

# EQUADIFF 10

---

Jiří Bouchala

Landesman–Lazer type conditions and quasilinear elliptic equations

In: Jaromír Kuben and Jaromír Vosmanský (eds.): Equadiff 10, Czechoslovak International Conference on Differential Equations and Their Applications, Prague, August 27-31, 2001, [Part 2] Papers. Masaryk University, Brno, 2002. CD-ROM; a limited number of printed issues has been issued. pp. 45--51.

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/700381>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2002

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

# Landesman–Lazer Type Conditions and Quasilinear Elliptic Equations

Jiří Bouchala\*

Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer  
Science, VŠB-Technical University,  
Tř. 17. listopadu, 708 33 Ostrava, Czech Republic,  
[Email: jiri.bouchala@vsb.cz](mailto:jiri.bouchala@vsb.cz)

**Abstract.** We study the existence of the weak solutions of nonlinear boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + g(u) - h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a smooth bounded domain,  $N \geq 1$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous function,  $h \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$  ( $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ ),  $\Delta_p$  is the  $p$ -Laplacian, i.e.  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Our sufficient conditions generalize all previously published results.

**MSC 2000.** 35J20, 35P30, 47H15

**Keywords.** The  $p$ -Laplacian, Ekeland variational principle, saddle point theorem, the strong unique continuation property

## 1 Introduction. The variational eigenvalues.

We study the existence of the weak solutions of nonlinear boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + g(u) - h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

---

\* Research supported by the Grant Agency of Czech Republic 201/00/0376 and grant of the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports J17/98: 272400019

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  is a smooth bounded domain,  $N \geq 1$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous function,  $h \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$  ( $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ ),  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Delta_p$  is the  $p$ -Laplacian, i.e.

$$\Delta_p u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u).$$

We recall that  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is a weak solution of (1) if and only if

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} uv \, dx + \int_{\Omega} g(u)v \, dx - \int_{\Omega} hv \, dx$$

for all  $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ .

It is possible to achieve that the weak solutions of our BVP (1) corresponding with the critical points of the functional

$$J(u) := \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} G(u) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} hu \, dx : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where

$$G(t) := \int_0^t g(s) \, ds.$$

Now we are going to investigate how the choice of  $\lambda$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  (and their relation) influence the *geometry* of our functional  $J$ . The great part in that has the information, if  $\lambda$  is the eigenvalue of the operator  $-\Delta_p$  or not; i.e. if there exists a weak nontrivial solution of BVP

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Now we define the even functional

$$I(u) := \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p \, dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx} : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

and for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider set

$$\mathcal{F}_k := \left\{ \mathcal{A} \subset \{u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1\} : \right. \\ \left. \text{there exists a continuous odd surjection } h : S^k \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \right\},$$

where  $S^k$  represents the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ .

Pavel Drábek and Stephen B. Robinson proved in 1999 that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the number

$$\lambda_k := \inf_{\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}_k} \sup_{u \in \mathcal{A}} I(u)$$

is an eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_p$ . This situation is very interesting, because it is not known if this represents a complete list of eigenvalues<sup>1</sup> but it is known that:

<sup>1</sup> Nobody knows how to obtain all eigenvalues of  $-\Delta_p$ ; we only know that we have complete list of eigenvalues if  $N = 1$  or  $p = 2$ .

- $\lambda_1$  is the first eigenvalue,

$$\lambda_1 = \min\{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx; u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx = 1\},$$

there exists a unique positive corresponding eigenfunction  $\varphi_1$  whose norm in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is 1,

- $\lambda_2$  is the second eigenvalue,
- $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1, 2\} : 0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_k \leq \lambda_{k+1}$ ,
- $\lambda_k \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Pavel Drábek and Stephen B. Robinson assumed in their paper that function  $g$  is bounded and they found some sufficient conditions for solvability of our BVP (1). Now we are going to generalize these results for some not bounded function  $g$ .

## 2 The case $\lambda < \lambda_1$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If we suppose in addition that*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{g(x)}{|x|^{p-1}} = 0,$$

*then the BVP (1) has at least one weak solution.*

(It follows from Ekeland variational principle (see [6] and [1]) that the energy functional  $J$  has a global minimum in this case.)

## 3 The case $\lambda = \lambda_1$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let us define*

$$F(x) := \frac{p}{x} \int_0^x g(s) ds - g(x).$$

*We suppose*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{g(x)}{|x|^{p-1}} = 0$$

*and*

$$\overline{F(-\infty)} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_1(x) dx < (p-1) \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1(x) dx < \underline{F(+\infty)} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_1(x) dx, \quad (2)$$

or

$$\boxed{\overline{F(+\infty)} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_1(x) dx < (p-1) \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1(x) dx < \underline{F(-\infty)} \int_{\Omega} \varphi_1(x) dx,} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{F(-\infty)} &= \limsup_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x), & \underline{F(+\infty)} &= \liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x), \\ \overline{F(+\infty)} &= \limsup_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x), & \underline{F(-\infty)} &= \liminf_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then the BVP (1) has at least one weak solution.

(If (2) is satisfied that the energy functional  $J$  has a saddle point geometry while if (3) holds this functional attains its global minimum ... see [3].)

#### 4 The case $\lambda_k < \lambda < \lambda_{k+1}$ .

**Theorem 3.** We suppose

$$\boxed{\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{g(x)}{|x|^{p-1}} = 0}$$

and

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &\forall v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \lambda) \setminus \{0\} : \\ (p-1) \int_{\Omega} h(x)v(x) dx &< \underline{F(+\infty)} \int_{\substack{\{x \in \Omega: \\ v(x) > 0\}}} v(x) dx + \overline{F(-\infty)} \int_{\substack{\{x \in \Omega: \\ v(x) < 0\}}} v(x) dx, \end{aligned}} \quad (4)$$

or

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &\forall v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \lambda) \setminus \{0\} : \\ (p-1) \int_{\Omega} h(x)v(x) dx &> \overline{F(+\infty)} \int_{\substack{\{x \in \Omega: \\ v(x) > 0\}}} v(x) dx + \underline{F(-\infty)} \int_{\substack{\{x \in \Omega: \\ v(x) < 0\}}} v(x) dx, \end{aligned}} \quad (5)$$

and that

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &\forall v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \lambda) \setminus \{0\}, \|v\| = 1 : \\ (\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+) (\exists \eta(\delta) \in \mathbb{R}^+) : & \text{meas}\{x \in \Omega : |v(x)| \leq \eta(\delta)\} < \delta \\ & \text{("the strong unique continuation property").} \end{aligned}} \quad (6)$$

Then the BVP (1) has at least one weak solution. <sup>2</sup>

<sup>2</sup> Notice that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is not an eigenvalue of the  $-\Delta_p$ , i.e. if does not exist function  $v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \lambda) \setminus \{0\}$ , then the conditions (4), (5) and (6) are vacuously true.

(Proof of this theorem is based on application a saddle point theorem for linked sets ... see [1].)

## 5 The case $\lambda = \lambda_k$ .

**Theorem 4** ([1]). *We suppose*

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{g(x)}{|x|^{p-1}} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \lambda) \setminus \{0\} : \\ & (p-1) \int_{\Omega} h(x)v(x) dx < \overline{F(+\infty)} \int_{\substack{\{x \in \Omega: \\ v(x) > 0\}}} v(x) dx + \overline{F(-\infty)} \int_{\substack{\{x \in \Omega: \\ v(x) < 0\}}} v(x) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \lambda) \setminus \{0\} : \\ & (p-1) \int_{\Omega} h(x)v(x) dx > \overline{F(+\infty)} \int_{\substack{\{x \in \Omega: \\ v(x) > 0\}}} v(x) dx + \overline{F(-\infty)} \int_{\substack{\{x \in \Omega: \\ v(x) < 0\}}} v(x) dx, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \lambda) \setminus \{0\}, \|v\| = 1 : \\ & (\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+) (\exists \eta(\delta) \in \mathbb{R}^+) : \text{meas}\{x \in \Omega : |v(x)| \leq \eta(\delta)\} < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we assume that there exists sequence

$$\mu_n \searrow \lambda_k \text{ (if we assume (7))} \quad \text{or} \quad \mu_n \nearrow \lambda_k \text{ (if we assume (8))}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \forall v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \mu_n) \setminus \{0\}, \|v\| = 1 : \\ & (\forall \delta \in \mathbb{R}^+) (\exists \eta(\delta) \in \mathbb{R}^+) : \text{meas}\{x \in \Omega : |v(x)| \leq \eta(\delta)\} < \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Then the BVP (1) has at least one weak solution.

## 6 The one dimensional case.

At the finish we note: if we consider one dimensional problem and – for example –  $\Omega = (0, \pi)$ , i.e. if we consider BVP

$$\begin{cases} -(|u'|^{p-2}u')' = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + g(u) - h(x) \text{ in } (0, \pi), \\ u(0) = u(\pi) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

then situation is easier: we know all eigenvalues of the  $p$ -Laplacian<sup>3</sup> and we know that any eigenfunction satisfies "the strong unique continuation property". Therefore we can rewrite our results in this form:

**Theorem 5.** *We suppose*

$$\boxed{\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} \frac{g(x)}{|x|^{p-1}} = 0}$$

and

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &\forall v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \lambda) \setminus \{0\} : \\ &(p-1) \int_0^\pi h(x)v(x) dx < \underline{F(+\infty)} \int_0^\pi v^+(x) dx + \overline{F(-\infty)} \int_0^\pi v^-(x) dx, \end{aligned}}$$

or

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &\forall v \in \text{Ker}(-\Delta_p - \lambda) \setminus \{0\} : \\ &(p-1) \int_0^\pi h(x)v(x) dx > \overline{F(+\infty)} \int_0^\pi v^+(x) dx + \underline{F(-\infty)} \int_0^\pi v^-(x) dx, \end{aligned}}$$

where

$$v^+ := \max\{0, v\}, \quad v^- := \min\{0, v\}.$$

Then the BVP (9) has at least one weak solution  $u \in W_0^{1,p}(0, \pi)$ .

---

<sup>3</sup> All eigenvalues are described by the equalities

$$\lambda_k := \left( \frac{k\pi_p}{\pi} \right)^p = k^p \lambda_1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},$$

where

$$\pi_p := 2(p-1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^1 \frac{ds}{(1-s^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}}.$$

## References

1. Bouchala J., *Strong resonance for quasilinear boundary value problems*, PhD Thesis, FAV ZČU Plzeň, 2000.
2. Bouchala J., *Strong resonance problems for the  $p$  – Laplacian*, Proceedings of Seminar in Differential Equations, ZČU Plzeň, 2000, 115–122.
3. Bouchala J., Drábek P., *Strong resonance for some quasilinear elliptic equations*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **245**, 2000, 7–19.
4. Drábek P., Robinson S.B., *Resonance problems for the  $p$  – Laplacian*, Journal of Functional Analysis **169**, 1999, 189–200.
5. Tang C.L., *Solvability for two–point boundary value problems*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **216**, 1997, 368–374.
6. Willem M., *Minimax theorems*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1996.



