

Takao Ohno; Tetsu Shimomura

Riesz potentials and Sobolev-type inequalities in Orlicz-Morrey spaces of an integral form

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 73 (2023), No. 1, 263–276

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151516>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2023

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

RIESZ POTENTIALS AND SOBOLEV-TYPE INEQUALITIES
IN ORLICZ-MORREY SPACES OF AN INTEGRAL FORMTAKAO OHNO, Dannoharu Oita-City,
TETSU SHIMOMURA, Higashi-Hiroshima

Received April 6, 2022. Published online December 5, 2022.

Abstract. Our aim is to give Sobolev-type inequalities for Riesz potentials of functions in Orlicz-Morrey spaces of an integral form over non-doubling metric measure spaces as an extension of T. Ohno, T. Shimomura (2022). Our results are new even for the doubling metric measure spaces.

Keywords: Riesz potential; Sobolev's inequality; Orlicz-Morrey space; metric measure space; non-doubling measure

MSC 2020: 46E35, 46E30

1. INTRODUCTION

The space introduced by Morrey in 1938 (see [19]) has become a useful tool for the study of the existence and regularity of solutions of partial differential equations. For $0 < \alpha < N$ and a locally integrable function f on $\mathbb{R}^N$ the Riesz potential $U_\alpha f$ of order α is defined by

$$U_\alpha f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |x - y|^{\alpha - N} f(y) \, dy.$$

The well-known Sobolev inequality for $U_\alpha f$ was studied on Morrey spaces in [1], on generalized Morrey spaces in [20], on Orlicz spaces in [16] and on Orlicz-Morrey spaces in [21], see also [14], [15], [25], [32].

Mizuta and the second author in [17] studied a Sobolev-type inequality for $U_\alpha f$ for locally integrable functions f on $\mathbb{R}^N$ satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \sup_{x \in G} \left(\int_0^{d_G} r^{\nu - N} \varphi_1(r) \left(\int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)|^p \varphi_2(|f(y)|) \, dy \right) \frac{dr}{r} \right)^{1/p} < \infty,$$

where G is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $1 < p < \infty$, $0 < \nu \leq N$, $d_G = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in G\}$ and φ_i ($i = 1, 2$) are positive monotone functions on the interval $(0, \infty)$ satisfying

(φ) there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$c^{-1}\varphi_i(r) \leq \varphi_i(r^2) \leq c\varphi_i(r)$$

whenever $r > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$;

- (i) $r^{-\nu}\varphi_1(r)$ is nonincreasing on $(0, \infty)$;
- (ii) $\varphi_2(r)$ is nondecreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

As in [24], we denote by (X, d, μ) a metric measure space, where X is a bounded set, d is a metric on X and μ is a nonnegative complete Borel regular outer measure on X which is finite in every bounded set. We often write X instead of (X, d, μ) . For $x \in X$ and $r > 0$, we denote by $B(x, r)$ the open ball in X centered at x with radius r and $d_X = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in X\}$. We assume that $d_X < \infty$, $\mu(\{x\}) = 0$ for $x \in X$ and $0 < \mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$ for $x \in X$ and $r > 0$ for simplicity. We do not assume that μ has the so-called *doubling condition*. Recall that a Radon measure μ is said to be doubling if there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that $\mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq c_0\mu(B(x, r))$ for all $x \in \text{supp}(\mu) (= X)$ and $r > 0$, see [2]. Otherwise μ is said to be non-doubling, see [24], [29]. Note from [22], [23] that the doubling condition is not necessary for μ by using the modified maximal operator.

For $\tau \geq 1$ and $\alpha > 0$, we define the (modified) Riesz potential of order α for a locally integrable function f on X by

$$I_{\alpha, \tau} f(x) = \int_X \frac{d(x, y)^\alpha f(y)}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} d\mu(y),$$

see, e.g., [5], [18], [26], [29]. Based on the idea of [34] by Stempak, we cannot remove the number τ in the non-doubling metric measure setting, see [28]. When $X = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\mu = dx$, $I_{\alpha, \tau} f$ is equal to $U_\alpha f$. In the doubling metric measure setting we refer to e.g. [4], [10], [11], [24], [29] for other types of Riesz potentials.

In the previous paper [24], we established Sobolev-type inequalities for $I_{\alpha, \tau} f$ of functions in Morrey spaces $\mathcal{L}^{p, \omega, \theta}(X)$ of an integral form over non-doubling metric measure spaces as an extension of Theorem 5.4 of [17] from the Euclidean case.

In the present paper we will extend Theorem 3.3 of [24] from Morrey spaces of an integral form to Orlicz-Morrey spaces of an integral form. In fact, we establish a Sobolev-type inequality for $I_{\alpha, \tau} f$ of functions in Orlicz-Morrey spaces $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ of an integral form defined by general functions Φ and ω over non-doubling metric measure spaces X (see Theorem 4.4) as an extension of Theorem 3.3 of [24]. The result is new even in the case when X is a doubling metric measure space, see

Theorem 4.8. Our strategy is to apply the boundedness of the (modified) Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M_λ (see Theorem 3.5) and Hedberg's trick, see [7]. See Sections 2 and 3 for the definitions of $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ and M_λ .

For Trudinger-type inequalities in Orlicz-Morrey spaces of an integral form over non-doubling metric measure spaces, we refer to [9].

Throughout the paper, we let C denote various constants independent of the variables in question and $C(a, b, \dots)$ be a constant that depends on $a, b, \dots$ only. The symbol $g \sim h$ means that $C^{-1}h \leq g \leq Ch$ for some constant $C > 0$.

2. ORLICZ-MORREY SPACES OF AN INTEGRAL FORM

In this section we define Orlicz-Morrey spaces $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ of an integral form. Before we define $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ we state the assumptions on the functions Φ and ω .

We consider a function

$$\Phi(t): [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

satisfying the following conditions (Φ1)–(Φ3):

(Φ1) $\Phi(\cdot)$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$;

(Φ2) $A_1 = \Phi(1) > 0$;

(Φ3) $t \mapsto \Phi(t)/t$ is almost increasing on $(0, \infty)$, i.e., there exists a constant $A_2 \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{\Phi(t_1)}{t_1} \leq \frac{A_2 \Phi(t_2)}{t_2} \quad \text{whenever } 0 < t_1 < t_2.$$

We write $\bar{\phi}(t) = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} (\Phi(s)/s)$ and $\bar{\Phi}(t) = \int_0^t \bar{\phi}(r) dr$ for $t \geq 0$. Then $\bar{\Phi}(\cdot)$ is convex and

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \bar{\Phi}(t) \leq A_2 \Phi(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

We also consider a weight function $\omega(r): (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

(ω0) $\omega(\cdot)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$;

(ω1) $r \mapsto \omega(r)$ is almost increasing on $(0, \infty)$, i.e., there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$\omega(r_1) \leq \tilde{c}_1 \omega(r_2) \quad \text{whenever } 0 < r_1 < r_2 < \infty;$$

(ω2) there exists a constant $\tilde{c}_2 > 1$ such that

$$\tilde{c}_2^{-1} \omega(r) \leq \omega(2r) \leq \tilde{c}_2 \omega(r) \quad \text{whenever } r > 0;$$

(ω3) there exist constants $\omega_0 > 0$ and $\tilde{c}_3 \geq 1$ such that

$$\tilde{c}_3^{-1} r^{\omega_0} \leq \omega(r) \leq \tilde{c}_3 \quad \text{for all } 0 < r \leq 2d_X.$$

Let us write that $L_c(t) = \log(c+t)$ for $c > 1$ and $t \geq 0$, $L_c^{(1)}(t) = L_c(t)$, $L_c^{(j+1)}(t) = L_c(L_c^{(j)}(t))$.

Example 2.1. Let $0 < \sigma < \omega_0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$\omega(r) = r^\sigma L_e\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta$$

satisfies $(\omega 0)$, $(\omega 1)$, $(\omega 2)$ and $(\omega 3)$.

Recall that f is a locally integrable function on X if f is an integrable function on all balls B in X . Let $\theta \geq 1$. In connection with (1.1), given $\Phi(t)$ and $\omega(r)$ as above, we define the $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}$ norm by

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0; \sup_{x \in X} \left(\int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(x, \theta r))} \left(\int_{B(x, r)} \bar{\Phi}\left(\frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda}\right) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \right) \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The space of all measurable functions f on X with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)} < \infty$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$. The space $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ is called an *Orlicz-Morrey space of integral form*. Here note that $2d_X$ can be replaced by κd_X with $\kappa > 1$. In case $\Phi(t) = t^p$, $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{L}^{p, \omega, \theta}(X)$ for simplicity.

See [3] for another space corresponding to Orlicz-Morrey spaces of an integral form.

Remark 2.2. In [17], Mizuta and the second author treated the case when $X = \mathbb{R}^N$ and $\Phi(t)$ and $\omega(r)$ are of the form $\Phi(t) = t^p \varphi_2(t)$, and $\omega(r) = r^\nu \varphi_1(r)$ as in (1.1).

We shall also consider the following conditions for $\Phi(t)$: Let $p \geq 1$ and $q \geq 1$ be given.

$(\Phi 3; 0; p)$ $t \mapsto t^{-p} \Phi(t)$ is almost increasing on $(0, 1]$, i.e., there exists a constant $A_{2,0,p} \geq 1$ such that

$$t_1^{-p} \Phi(t_1) \leq A_{2,0,p} t_2^{-p} \Phi(t_2) \quad \text{whenever } 0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq 1;$$

$(\Phi 3; \infty; q)$ $t \mapsto t^{-q} \Phi(t)$ is almost increasing on $[1, \infty)$, i.e., there exists a constant $A_{2,\infty,q} \geq 1$ such that

$$t_1^{-q} \Phi(t_1) \leq A_{2,\infty,q} t_2^{-q} \Phi(t_2) \quad \text{whenever } 1 \leq t_1 < t_2.$$

Remark 2.3. If $\Phi(t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 3; 0; p)$, then it satisfies $(\Phi 3; 0; p')$ for $1 \leq p' \leq p$. If $\Phi(t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 3; \infty; q)$, then it satisfies $(\Phi 3; \infty; q')$ for $1 \leq q' \leq q$.

Example 2.4. Let $1 < p_1 < \infty$ and $q_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Then,

$$\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t) = t^{p_1} \prod_{j=1}^k (L_e^{(j)}(t))^{q_j}$$

satisfies $(\Phi 1)$, $(\Phi 2)$ and $(\Phi 3)$. This function satisfies $(\Phi 3; 0; p)$ and $(\Phi 3; \infty; q)$ for $1 \leq p < p_1$ and $1 \leq q < p_1$ in general, and for $1 \leq p \leq p_1$ and $1 \leq q \leq p_1$ in case $q_j \geq 0$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$, see [13].

3. BOUNDEDNESS OF THE MAXIMAL OPERATOR

For a locally integrable function f on X and $\lambda \geq 1$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function $M_\lambda f$ is defined by

$$M_\lambda f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\mu(B(x, \lambda r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| d\mu(y).$$

For $\lambda \geq 1$, we say that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$ if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \mu(\{x \in X : M_\lambda f(x) > k\}) \leq \frac{C}{k} \int_X |f(y)| d\mu(y)$$

for all measurable functions $f \in L^1(X)$ and $k > 0$. In (3.1), we cannot reduce the number λ any more, see [34].

Note from [8] that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$ for any $\lambda > 0$ if μ satisfies the doubling condition. For $(M\lambda)$, see e.g. [6], [22], [23], [27], [35], [36] and Remark 2.2 of [24].

We know the following result.

Lemma 3.1 ([30], Lemma 2.1). *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and let $\lambda \geq 1$. Suppose X satisfies $(M\lambda)$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_X \{M_\lambda f(x)\}^p d\mu(x) \leq C$$

for all measurable functions f on X with $\|f\|_{L^p(X)} \leq 1$.

By Lemma 3.1, we can prove the next lemma.

Lemma 3.2 ([24], Theorem 2.4). *Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$ and $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$. Further suppose*

$(\omega 1')$ $r \mapsto r^{-\varepsilon_1} \omega(r)$ is almost increasing in $(0, d_X]$ for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$.

If $p > 1$, then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|M_\lambda f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \omega, \theta_2}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{p, \omega, \theta_1}(X)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{p, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$.

Remark 3.3. Note that $(\omega 1')$ implies $(\omega 1)$. Let $\omega(r) = r^\sigma L_e(1/r)^\beta$ be as in Example 2.1. Then note that $(\omega 1')$ holds for $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \sigma$.

Remark 3.4. In [18], [29], [33], the parameters θ_1 and θ_2 were needed to prove the boundedness of M_λ on non-doubling Morrey spaces. We refer to [31] for modified Morrey spaces over metric measure spaces.

We can show the next theorem using Lemma 3.2.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$ and $\lambda > \theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$. Suppose $\Phi(t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 3; 0; p)$ and $(\Phi 3; \infty; q)$ for $p > 1$ and $q > 1$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$ and $(\omega 1')$ holds. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|M_\lambda f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_2}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$.

Proof. Set $p_0 = \min(p, q)$. Then $p_0 > 1$. Consider the function

$$\Phi_0(t) = \Phi(t)^{1/p_0}.$$

Then note from Remark 2.3 that $\Phi_0(t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 1)$, $(\Phi 2)$ and $(\Phi 3)$. Therefore, as in (2.1), there exists a convex function $\tilde{\Phi}_0(t)$ such that

$$\Phi_0\left(\frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \tilde{\Phi}_0(t) \leq C_1 \Phi_0(t)$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and some constant $C_1 > 0$. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on X with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Jensen's inequality implies

$$\Phi_0\left(\frac{M_\lambda f(x)}{2}\right) \leq \tilde{\Phi}_0(M_\lambda f(x)) \leq M_\lambda[\tilde{\Phi}_0(f(\cdot))](x) \leq C_1 M_\lambda[\Phi_0(f(\cdot))](x),$$

so that

$$\Phi\left(\frac{M_\lambda f(x)}{2}\right) \leq C_1^{p_0} [M_\lambda[\Phi_0(f(\cdot))](x)]^{p_0}$$

for all $x \in X$. Since $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta_1}(X)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, by (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_1 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \Phi_0(f(y))^{p_0} d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ = \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_1 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \Phi(f(y)) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in X$. Hence, applying Lemma 3.2 to $\Phi_0(f(y))$, we obtain by (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \bar{\Phi} \left(\frac{M_\lambda f(x)}{2} \right) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ & \leq A_2 \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} \Phi \left(\frac{M_\lambda f(x)}{2} \right) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ & \leq A_2 C_1^{p_0} \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z, r)} [M_\lambda [\Phi_0(f(\cdot))](x)]^{p_0} d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \leq C \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in X$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Example 3.6. Theorem 3.5 applies e.g. to the following non-doubling functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_1(t) &= e^{p_1 t} - p_1 t - 1 \quad (0 < p_1 < \infty), \quad \Phi_2(t) = e^{t p_1} \quad (1 \leq p_1 < \infty), \\ \Phi_3(t) &= e^{t p_1} - 1 \quad (1 \leq p_1 < \infty) \end{aligned}$$

which satisfy (Φ_1) , (Φ_2) and (Φ_3) . For the conditions on p and q such that $(\Phi_3; 0; p)$ and $(\Phi_3; \infty; q)$ hold, see Examples 3–5 of [13] for details.

4. SOBOLEV-TYPE INEQUALITY

We recall a lemma for an auxiliary function with certain properties which we are going to need.

Lemma 4.1 ([12], Lemma 5.1). *Let $F(t)$ be a positive function on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (F1) $F(\cdot)$ is continuous on $(0, \infty)$;
- (F2) $K_1 = F(1) > 0$;
- (F3) $t \mapsto t^{-\varepsilon'} F(t)$ is almost increasing for some $\varepsilon' > 0$; i.e., there exists a constant $K_2 \geq 1$ such that

$$t_1^{-\varepsilon'} F(t_1) \leq K_2 t_2^{-\varepsilon'} F(t_2) \quad \text{whenever } 0 < t_1 < t_2.$$

Set $F^{-1}(s) = \sup\{t > 0: F(t) < s\}$ for $s > 0$. Then:

- (1) $F^{-1}(\cdot)$ is nondecreasing.
- (2) $F^{-1}(\lambda t) \leq (K_2 \lambda)^{1/\varepsilon'} F^{-1}(t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $\lambda \geq 1$.
- (3) $F(F^{-1}(t)) = t$ for all $t > 0$.
- (4) $K_2^{-1/\varepsilon'} t \leq F^{-1}(F(t)) \leq K_2^{2/\varepsilon'} t$ for all $t > 0$.
- (5) $\min\left\{1, \left(\frac{s}{K_1 K_2}\right)^{1/\varepsilon'}\right\} \leq F^{-1}(s) \leq \max\{1, (K_1 K_2 s)^{1/\varepsilon'}\}$ for all $s > 0$.

Remark 4.2. Note that $F(t) = \Phi(t)$ is a function satisfying (F1), (F2) and (F3) with $K_1 = A_1, K_2 = A_2$ and $\varepsilon' = 1$.

We consider the following condition:

$(\Phi\omega\alpha)$ There exist constants $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and $A_4 \geq 1$ such that

$$r_2^{\varepsilon_2+\alpha}\Phi^{-1}(\omega(r_2)^{-1}) \leq A_4 r_1^{\varepsilon_2+\alpha}\Phi^{-1}(\omega(r_1)^{-1})$$

for all $x \in X$ whenever $0 < r_1 < r_2 < d_X$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $1 \leq \theta < \tau$. Assume that $(\Phi\omega\alpha)$ holds. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^\alpha f(y)}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} d\mu(y) \leq C \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1})$$

for all $x \in X$, $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}d_X$ and nonnegative $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)} \leq 1$.

Proof. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function with $\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $x \in X$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}d_X$. We find by $(\Phi 3)$ and Lemma 4.1 (3) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^\alpha f(y)}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} d\mu(y) \\ & \leq \int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} \Phi^{-1}(\omega(d(x, y))^{-1}) d\mu(y) \\ & \quad + A_2 \int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^\alpha f(y)}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} \\ & \quad \times \frac{f(y)^{-1} \Phi(f(y))}{\{\Phi^{-1}(\omega(d(x, y))^{-1})\}^{-1} \Phi(\Phi^{-1}(\omega(d(x, y))^{-1}))} d\mu(y) \\ & = \int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} \Phi^{-1}(\omega(d(x, y))^{-1}) d\mu(y) \\ & \quad + A_2 \int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^\alpha \omega(d(x, y)) \Phi^{-1}(\omega(d(x, y))^{-1})}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} \Phi(f(y)) d\mu(y) \\ & = I_1 + A_2 I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let j_0 be the smallest integer such that $\tau^{j_0} \delta \geq d_X$. By $(\omega 1)$, $(\omega 2)$, Lemma 4.1 (2) and $(\Phi\omega\alpha)$, we have

$$I_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} \int_{B(x, \tau^j \delta) \setminus B(x, \tau^{j-1} \delta)} \frac{d(x, y)^\alpha}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} \Phi^{-1}(\omega(d(x, y))^{-1}) d\mu(y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} (\tau^j \delta)^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\tau^j \delta)^{-1}) \leq C \int_{\delta}^{\tau^{d_X}} \varrho^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\varrho)^{-1}) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \\
&\leq C \int_{\delta}^{d_X} \varrho^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\varrho)^{-1}) \frac{d\varrho}{\varrho} \leq C \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, for $\gamma = \tau\theta^{-1} > 1$, let j_1 be the smallest positive integer such that $\gamma^{j_1/2}\delta \geq d_X$. Then we have by $(\Phi\omega\alpha)$ and Lemma 4.1 (2)

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq C \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1}) \int_{X \setminus B(x, \delta)} \frac{\omega(d(x, y))}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} \Phi(f(y)) d\mu(y) \\
&= C \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{j_1} \int_{B(x, \gamma^{j/2}\delta) \setminus B(x, \gamma^{(j-1)/2}\delta)} \frac{\omega(d(x, y))}{\mu(B(x, \tau d(x, y)))} \Phi(f(y)) d\mu(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by $(\omega 1)$ and $(\omega 2)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq C \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{j_1} \frac{\omega(\gamma^{j/2}\delta)}{\mu(B(x, \gamma^{(j+1)/2}\theta\delta))} \int_{B(x, \gamma^{j/2}\delta)} \Phi(f(y)) d\mu(y) \\
&\leq C \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1}) \sum_{j=1}^{j_1} \int_{\gamma^{j/2}\delta}^{\gamma^{(j+1)/2}\delta} \frac{\omega(t)}{\mu(B(x, \theta t))} \left(\int_{B(x, t)} \Phi(f(y)) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq C \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1}) \int_{\gamma^{1/2}\delta}^{\gamma^{d_X}} \frac{\omega(t)}{\mu(B(x, \theta t))} \left(\int_{B(x, t)} \Phi(f(y)) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq C \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1}) \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(t)}{\mu(B(x, \theta t))} \left(\int_{B(x, t)} \Phi(f(y)) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \\
&\leq C \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the result required. $\square$

Before we state our main theorem we present assumptions for the function in the Sobolev-type inequality. We consider a function

$$\Psi(t): [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

that satisfies $(\Phi 1)$ – $(\Phi 3)$ and

$(\Psi\Phi)$ there exists a constant $A' \geq 1$ such that

$$\Psi(t(\omega^{-1}(\Phi(t)^{-1}))^\alpha) \leq A' \Phi(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 1.$$

As an application of M_λ , we establish a Sobolev-type inequality for $I_{\alpha, \tau} f$ of functions in $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, \theta}(X)$ over non-doubling metric measure spaces, which is an extension of Theorem 3.3 of [24].

Theorem 4.4. Let X be a non-doubling metric measure space. Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$ and $\theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1) < \lambda \leq \tau$. Suppose $\Phi(t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 3; 0; p)$ and $(\Phi 3; \infty; q)$ for $p > 1$ and $q > 1$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$, and $(\omega 1')$ and $(\Phi\omega\alpha)$ hold. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|I_{\alpha,\tau}f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Psi,\omega,\theta_2}(X)} \leq C\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi,\omega,\theta_1}(X)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi,\omega,\theta_1}(X)$.

Proof. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on X such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi,\omega,\theta_1}(X)} \leq 1.$$

Let $x \in X$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}d_X$. By Lemma 4.3, we find

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\alpha,\tau}f(x) &= \int_{B(x,\delta)} \frac{d(x,y)^\alpha f(y)}{\mu(B(x,\tau d(x,y)))} d\mu(y) + \int_{X \setminus B(x,\delta)} \frac{d(x,y)^\alpha f(y)}{\mu(B(x,\tau d(x,y)))} d\mu(y) \\ &\leq C\{\delta^\alpha M_\lambda f(x) + \delta^\alpha \Phi^{-1}(\omega(\delta)^{-1})\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\omega^{-1}(\Phi(M_\lambda f(x))^{-1}) \geq \frac{1}{2}d_X$, then, taking $\delta = \frac{1}{2}d_X$, we have $I_{\alpha,\tau}f(x) \leq C$ by Lemma 4.1, $(\omega 1)$ and $(\omega 3)$. If $\omega^{-1}(\Phi(M_\lambda f(x))^{-1}) < \frac{1}{2}d_X$, then take $\delta = \omega^{-1}(\Phi(M_\lambda f(x))^{-1})$. Thus, we have

$$I_{\alpha,\tau}f(x) \leq CM_\lambda f(x)(\omega^{-1}(\Phi(M_\lambda f(x))^{-1}))^\alpha$$

by Lemma 4.1. Therefore, we obtain

$$I_{\alpha,\tau}f(x) \leq C_1 \max\{M_\lambda f(x)(\omega^{-1}(\Phi(M_\lambda f(x))^{-1}))^\alpha, 1\},$$

so that by $(\Psi\Phi)$, we have

$$\Psi\left(\frac{I_{\alpha,\tau}f(x)}{C_1}\right) \leq C\{\Psi(M_\lambda f(x)(\omega^{-1}(\Phi(M_\lambda f(x))^{-1}))^\alpha) + 1\} \leq C\{\Phi(M_\lambda f(x)) + 1\}.$$

Therefore, we obtain by Theorem 3.5

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z,r)} \Psi\left(\frac{I_{\alpha,\tau}f(x)}{C_1}\right) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_0^{2d_X} \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(z, \theta_2 r))} \left(\int_{B(z,r)} \Phi(M_\lambda f(x)) d\mu(x) \right) \frac{dr}{r} + \int_0^{2d_X} \omega(r) \frac{dr}{r} \right\} \\ &\leq C \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in X$ since there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\int_0^{2d_X} \omega(r) \frac{dr}{r} = \int_0^{2d_X} r^{-\varepsilon_1} \omega(r) r^{\varepsilon_1} \frac{dr}{r} \leq C \int_0^{2d_X} r^{\varepsilon_1} \frac{dr}{r} \leq C_2$$

by $(\omega 1')$ and $(\omega 3)$. This completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

Remark 4.5. Let $\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t) = t^{p_1} \prod_{j=1}^k (L_e^{(j)}(t))^{q_j} = t^{p_1} Q(t)$ be as in Example 2.4 and $\omega(r) = r^\sigma L_e(1/r)^\beta$ be as in Example 2.1. Note that the condition $(\Phi\omega\alpha)$ holds if $\sigma/p_1 - \alpha > 0$. Set

$$\Psi(t) = [\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t)]^{p_1^*/p_1} L_e(t)^{p_1^* \alpha \beta / \sigma},$$

where $1/p_1^* = 1/p_1 - \alpha/\sigma$. Since $\omega^{-1}(r) \sim r^{1/\sigma} L_e(1/r)^{-\beta/\sigma}$, we see that

$$t(\omega^{-1}(\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t)^{-1}))^\alpha \sim t^{p_1/p_1^*} Q(t)^{-\alpha/\sigma} L_e(t)^{-\alpha\beta/\sigma}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Psi(t(\omega^{-1}(\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t)^{-1}))^\alpha) \leq C t^{p_1} Q(t) = C \Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t).$$

Thus, $\Psi(t)$ satisfies the condition $(\Psi\Phi)$.

The next example shows that $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}, \omega, \theta}(X) \neq \{0\}$.

Example 4.6. Let $X_1 = \{(x_1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2: 0 \leq x_1 < 1\}$ and $X_2 = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2: |(x_1, x_2)| < 1, x_1 < 0\}$, and define $(X, d, \mu) = (X_1, d_2, m_1) \cup (X_2, d_2, m_2)$, where d_2 denotes the 2-dimensional Euclidean distance and m_i denotes the i -dimensional Lebesgue measure. It is easy to show that μ is non-doubling. Since X is a separable metric space, X satisfies $(M\lambda)$ for $\lambda \geq 2$, see [27].

Let $\theta \geq 1$. Consider the function

$$f(y) = d_2(0, y)^{-a} \chi_{X_2}(y)$$

for $y = (y_1, y_2) \in X_1 \cup X_2$ and $a < \min\{2/p_1, \sigma/p_1\}$. Then note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^4 \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(x, \theta r))} \left(\int_{B(x, r)} \Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(|f(y)|) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ & \leq C \int_0^4 \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(x, \theta r))} \left(\int_{B(0, r) \cap X_2} \Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(|f(y)|) d\mu(y) \right) \frac{dr}{r} \\ & \leq C \int_0^4 \frac{\omega(r)}{\mu(B(x, \theta r))} r^{2-ap_1} Q\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{dr}{r} \leq C \int_0^4 r^{\sigma-ap_1} Q\left(\frac{1}{r}\right) L_e\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^\beta \frac{dr}{r} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for all $x = (x_1, x_2) \in X_1 \cup X_2$ since $\mu(B(x, \theta r)) \geq C r^2$ for all $x \in X_1 \cup X_2$ and $0 < r < 4$. Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}, \omega, \theta}(X)$, so that $\mathcal{L}^{\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}, \omega, \theta}(X) \neq \{0\}$.

Applying Theorem 4.4 to special $\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}$ and ω given above, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.7. *Let X be a non-doubling metric measure space. Let $\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t)$ and $\omega(r)$ be as in Examples 2.4 and 2.1. Let $1 \leq \theta_1 < \theta_2$ and $\theta_1(\theta_2 + 1)/(\theta_2 - \theta_1) < \lambda \leq \tau$. Assume that X satisfies $(M\lambda)$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|I_{\alpha, \tau} f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Psi, \omega, \theta_2}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}, \omega, \theta_1}(X)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}, \omega, \theta_1}(X)$, where

$$\Psi(t) = [\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t)]^{p_1^*/p_1} L_e(t)^{p_1^* \alpha \beta / \sigma}$$

with $1/p_1^* = 1/p_1 - \alpha/\sigma > 0$.

Noting that for $c > 0$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1} \mu(B(x, r)) \leq \mu(B(x, cr)) \leq C \mu(B(x, r))$$

for all $x \in X$ and $0 < r < d_X$ by the doubling condition of μ , we can prove the following theorem for the doubling metric measure case as in the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a doubling metric measure space. Suppose $\Phi(t)$ satisfies $(\Phi 3; 0; p)$ and $(\Phi 3; \infty; q)$ for $p > 1$ and $q > 1$. Assume that $(\omega 1')$ and $(\Phi \omega \alpha)$ hold. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|I_{\alpha, 1} f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Psi, \omega, 1}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, 1}(X)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi, \omega, 1}(X)$.

Corollary 4.9. *Let X be a doubling metric measure space. Let $\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t)$ and $\omega(r)$ be as in Examples 2.4 and 2.1. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|I_{\alpha, 1} f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Psi, \omega, 1}(X)} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}, \omega, 1}(X)}$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{L}^{\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}, \omega, 1}(X)$, where

$$\Psi(t) = [\Phi_{p_1, \{q_j\}}(t)]^{p_1^*/p_1} L_e(t)^{p_1^* \alpha \beta / \sigma}$$

with $1/p_1^* = 1/p_1 - \alpha/\sigma > 0$.

Acknowledgement. We would like to express our deep thanks to the referee for carefully reading the manuscript and giving kind comments and useful suggestions.

References

- [1] *D. R. Adams*: A note on Riesz potentials. *Duke Math. J.* **42** (1975), 765–778. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *A. Björn, J. Björn*: Nonlinear Potential Theory on Metric Spaces. EMS Tracts in Mathematics 17. European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2011. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *V. I. Burenkov, H. V. Guliyev*: Necessary and sufficient conditions for boundedness of the maximal operator in local Morrey-type spaces. *Stud. Math.* **163** (2004), 157–176. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *D. V. Cruz-Uribe, P. Shukla*: The boundedness of fractional maximal operators on variable Lebesgue spaces over spaces of homogeneous type. *Stud. Math.* **242** (2018), 109–139. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *P. Hajlasz, P. Koskela*: Sobolev met Poincaré. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* **688** (2000), 101 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *D. Hashimoto, Y. Sawano, T. Shimomura*: Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality for generalized Riesz potentials of functions in Musielak-Orlicz spaces over quasi-metric measure spaces. *Colloq. Math.* **161** (2020), 51–66. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *L. I. Hedberg*: On certain convolution inequalities. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **36** (1972), 505–510. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *J. Heinonen*: Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces. Universitext. Springer, New York, 2001. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *R. Hurri-Syrjänen, T. Ohno, T. Shimomura*: On Trudinger-type inequalities in Orlicz-Morrey spaces of an integral form. *Can. Math. Bull.* **64** (2021), 75–90. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *A. Kairema*: Two-weight norm inequalities for potential type and maximal operators in a metric space. *Publ. Mat., Barc.* **57** (2013), 3–56. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *A. Kairema*: Sharp weighted bounds for fractional integral operators in a space of homogeneous type. *Math. Scand.* **114** (2014), 226–253. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *F.-Y. Maeda, Y. Mizuta, T. Ohno, T. Shimomura*: Boundedness of maximal operators and Sobolev’s inequality on Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **137** (2013), 76–96. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [13] *F.-Y. Maeda, T. Ohno, T. Shimomura*: Boundedness of the maximal operator on Musielak-Orlicz-Morrey spaces. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **69** (2017), 483–495. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *Y. Mizuta, E. Nakai, T. Ohno, T. Shimomura*: An elementary proof of Sobolev embeddings for Riesz potentials of functions in Morrey spaces $L^{1,\nu,\beta}(G)$. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **38** (2008), 425–436. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [15] *Y. Mizuta, E. Nakai, T. Ohno, T. Shimomura*: Boundedness of fractional integral operators on Morrey spaces and Sobolev embeddings for generalized Riesz potentials. *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **62** (2010), 707–744. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] *Y. Mizuta, T. Shimomura*: Continuity properties of Riesz potentials of Orlicz functions. *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **61** (2009), 225–240. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [17] *Y. Mizuta, T. Shimomura*: Sobolev’s inequality for Riesz potentials of functions in Morrey spaces of integral form. *Math. Nachr.* **283** (2010), 1336–1352. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [18] *Y. Mizuta, T. Shimomura, T. Sobukawa*: Sobolev’s inequality for Riesz potentials of functions in non-doubling Morrey spaces. *Osaka J. Math.* **46** (2009), 255–271. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [19] *C. B. Morrey, Jr.*: On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic partial differential equations. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **43** (1938), 126–166. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [20] *E. Nakai*: Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, singular integral operators and the Riesz potentials on generalized Morrey spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **166** (1994), 95–103. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [21] *E. Nakai*: Generalized fractional integrals on Orlicz-Morrey spaces. *Banach and Function Spaces*. Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2004, pp. 323–333. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [22] *F. Nazarov, S. Treil, A. Volberg*: Cauchy integral and Calderón-Zygmund operators on nonhomogeneous spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **1997** (1997), 703–726. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

- [23] *F. Nazarov, S. Treil, A. Volberg*: Weak type estimates and Cotlar inequalities for Calderón-Zygmund operators on nonhomogeneous spaces. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* *1998* (1998), 463–487. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [24] *T. Ohno, T. Shimomura*: On Sobolev-type inequalities on Morrey spaces of an integral form. *Taiwanese J. Math.* *26* (2022), 831–845. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [25] *J. Peetre*: On the theory of $L_{p,\lambda}$ spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.* *4* (1969), 71–87. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [26] *N. G. Samko, S. G. Samko, B. G. Vakulov*: Weighted Sobolev theorem in Lebesgue spaces with variable exponent. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* *335* (2007), 560–583. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [27] *Y. Sawano*: Sharp estimates of the modified Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator on the nonhomogeneous space via covering lemmas. *Hokkaido Math. J.* *34* (2005), 435–458. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [28] *Y. Sawano, M. Shigematsu, T. Shimomura*: Generalized Riesz potentials of functions in Morrey spaces $L^{(1,\varphi;\kappa)}(G)$ over non-doubling measure spaces. *Forum Math.* *32* (2020), 339–359. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [29] *Y. Sawano, T. Shimomura*: Sobolev embeddings for Riesz potentials of functions in non-doubling Morrey spaces of variable exponents. *Collect. Math.* *64* (2013), 313–350. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [30] *Y. Sawano, T. Shimomura*: Maximal operator on Orlicz spaces of two variable exponents over unbounded quasi-metric measure spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* *147* (2019), 2877–2885. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [31] *Y. Sawano, T. Shimomura, H. Tanaka*: A remark on modified Morrey spaces on metric measure spaces. *Hokkaido Math. J.* *47* (2018), 1–15. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [32] *J. Serrin*: A remark on the Morrey potential. *Control Methods in PDE-Dynamical Systems*. Contemporary Mathematics 426. AMS, Providence, 2007, pp. 307–315. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [33] *I. Sihwaningrum, Y. Sawano*: Weak and strong type estimates for fractional integral operators on Morrey spaces over metric measure spaces. *Eurasian Math. J.* *4* (2013), 76–81. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [34] *K. Stempak*: Examples of metric measure spaces related to modified Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math.* *41* (2016), 313–314. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [35] *J.-O. Strömberg*: Weak type L^1 estimates for maximal functions on non-compact symmetric spaces. *Ann. Math. (2)* *114* (1981), 115–126. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [36] *Y. Terasawa*: Outer measures and weak type $(1, 1)$ estimates of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operators. *J. Inequal. Appl.* *2006* (2006), Article ID 15063, 13 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' addresses: Takao Ohno (corresponding author), Faculty of Education, Oita University, Dannoharu Oita-city 870-1192, Japan, e-mail: t-ohno@oita-u.ac.jp; Tetsu Shimomura, Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Humanities and Social Sciences, Hiroshima University, 1-1-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima 739-8524, Japan, e-mail: tshimo@hiroshima-u.ac.jp.