Sergei Logunov On butterfly-points in βX , Tychonoff products and weak Lindelöf numbers

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 63 (2022), No. 3, 379–383

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151483

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

On butterfly-points in βX , Tychonoff products and weak Lindelöf numbers

SERGEI LOGUNOV

Abstract. Let X be the Tychonoff product $\prod_{\alpha < \tau} X_{\alpha}$ of τ -many Tychonoff nonsingle point spaces X_{α} . Let $p \in X^*$ be a point in the closure of some $G \subset X$ whose weak Lindelöf number is strictly less than the cofinality of τ . Then we show that $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal. Under some additional assumptions, p is a butterfly-point in βX . In particular, this is true if either $X = \omega^{\tau}$ or $X = R^{\tau}$ and τ is infinite and not countably cofinal.

Keywords: Butterfly-point; non-normality point; Čech–Stone compactification; Tychonoff product; weak Lindelöf number

Classification: 54D15, 54D35, 54D40, 54D80, 54E35, 54G20

1. Introduction

Let $X^* = \beta X \setminus X$ be the remainder of the Čech–Stone compactification βX of the Tychonoff space X. One of the most classical and intriguing question in the theory of the countable discrete space $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$ is the following, see [3]:

Is $\omega^* \setminus \{p\}$ not normal for any point p of ω^* ?

Despite great efforts so far it was only partially solved, see for example [2], [1] and [9]. But it could be answered for crowded spaces, see for example [4], [5] and [8]. It is closely related to the following concept of B. Shapirovskij: a point pof X is called a b-point or a butterfly-point in X, if there are subsets F and G of $X \setminus \{p\}$ such that $\{p\} = [F] \cap [G]$, see [7]. We say that a point p of X^{*} is a b-point in βX if there are subsets F and G of $X^* \setminus \{p\}$ with the following properties: $\{p\} = [F] \cap [G]$ and $[F \cup G] \subset X^*$. It clearly implies that $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal. In [6] the following results were obtained:

Theorem. Let a space $X = \prod_{\alpha < \tau} X_{\alpha}$ be the Tychonoff product of τ -many nonsingle point Tychonoff spaces X_{α} . Let a point $p \in X^*$ be in the closure of some subset $G \subset X$ with $C(G) < cf(\tau)$. Then $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal.

DOI 10.14712/1213-7243.2022.023

S. Logunov

We denote by $cf(\tau)$ the cofinality of τ , d(X) the density and C(X) the Suslin number of the space X. By the weak Lindelöf number, denoting it by wL(X), we mean the minimal cardinal τ with the following property: every open cover \mathcal{P} of X contains subfamily \mathcal{P}' of cardinality at most τ with $[\bigcup \mathcal{P}'] = X$. Clearly, $wL(X) \leq C(X)$. By $\Psi^*(p, X)$ we denote the minimal cardinal τ with the following property: there is a family of τ open in βX sets $\{V_{\alpha} : \alpha < \tau\}$ such that

$$p \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \tau} V_{\alpha} \subset X^*.$$

We put $\Psi^*(X) = \sup\{\Psi^*(p, X) \colon p \in X^*\}$. Now we obtain

Theorem 1. Let the space X be the Tychonoff product $\prod_{\alpha < \tau} X_{\alpha}$ of τ -many non-single point Tychonoff spaces X_{α} . Let a point $p \in X^*$ be in the closure of some $G \subset X$ with $wL(G) < cf(\tau)$. Then $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal.

Theorem 2. Let the space X be the Tychonoff product $\prod_{\alpha < \tau} X_{\alpha}$ of τ -many non-single point Tychonoff spaces X_{α} . Let a point $p \in X^*$ be in the closure of some $G \subset X$ with $wL(G) < cf(\tau)$ and $\Psi^*(p, X) < cf(\tau)$. Then p is a butterflypoint in βX . Hence $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal.

Corollary 1. Every point $p \in (\omega^{\tau})^*$ is a butterfly-point in $\beta(\omega^{\tau})$, if τ has uncountable cofinality.

Corollary 2. Every point $p \in (R^{\tau})^*$ is a butterfly-point in $\beta(R^{\tau})$, if τ has uncountable cofinality.

Corollary 3. Every point $p \in (X^{\tau})^*$ is a butterfly-point in $\beta(X^{\tau})$, if $d(X) + \Psi^*(X) < cf(\tau)$.

By [6], p is a non-normality point of βX^{τ} under the assumptions of Corollaries 1–3.

2. Proofs

First, we prove Theorem 2 using its conditions and notation. Then we can easily prove Theorem 1 by omitting some unnecessary facts. By the Hewitt–Marczevski–Pondiczery theorem and its corollary on the Suslin number of products we obtain $C(X) < cf(\tau)$ in Corollaries 1–3. Therefore Theorem 2 implies these corollaries by Lemma 2.

In our paper all spaces are Tychonoff spaces, R is a straight line, $\{E_{\gamma}: \gamma < \kappa\}$ is a family of cardinality κ and [] is the closure operator in βX . Moreover, x_{α_0} is the α_0 th coordinate of the point $x = (x_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \tau}$ of X and U_{α_0} is the α_0 th factor of the product $U = \prod_{\alpha < \tau} U_{\alpha}$. All the ordinals are strictly less then the number of factors τ .

380

Considering pairwise products, if necessary, we can assume that each X_{α} contains at least three pairwise different points, let us call them a_{α} , b_{α} and c_{α} . Then the points $a = (a_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \tau}$, $b = (b_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \tau}$ and $c = (c_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \tau}$ of the space X are of great importance in our construction. We will present it only for a, assuming it is completely similar for b and c.

We fix an arbitrary base \mathcal{B}_{α} in every X_{α} and assume that the base \mathcal{B} of X consists of all products of the form $U = \prod_{\alpha < \tau} U_{\alpha}$, where $U_{\alpha} \neq X_{\alpha}$ for at most finitely many $\alpha < \tau$ for which $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$. For every $U \in \mathcal{B}$ we put

$$\lambda(U) = \max\{\alpha < \tau \colon U_{\alpha} \neq X_{\alpha}\}.$$

If $\alpha < \tau$, then

$$U(\alpha, a) = \prod_{\gamma \le \alpha} U_{\gamma} \times \prod_{\gamma > \alpha} \{a_{\gamma}\}.$$

We denote by \mathcal{O} all open neighbourhoods of the point p in βX . For each $O \in \mathcal{O}$ we fix both a unique $O' \in \mathcal{O}$ with $[O'] \subset O$ and a unique subfamily F = F(O) of \mathcal{B} with the following properties:

$$|F| \le wL(G), \qquad \bigcup F \subset O \quad \text{and} \quad O' \cap G \subset \Big[\bigcup F \cap G\Big],$$

see Lemma 1. We put

$$\lambda(O) = \lambda(F) = \sup\{\lambda(U) \colon U \in F\}.$$

If $\alpha < \tau$, then

$$F(\alpha, a) = \{U(\alpha, a) \colon U \in F\}.$$

Since $|F| < cf(\tau)$, then $\lambda(O) < \tau$. We set $\mathcal{F} = \{F(O) : O \in \mathcal{O}\}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}(\alpha, a) = \{ F(\alpha, a) \colon F \in \mathcal{F} \}.$$

We fix $\{V_{\gamma}: \gamma < \kappa_o\} \subset \mathcal{O}$ so that $\kappa_0 < cf(\tau)$ and $p \in \bigcap_{\gamma < \kappa_0} [V_{\gamma}] \subset X^*$. Then $\lambda_0 = \sup_{\gamma < \kappa_0} \lambda(V_{\gamma})$ satisfies $\lambda_0 < \tau$.

Lemma 1. If $wL(X) \leq \tau$ and $O \subset X$ is open, then $wL([O]) \leq \tau$.

PROOF: Let \mathcal{P} be any open cover of [O] and $U' \cap [O] = U$ for any $U \in \mathcal{P}$ and some open $U' \subset X$. Then the open cover $\mathcal{R} = \{U' : U \in \mathcal{P}\} \cup \{X \setminus [O]\}$ of Xcontains subfamily $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ of cardinality at most τ with $[\bigcup \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}] = X$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}} = \{U \in \mathcal{P} : U' \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}\}$ is as required. \Box

Lemma 2. We have $\Psi^*(p, X) \leq \sup_{\alpha < \tau} \Psi^*(X_\alpha)$.

PROOF: Let $g: \beta X \to \prod_{\alpha < \tau} \beta X_{\alpha}$ be the continuous extension of the identity mapping $X \to X$. For any $x \in X$ there is $O \in \mathcal{O}$ with $x \notin [O]$. But q = g(p) is in the closure of $O \cap X = g(O \cap X)$. Hence $q \neq x$ implies $q \notin X$, i.e. $q_{\alpha_0} \in X^*_{\alpha_0}$ for some $\alpha_0 < \tau$. For $\kappa = \Psi^*(X_{\alpha_0})$ we get $q_{\alpha_0} \in \bigcap_{\gamma < \kappa} E_{\gamma} \subset X^*_{\alpha_0}$ for some E_{γ} open

S. Logunov

in βX_{α_0} . Let $f: \beta X \to \beta X_{\alpha_0}$ be composition of g with orthogonal projection $\prod_{\alpha < \tau} \beta X_{\alpha} \to \beta X_{\alpha_0}$. Then $p \in \bigcap_{\gamma < \kappa} f^{-1} E_{\gamma} \subset X^*$.

Lemma 3. If $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\alpha \geq \lambda(F)$, then $\bigcup F(\alpha, a) \subset \bigcup F$.

PROOF: If $U \in F$ and $U(\alpha, a)_{\gamma} \neq U_{\gamma}$, then $\gamma > \alpha \geq \lambda(U)$ implies $U_{\gamma} = X_{\gamma}$. Hence $U(\alpha, a) \subset U$ implies Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. For every $\alpha < \tau$ the family $\{\bigcup F(\alpha, a) : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is centered.

PROOF: Let $n \in N$ and $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$ for every i < n. Then $F_i = F(O_i)$ for some $O_i \in \mathcal{O}$ and $O'_i \cap G \subset [\bigcup F_i \cap G]$ by our construction. Since the nonempty $U = \bigcap_{i < n} O'_i \cap G$ is open in G, it is in the closure of every $\bigcup F_i \cap U$, which is open in U. There is a point $x = (x_\gamma)_{\gamma < \tau}$ of U with $x \in \bigcap_{i < n} (\bigcup F_i \cap U)$. Define a point $x' = (x'_\gamma)_{\gamma < \tau}$ of X as follows: $x'_\gamma = x_\gamma$ if $\gamma \leq \alpha$ and $x'_\gamma = a_\gamma$ otherwise. Then $x \in \bigcap_{i < n} U_i$ for some $U_i \in F_i$ implies

$$x' \in \bigcap_{i < n} U_i(\alpha, a) \subset \bigcap_{i < n} \bigcup F_i(\alpha, a).$$

For every $\alpha > \lambda_0$ we fix an arbitrary point $\xi_{\alpha}(a)$ in $\bigcap \{ [\bigcup F] : F \in \mathcal{F}(\alpha, a) \}$ and put $A = \{\xi_{\alpha}(a) : \alpha > \lambda_0 \}.$

Lemma 5. If $O \in \mathcal{O}$ and $\alpha \geq \lambda(O)$, then $\xi_{\alpha}(a) \in [O]$.

PROOF: By Lemma 3 we obtain $\xi_{\alpha}(a) \in \left[\bigcup F(O)(\alpha, a)\right] \subset \left[\bigcup F(O)\right] \subset [O].$ Corollary 4. $p \in [A] \subset \bigcap_{\gamma < \kappa_0} [V_{\gamma}] \subset X^*.$

In the same way, b generates $B = \{\xi_{\alpha}(b): \alpha > \lambda_0\}$ and c generates $C = \{\xi_{\alpha}(c): \alpha > \lambda_0\}$, having the same properties as A. For every $\lambda > \lambda_0$ we put $A_{\lambda} = \{\xi_{\alpha}(a): \alpha \in \lambda \setminus \lambda_0\}, B_{\lambda} = \{\xi_{\alpha}(b): \alpha \in \lambda \setminus \lambda_0\}$ and $C_{\lambda} = \{\xi_{\alpha}(c): \alpha \in \lambda \setminus \lambda_0\}$.

Lemma 6. For every $\lambda > \lambda_0$ the closures of A_{λ} , B_{λ} and C_{λ} are pairwise disjoint.

PROOF: Let the continuous map $g: X_{\lambda} \to [0, 2]$ satisfy $g(a_{\lambda}) = 0$, $g(b_{\lambda}) = 1$ and $g(c_{\lambda}) = 2$. Its composition with the orthogonal projection $X \to X_{\lambda}$ has the continuous extension $f: \beta X \to [0, 2]$ $(f(x) = g(x_{\lambda})$ for every $x \in X$). Then for any $\alpha \in \lambda \setminus \lambda_0$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$ we obtain

$$f(\xi_{\alpha}(a)) \in f\left[\bigcup F(\alpha, a)\right] \subset \left[f\left(\bigcup F(\alpha, a)\right)\right] = \left[f\left(\bigcup_{U \in F} U(\alpha, a)\right)\right]$$
$$= \left[\bigcup_{U \in F} f(U(\alpha, a))\right] = \left[\bigcup_{U \in F} g\{a_{\lambda}\}\right] = \{O\}.$$

Hence $f(A_{\lambda}) = \{0\}$. Similarly, $f(B_{\lambda}) = \{1\}$ and $f(C_{\lambda}) = \{2\}$.

Corollary 5. At most one of the sets A, B and C contains p.

Lemma 7. The point *p* is a butterfly-point.

PROOF: Let $q \in X^*$ be not in the closure of some $O \in \mathcal{O}$. By Lemma 6 at most one of the sets $A_{\lambda(O)}$, $B_{\lambda(O)}$ and $C_{\lambda(O)}$ can contain q in its closure. By Lemma 5 the same is true for A, B and C. By Corollaries 4 and 5 our proof is complete. \Box

References

- Bešlagić A., van Douwen E. K., Spaces of nonuniform ultrafilters in space of uniform ultrafilters, Topology Appl. 35 (1990), no. 2–3, 253–260.
- [2] Błaszczyk A., Szymański A., Some non-normal subspaces of the Čech-Stone compactification of a discrete space, Proc. Eighth Winter School on Abstract Analysis and Topology, Praha, 1980, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Praha, 1980, pages 35–38.
- [3] Fine N. J., Gillman L., Extension of continuous functions in βN, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1960), 376–381.
- [4] Logunov S., On non-normality points and metrizable crowded spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 48 (2007), no. 3, 523–527.
- [5] Logunov S., Non-normality points and big products of metrizable spaces, Topology Proc. 46 (2015), 73–85.
- [6] Logunov S., On non-normality points, Tychonoff products and Suslin number, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. 63 (2022), no. 1, 131–134.
- [7] Shapirovskij B., On embedding extremely disconnected spaces in compact Hausdorff spaces, b-points and weight of pointwise normal spaces, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 223 (1987), 1083–1086.
- [8] Terasawa J., βX {p} are non-normal for non-discrete spaces X, Topology Proc. 31 (2007), no. 1, 309–317.
- Warren N. M., Properties of Stone-Čech compactifications of discrete spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), 599–606.

S. Logunov:

Department for Algebra and Topology, Udmurt State University, Universitetskaya 1, Izhevsk 426034, Russia

E-mail: olla209@yandex.ru

(Received October 2021, revised February 7, 2022)