*(G/K)$. Set $M = I_K \otimes \wedge \bar{Q}_G$, where \bar{Q}_G is the desuspension of the graded \mathbb{F} -vector space Q_G and the degrees of I_K and Q_G are defined by doubling the usual degrees of $\mathbb{F}[V \otimes \mathbb{F}]$. A section of the canonical projection $I_G^+ \rightarrow Q_G$ defines a degree 1 linear map $d: \bar{Q}_G \rightarrow I_K$, which extends to a differen-

tial $d:M \rightarrow M$ (by setting $d(I_K)=0$). A dga map $m_0:(M,d) \rightarrow (H^*(G/K),0)$ is defined by $m_0|_{I_K}$ =canonical projection and $m_0(\bar{Q}_G)=0$; it induces an isomorphism in cohomology. Given any dga (A,d) , consider the graded vector space A^+/A^+A^+ , denote by Q_d (following [5]) the induced differential and define $Q^*(A,d)=H^*(A^+/A^+A^+,Q_d)$, noticing that this construction is natural with respect to dga maps. In our case $Q^*(M,d)$ is independent of the choice made in the construction of d ; more precisely $Q^{2n}(M,d)=(h^e)^n$ and $Q^{2n-1}(M,d)=(h^o)^n$, for any n (with the notations of the Introduction). Given $g \in \text{Auth}^*(G/K)$, the general theory (cf. [10]) guarantees the existence of a dga map $\bar{g}:M \rightarrow M$ (which is unique up to algebraic homotopy) with the property that $m_0 \bar{g} \simeq g m_0$. It follows that $Q(\bar{g}):Q(M,d) \rightarrow Q(M,d)$ depends only on g , and we construct the dual homotopy representation r_H by setting $r_H(g)=Q(\bar{g}) \in GL(h)$. As far as the dependence on \mathbb{F} is concerned, we just have to notice that $H^*(G/K;\mathbb{C})=H^*(G/K;\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (which embeds $\text{Auth}^*(G/K;\mathbb{R})$ into $\text{Auth}^*(G/K;\mathbb{C})$ by complexification), that $h(\mathbb{C})=h(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ (embedding $GL(h(\mathbb{R}))$ into $GL(h(\mathbb{C}))$), and that (choosing $d(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$ as $d(\mathbb{C})$) $r_H(\mathbb{C})$ restricts to $r_H(\mathbb{R})$.

This construction is "geometric", from the point of view of rational homotopy theory (recall that the homotopy classes of self-maps of the rationalization of G/K are in natural bijection with the graded algebra endomorphisms of $H^*(G/K;\mathbb{Q})$, see [3]). A second (simpler) construction will better suit our purpose here. Abbreviate $H^*(G/K)$ to H^* and set $r(g)=Q(g) \in GL(Q(H^*,0))$, for any $g \in \text{Auth}^*$. It is immediate to see that $Q(H^*)=h^e$ and that $r(g)=r_H^e(g)$. (For the second assertion, recall that $H^* m_0 = \text{id}$, which shows that $r(g)=Q(H^* \bar{g})$, next that there is an obvious degree zero map $Q(H^*A,0) \rightarrow Q(A,d)$, natural in the dga (A,d) and which equals the identity when $d=0$, apply this naturality property to $m_0:(M,d) \rightarrow (H^*M,0)$ and deduce that $Q^{\text{even}}(M,d)=Q(H^*M,0)$).

We move now to the proof of Theorem 2. The first step is the following self-evident remark (in our second setting)

$$(1) \quad r_H^e \circ p = r_L^e$$

(We point out that it is not difficult to see that the same holds for r^o). It follows that without any other assumption we always have $r_L^e(N(\mathbb{F})) \subset r_H^e(\text{Auth}^*(G/K;\mathbb{F}))$ (and similarly for r^o) and equality must hold if p is onto.

In order to prove the converse we invoke the following general fact: if H is a connected finitely generated commutative graded algebra then $\text{Aut}(H)$ is a linear algebraic group and $\ker r$ (where $r(g)=Q(g)$, as above) is a unipotent subgroup of $\text{Aut}(H)$. Proof:

(sketch): set $Q(H)=Q$ and use a section of $H^+ \rightarrow Q(H)$ in order to write down a finitely generated presentation of H

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow \Lambda Q \xrightarrow{P} H \rightarrow 0$$

which exhibits $\text{Aut}(H)$ as a quotient of the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Lambda Q)$ consisting of elements which leave J invariant. If $r(g)=\text{id}$, $g \in \text{Aut}(H)$, then g comes from some $f \in \text{Aut}(\Lambda Q)$ (leaving J invariant) and $r(f)=\text{id}$ (since $Q(P)$ is a isomorphism); but then clearly f must be unipotent, hence g is also unipotent.

If the unipotent radical of $\text{Aut}H^*(G/K; \mathbb{C})$ is trivial, then r_H^e must be monic (for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ and consequently also for $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$). Given the equality (1), $r_L^e(N(\mathbb{F}))=r_H^e(\text{Aut}H^*(G/K; \mathbb{F}))$ forces then p to be onto. Theorem 2 is proved.

We close this section by saying a little more about r_L . First of all, we have natural representations r_G (of N_G in $GL(Q_G)$) and r_K (of N_K in $GL(Q_K)$), whose restrictions to N fit into an exact sequence

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow h^o \rightarrow Q_G \xrightarrow{Q_i} Q_K \rightarrow h^e \rightarrow 0$$

The main result (which is of great help in making explicit computations, see e.g. next section) is the following.

2.1. Proposition. *If F is a finite subgroup of $N_G(\mathbb{R})$ which leaves some W_G -chamber invariant, then Q_G and V are isomorphic as F -modules. The same also holds for K .*

Proof. Implicit in the proof of Lemma 3.2 [8], when G is semi-simple. We briefly discuss the extra-arguments needed for the general case (K might not be semisimple!). We are going to suppress the subscript G and recall from [9] that one has an orthogonal decomposition $V=V^W \oplus V_W$ (with V^W =fixed points of W and V_W = \mathbb{R} -span(R)) and compatible splittings $W=\{1\} \times W$ and $N_{GL(V)}(W)=GL(V^W) \times N_{GL(V_W)}^{(W)}$, where

$R \subset V_W$ is the root system of a semisimple group. These splittings induce F -module splittings $V=V^W \oplus V_W$ and $Q=V^W \oplus Q_{SS}$, where the F -module structures on V^W are the same, and we are thus reduced to the already settled semisimple case.

This can be used for example in the following way: since $r_K(v)=\text{id}$ and $r_G(v)=\text{id}$, for any $v \in W_K$, we may work with N/W_K instead of N , fix a pair of Weyl chambers, $C_G \subset C_K$, denote by $[n]$ the class of $n \in N \text{ mod } W_K$ and (remembering that the elements of N act on W_G and W_K -chambers, see [8, 9]) we may always suppose that n has been normalized, i.e. $n(C_K)=C_K$, cf. [1] (here and in the following statement

$\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{R}$). By [1] again, there is a unique $u \in W_G$ such that $n(C_G)=u(C_G)$.

2.2. Corollary. Suppose $n \in N(\mathbb{R})$ is normalized and of finite order. Then the characteristic polynomials of $r_K(n)$ and n (respectively of $r_G(n)$ and $u^{-1}n$) coincide.

3. Complex versus real coefficients. Examples

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3. We are dealing in fact with a root system pair, $R=(R_K \subset R_G \subset V)$, where R_G is supposed to be normalized (i.e. $V=\mathbb{R}\text{-span}(R_G)$) and irreducible, and R_K is a proper closed ($[2, 1]$) subsystem. We may also suppose that R_K is nonvoid (otherwise we are done, by Theorem 1).

3.1. Lemma. Under the above assumptions, W_K is a normal subgroup of W_G if and only if R_G has two root lengths and R_K =long roots of R_G .

Proof. Given an arbitrary root system R , it is immediate to see that the roots of a given length l form a subsystem R_l (eventually void, or equal to R). If $a, b \in R_l$ and $a+b \in R$, we compute the square of the length of $a+b$ as $(a+b, a+b)=l^2(2+\langle a, b \rangle) \gg l^2$, since the Cartan integer $\langle a, b \rangle$ must be equal to 0 or ± 1 , see [1]. This shows that the roots of maximal length of R form a closed subsystem (which is nonvoid and proper if R has more than one root length). On the other hand the Weyl group $W(R_l)$ is always normal in $W(R)$. Slightly more generally, given an arbitrary root system $R \subset V$ and an isometry $f \in O(V)$, f normalizes $W(R)$ if and only if $f(R) \subset R$ (since it is enough to check f on the generators of $W(R)$, since $fS_a f^{-1}=S_{f(a)}$, $a \in R$ - where S_a denotes the symmetry with respect to the hyperplane orthogonal to $v \in V$ - and since the only symmetries in $W(R)$ are those of the form S_a , $a \in R$ - see [1]). Half of our statement is thus verified. Finally assume that W_K is normal in W_G . As we have seen, this means that $W_G(R_K) \subset W_K$. Since, as it is well-known [1] all roots of the same length of an irreducible root system are conjugate under the action of its Weyl group, this leaves us with two possibilities (R_K being proper and nonvoid): either $R_K=(R_G)_{\text{long}}$ or $R_K=(R_G)_{\text{short}}$ (and of course forces R_G to have two root lengths). It can be easily checked (e.g. by direct inspection) that the short roots of R_G do not form a closed subsystem, whence the lemma.

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3

We are going to check separately the various cases (for both $\mathbb{F}=\mathbb{C}$ and \mathbb{R}). The classification [1] says that R_G must be $B_l(l \geq 2)$,

$C\ell(\ell/3)$, F_4 or G_2 , and R_K must respectively be D_ℓ , A_ℓ^1 , D_4 or A_2 . In all cases $V = \mathbb{R}^\ell$, with standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_\ell\}$, coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_ℓ) and euclidean metric, R_G will be in standard form, as in [1], and with a standard choice of simple roots.

Given a commutative graded algebra A , graded by even-dimensional degrees, and a positive integer m , we define an algebra of the same kind, denoted by $m \cdot A$, by simply multiplying by m the degrees of A . Notice that A and $m \cdot A$ have the same group of automorphisms. The reason for waisting time with such a definition is that the proof of our theorem a posteriori gives the following curious result: if G is simple and W_K is normal then $H^*(G/K; \mathbb{F}) = mH^*(U(n)/T; \mathbb{F})$ for some m and n ; we have no a priori explanation of this phenomenon. Any way, in what follows it is good to bear in mind that $\text{Auth}^*(U(n)/T; \mathbb{F})$ is generated by \mathbb{F}^* (which acts by grading \mathbb{F} -automorphisms) and the symmetric group S_n (which naturally acts by permutation of coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n)—see [8], and Theorem 1 of this paper. As far as $\text{Aut}(m \cdot H^*(U(n)/T; \mathbb{F}))$ is concerned, there is one more point: given $t \in \mathbb{F}^*$, it acts on $m \cdot H^*$ as $\text{gr}_m(t) = t^i \cdot \text{id}$ on $(m \cdot H^*)^{2mi} = H^{2i}$; for $m=1$, this is an usual grading \mathbb{F} -automorphism; if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and either m is odd or $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then $\text{gr}_m(t) = \text{gr}_1(t^{1/m})$ and we still get usual \mathbb{F} -grading automorphisms (which are of Lie type). On the other hand, if $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and m is even, then $\text{gr}_m(-1)$ is not an \mathbb{R} -grading automorphism, and this explains the different behaviour of real coefficients, see the remark below. In what follows we will check that always in our list $S_n \subset \mathfrak{p}(N(\mathbb{R}))$, for $n > 2$ (remember that $\text{Auth}^*(U(2)/T; \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F}^*$), thus settling the case $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and finishing the proof of Theorem 3, and also check that $\text{gr}_m(-1) \in \mathfrak{p}(N(\mathbb{R}))$, if $R_G = B_\ell$ or G_2 . The discussion of real coefficients will be completed by the next remark, namely by showing that $\text{gr}_m(-1) \notin \mathfrak{p}(N(\mathbb{R}))$ if $R_G = C_\ell$ or F_4 .

(1) $R = (D_\ell \subset B_\ell) \cdot H^*(G/K; \mathbb{F}) = H^*(S^{2\ell}; \mathbb{F}) = \ell \cdot H^*(U(2)/T; \mathbb{F})$.

In terms of Weyl groups invariants $H^*(G/K; \mathbb{F})$ is generated by the Euler class $e = x_1 \dots x_\ell$, with the relation $e^2 = 0$. Consider the linear transformation $w(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = (-x_1, \dots, x_\ell)$, $w \in W_G \subset N(\mathbb{R})$ and notice that $p(w) = \text{gr}_\ell(-1)$.

(2) $R = (A_2 \subset G_2)$. As it is well-known, $H^*(G_2/SU(3); \mathbb{F}) = H^*(S^6; \mathbb{F}) = 3 \cdot H^*(U(2)/T; \mathbb{F})$. Moreover $\text{gr}_3(-1) = \text{gr}_1(-1) \in \mathfrak{p}(R^*)$.

By the above discussion, in these two cases all \mathbb{F} -cohomology automorphisms are of Lie type, for both $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and \mathbb{R} .

(3) $R = (A_\ell^1 \subset C_\ell)$. It is equally well-known that $H^*(\text{Sp}(\ell)/\text{Sp}(\ell); \mathbb{F}) = 2 \cdot H^*(U(\ell)/T; \mathbb{F}) = \mathbb{F}[x_1^2, \dots, x_\ell^2] / (p_1, \dots, p_\ell)$, where p_j is the j -th elementary symmetric function of x_1^2, \dots, x_ℓ^2 , and that $S_\ell \subset W_G \subset N(\mathbb{R})$ and acts

by permutation of coordinates ($[1]$).

(4) $R=(D_4 \subset F_4)$. Since W_K is normal in W_G , we know that $W_G \subset \text{Aut}(R_K)$ (the group of automorphisms of the root system $R_K, [1]$), see the proof of Lemma 3.1. We also know that $\text{Aut}(R_K) = \text{Dgraut}(S_K) \rtimes W_K$, where S_K are simple roots of R_K , $\text{Dgraut}(S_K)$ denotes the automorphism group of the associated Dynkin diagram, whose elements leave the W_K -chamber C_K invariant, see $[1]$.

In our case, $\text{Dgraut}(S_K) = S_3$.

$W_G = \text{Aut}(R_K)$, by a cardinality argument, see $[1]$. It follows that $I_G = (I_K)^{S_3}$ (the invariants of S_3 in I_K) and that Proposition 2.1 is available, for $S_3 \subset N_K(\mathbb{R})$. As a graded vector space, it is well-known that $Q_K^* = Q^2 \oplus Q^6 \oplus Q^4$, with $\dim Q^2 = \dim Q^6 = 1$ and $\dim Q^4 = 2$. We also know that S_3 acts trivially on Q^2 , since $S_3 \subset O(V)$ and Q^2 is generated by the W -invariant metric on V . On the other hand $g \in S_3$ is known to act on V via the permutation of the \mathbb{R} -basis of V given by the simple roots a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 of R_K which fixes a_2 and coincides with g on the remaining roots, hence V is isomorphic as an S_3 -module with $U \oplus V(A_2)$, where U is 2-dimensional and trivial and $V(A_2)$ is the 2-dimensional irreducible defining representation of the Weyl group $W(A_2) = S_3$. Using Proposition 2.1 we deduce that $I_G = \mathbb{F}[(Q^2 \oplus Q^6) \otimes \mathbb{F}] \otimes \mathbb{F}[Q^4 \otimes \mathbb{F}]^{W(A_2)}$, where $Q^4 = V(A_2)$, hence $H^*(G/K; \mathbb{F}) = 4 \cdot H^*(U(3)/T; \mathbb{F})$. Finally $S_3 \subset W_G \subset N(\mathbb{R})$, by construction.

3.3. Remark. If $R_G = C_2(\mathbb{Z}/3)$ or $R_G = F_4$ then not all real cohomology automorphisms are of Lie type. In the first case notice first that $N_G(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^+ \times W_G$ ($[8]$), hence $N(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^+ \times W_G$. Given the concrete description of $H^*(G/K; \mathbb{R})$ we see that the assumption $\text{gr}_2(-1) \in \mathfrak{p}(N(\mathbb{R}))$ would imply that $-1 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \cdot W(A_{p-1})$, hence $-1 \in W(A_{p-1})$, which is absurd. If $R_G = F_4$ the same argument shows that $\text{gr}_4(-1) \notin \mathfrak{p}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times W_G)$. But in this case too we have $N(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^+ \times W_G$. This can be seen as follows: the split exact sequence which describes $N_G(\mathbb{R})$ $[8]$

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \times W_G \rightarrow N_G(\mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \text{Graphaut}(S_G) \rightarrow 1$$

(in which $\text{Graphaut}(S_G) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, with nontrivial element say g) restricts to an exact sequence (see $[9]$)

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \times W_G \rightarrow N(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \text{Graphaut}(C) \rightarrow 1$$

If $g \in \text{Graphaut}(C)$ then necessarily $\sigma(g) \in N_K(\mathbb{R})$. But we know (cf. $[9]$, 6.8) that for any long root $b \in F_4, \sigma(g) S_b \sigma(g)^{-1} = S_a$, where a is short, hence $\text{Graphaut}(C) = \{1\}$ and $N(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}^+ \times W_G$, as asserted.

REFERENCES

- [1] BOURBAKI, N.: Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Ch.4-6, Paris, Hermann 1968.
- [2] BOREL, A. and SIEBENTHAL, J.DE.: Les sous-groupes fermés de rang maximum des groupes de Lie clos , Comment.Math.Helv.23(1949), 200-221.
- [3] GLOVER, H. and HOMER, W.: Self-maps of flag manifolds, Trans. AMS 267(1981), 423-434.
- [4] GLOVER, H. and MISLIN, G.: On the genus of generalized flag manifolds, Enseign.Math. 27(1981), 211-219.
- [5] HALPERIN, S.: Lectures on minimal models, Mémoire de la S.M.F. 9/10(1984).
- [6] HUMPHREYS, J.E.: Linear algebraic groups, Berlin-Heidelberg-New-York, Springer 1975.
- [7] HOFFMAN, M. and HOMER, W.: On cohomology automorphisms of complex flag manifolds, Proc.AMS(4) 91(1984), 643-648.
- [8] PAPADIMA, S.: Rigidity properties of compact Lie groups modulo maximal tori, Math.Ann.275(1986), 637-652.
- [9] PAPADIMA, S.: Rational homotopy equivalences of Lie type, to appear.
- [10] SULLIVAN, D.: Infinitesimal computations in topology, Publ. IHES 47(1977), 269-331.
- [11] SHIGA, H. and TEZUKA, M.: Cohomology automorphisms of some homogeneous spaces, to appear.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 INCREST, BD.PACII 220
 79622 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA.