

Jan Mařík

Multiplication and transformation of derivatives

Real Anal. Exchange 9 (2) (1983/84), 313-316

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/502136>

**Terms of use:**

© Michigan State University Press, 1983

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://dml.cz>

T. Mařík, Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824

## MULTIPLICATION AND TRANSFORMATION OF DERIVATIVES

We shall investigate finite real functions on the interval  $J = [0,1]$ . For each system  $S$  of functions on  $J$  let  $S^+ [bS]$  be the system of all nonnegative [bounded] functions in  $S$ . Let  $D [L, C_{ap}]$  be the system of all derivatives [Lebesgue functions, approximately continuous functions] on  $J$ . Let  $H$  be the system of all increasing homeomorphisms of  $J$  onto  $J$ ,  $H_1 = \{h \in H; 0 < h' < \infty \text{ on } J\}$ ,  $Q = \{h \in H; f \circ h \in C_{ap} \text{ for each } f \in C_{ap}\}$  (where  $(f \circ h)(x) = f(h(x))$ ) and  $W = \{f \in D; f^2 \in D\}$ . For each system  $S \subset D$  let  $M(S) = \{\varphi \in D; \varphi f \in D \text{ for each } f \in S\}$  and  $T(S) = \{h \in H; f \circ h \in D \text{ for each } f \in S\}$ .

The systems  $Q$ ,  $M(D)$  and  $T(D)$  have been characterized in [1], [3] and [4], respectively; the system  $T(W)$  has been investigated in [2]. It is not difficult to show that

- (1)  $bC_{ap} \subset W \subset L \subset D \cap C_{ap}$ ,
- (2)  $M(D) \subset bC_{ap}$ ,  $M(L) = bD$ ,
- (3)  $L = \{fg; f, g \in W\}$ ,
- (4)  $Q = T(bC_{ap})$ .

We shall need the following two assertions:

(A<sub>1</sub>) Let  $h \in Q$ ,  $a \in J$ . Then there is a number  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|h(x) - h(a)|/|x - a|^\delta \rightarrow 0$  ( $x \rightarrow a$ ,  $x \in J$ ).

(A<sub>2</sub>) Let  $S \subset D$ ,  $h \in H_1$ ,  $g = h^{-1}$ . Then  $h \in T(S)$  if and only if  $g' \in M(S)$ .

The proof of (A<sub>1</sub>) can be found in [1]; the proof of (A<sub>2</sub>) is very simple.

Let  $f_1 \in bD^+ \setminus C_{ap}$ ,  $f_2 \in W^+ \setminus bD$  and let  $f_3 = w^2$ , where  $w$  is a function in  $W^+$  such that  $w^3 \notin D$ . By (1) - (3) we have  $f_1 \in M(L) \setminus M(D)$ ,  $f_2 \in M(W) \setminus M(L)$ ,  $f_3 \in M(C_{ap}) \setminus M(W)$ , and it follows easily from (A<sub>2</sub>) that the obvious inclusions

$$(5) \quad T(D) \subset T(L) \subset T(W) \subset T(bC_{ap})$$

are proper. We also see from (2) and (A<sub>2</sub>) that there is an  $h \in H_1 \setminus T(D)$  such that both functions  $h'$  and  $(h^{-1})'$  are bounded.

To formulate the main result (A<sub>3</sub>) we need the following notation: If  $f$  is a function on  $J$  and if  $x \in J$ , then  $\overline{D}f(x)$  [ $\underline{D}f(x)$ ] is the upper [lower] derivate of  $f$  at  $x$ ; if  $x \in \{0,1\}$ , we mean, of course, the corresponding unilateral derivates. If  $\gamma$  is a mapping of  $J$  to  $[0, \infty]$  and if  $a, b \in J$ ,  $a \neq b$ , then  $\sup(\gamma, a, b)$  means  $\sup\{\gamma(x); x \in I\}$ , where  $I$  is the closed interval with endpoints  $a, b$ . If  $\gamma(x) = \infty$  for some  $x \in I$ , let

$\text{var}(\gamma, a, b) = \infty$ ; otherwise let  $\text{var}(\gamma, a, b)$  be the variation of  $\gamma$  on  $I$ .

(A<sub>3</sub>) Let  $h \in H$ ,  $g = h^{-1}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a mapping of  $J$  to  $[0, \infty]$  such that  $\underline{D}g \leq \gamma \leq \overline{D}g$ . Then we have  $h \in T(L)$  if and only if

$$(6) \quad \limsup \frac{1}{g(x) - g(a)} \int_a^x \sup(\gamma, t, x) dt < \infty$$

( $x \rightarrow a$ ,  $x \in J$ ) for each  $a \in J$ ;

we have  $h \in T(D)$  if and only if

$$(7) \quad \limsup \frac{1}{g(x) - g(a)} \int_a^x \text{var}(\gamma, t, x) dt < \infty$$

( $x \rightarrow a$ ,  $x \in J$ ) for each  $a \in J$ .

The characterization of  $T(D)$  by (7) is different from the characterization given in [4].

It follows easily from (6) that the set  $\{x \in J; \underline{D}h(x) = 0\}$  is finite for each  $h \in T(L)$ . We see that there are infinitely differentiable functions in  $H \setminus T(L)$ . According to (A<sub>1</sub>), there are convex functions in  $H \setminus Q$ ; by (4) and (5), in  $H \setminus T(D)$ . It can be proved, however, that  $h \in T(D)$  for each convex function  $h \in Q \cap H$ .

It follows from (6) that  $h \in T(L)$ , if both  $h$  and  $h^{-1}$  are Lipschitz functions.

It is easy to prove that  $h \in T(D)$  for each  $h \in H_1$  such that  $h'$  is of bounded variation. It is, however, not difficult to construct a function  $h \in H$  such that  $h''$  is continuous and  $h' > 0$  on  $(0,1]$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.M. Bruckner, Density-preserving homeomorphisms and a theorem of Maximoff, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), (21) (1970), 337-347.
- [2] \_\_\_\_\_, On transformations of derivatives, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 48 (1975), 101-107.
- [3] R.J. Fleissner, Distant bounded variation and products of derivatives, Fund. Math. XCIV (1977), 1-11.
- [4] M. Laczkovich and G. Petruska, On the transformers of derivatives, Fund. Math. 1978, 179-199.