Youjun Tan; Senrong Xu Quasi-trace functions on Lie algebras and their applications to 3-Lie algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 72 (2022), No. 2, 559–591

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/150417>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

[This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and](http://dml.cz) stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

QUASI-TRACE FUNCTIONS ON LIE ALGEBRAS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS TO 3-LIE ALGEBRAS

Youjun Tan, Chengdu, Senrong Xu, Zhenjiang

Received February 16, 2021. Published online March 29, 2022.

Abstract. We introduce the notion of quasi-trace functions on Lie algebras. As applications we study realizations of 3-dimensional and 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras. Some comparison results on cohomologies of 3-Lie algebras and Leibniz algebras arising from quasi-trace functions are obtained.

Keywords: quasi-trace function; 3-Lie algebra; Leibniz algebra MSC 2020: 17B05, 17A42, 17A32, 17B56

1. Introduction

An *n*-ary groupoid G is a nonempty set with an *n*-ary operation $f: G^n \to G$, see [12]. One may define various $(n - 1)$ -ary operations on G via f. For example, if G is an n-Lie algebra, then some $(n-1)$ -Lie algebras can be defined on G by the method given in [15]. However, in general there seems no apparent construction of n-ary groupoids with some specific properties from $(n - 1)$ -ary groupoids. For example, there are 3-groups which cannot be derived from any groups, see [12].

This paper is motivated by the construction of 3-Lie algebras from Lie algebras. A vector space L with a 3-ary multilinear skew-symmetric operation $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot] : \otimes^3 L \to L$ is a 3-Lie algebra if

(1.1)
$$
\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]] - [x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]] \\ = [[x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4, x_5] + [x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4], x_5] \end{aligned}
$$

holds for all $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 \in L$, see [15]. The identity (1.1) is called the *fundamental* identity (FI for short). Subalgebras and homomorphisms between 3-Lie algebras are

[DOI: 10.21136/CMJ.2022.0059-21](http://dx.doi.org/10.21136/CMJ.2022.0059-21) 559

The research has been supported by Grant number 11171233 of the NSF of China.

defined in the obvious way, while an ideal I of a 3-Lie algebra L is a subspace of L satisfying $[I, L, L] \subseteq I$, and the center $Z(L)$ is defined to be the subspace $Z(L) = \{x \in L: [x, y, z] = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in L\}.$ 3-Lie algebras have a close relation with Nambu mechanics, see [23]. For an extensive review of 3-Lie algebras, see [10].

In [5] a 3-ary operation on the general linear Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is introduced to make $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a 3-Lie algebra by defining

$$
[A, B, C] = \text{tr}(A)[B, C] + \text{tr}(B)[C, A] + \text{tr}(C)[A, B],
$$

where tr denotes the trace of square matrices. Note that tr is a linear function on $\mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $\text{tr}([A, B]) = 0$ for any $A, B \in \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. This construction was generalized in [4], [6] as follows. Let \frak{g} be a Lie algebra with the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ a linear function on \mathfrak{g} . Define a 3-ary bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\tau}$ on \mathfrak{g} by

(1.2)
$$
[x, y, z]_{\tau} \triangleq \bigcirc_{x, y, z} \tau(x)[y, z] \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.
$$

Hereafter \circlearrowleft denotes the summation over the cyclic permutations of x, y, z: x,y,z

$$
\underset{x,y,z}{\circlearrowleft}\tau(x)[y,z]=\tau(x)[y,z]+\tau(y)[z,x]+\tau(z)[x,y].
$$

Denote the 3-ary groupoid g with $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\tau}$ by g_{τ} . If τ is a trace function on g, that is, $\tau([g, g]) = 0$, then g_{τ} is a 3-Lie algebra (see [6], Theorem 3.1 and [4], Theorem 3.3). We denote by $F_{tr}(\mathfrak{g})$ the set of all trace functions on \mathfrak{g} .

The notion of trace functions is closely related to the notion of "subordinate" on Lie subalgebras. Recall that, for a $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and a Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{h} is subordinate to τ if $\tau([{\mathfrak{h}}, {\mathfrak{h}}]) = 0$ (see [11], Section 1.12.7). So, $\tau \in F_{tr}({\mathfrak{g}})$ if and only if ${\mathfrak{g}}$ itself is subordinate to τ .

Trace functions are not enough to induce 3-Lie algebras. For example, as Corollary 3.1 below shows, the unique nonabelian 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebra cannot be induced, using only trace functions, from all except one isoclass of 3-dimensional nonabelian Lie algebras. For other examples see Corollary 4.2.

For any $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, a sufficient and necessary condition for \mathfrak{g}_{τ} to be a 3-Lie algebra is given in Theorem 2.1. Denote the set of those linear functions by $F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. We show that if g is solvable then \mathfrak{g}_{τ} ($\tau \in F_{3-\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$) is also solvable (see Proposition 2.4), and if dim $\mathfrak{g} \leqslant 3$ then $F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^*$, see Lemma 2.1.

Note that $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a trace function if and only if ker τ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} . We consider the weaker condition that ker τ is just a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . It is shown that $\ker \tau$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak g$ if and only if $\circlearrowleft_{x,y,z} \tau(x)\tau([y,z]) = 0$ for any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak g$, which implies that such a τ also makes \mathfrak{g}_{τ} be a 3-Lie algebra. We call $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ a quasi-trace function on g if ker τ is a subalgebra of g. If τ is a quasi-trace function, then ker τ is a quasi-ideal in the sense of Amayo, see [1], [2]. Denote the set of all quasi-trace functions on $\mathfrak g$ by $F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak g)$. So we have the inclusions

$$
F_{\text{tr}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*.
$$

Quasi-trace functions are related with Leibniz algebras, which we explain briefly as follows. For any $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ there is a map τ^{\sharp} : $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$
\tau^{\sharp}(\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}) = \tau(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{y} - \tau(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{x} \quad \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{g}.
$$

Following [9], for any vector space L with a 3-ary bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$ we have the following notation: for $X = x_1 \wedge x_2$, $Y = y_1 \wedge y_2 \in \wedge^2 L$, $x_3 \in L$, set

$$
(1.3) \qquad [X, x_3] := [x_1, x_2, x_3] \in L, \quad [X, Y]_F = [X, y_1] \wedge y_2 + y_1 \wedge [X, y_2] \in \wedge^2 L.
$$

Due to Daletskii and Takhtajan (see [9]), if L is a 3-Lie algebra then $\wedge^2 L$ is a Leibniz algebra with the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_F$.

It is shown that τ is a quasi-trace function if and only if τ^{\sharp} preserves Leibniz brackets, that is, $\tau^{\sharp}([X,Y]_F) = [\tau^{\sharp}(X), \tau^{\sharp}(Y)]$ for any $X, Y \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$ (see Lemma 6.2). In this case, τ^{\sharp} is a homomorphism of Leibniz algebras from $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$ to \mathfrak{g} , where \mathfrak{g} is regarded as a Leibniz algebra.

Based on this observation we consider further the connection between quasi-trace functions and universal enveloping algebras of Lie algebras. In [16], for any 3-Lie algebra L an associative algebra $U(L)$ is introduced as an analogue of universal enveloping algebras of Lie algebras. For any $\tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$ we show that τ^{\sharp} induces a homomorphism of associative algebras from $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$ to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if τ is a quasi-trace function on \mathfrak{g} , where $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} , see Theorem 6.1. This result motivates us to consider some representation theoretic connections between 3-Lie algebras and Lie algebras via quasi-trace functions.

It turns out that, for any quasi-trace function τ on \mathfrak{g} , one can construct a representation (V, ρ_{τ}) of the 3-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_{τ} from a representation (V, ρ) of \mathfrak{g} , see Corollary 6.2. Then we consider connections between the Cartan-Eilenberg cohomology $H_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ and the cohomology $\mathcal{H}_{\varrho_{\tau}}^{*}(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, V)$. As partial results we construct 1-cocycles and 2-cocycles for \mathfrak{g}_{τ} in V from those of \mathfrak{g} in V. For details, see Propositions 7.1 and 7.2. For a trace function τ , a similar construction of 1-cocycles and 2-cocycles for \mathfrak{g}_{τ} from g is considered in [3] for the trivial representation and the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} . Note that the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} cannot be induced in general from the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g} , since, for example, the algebra homomorphism τ^{\sharp} : $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}) \to U(\mathfrak{g})$ has the image $U(\ker \tau)$ (see Corollary 6.1), and hence τ^{\sharp} cannot be surjective.

Note that the cohomology $\mathcal{H}_{\theta}^{*}(L, V)$ of a 3-Lie algebra L is deduced from the cohomology $HL^*_{l,r}(\wedge^2 L, \text{Hom}(L, V))$ of its associated Leibniz algebra $\wedge^2 L$ given by [21], where (V, θ) is a representation of L and $(\text{Hom}(L, V), l, r)$ is the representation of $\wedge^2 L$ induced from θ . For a brief review see Section 5, where we also give a construction of morphisms from $\mathcal{H}_{\theta}^{*}(L, V)$ to $HL_{\theta, -\theta}^{*}(\wedge^{2}L, V)$, see Proposition 5.1. $\mathcal{H}_{\theta}^{*}(L,V)$ has been applied to study extensions and deformation of L, see, for example, [14], [19], [22], [24], [25]. Due to [16] there is another cohomology $H^*(L, V)$ of L defined via the invariant submodule functor. The relation between $\mathcal{H}_{\theta}^{*}(L, V)$ and $H^*(L, V)$ remains open.

For the 3-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_{τ} (τ being a quasi-trace function on \mathfrak{g}) and a representation (V, ϱ) of $\mathfrak{g}, \ H^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, V)$ is related to $H^*_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ via the algebra homomorphism τ^{\sharp} : $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}) \to U(\mathfrak{g})$. As an example, if $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is a projective module of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$ via τ^{\sharp} , then $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_\tau,V)\cong H^*_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{g},V)$, see Corollary 6.3. We don't know whether there is a natural morphism from $H^*_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ to $\mathcal{H}^*_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, V)$, but we show that τ induces a morphism from $H^*_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ to $HL^*_{\varrho_{\tau}, -\varrho_{\tau}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, V)$, see Proposition 7.3.

We consider only low-dimensional 3-Lie algebras which can be induced from Lie algebras via linear functions. As mentioned earlier, 3-dimensional and 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras have been studied via some specified Lie algebras and trace functions in $[3]$, $[6]$. Let $L_{3,1}$ be the unique nonabelian 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebra. We show that for each nonabelian 3-dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak g$ there is a $\tau \in \mathfrak g^*$ such that $L_{3,1} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$. We list all quasi-trace functions on each isoclass of 3-dimensional Lie algebras which induce $L_{3,1}$. For details see Theorem 3.1, Corollaries 3.1 and 3.2 below.

Let g be any complex 4-dimensional Lie algebra. We classify all 3-Lie algebras of the form \mathfrak{g}_{τ} with τ being a quasi-trace function on \mathfrak{g} , see Theorem 4.1. To do this we make a little refinement (see Corollary 4.1) on the classification of complex 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras given by Filippov, see [15]. We obtain a complete list of all quasi-trace functions for each isoclass of complex 4-dimensional Lie algebras and their induced 3-Lie algebras, see Corollary 4.3. Note that the simple complex 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra, which is unique up to isomorphism, cannot be realized as \mathfrak{g}_{τ} for any Lie algebra g and any quasi-trace function τ , since such 3-Lie algebras are always solvable, see Proposition 2.3.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the notion of quasitrace functions on Lie algebras and discuss some basic properties including solvability of 3-Lie algebras induced by linear functions on Lie algebras. In Section 3 we use linear functions, especially quasi-trace functions, to realize 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebras via each isoclass of 3-dimensional Lie algebras. In Section 4 we classify all 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras of the form \mathfrak{g}_{τ} , where τ is a quasi-trace function on \mathfrak{g} . In Section 5 we review representations and cohomologies of 3-Lie algebras and their associated Leibniz algebras. In Section 6 we show that a linear function on g is a quasi-

trace function if and only if τ^{\sharp} is a homomorphism of Leibniz algebras from $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$ to $\mathfrak g$, if and only if τ^{\sharp} induces a homomorphism of associative algebras from $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak g_{\tau})$ to U(g), from which we construct a representation of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} from those of g. In Section 7 we obtain some results on comparison of cohomologies via quasi-trace functions.

Throughout we work on the complex number field \mathbb{C} . Notations such as Hom, End, \oplus , \wedge are defined over \mathbb{C} .

2. Linear functions and their induced 3-Lie algebras

Let $\mathfrak g$ be a Lie algebra which may be infinite-dimensional. Let $\tau \in \mathfrak g^*$ be a linear function on g. Then codim ker $\tau = \dim g/\ker \tau \leq 1$. By [8], Lemma 2.1, τ is a representation of g on C if and only if $\tau([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0$, hence if and only if ker τ is an ideal of g. Such linear functions are called trace functions, see [4]. Let $F_{tr}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the set of trace functions on \mathfrak{g} . Then $F_{tr}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a subspace of \mathfrak{g}^* .

Example 2.1. If \mathfrak{g} is a perfect Lie algebra then $F_{\text{tr}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\}.$

Proposition 2.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then ker τ is a subalgebra of $\frak g$ if and only if τ satisfies

(2.1)
$$
\underset{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}}{\circ}\tau(\mathbf{x})\tau([\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}])=0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},\mathbf{z}\in\mathfrak{g}.
$$

P r o o f. Assume that ker τ is a subalgebra of g. If ker $\tau =$ g then (2.1) follows. Suppose that ker $\tau \neq \mathfrak{g}$. Then codim ker $\tau = 1$, and hence there is a $u \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \ker \tau$ such that $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ have the form $x = x' + au$, $y = y' + bu$, $z = z' + cu$, where $x', y', z' \in \text{ker } \tau \text{ and } a, b, c \in \mathbb{C}.$ So $\tau(x) = a\tau(u), \tau(y) = b\tau(u), \tau(z) = c\tau(u).$ Since $\ker \tau$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}, \tau([y', z']) = 0$. Hence

$$
(2.2) \quad \tau(x)\tau([y,z]) = a\tau(u)\tau([y'+bu,z'+cu]) = ac\tau(u)\tau([y',u]) + ab\tau(u)\tau([u,z']).
$$

Similarly, we have

(2.3)
$$
\tau(y)\tau([z, x]) = bc\tau(u)\tau([u, x']) + ab\tau(u)\tau([z', u]),
$$

(2.4)
$$
\tau(z)\tau([x,y]) = bc\tau(u)\tau([x',u]) + ac\tau(u)\tau([u,y']).
$$

Then (2.1) follows by (2.2) , (2.3) and (2.4) .

Conversely, assume that (2.1) holds. Fix any $x, y \in \text{ker } \tau$. It suffices to show that $\tau([x, y]) = 0$. Without loss of generality we assume that ker $\tau \neq \mathfrak{g}$. Then there exists an element $z \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\tau(z) \neq 0$. By $\tau(x) = \tau(y) = 0$, $\tau(z) \neq 0$ and (2.1) it follows that $\tau([x, y]) = 0$ as required.

Motivated by Proposition 2.1 and trace functions, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra. A linear function $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is called a *quasi*trace function on g if τ satisfies (2.1), i.e., τ is a quasi-trace function on g if and only if ker τ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Let $F_{\text{atr}}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the set of quasi-trace functions on a Lie algebra g. Note that all trace functions on g are quasi-trace functions on g, that is, $F_{tr}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Example 2.2. Consider the Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ such that $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$, $[e_1, e_3] = -2e_1$, $[e_2, e_3] = 2e_2$. Since $\mathfrak{sl}_2 = [\mathfrak{sl}_2, \mathfrak{sl}_2]$ there is no nonzero trace function on \mathfrak{sl}_2 . Define $\tau \in (\mathfrak{sl}_2)^*$ by $\tau(e_1) = \tau(e_3) = 0, \ \tau(e_2) = 1/2$. Then $\tau \in F_{\text{ptr}}(\mathfrak{sl}_2).$

Example 2.3. Let $\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a homomorphism of Lie algebras. For any $\tilde{\tau} \in F_{\text{ptr}}(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}})$ it holds that $\tilde{\tau} \alpha \in F_{\text{ptr}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Indeed, for any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, by a direct computation one obtains

$$
\bigcirc_{x,y,z} (\widetilde{\tau}\alpha)(x)(\widetilde{\tau}\alpha)([y,z]) = \bigcirc_{x,y,z} \widetilde{\tau}(\alpha(x))\widetilde{\tau}([\alpha(y),\alpha(z)]) = 0,
$$

which means that $\tilde{\tau}\alpha$ satisfies (2.1).

By Proposition 2.1 we have the following result, which is crucial for our further computations.

Corollary 2.1. $\tau \in F_{\text{ptr}}(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if

(2.5)
$$
\tau([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}) = 0 \quad \forall x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathfrak{g},
$$

where the 3-ary bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\tau}$ is given by (1.2).

We give a sufficient and necessary condition on any $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that the 3-ary bracket given by (1.2) makes \mathfrak{g}_{τ} a 3-Lie algebra.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is a 3-Lie algebra if and only if for all $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}$, the following identity holds:

$$
(2.6) \quad (\underset{x_3,x_4,x_5}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_3)\tau([x_4,x_5]))[x_1,x_2] - (\underset{x_1,x_2,x_5}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_1)\tau([x_2,x_5]))[x_3,x_4] = (\underset{x_1,x_2,x_3}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_1)\tau([x_2,x_3]))[x_4,x_5] + (\underset{x_1,x_2,x_4}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_1)\tau([x_2,x_4]))[x_5,x_3].
$$

In this case we say \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is a 3-Lie algebra induced by $\mathfrak g$ and τ .

P r o o f. Since the Lie bracket is skew symmetric, the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\tau}$ given by (1.2) is also skew symmetric. By (1.1) the FI for $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\tau}$ is

$$
(2.7) \qquad [x_1, x_2, [x_3, x_4, x_5]_{\tau}]_{\tau} - [x_3, x_4, [x_1, x_2, x_5]_{\tau}]_{\tau}
$$

$$
= [[x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}, x_4, x_5]_{\tau} + [x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]_{\tau}, x_5]_{\tau}.
$$

By a direct check we decuce that (2.7) is equivalent to (2.6) due to (1.2) and the Jacobi identity of \mathfrak{g} .

Since (2.1) implies (2.6) , by Theorem 2.1 we get the following result, which generalizes Theorem 3.1 in [6].

Corollary 2.2. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a Lie algebra. If $\tau \in F_{\text{ptr}}(\mathfrak{g})$, that is, $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}$ is a quasi-trace function on \mathfrak{g} , then \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is a 3-Lie algebra.

Remark 2.1. Let $\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a homomorphism of Lie algebras. For $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\widetilde{\tau} \in F_{\text{atr}}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}), \ \alpha \colon \mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \to \widetilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\widetilde{\tau}} \text{ need not be a 3-Lie algebra homomorphism.}$

Example 2.4. Let $\alpha: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra endomorphism and $\tau \in F_{\text{ptr}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\tau \alpha \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$ by Example 2.3. One can show that, if $(\mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \alpha^2)(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \ker \tau$ then α is a 3-Lie algebra homomorphism. In particular, if α is an involution of g, then α is a 3-Lie algebra homomorphism.

Let $F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$ be the set of linear functions on \mathfrak{g} satisfying (2.6), that is, $\tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is a 3-Lie algebra. Then

(2.8)
$$
F_{\text{tr}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}^*.
$$

Remark 2.2. Let g be a Lie algebra. In general it is difficult to compute $F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. It might be an interesting question whether a 3-Lie algebra can be induced by g and some $\tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that different functions in $F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$ may induce isomorphic 3-Lie algebras. We shall discuss 3-dimensional and 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras in Section 3 and Section 4, respectively.

Example 2.5. If \mathfrak{g} is abelian then $F_{tr}(\mathfrak{g}) = F_{qtr}(\mathfrak{g}) = F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^*$.

Before we give more examples in Section 3 and Section 4, we present the following examples to show that inclusions in (2.8) may be proper. We use the following notations.

Notation 2.1. For a Lie algebra g with a basis $\{e_i\}_{1\leqslant i\leqslant \dim g}$, we denote the corresponding coordinate functions by $\{t_i\}_{1\leq i\leq \dim \mathfrak{g}}$ and denote the coordinate of $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ by $(x_i)_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \dim \mathfrak{g}}$.

Notation 2.2. In the definition of a Lie algebra or a 3-Lie algebra via the multiplication table of basis elements, omitted brackets are either zero or can be obtained by skew-symmetry.

Example 2.6. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and the multiplication table $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$, $[e_1, e_3] = -2e_1$, $[e_2, e_3] = 2e_2$. Then

$$
F_{\text{tr}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{0\},
$$

\n
$$
F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{ \tau \in \mathfrak{g}^* : \ \tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3, 4t_1 t_2 + t_3^2 = 0 \},
$$

\n
$$
F_{3-\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^*.
$$

Example 2.7. Let g be the 3-dimensional Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and the multiplication table $[e_1, e_2] = e_2$. Then

$$
F_{\text{tr}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{ \tau \in \mathfrak{g}^* : \ \tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 + t_3 x_3 \},
$$

\n
$$
F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{ \tau \in \mathfrak{g}^* : \ \tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3, t_2 t_3 = 0 \},
$$

\n
$$
F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^*.
$$

Example 2.8. Let g be a 2-dimensional Lie algebra. By Example 2.5 we may assume that g has a basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ with $[e_1, e_2] = e_2$. Then

$$
F_{\text{tr}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \{ \tau \in \mathfrak{g}^* \colon \tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 \}, \quad F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^* = F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}).
$$

It is not accidental that $F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^*$ holds in Examples 2.6 and 2.7, since we have the following result which will also be used in Section 3.

Lemma 2.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra with dim $\mathfrak{g} \leq 3$. Then $F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}^*$, that is, for any $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*, \mathfrak{g}_\tau$ is a 3-Lie algebra.

P r o o f. By Examples 2.5 and 2.8, we may assume that dim $g = 3$. Let ${e_1, e_2, e_3}$ be a basis of g. By linearity it suffices to check that (2.6) holds for any $x_i \in \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}, 1 \leq i \leq 5$. There are the following two exclusive cases.

Case 1: There exist at least three elements x_i , x_j , x_k which are equal, $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 5$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = e_1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned} \left(\underset{x_1, x_2, x_5}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_1) \tau([x_2, x_5])\right) [x_3, x_4] \\ &= (\tau(e_1) \tau([e_1, x_5]) + \tau(e_1) \tau([x_5, e_1]) + \tau(x_5) \tau([e_1, e_1])\big) [x_3, x_4] = 0, \end{aligned}
$$

and hence the left hand side of (2.6) becomes

$$
\begin{aligned} &\big(\underset{x_3,x_4,x_5}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_3)\tau([x_4,x_5])\big)[x_1,x_2] - \big(\underset{x_1,x_2,x_5}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_1)\tau([x_2,x_5])\big)[x_3,x_4] \\ &= \big(\underset{x_3,x_4,x_5}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_3)\tau([x_4,x_5])\big)[e_1,e_1] - 0 = 0. \end{aligned}
$$

By a similar computation we get $(\bigcirc_{x_1,x_2,x_4} \tau(x_1)\tau([x_2,x_4]))[x_5,x_3]=0$, and hence the right hand side of (2.6) is

$$
\begin{aligned} (\underset{x_1,x_2,x_3}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_1)\tau([x_2,x_3]))[x_4,x_5] + (\underset{x_1,x_2,x_4}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_1)\tau([x_2,x_4]))[x_5,x_3] \\ = 3\tau(e_1)\tau([e_1,e_1])[x_4,x_5] + 0 = 0. \end{aligned}
$$

So (2.6) holds in this case.

Case 2: There exist at most two elements which are equal. For simplicity, we consider only the subcase $x_1 = x_4 = e_1$, $x_2 = x_5 = e_2$, $x_3 = e_3$, other subcases are similar. Note that

$$
(2.9) \qquad (\underset{x_1,x_2,x_5}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_1)\tau([x_2,x_5]))[x_3,x_4] = (\underset{x_1,x_2,x_4}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x_1)\tau([x_2,x_4]))[x_5,x_3] = 0.
$$

Thus, the left hand side of (2.6) becomes

$$
(2.10) \begin{aligned} \n\binom{\circ}{x_3, x_4, x_5} \tau(x_3) \tau([x_4, x_5]) [x_1, x_2] - \n\binom{\circ}{x_1, x_2, x_5} \tau(x_1) \tau([x_2, x_5]) [x_3, x_4] \\ \n&= \n\binom{\circ}{x_3, x_4, x_5} \tau(x_3) \tau([x_4, x_5]) [x_1, x_2] - 0 \quad \text{(by (2.9))} \\ \n&= \n\binom{\circ}{e_1, e_2, e_3} \tau(e_1) \tau(e_2, e_3]) [e_1, e_2], \n\end{aligned}
$$

while the right hand side of (2.6) is

$$
(2.11) \left(\underset{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3}{\circ} \tau(\mathbf{x}_1) \tau([\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3])\right) [\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5] + \left(\underset{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_4}{\circ} \tau(\mathbf{x}_1) \tau([\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_4])\right) [\mathbf{x}_5, \mathbf{x}_3]
$$

\n
$$
= \left(\underset{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3}{\circ} \tau(\mathbf{x}_1) \tau([\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3])\right) [\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5] + 0 \quad \text{(by (2.9))}
$$

\n
$$
= \left(\underset{e_1, e_2, e_3}{\circ} \tau(e_1) \tau(e_2, e_3)\right) [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2].
$$

So (2.6) holds in this case by (2.10) and (2.11) .

Note that Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 in [3] can be generalized to any 3-Lie algebra
of the form
$$
\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}
$$
 as follows. Recall that an ideal I of a 3-Lie algebra L is a subspace
of L satisfying $[I, L, L] \subseteq I$. By (1.2) we get the following result.

Proposition 2.2. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and $\tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. If \mathfrak{h} is a subalgebra of $\frak g$ then $\frak h_{\tau}$ is also a subalgebra of $\frak g_{\tau}$. Moreover, if $\frak h$ is an ideal of $\frak g$ then $\frak h_{\tau}$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} if and only if $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \ker \tau$ or $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{h}$.

To close this section we consider the solvability of 3-Lie algebras of the form \mathfrak{g}_{τ} . Nilpotency of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} may be treated similarly and we omit the details. Let I be an ideal of a 3-Lie algebra L , see [15]. Put

$$
(2.12) \tI(0) = I, \tI(n) = [I(n-1), I(n-1), I(n-1)]; \tI0 = I, \tIn = [In-1, I, I].
$$

Then I is solvable (or nilpotent) if $I^{(n)} = 0$ (or $I^n = 0$, respectively) for some $n \ge 0$.

The following result generalizes Theorem 3.1 of [3] which states that if $\tau \in F_{tr}(\mathfrak{g})$ then \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is solvable. See also Proposition 3.5 in [6].

Proposition 2.3. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}^{(2)} = 0$. In particular, \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is solvable.

P r o o f. By linearity it suffices to compute $[x, y, z]_{\tau} \in \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}^{(2)}$ for $x = [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}$, $y = [y_1, y_2, y_3]_\tau$, $z = [z_1, z_2, z_3]_\tau$, and $x_i, y_i, z_i \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$, by (2.5) it follows that $\tau(x) = \tau(y) = \tau(z) = 0$, and hence by (1.2) it follows that $[x, y, z]_{\tau} =$ $\tau(x)[y, z] + \tau(y)[z, x] + \tau(z)[x, y] = 0$ as required.

If $\tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \setminus F_{\text{atr}}(\mathfrak{g})$ then (2.5) is not applicable. However, Proposition 2.3 can be generalized as follows. Denote by $[\ker \tau, \ker \tau]$ the linear span of $[x, y]$, $x, y \in \ker \tau$. We have the following result.

Proposition 2.4. Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and $0 \neq \tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then $[\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}]_{\tau}$ = [ker τ , ker τ]. In particular, if $\mathfrak g$ is solvable then the 3-Lie algebra $\mathfrak g_\tau$ is solvable.

P r o o f. Since $\tau \neq 0$, codim ker $\tau = 1$. Let $\{f_i\}_{i\in\mathcal{I}}$ be a basis of ker τ . Choose an $f \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \ker \tau$. Then $\{f_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \cup \{f\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$. Set $t = \tau(f)$. Then $t \neq 0$. Since $f_i \in \ker \tau$ $(i \in \mathcal{I})$ and $f \notin \ker \tau$, by (1.2) it follows that $[\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}]_{\tau}$ is spanned by $[f_i, f_j, f]_\tau = t[f_i, f_j], i, j \in \mathcal{I}$. Note that $[\ker \tau, \ker \tau]$ is spanned by $\{[f_i, f_j]\},$ $i, j \in \mathcal{I}$. Since $t \neq 0$, it follows that $[\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}]_{\tau} = [\ker \tau, \ker \tau]$.

Remark 2.3. Up to now we have not found an example where \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is not solvable for $\tau \in F_{3-\text{Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\tau \notin F_{\text{atr}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Note that the converse of the last statement of Proposition 2.4 is not true. For example, let $\mathfrak g$ be the Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and the multiplication table is given by $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$, $[e_2, e_3] = e_1$, $[e_3, e_1] = e_2$. Define $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by $\tau(e_1) = 1$, $\tau(e_2) = \tau(e_3) = 0$. Then $\tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} = \mathbb{C}e_1$. So \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is solvable, while $\mathfrak g$ is a simple Lie algebra.

3. Realizations of 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebras

Keep the notation as in last sections, especially Notations 2.1 and 2.2. It is known that there are only two isoclasses of 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebras: the abelian one $L_{3,0}$ and the nonabelian one $L_{3,1}$ (see [15]), where the multiplication table of basis elements of $L_{3,1}$ can be written as $[e_1, e_2, e_3] = e_1$. Since $L_{3,0}$ is abelian it can be induced by either any 3-dimensional Lie algebra with the zero function, or an abelian 3-dimensional Lie algebra with any linear function. In this section we show that $L_{3,1}$ can be realized as \mathfrak{g}_{τ} , where \mathfrak{g} can be chosen from each isoclass of 3-dimensional nonabelian Lie algebras. Moreover, we give explicitly all linear functions τ such that $L_{3,1} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$.

Throughout this section we always consider 3-dimensional Lie algebras. On the classification of complex 3-dimensional Lie algebras we get the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 ([7], [13]). Any complex 3-dimensional Lie algebra is isomorphic to one and only one Lie algebra in Table 1.

\mathfrak{g}	Lie brackets
$\mathfrak{g}_{3,0}$	trivial
$\mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$	$ e_1, e_2 = e_1$
$\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$	$[e_1, e_3] = e_1 + e_2, [e_2, e_3] = e_2$
$g_{3,3}$	$[e_1, e_3] = e_1, [e_2, e_3] = \alpha e_2, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$
$\mathfrak{g}_{3,4}$	$ e_1, e_2 = e_3$
$g_{3,5}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = -e_2, [e_2, e_3] = e_1$

Table 1. Classification of complex 3-dimensional Lie algebras.

Remark 3.1. In Section 3.2 of [13], Table 1 is given in terms of derived subalgebras and centers. Let $\mathfrak g$ be a 3-dimensional complex Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak g^{(1)}$ and $Z(\mathfrak g)$ be the derived subalgebra and center of g, respectively.

- (1) If $\dim \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = 0$ then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,0}$.
- (2) Assume that $\dim \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = 1$. Then $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,4}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \subseteq Z(\mathfrak{g})$. In this case \mathfrak{g} is the Heisenberg algebra. $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \nsubseteq Z(\mathfrak{g})$. In this case \mathfrak{g} is the direct sum of a nonabelian Lie algebra and a 1-dimensional Lie algebra.
- (3) Assume that dim $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = 2$. Then either $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$ or $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,3}$, depending on whether there is an $x \in \mathfrak{g}^{(1)}$ such that ad x is diagonalizable.
- (4) $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,5}$ if and only if dim $\mathfrak{g}^{(1)} = 3$. In this case \mathfrak{g} is the unique 3-dimensional simple Lie algebra up to isomorphism.

In the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [6] it is shown that $L_{3,1} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{3,1})_{\tau}$, where τ is given by $\tau(e_1) = \tau(e_2) = 0$, $\tau(e_3) = 1$, which is a trace function of $\mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$. In fact we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Keep the notation as above. For each $\mathfrak{g}_{3,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$, there is $\tau \in (\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})^*$ such that $L_{3,1} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})_{\tau}$. More precisely:

- (1) $L_{3,1} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{3,1})_{\tau}$ if and only if $\tau \in S_1 \triangleq {\tau \in \mathfrak{g}_{3,1}^* : \tau(x) = t_1x_1+t_2x_2+t_3x_3, t_3 \neq 0}.$
- (2) $L_{3,1} \cong (g_{3,2})_{\tau}$ if and only if $\tau \in S_2 \triangleq {\tau \in \mathfrak{g}_{3,2}^* : \tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + \cdots}$ $t_3x_3, t_2 \neq 0 \text{ or } t_1 \neq t_2$.
- (3) $L_{3,1} \cong (g_{3,3})_\tau$ if and only if $\tau \in S_3 \triangleq {\tau \in g_{3,3}^* : \tau(x) = t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + \cdots}$ $t_3x_3, (t_1, t_2) \neq (0, 0)$.
- (4) $L_{3,1} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{3,4})_{\tau}$ if and only if $\tau \in S_4 \triangleq \{ \tau \in \mathfrak{g}_{3,4}^* : \tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3, t_3 \neq 0 \}.$
- (5) $L_{3,1} \cong (g_{3,5})_\tau$ if and only if $\tau \in S_5 \triangleq {\tau \in \mathfrak{g}_{3,5}^* : \tau(x) = t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + \cdots}$ $t_3x_3, (t_1, t_2, t_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)\}.$

Proof. Since $\dim \mathfrak{g}_{3,i} = 3$, by Lemma 2.1, $F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,i}) = (\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})^*$, which means $(\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})_{\tau}$ is a 3-Lie algebra for any $\tau \in (\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})^*$. So, due to the classification result on 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebras, it suffices to show that, for each $1 \leq i \leq 5$, there is $a \tau \in (\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})^*$ such that $(\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})_{\tau}$ is nonabelian, which is equivalent to $0 \neq [e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} =$ $\tau(e_1)[e_2, e_3] + \tau(e_2)[e_3, e_1] + \tau(e_3)[e_1, e_2]$. Recall Notation 2.1.

We only show that (1) holds, the other cases are similar and we omit the proof. Suppose that $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}_{3,1}^*$. In view of Table 1, $L_{3,1} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{3,1})_{\tau}$ if and only if

$$
0 \neq \tau(e_1)[e_2, e_3] + \tau(e_2)[e_3, e_1] + \tau(e_3)[e_1, e_2] = t_3e_1,
$$

which is equivalent to $t_3 \neq 0$.

For completeness we determine which functions in S_i ($1 \leq i \leq 5$) in Theorem 3.1 are trace functions or quasi-trace functions.

Example 3.1. Trace functions on $\mathfrak{g}_{3,i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq 5)$ are given by Table 2.

Table 2. Trace functions on 3-dimensional Lie algebras [3].

Example 3.2. Quasi-trace functions on $\mathfrak{g}_{3,i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq 5)$.

Lie algebras	Quasi-trace functions
$\mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$	$\tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3, t_1 t_3 = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$	$\tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 + t_3 x_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{3,3}$	$\tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3, (\alpha - 1)t_1 t_2 = 0$
$\mathfrak{g}_{3,4}$	$\tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2$
$\mathfrak{g}_{3.5}$	$\tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1 x_1 + t_2 x_2 + t_3 x_3, t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 = 0$

Table 3. Quasi-trace functions on 3-dimensional Lie algebras.

P r o o f. We compute $F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,1})$. Other $F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})$ can be obtained similarly. By Definition 2.1 and linearity, $\tau \in (\mathfrak{g}_{3,1})^*$ is a quasi-trace function if and only if

(3.1)
$$
\mathcal{L}_{x_1,x_2,x_3} \tau(x_1) \tau([x_2,x_3]) = 0, \quad x_i \in \{e_1,e_2,e_3\}.
$$

Note that (3.1) holds if there are at least two x_i , x_j equal to each other. So $\tau \in$ $F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,1})$ if and only if $\bigcirc_{e_1,e_2,e_3} \tau(e_1)\tau([e_2,e_3])=0$. By Table 1 it follows that

$$
\tau(e_1)\tau([e_2,e_3]) + \tau(e_2)\tau([e_3,e_1]) + \tau(e_3)\tau([e_1,e_2]) = t_1t_3.
$$

So, $\tau(x) = t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3 \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,1})$ if and only if $t_1t_3 = 0$.

By Theorem 3.1 and Example 3.1 we have the following result.

Corollary 3.1. Let $L_{3,1}$ be the unique (up to isomorphism) nonabelian 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebra.

- (1) There is no trace function τ on $\mathfrak{g}_{3,i}$ such that $L_{3,1} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})_{\tau}$, $i = 2,3,4,5$.
- (2) Assume that $L_{3,1} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{3,1})_{\tau}$. Then τ is a trace function if and only if $\tau(x) =$ $t_2x_2 + t_3x_3, t_3 \neq 0.$

So, to obtain $L_{3,1}$ by using only trace functions one has to choose $\mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 of [6]. By Theorem 3.1 and Example 3.2 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. Let $L_{3,1}$ be the unique (up to isomorphism) nonabelian 3-dimensional 3-Lie algebra, S_i the set of functions given by Theorem 3.1.

- (1) There is no quasi-trace function τ on $\mathfrak{g}_{3,4}$ such that $L_{3,1} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{3,4})_{\tau}$.
- (2) For $1 \leq i \leq 5$, $i \neq 4$, there are quasi-trace functions τ on $\mathfrak{g}_{3,i}$ such that $L_{3,1} \cong (\mathfrak{g}_{3,i})_{\tau}.$

More precisely, such quasi-trace functions are given as follows.

- (i) $\tau \in F_{\text{ctr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,1}) \cap S_1$ if and only if $\tau(x) = t_2x_2 + t_3x_3, t_3 \neq 0$.
- (ii) $\tau \in F_{\text{ptr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}) \cap S_2$ if and only if $\tau(x) = t_1x_1 + t_3x_3, t_1 \neq 0$.
- (iii) $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,3}) \cap S_3$ if and only if $\tau(\mathbf{x}) = t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3$ satisfying one of the following conditions:
	- (a) $\alpha = 1, t_1 \neq 0;$
	- (b) $\alpha = 1, t_2 \neq 0;$
	- (c) $\alpha \neq 1, t_1 = 0, t_2 \neq 0;$
	- (d) $\alpha \neq 1, t_1 \neq 0, t_2 = 0.$
- (iv) $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,5}) \cap S_5$ if and only if $\tau(x) = t_1x_1 + t_2x_2 + t_3x_3$, $(t_1, t_2, t_3) \neq (0, 0, 0)$, $t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 = 0.$

Remark 3.2. The isoclass of type $\mathfrak{g}_{3,3}$ is parametrized by $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < |\alpha| \leq 1$. Though the set S_3 given by Theorem 3.1 is independent of the parameter α , $F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,3}) \cap S_3$ does depend on α .

4. Quasi-trace functions on 4-dimensional Lie algebras and their induced 3-Lie algebras

Recall Notations 2.1 and 2.2. In this section we consider the problem whether a 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra can be induced by a 4-dimensional Lie algebra via linear functions. This problem has been studied by using trace functions in [3], [6]. Let g be a complex 4-dimensional Lie algebra. The main result of this section is that the isoclasses of the 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras of the form \mathfrak{g}_{τ} are determined for quasi-trace functions on g. Our method depends on the following two facts:

(1) If τ is a nonzero quasi-trace function then ker τ is a 3-dimensional subalgebra of g, while the classification of 3-dimensional Lie algebras is known and given by Proposition 3.1.

(2) All 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras are classified via their derived subalgebras. We recall Filippov's classification as follows.

Proposition 4.1 ([15], Section 3). Let L be a complex 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra. Let $L^{(1)}$ and $Z(L)$ be the derived subalgebra and the center of L, respectively.

- (1) If dim $L^{(1)} = 0$ then L is abelian, denoted by $L_{4,0}$.
- (2) Assume that dim $L^{(1)} = 1$. (2.1) If $L^{(1)} \nsubseteq Z(L)$ then L is given by $[e_1, e_3, e_4] = e_1$, denoted by $L_{4,1}$. (2.2) If $L^{(1)} \subseteq Z(L)$ then L is given by $[e_2, e_3, e_4] = e_1$, denoted by $L_{4,4}$.
- (3) If dim $L^{(1)} = 2$ then L is given by either $[e_1, e_2, e_4] = e_3 + \alpha e_4$, $[e_1, e_2, e_3] = e_4$ or $[e_1, e_2, e_4] = e_3$, $[e_1, e_2, e_3] = \beta e_4$, where $0 \neq \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (4) If dim $L^{(1)} = 3$ then L is given by $[e_2, e_3, e_4] = e_1$, $[e_1, e_3, e_4] = e_2$, $[e_1, e_2, e_4] = e_3$, denoted by $L_{4.5}$.
- (5) If dim $L^{(1)} = 4$ then L is given by $[e_2, e_3, e_4] = e_1$, $[e_1, e_3, e_4] = e_2$, $[e_1, e_2, e_4] = e_3$, $[e_1, e_2, e_3] = e_4$, denoted by $L_{4,6}$.

By Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 below, 3-Lie algebras given by (3) of Proposition 4.1 can be classified further as follows.

Corollary 4.1. Let L be a complex 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra with dim $L^{(1)} = 2$. Then L is isomorphic to one and only one of the following algebras:

- (1) $L_{4,2}$: $[e_1, e_2, e_4] = e_3 + e_4$, $[e_1, e_2, e_3] = e_4$.
- (2) $L_{4,3,\beta}$: $[e_1, e_2, e_4] = e_3$, $[e_1, e_2, e_3] = \beta e_4$, $0 < |\beta| \le 1$.

Recall that $n \times n$ matrices A, B are \mathbb{C}^* -similar if there exist $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{C}$ and an invertible matrix P such that $B = kPAP^{-1}$. The \mathbb{C}^* -similar relation is used in classification of 3-dimensional Lie algebras, see [17], page 12.

Lemma 4.1. Any complex 2×2 invertible matrix is \mathbb{C}^* -similar to one and only one of the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$, $0 < |\beta| \le 1$. 0 β $\Big),\ 0<|\beta|\leqslant 1.$

P r o o f. Since $\mathbb C$ is algebraically closed, by using Jordan canonical forms it follows that any complex 2×2 invertible matrix is \mathbb{C}^* -similar to matrices of the forms

(4.1)
$$
M_{\alpha} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_{\beta} := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \neq \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}.
$$

Since M_{α} and N_{β} are not \mathbb{C}^* -similar, it suffices to show the following two claims.

Claim 4.1. For any $0 \neq \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ are \mathbb{C}^* -similar.

Claim 4.2. For any $0 \neq \beta_1$, $\beta_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix}$ $0 \beta_1$) and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ $0 \beta_2$ $\Big)$ are \mathbb{C}^* -similar if and only if either $\beta_1 = \beta_2$ or $\beta_1 \beta_2 = 1$.

Claim 4.1 follows by

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2/\alpha_1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_2/\alpha_1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1}.
$$

The "if" part of Claim 4.2 is clear since for any $0 \neq \beta \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/\beta \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1/\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1/\beta) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Conversely, suppose that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ $0 \beta_1$) and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$ $0 \beta_2$ $\Big)$ are \mathbb{C}^* -similar. Then there exist a nonzero number k and an invertible matrix $P = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ such that

(4.2)
$$
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} a & b \ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & \beta_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1},
$$

which implies that

(4.3)
$$
\frac{k(ad - bc\beta_1)}{ad - bc} = 1, \quad ab(\beta_1 - 1) = 0, \quad cd(1 - \beta_1) = 0.
$$

So, if $\beta_1 = 1$ then $k = 1$, which means that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ $0 \beta_1$) and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ $0 \beta_2$ are similar, and hence $\beta_1 = \beta_2$.

If $\beta_1 \neq 1$ then $ab = cd = 0$ by (4.3). By nonsingularity of P it follows that either $P = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ $0 d$ \int or $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ c 0). If $P = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$ $0 d$) then by (4.2) it follows that $(1 \ 0)$ $0 \beta_2$ $= \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$ $0 \; k\beta_1$), which implies $\beta_1 = \beta_2$. If $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ c 0) then by (4.2) it follows that \bigwedge 1 0 $0 \beta_2$ $\Big) = \Big(\begin{array}{cc} k\beta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{array}\Big)$ $0 \quad k$), which means that $\beta_1\beta_2 = 1$.

Assume that L is a complex 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebra and dim $L^{(1)} = 2$. By [15], there is a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ of L with the multiplication table $[e_1, e_2, e_4] = ae_3+be_4$, $[e_1, e_2, e_3] = ce_3 + de_4$, where $A := \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ is an invertible matrix. Moreover, we have the next lemma.

Lemma 4.2 ([15], Section 3). The 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras defined by A and B respectively are isomorphic if and only if A is \mathbb{C}^* -similar to B.

Keep notations $L_{4,i}$ ($i = 0, 1, 4, 5, 6$) of 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras given by Proposition 4.1 and $L_{4,2}$, $L_{4,3,8}$ given by Corollary 4.1.

Example 4.1. Since $L_{4,6}$ is a simple 3-Lie algebra (see [15], Theorem 4), by Proposition 2.3 for any 4-dimensional Lie algebra g there is no quasi-trace function (and hence no trace function) on $\mathfrak g$ such that $\mathfrak g_\tau \cong L_{4,6}$.

Example 4.2. Let g be the 4-dimensional Lie algebra with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ and the multiplication table $[e_1, e_3] = e_1$, that is, $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{4,1}$, see Table 4 below. Define $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by $\tau(e_1) = \tau(e_4) = 1$, $\tau(e_2) = \tau(e_3) = 0$. By a long but direct check it follows that $\tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. By (1.2) the 3-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is given by $[e_1, e_3, e_4]_{\tau} = e_1$, which means that $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,1}$. Moreover, since $\tau([e_1, e_3]) = \tau(e_1) \neq 0, \tau$ is not a trace function on g.

g	Lie brackets
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,0}$	trivial
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,1}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_1$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,2}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,3}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_2, [e_1, e_3] = e_2 + e_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,4}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_2, [e_1, e_3] = \alpha e_3, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,5}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_1, [e_3, e_4] = e_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,6}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = -e_2, [e_2, e_3] = e_1$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,7}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,8}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_2, [e_1, e_3] = e_3, [e_1, e_4] = \alpha e_4, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,9}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = \alpha e_2 - \beta e_3 + e_4, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ or $\alpha, \beta = 0$
94,10	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = \alpha(e_2 + e_3), \alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,11}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_4, [e_1, e_4] = e_2$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,12}$	$[e_1, e_2] = \frac{1}{3}e_2 + e_3, [e_1, e_3] = \frac{1}{3}e_3, [e_1, e_4] = \frac{1}{3}e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,13}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_2, [e_1, e_3] = e_3, [e_1, e_4] = 2e_4, [e_2, e_3] = e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,14}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = e_2, [e_2, e_3] = e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,15}$	$[e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = -\alpha e_2 + e_3, [e_1, e_4] = e_4, [e_2, e_3] = e_4, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$

Table 4. Classification of complex 4-dimensional Lie algebras, see [7].

Let g be a 4-dimensional Lie algebra and $0 \neq \tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then ker τ is a 3-dimensional subalgebra of g. Keep the notation in Table 1.

Lemma 4.3. Let \mathfrak{g} be a 4-dimensional Lie algebra and $0 \neq \tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

(1) $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,0}$ if and only if ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,0}$.

(2) $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,i}$ if and only if ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,i}, i = 1, 4$.

(3) $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,5}$ if and only if ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,5}$.

P r o o f. By Proposition 2.4 we have $(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} = (\ker \tau)^{(1)} \subseteq \ker \tau$, since $\ker \tau$ is a subalgebra of g.

(1) Since $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,0}$ if and only if \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is abelian, that is, $0 = (\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} = (\ker \tau)^{(1)}$, the claim follows by Proposition 3.1.

(2) Choose a basis $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ of ker τ and take an $f_4 \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \ker \tau$. Then $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ is a basis of g. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,1}$. Then $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} = 1$ and $(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} \nsubseteq Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$ by Proposition 4.1. Since $\dim(\ker \tau)^{(1)} = \dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} = 1$, to show ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$ it suffices to show that $(\ker \tau)^{(1)} \nsubseteq Z(\ker \tau)$ by Remark 3.1. In fact, by $(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} \nsubseteq Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$ there are some $1 \leqslant j, k \leqslant 3$ such that $[f_j, f_k, f_4]_{\tau} \notin Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$. By choices of f_i and (1.2) it follows that $[f_i, f_k] \notin Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$, that is,

(4.4)
$$
[[f_j, f_k], f_l, f_4]_{\tau} \neq 0 \quad \text{for some } 1 \leq l \leq 3,
$$

or equivalently, by (1.2) and choices of f_i ,

(4.5)
$$
[[f_j, f_k], f_l] \neq 0 \text{ for some } 1 \leq j, k, l \leq 3,
$$

which means that $(\ker \tau)^{(1)} \nsubseteq Z(\ker \tau)$ as required. Conversely, assume that $\ker \tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$. Then $\dim(\ker \tau)^{(1)} = 1$ and $(\ker \tau)^{(1)} \nsubseteq Z(\ker \tau)$ by Remark 3.1, therefore, $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} = 1$. By $(\ker \tau)^{(1)} \nsubseteq Z(\ker \tau)$ there are some $1 \leqslant j, k, l \leqslant 3$ such that (4.5) holds, and hence (4.4) holds, which means that $(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} \nsubseteq Z(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$. So $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,1}$ as required by Proposition 4.1. Similarly one can show that $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,4}$ if and only if ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,4}$.

(3) By Proposition 4.1, $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,5}$ if and only if $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} = 3 = \dim(\ker \tau)^{(1)}$. By Remark 3.1 this is equivalent to ker $\tau \cong g_{3.5}$.

Now we consider the remaining cases when ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$ and ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,3}$.

Lemma 4.4. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex 4-dimensional Lie algebra and $0 \neq \tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

- (1) If ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$ then $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,3,(\sqrt{5}-3)/2}$.
- (2) If ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,3}$ then $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,3,-1}$.

P r o o f. (1) By ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$ and Table 1 there exists a basis $\{f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ of ker τ with the multiplication table given by $[f_3, f_2] = f_3 + f_4$, $[f_4, f_2] = f_4$. Choose an $f_1 \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\tau(f_1) = -1$. Then $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} and the multiplication table of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is given by (see (1.2))

(4.6)
$$
[f_1, f_2, f_4]_\tau = f_4, \quad [f_1, f_2, f_3]_\tau = f_3 + f_4.
$$

Then $\dim(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})^{(1)} = 2$, and hence \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is isomorphic to either $L_{4,2}$ or $L_{4,3,\beta}$, $0 < |\beta| \leq 1$, by Corollary 4.1. Therefore, by Lemma 4.2 it remains to determine the \mathbb{C}^* -similar class of the matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ given by (4.6). Since A has no multiple eigenvalues, neither has kA for any $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{C}$. So, A is \mathbb{C}^* -similar to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ 0 β $\big)$ by Lemma 4.1 and $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}\cong L_{4,3,\beta}$ for a unique $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$ with $0<|\beta|\leqslant 1$.

The characteristic polynomials of kA and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$ 0 β) are given by $\lambda^2 - k\lambda - k^2$, λ^2 – $(\beta+1)\lambda+\beta$, respectively. So $k^2=-\beta$, $k=\beta+1$, which means that $\beta^2+3\beta+1=0$ and hence $\beta = (\sqrt{5} - 3)/2$ by $0 < |\beta| \leq 1$.

(2) Assume that ker $\tau \cong g_{3,3}$. By Table 1 there exists a basis $\{f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ of ker τ with the multiplication table given by $[f_3, f_2] = f_3$, $[f_4, f_2] = \alpha f_4$. Choose an $f_1 \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\tau(f_1) = -1$. Then $\{f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4\}$ is a basis of g and the multiplication table of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is $[f_1, f_2, f_4]_{\tau} = \alpha f_4$, $[f_1, f_2, f_3]_{\tau} = f_3$, see (1.2). Set $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \alpha \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since kB has no multiple eigenvalues for any $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{C}^*, B$ is \mathbb{C}^* -similar to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ 0 β \int by Lemma 4.1, and hence $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,3,\beta}$ for a unique $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 < |\beta| \leq 1$. The characteristic polynomials of kB and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ 0 β) are given by $\lambda^2 - k^2 \alpha$, $\lambda^2 - (\beta + 1)\lambda + \beta$, respectively, and hence $\beta = -1$.

By Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4 we get the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex 4-dimensional Lie algebra and $0 \neq \tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then we have the following complete and exclusive cases.

(1) $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,0}$ if and only if ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,0}$.

(2) $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,i}$ if and only if ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,i}, i = 1, 4$.

(3) $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,3,(\sqrt{5}-3)/2}$ if and only if ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$.

(4) $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,3,-1}$ if and only if ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,3}$.

(5) $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,5}$ if and only if ker $\tau \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,5}$.

The following corollary is straightforward.

Corollary 4.2. Let g be a complex 4-dimensional Lie algebra.

(1) There is no $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,2}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,6}$.

(2) There is no $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$ such that $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \cong L_{4,3,\beta}$ for $\beta \neq \frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-3), -1$.

Corollary 4.3. With the notation given by Table 4, all 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras induced by quasi-trace functions are given by Table 5.

P r o o f. We consider $\mathfrak{g}_{4,1}$ only and the other cases are obtained similarly. By Corollary 2.1, $\tau \in F_{\text{ptr}}(\mathfrak{g}_{4,1})$ if and only if

(4.7)
$$
\tau([e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau}) = 0, \quad \tau([e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau}) = 0,
$$

$$
\tau([e_1, e_3, e_4]_{\tau}) = 0, \quad \tau([e_2, e_3, e_4]_{\tau}) = 0.
$$

By (1.2), (4.7) is equivalent to $\tau(t_3e_1) = 0$, $\tau(t_4e_1) = 0$, $\tau(0) = 0$, $\tau(0) = 0$, i.e., $t_1t_3 = t_1t_4 = 0$. By (1.2) the induced 3-Lie algebra is given by $[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = t_3e_1$, $[e_1, e_2, e_4]_\tau = t_4 e_1, [e_1, e_3, e_4]_\tau = 0, [e_1, e_2, e_3]_\tau = 0.$

Remark 4.3. Since different quasi-trace functions may induce isomorphic 3-Lie algebras, it would be better to list isoclasses of 3-Lie algebras of the form \mathfrak{g}_{τ} in Table 5. However, by Theorem 4.1, it needs to determine the isoclass of ker τ , which involves long computations.

5. Cohomology of 3-Lie algebras and Leibniz algebras

In this section we recall representations and cohomologies of 3-Lie algebras and Leibniz algebras for our purpose. Throughout this section L denotes a 3-Lie algebra with a 3-ary bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]$. We fix the following notation.

Notation 5.1. Denote $x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \ldots \wedge x_n \in \wedge^n L$ by (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) .

According to Kasymov (see [18]), a representation of L on a vector space V is defined such that $L \oplus V$ is again a 3-Lie algebra with L being a 3-Lie subalgebra and V an abelian ideal, which is equivalent to the following definition.

Definition 5.1 ([18]). A representation of a 3-Lie algebra L on a vector space V is a linear map $\theta: \wedge^2 L \to \text{End}(V)$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in L$,

$$
\theta(x_1, x_2)\theta(x_3, x_4) - \theta(x_3, x_4)\theta(x_1, x_2) = \theta([x_1, x_2, x_3], x_4) + \theta(x_3, [x_1, x_2, x_4]),
$$

$$
\theta(x_1, [x_2, x_3, x_4]) = \theta(x_3, x_4)\theta(x_1, x_2) - \theta(x_2, x_4)\theta(x_1, x_3) + \theta(x_2, x_3)\theta(x_1, x_4).
$$

A representation θ of L on a vector space V is denoted by (V, θ) . For example, we have the adjoint representation (L, ad) of L on itself by (1.1) , where the map ad: $\wedge^2 L \to \text{End}(L)$ is given by $\text{ad}(x_1, x_2)(x_3) = [x_1, x_2, x_3]$.

g4	quasi-trace functions	induced 3-Lie algebras
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,0}$	$t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \in \mathbb{C}$	abelian 3-Lie algebras
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,1}$	$t_1t_3 = t_1t_4 = 0$	$[e_1,e_2,e_3]_\tau = t_3e_1,\, [e_1,e_2,e_4]_\tau = t_4e_1$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,2}$	$t_3 = 0$	$[e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau} = t_4 e_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,3}$	$t_2 = t_3 t_4 = 0$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = t_3 e_2, [e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau} = t_4 e_2,$
		$[e_1, e_3, e_4]_\tau = t_4(e_2 + e_3)$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,4}$	$(1 - \alpha)t_2t_3 = t_2t_4 = t_3t_4 = 0$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = t_3 e_2, [e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau} = t_4 e_2,$
		$[e_1, e_3, e_4]_{\tau} = \alpha t_4 e_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,5}$	$t_1t_3 = t_1t_4 = t_2t_3 = 0$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = t_3 e_1, [e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau} = t_4 e_1,$
		$[e_1, e_3, e_4]_\tau = t_1 e_3, [e_2, e_3, e_4]_\tau = t_2 e_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,6}$	$t_1^2+t_2^2+t_3^2=0,$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = t_1 e_1 + t_2 e_2 + t_3 e_3,$
	$t_1t_4 = t_2t_4 = t_3t_4 = 0$	$[e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau} = t_4 e_3, [e_1, e_3, e_4]_{\tau} = -t_4 e_2,$
		$[e_2, e_3, e_4]_\tau = t_4 e_1$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,7}$	$t_3 = t_4 = 0$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_\tau = -t_2 e_4$
\mathfrak{g}_4	quasi-trace functions	induced 3-Lie algebras
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,8}$	$(1 - \alpha)t_2t_4 = 0,$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = t_3 e_2 - t_2 e_3,$
	$(1 - \alpha)t_3t_4 = 0$	$[e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau} = t_4 e_2 - \alpha t_2 e_4,$
		$[e_1, e_3, e_4]_\tau = t_4 e_3 - \alpha t_3 e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,9}$	$t_2t_4-t_3^2=0,$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = t_3 e_3 - t_2 e_4,$
	$\alpha t_2^2 - \beta t_2 t_3 + t_3^2 - t_3 t_4 = 0,$	$[e_1, e_2, e_4]_\tau = -\alpha t_2 e_2 + (\beta t_2 + t_4) e_3 - t_2 e_4,$
	$\alpha t_2 t_3 - \beta t_3^2 + t_3 t_4 - t_4^2 = 0$	$[e_1, e_3, e_4]_\tau = -\alpha t_3 e_2 + \beta t_3 e_3 - (t_3 - t_4) e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,10}$	$t_2t_4-t_3^2=0,$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_\tau = t_3 e_3 - t_2 e_4,$
	$\alpha t_2^2 + \alpha t_2 t_3 - t_3 t_4 = 0,$	$[e_1, e_2, e_4]_\tau = -\alpha t_2 e_2 - (\alpha t_2 - t_4) e_3,$
	$\frac{\alpha t_2 t_3 + \alpha t_3^2 - t_4^2 = 0}{t_2 t_4 - t_3^2 = 0},$	$[e_1, e_3, e_4]_\tau = -\alpha t_3 (e_2 + e_3) + t_4 e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,11}$		$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = t_3 e_3 - t_2 e_4,$
	$t_2^2 - t_3 t_4 = 0,$	$[e_1, e_2, e_4]_\tau = -t_2e_2 - t_4e_3,$
	$\frac{t_2t_3 - t_4^2 = 0}{t_3 = 0}$	$[e_1, e_3, e_4]_\tau = -t_3e_2 + t_4e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,12}$		$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = \frac{1}{2}t_2e_3,$
		$[e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau} = \frac{1}{3}t_4e_2 + t_4e_3 - \frac{1}{3}t_2e_4,$
		$[e_1, e_3, e_4]_\tau = \frac{1}{3}t_4e_3$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,13}$	$t_4=0$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_{\tau} = t_3 e_2 - t_2 e_3 + t_1 e_4,$
		$[e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau} = -2t_2e_4,$
		$[e_1, e_3, e_4]_\tau = -2t_3e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,14}$	$t_2^2 - t_3^2 = t_4 = 0$ $\alpha t_2^2 - t_2 t_3 + t_3^2 = 0,$	$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_\tau = -t_2e_2 + t_3e_3 + t_1e_4$
$\mathfrak{g}_{4,15}$		$[e_1, e_2, e_3]_\tau = \alpha t_2 e_2 - (t_2 - t_3) e_3 + t_1 e_4,$
	$t_4 = 0$	$[e_1, e_2, e_4]_{\tau} = -t_2 e_4, [e_1, e_3, e_4]_{\tau} = -t_3 e_4$

Table 5. 4-dimensional 3-Lie algebras induced by quasi-trace functions.

Remark 5.1. Unlike Lie algebras, given two representations (V_i, θ_i) of L, in general there is no representation on $Hom(V_1, V_2)$ induced by θ_i . However, if (V_1, θ_1) is the adjoint representation of L then there is a representation of the Leibniz algebra $\wedge^2 L$ on $\text{Hom}(V_1, V_2)$, see Lemma 5.2 below.

A homomorphism f from (V_1, θ_1) to (V_2, θ_2) can be defined such that f induces a 3-Lie algebra homomorphism \hat{f} from $L \oplus V_1$ to $L \oplus V_2$ with $\hat{f}|_L$ being the identity, see [16]. By a direct computation it follows that a linear map $f: V_1 \to V_2$ is a homomorphism if and only if

(5.1)
$$
\theta_2(x, y)(f(v)) = f(\theta_1(x, y)(v)) \quad \forall x, y \in L, v \in V_1.
$$

So we have the category L -Mod of representations of L . As in the case of Leibniz algebras (see [21]), there is a unital associative algebra $U(L)$ such that L-Mod is equivalent to the (left) module category $U(L)$ -Mod (see [16], Proposition 4.4), where $U(L)$ can be (and will be) chosen as the unital associative algebra generated by $\wedge^2 L$ subject to the following defining relations:

(5.2)
$$
XY - YX = [X, Y]_F
$$
, $\bigcirc_{x_1, x_2, x_3} (x_1 \wedge x_2)(x_3 \wedge x_4) = [x_1, x_2, x_3] \wedge x_4$,

where $X, Y \in \wedge^2 L$, $x_i \in L$, and $[\cdot, \cdot]_F$ is given by (1.3). Indeed, for any representation (V, θ) of L, V becomes a U(L)-module via

(5.3)
$$
X(v) = \theta(x_1, x_2)(v) \quad \forall X = x_1 \land x_2 \in \wedge^2 L, \quad v \in V.
$$

Let $H^*(L, -)$ be the right derived functor of the invariant submodule functor $(-)^L$. Using $U(L)$ it is shown that (see [16], Proposition 5.2)

(5.4)
$$
H^*(L, V) = Ext^*_{U(L)}(\mathbb{C}, V)
$$

for any representation (V, θ) of L.

There is another cohomology of L which is induced by that of the Leibniz algebra $\wedge^2 L$ (see (5.11) below). Recall that a Leibniz algebra is a vector space A with a bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]$: $A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ such that (see [20], [21])

(5.5)
$$
[x,[y,z]] = [[x,y],z] + [y,[x,z]], \quad x,y,z \in A.
$$

In fact, it is a left Leibniz algebra. In this paper by Leibniz algebras we always mean left Leibniz algebras. By Theorem 2 of [9], for any 3-Lie algebra $L, \wedge^2 L$ becomes a Leibniz algebra with respect to the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_F$ given by (1.3), called the basic Leibniz algebra of L. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. There is a covariant functor F from the category of 3-Lie algebras to the category of Leibniz algebras given by $F(L) = \wedge^2 L$, $F(f)(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y)$, where $f: L \to L_1$ is a 3-Lie algebra homomorphism.

P r o o f. Straightforward.

A representation of a Leibniz algebra A is a triple (W, l, r) , where W is a vector space and $l, r: A \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(W)$ are linear maps satisfying

(5.6)
$$
l([a, a']) = [l(a), l(a')], r([a, a']) = [l(a'), r(a)], r(a')l(a) = -r(a')r(a).
$$

See (1.5) in [21]. The bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$ is the usual commutator. Note that (5.6) is equivalent to $(MLL)'$, $(LML)'$ and $(LLM)'$ given by (1.5) of [21], which define co-representations of right Leibniz algebras.

Set $CL^p(A, W) = \text{Hom}(\otimes^p A, W)$. We get a cochain complex with the coboundary operator $\partial: CL^p(A, W) \to CL^{p+1}(A, W)$ given by

$$
(5.7) \quad (\partial(\varphi))(a_1, \ldots, a_{p+1})
$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j+1} l(a_j) \varphi(a_1, \ldots, \widehat{a_j}, \ldots, a_{p+1}) + (-1)^{p+1} r(a_{p+1}) \varphi(a_1, \ldots, a_p)$
+ $\sum_{1 \le j < k \le p+1} (-1)^j \varphi(a_1, \ldots, \widehat{a_j}, \ldots, a_{k-1}, [a_j, a_k], a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_{p+1}),$

where $\varphi \in CL^p(A, W)$, $a_i \in A$. Here we consider left Leibniz algebras. So (5.7) is the "left" version of (1.8) in [21]. The pth cohomology group is

$$
HL_{l,r}^{p}(A, W) = ZL_{l,r}^{p}(A, W)/BL_{l,r}^{p}(A, W),
$$

where $ZL_{l,r}^p(A, W)$ (or $BL_{l,r}^p(A, W)$) is the space of p-cocycles (or p-coboundaries, respectively). We have the following result, which is the nongraded version of Proposition 2.2 in [25]. Note that the representations of 3-Lie colour algebras in Definition 2.4 of [25] are a generalization of Definition 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. Assume that (V, θ) is a representation of a 3-Lie algebra L. Then $(Hom(L, V), l, r)$ is a representation of the Leibniz algebra $\wedge^2 L$ with l, r : $\wedge^2 L \to \text{End}(\text{Hom}(L, V))$ given by

(5.8)
$$
(l(x, y)(f))(z) = \theta(x, y)(f(z)) - f([x, y, z]),
$$

$$
(r(x, y)(f))(z) = f([x, y, z]) - \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \theta(x, y)(f(z)),
$$

respectively, where $x, y, z \in L$, $f \in Hom(L, V)$.

Fix any representation (V, θ) of L. For any integer $p \geq 1$ we have the canonical isomorphism (can) of vector spaces given by

(5.9) can:
$$
\text{Hom}(\otimes^{p-1}(\wedge^2 L) \otimes L, V) \to \text{Hom}(\otimes^{p-1}(\wedge^2 L), \text{Hom}(L, V)),
$$

 $\omega \mapsto \widetilde{\omega} \colon \widetilde{\omega}(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1})(z) = \omega(X_1, \dots, X_{p-1}, z), \quad X_i \in \wedge^2 L, \ z \in L,$

which induces a map d_{θ} : Hom $(\otimes^{p-1}(\wedge^2 L) \otimes L, V) \to \text{Hom}(\otimes^p(\wedge^2 L) \otimes L, V)$ such that the diagram

$$
\text{Hom}(\otimes^{p-1}(\wedge^2 L) \otimes L, V) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \text{Hom}(\otimes^{p-1}(\wedge^2 L), \text{Hom}(L, V))
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow_{d_{\theta}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow_{\theta}
$$
\n
$$
\text{Hom}(\otimes^p(\wedge^2 L) \otimes L, V) \xrightarrow{\text{can}} \text{Hom}(\otimes^p(\wedge^2 L), \text{Hom}(L, V))
$$

commutes. Here ∂ is given by (5.7). Since ∂ is a coboundary operator, so is d_{θ} . By a direct computation using (5.9) and (5.7) it follows that

$$
(5.10) \quad (d_{\theta}(\omega))(X_1, \ldots, X_p, z)
$$

= $\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (-1)^j \omega(X_1, \ldots, \widehat{X}_j, \ldots, X_{k-1}, [X_j, X_k]_F, X_{k+1}, \ldots, X_p, z)$
+ $\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^j \omega(X_1, \ldots, \widehat{X}_j, \ldots, X_p, [X_j, z])$
+ $\sum_{j=1}^p (-1)^{j+1} \theta(X_j) \omega(X_1, \ldots, \widehat{X}_j, \ldots, X_p, z)$
+ $(-1)^{p+1} (\theta(y_p, z) \omega(X_1, \ldots, X_{p-1}, x_p) + \theta(z, x_p) \omega(X_1, \ldots, X_{p-1}, y_p))$

for all $X_i = x_i \wedge y_i \in \wedge^2 L$ and $z \in L$, which is exactly (4) of [19].

For brevity set $\mathcal{C}^{p-1}(L,V) = \text{Hom}(\otimes^{p-1}(\wedge^2 L) \otimes L, V)$. Hence we get a cochain complex $(\bigoplus_p C^{p-1}(L,V), d_\theta)$ which is induced from the cochain complex of the Leibniz algebra $\wedge^2 L$. Denote the *p*th cohomology group by

(5.11)
$$
\mathcal{H}_{\theta}^{p}(L,V) = \mathcal{Z}_{\theta}^{p}(L,V)/\mathcal{B}_{\theta}^{p}(L,V),
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_{\theta}^{p}(L,V)$ (or $\mathcal{B}_{\theta}^{p}(L,V)$) is the space of $(p+1)$ -cocycles (or $(p+1)$ -coboundaries, respectively). Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_{\theta}^{*}(L, V)$ is deduced from $HL^*_{l,r}(\wedge^2 L, \text{Hom}(L, V))$ via the representation of $\wedge^2 L$ on $\text{Hom}(L, V)$ given by Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 5.3. Let L be a 3-Lie algebra and (V, θ) a representation of L. Then $(V, \theta, -\theta)$ is a Leibniz algebra representation of $\wedge^2 L$.

P r o o f. Fix any $x_i \in L$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$. By (5.6) it suffices to show that

$$
\theta([x_1 \wedge x_2, x_3 \wedge x_4]_F) = [\theta(x_1, x_2), \theta(x_3, x_4)],
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\theta([x_1, x_2, x_3] \wedge x_4 + x_3 \wedge [x_1, x_2, x_4]) = \theta(x_1, x_2)\theta(x_3, x_4) - \theta(x_3, x_4)\theta(x_1, x_2).
$$

By Definition 5.1 the result follows.

Let (V, θ) be a representation of the 3-Lie algebra L. By Lemma 5.3, it is possible to compare $\mathcal{H}_{\theta}^{*}(L, V)$ and the cohomology of $\wedge^{2} L$ in V. Consider the representation (*V*, θ , $-\theta$) of the Leibniz algebra $\wedge^2 L$. Fix any z ∈ L and $p \ge 0$. Then there is a linear inclusion of vector spaces

$$
\otimes^p(\wedge^2 L) \hookrightarrow \otimes^p(\wedge^2 L) \otimes L: X_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes X_p \mapsto X_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes X_p \otimes z, X_i \in \wedge^2 L,
$$

which induces a map $f^p = f^p_z : C^p(L, V) \to CL^p(\wedge^2 L, V) : \omega \mapsto \tilde{\omega}$, where

(5.12)
$$
\widetilde{\omega}(X_1,\ldots,X_p)=\omega(X_1,\ldots,X_p,\mathbf{z}), \quad \mathbf{X}_i\in\wedge^2 L, \ 1\leqslant i\leqslant p.
$$

Proposition 5.1. Assume that $z \in Z(L)$ and $\theta(x \wedge z) = 0$ for any $x \in L$. Then ${f^p = f^p_z}_{p \geqslant 0}$ is a cochain map from $\left(\bigoplus_{p \geqslant 0} C^p(L, V), d_\theta\right)$ to $\left(\bigoplus_{p \geqslant 0} C^p(L, V), d_\theta\right)$ $p\geqslant 0$ $CL^{p}(\wedge^{2}L, V), \partial),$ which induces a map $\mathcal{H}_{\theta}^{p}(L, V) \rightarrow HL_{\theta, -\theta}^{p}(\wedge^{2}L, V)$ given by $[\omega] \mapsto [f^{p}(\omega)],$ $\omega \in \mathcal{Z}_{\theta}^p(L,V).$

P r o o f. It suffices to show that $\partial \circ f^p = f^{p+1} \circ d_\theta$. Fix any $X_i \in \wedge^2 L$, $1 \leqslant i \leqslant$ $p + 1$. By linearity we may assume that $X_i = x_i \wedge y_i$. By (5.7) and (5.12) we have

$$
((\partial \circ f^{p})(\omega))(X_{1},...,X_{p+1}) = (\partial(\widetilde{\omega}))(X_{1},...,X_{p+1})
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \theta(X_{j}) \omega(X_{1},..., \widehat{X}_{j},...,X_{p+1}, z)
$$

+
$$
\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq p+1} (-1)^{j} \omega(X_{1},..., \widehat{X}_{j},...,X_{k-1}, [X_{j}, X_{k}]_{F}, X_{k+1},...,X_{p+1}, z).
$$

On the other hand, by (5.10) and (5.12) we have

$$
((f^{p+1} \circ d_{\theta})(\omega))(X_{1},...,X_{p+1})
$$
\n
$$
= (d_{\theta}(\omega))(X_{1},...,X_{p+1},z)
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq p+1} (-1)^{j} \omega(X_{1},..., \widehat{X}_{j},...,X_{k-1}, [X_{j}, X_{k}]_{F}, X_{k+1},...,X_{p+1}, z)
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j} \omega(X_{1},..., \widehat{X}_{j},...,X_{p+1}, [X_{j}, z])
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \theta(X_{j}) \omega(X_{1},..., \widehat{X}_{j},...,X_{p+1}, z)
$$
\n
$$
+ (-1)^{p+2} (\underbrace{\theta(y_{p+1}, z)}_{1 \leq j < k \leq p+1} \omega(X_{1},..., \widehat{X}_{j},...,X_{k-1}, [X_{j}, X_{k}]_{F}, X_{k+1},...,X_{p+1}, z)
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \theta(X_{j}) \omega(X_{1},..., \widehat{X}_{j},...,X_{k+1}, z),
$$

where the underlined terms are zero since $z \in Z(L)$ and $\theta(x \wedge z) = 0$ for any $x \in L$ by assumption. So $\partial \circ f_p = f^{p+1} \circ d_\theta$ as required.

Example 5.1. Let $\theta =$ ad be the adjoint representation of L. Then the condition $z \in Z(L)$ implies that $\text{ad}(x \wedge z) = 0$ for any $x \in L$. So, for any $z \in Z(L)$, there exists a map $\mathcal{H}_{\theta}^{p}(L,L) \to HL_{\theta,-\theta}^{p}(\wedge^{2}L,L)$ given by $[\omega] \to [f_{z}^{p}(\omega)], \omega \in \mathcal{Z}_{\theta}^{p}(L,L)$, where f^{p} is given by (5.12) .

6. Representations of the induced 3-Lie algebras

In this section $\mathfrak g$ denotes a Lie algebra with bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Keep notation as in former sections. For any $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ there is a linear map τ^{\sharp} : $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ given by

(6.1)
$$
\tau^{\sharp}(x \wedge y) = \tau(x)y - \tau(y)x \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.
$$

Lemma 6.1. Assume that $0 \neq \tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Then $\text{im}(\tau^{\sharp}) = \ker \tau$.

P r o o f. Since $\tau(\tau^{\sharp}(x \wedge y)) = 0$, $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\text{im}(\tau^{\sharp}) \subseteq \text{ker } \tau$. Fix a basis $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$ of ker τ . Choose any $e \notin \ker \tau$. Then $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \cup \{e\}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{g} . Since $\tau^{\sharp}(e_i \wedge e_j) = 0$ and $\tau^{\sharp}(e_i \wedge e) = -\tau(e)e_i \neq 0$, im (τ^{\sharp}) is generated by $\{e_i\}_{i \in \mathcal{I}}$.

Let $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Consider the 3-ary bracket $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\tau}$ given by (1.2) on $\mathfrak{g}_{\tau} = \mathfrak{g}$. On $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$ we have the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_F$ with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_\tau$ given by (1.3).

Lemma 6.2. $\tau \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a quasi-trace function on \mathfrak{g} if and only if the map τ^{\sharp} : $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau} \to \mathfrak{g}$ given by (6.1) satisfies that $\tau^{\sharp}([X_1, X_2]_F) = [\tau^{\sharp}(X_1), \tau^{\sharp}(X_2)]$ for any X_1 , $X_2 \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$. In this case, τ^{\sharp} is a homomorphism of Leibniz algebras (\mathfrak{g} is regarded as a Leibniz algebra).

P r o o f. By linearity we may assume that $X_i = x_i \wedge y_i \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, i = 1, 2$. By (1.2) , (1.3) and (6.1) it follows that

$$
(6.2) \quad \tau^{\sharp}([X_1, X_2]_F) = \tau^{\sharp}([x_1, y_1, x_2]_{\tau} \wedge y_2 + x_2 \wedge [x_1, y_1, y_2]_{\tau})
$$

\n
$$
= \tau(x_1)\tau(x_2)[y_1, y_2] - \tau(x_1)\tau(y_2)[y_1, x_2] - \tau(y_1)\tau(x_2)[x_1, y_2]
$$

\n
$$
+ \tau(y_1)\tau(y_2)[x_1, x_2] + \tau([x_1, y_1, x_2]_{\tau})y_2 - \tau([x_1, y_1, y_2]_{\tau})x_2
$$

\n
$$
= [\tau(x_1)y_1 - \tau(y_1)x_1, \tau(x_2)y_2 - \tau(y_2)x_2]
$$

\n
$$
+ \tau([x_1, y_1, x_2]_{\tau})y_2 - \tau([x_1, y_1, y_2]_{\tau})x_2
$$

\n
$$
= [\tau^{\sharp}(X_1), \tau^{\sharp}(X_2)] + \frac{\tau([x_1, y_1, x_2]_{\tau})y_2 - \tau([x_1, y_1, y_2]_{\tau})x_2}{\tau([x_1, y_1, x_2]_{\tau})y_2 - \tau([x_1, y_1, y_2]_{\tau})x_2}.
$$

So, if $\tau \in F_{\text{ptr}}(\mathfrak{g})$ then $\tau([\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{x}_2]_{\tau}) = \tau([\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2]_{\tau}) = 0$ by Corollary 2.1, which means that $\tau^{\sharp}([X_1, X_2]_F) = [\tau^{\sharp}(X_1), \tau^{\sharp}(X_2)]$ as required. In particular, since \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is a 3-Lie algebra, which implies that $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$ is a Leibniz algebra with respect to $[\cdot, \cdot]_F$ by [9], τ^{\sharp} is a Leibniz algebra homomorphism.

Conversely, assume that $\tau^{\sharp}([X_1, X_2]_F) = [\tau^{\sharp}(X_1), \tau^{\sharp}(X_2)]$ for any $X_1, X_2 \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$. By (6.2) it follows that

(6.3)
$$
\tau([x_1, y_1, x_2]_{\tau})y_2 - \tau([x_1, y_1, y_2]_{\tau})x_2 = 0 \quad \forall x_i, y_i \in \mathfrak{g}.
$$

Fix any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. By Corollary 2.1, to show $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$ it suffices to show that $\tau([x, y, z]_{\tau}) = 0$. If dim $\mathfrak{g} = 1$ then g is abelian and hence τ is always a quasi-trace function by Example 2.5. So we may assume that dim $\mathfrak{g} \geq 2$ and $z \neq 0$. Then, we can choose $z' \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that z, z' are linearly independent.

Set $x_1 = x$, $y_1 = y$, $x_2 = z$, $y_2 = z'$. Then $\tau([x, y, z]_{\tau})z' - \tau([x, y, y']_{\tau})z = 0$ by (6.3). Since z, z' are linearly independent, $\tau([x, y, z]_{\tau}) = 0$ as required.

Let $\tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. Recall the associative algebra $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$ (see (5.2)) associated to \mathfrak{g}_{τ} . Let $U(\mathfrak{g})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Theorem 6.1. Let $\tau \in F_{3\text{-Lie}}(\mathfrak{g})$. The map τ^{\sharp} given by (6.1) induces a homomorphism of associative algebras from $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$ to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ if and only if τ is a quasi-trace function on \mathfrak{g} , i.e., $\tau \in F_{\text{ctr}}(\mathfrak{g})$.

P r o o f. Since $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$ is generated by $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$ and $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is generated by \mathfrak{g} respectively, it suffices to check that τ^{\sharp} sends defining relations of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$ to that of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Recall that the defining relations of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$ are

(6.4)
$$
XY - YX = [X, Y]_F,
$$

$$
[x_1, x_2, x_3]_T \wedge x_4 = \bigcirc_{x_1, x_2, x_3} (x_1 \wedge x_2)(x_3 \wedge x_4),
$$

where $X, Y \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$, $x_i \in \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$, and $[\cdot, \cdot, \cdot]_{\tau}$ is given by (1.2), while the defining relation of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ is

(6.5)
$$
xy - yx = [x, y] \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.
$$

By (6.1) in U(g) we have

$$
(6.6) \qquad \mathcal{L}_{x_1,x_2,x_3}^{\mathcal{D}} \tau^{\sharp}(x_1 \wedge x_2) \tau(x_3) = \mathcal{L}_{x_1,x_2,x_3}^{\mathcal{D}} (\tau(x_1)x_2 - \tau(x_2)x_1) \tau(x_3) = 0
$$

and

(6.7)
$$
\circlearrowleft_{x_1, x_2, x_3} \tau^{\sharp}(x_1 \wedge x_2) x_3 = \circlearrowleft_{x_1, x_2, x_3} (\tau(x_1) x_2 x_3 - \tau(x_2) x_1 x_3)
$$
\n
$$
= \circlearrowleft_{x_1, x_2, x_3} \tau(x_1) (x_2 x_3 - x_3 x_2)
$$
\n
$$
\circlearrowleft_{x_1, x_2, x_3} \tau(x_1) [x_2, x_3]
$$
\n
$$
\circlearrowleft_{x_1, x_2, x_3} \tau(x_1) [x_2, x_3]
$$
\n
$$
\circlearrowleft_{x_1, x_2, x_3} \tau(x_1) x_2, x_3
$$
\n
$$
\circlearrowleft_{x_1, x_2, x_3} \tau(x_1) x_2
$$

By (6.6) and (6.7) we have in $U(g)$

$$
(6.8) \qquad \tau^{\sharp}([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau} \wedge x_4) - \underset{x_1, x_2, x_3}{\circlearrowleft} \tau^{\sharp}(x_1 \wedge x_2) \tau^{\sharp}(x_3 \wedge x_4)
$$
\n
$$
= \tau([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}) x_4 - \tau(x_4) [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}
$$
\n
$$
- (\underset{x_1, x_2, x_3}{\circlearrowleft} \tau^{\sharp}(x_1 \wedge x_2) \tau(x_3)) x_4 + \tau(x_4) (\underset{x_1, x_2, x_3}{\circlearrowleft} \tau^{\sharp}(x_1 \wedge x_2) x_3)
$$
\n
$$
= \tau([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}) x_4 - \tau(x_4) [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau} + \tau(x_4) [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}
$$
\n
$$
= \tau([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}) x_4.
$$

By Corollary 2.1, Lemma 6.2 and (6.8) the result follows.

As a direct application of Theorem 6.1 and Lemma 6.1 we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.1. Assume that $0 \neq \tau$ is a quasi-trace function on g. Then the image of the homomorphism τ^{\sharp} : $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}) \to U(\mathfrak{g})$ equals to $U(\ker \tau)$, the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie algebra ker τ .

Using the homomorphism τ^{\sharp} : $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}) \to U(\mathfrak{g})$ in Theorem 6.1 we get the following corollary.

Corollary 6.2. Assume that τ is a quasi-trace function on g. Let (V, ρ) be a representation of $\mathfrak g$. Then the composition $\varrho_{\tau} := \varrho \circ \tau^{\sharp} : U(\mathfrak g_{\tau}) \to \text{End}(V)$ affords a representation of the 3-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_{τ} on V, and τ induces a functor from $\mathfrak{g} - \text{Mod}$ to \mathfrak{g}_{τ} – Mod.

Note that ρ_{τ} is given by

$$
(6.9) \qquad \varrho_{\tau}(x_1,x_2)=\tau(x_1)\varrho(x_2)-\tau(x_2)\varrho(x_1)\in \mathrm{End}(V), \quad x_1,x_2\in \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}=\mathfrak{g}.
$$

Now we recall the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complexes of $\mathfrak g$. Let (V, ϱ) be a representation of $\mathfrak g$. The space $C^p(\mathfrak g,V)$ of p-cochains is $\text{Hom}(\wedge^p\mathfrak g,V)$, while the coboundary operator δ_{ϱ} : $C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) \to C^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is given by

(6.10)
$$
(\delta_{\varrho}(f))(x_1, \dots, x_{p+1})
$$

=
$$
\sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \varrho(x_j) f(x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, x_{p+1})
$$

+
$$
\sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq p+1} (-1)^{j+k} f([x_j, x_k], x_1, \dots, \widehat{x_j}, \dots, \widehat{x_k}, \dots, x_{p+1}).
$$

Let $Z_{\varrho}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ (or $B_{\varrho}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$) be the space of p-cocycles (or p-coboundaries, respectively). Then, the pth cohomology group of $\mathfrak g$ (with coefficients in V) is

$$
H^p_\varrho(\mathfrak{g},V)=Z^p_\varrho(\mathfrak{g},V)/B^p_\varrho(\mathfrak{g},V).
$$

For the representation given in Corollary 6.2 and the cohomology $H^*(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, V)$ introduced in [16] (see (5.4)) we have the following corollary.

Corollary 6.3. Let τ be a quasi-trace function on g and (V, ρ) a representation of $\mathfrak g$. If U($\mathfrak g$) is a projective module of U($\mathfrak g$ _τ) via the homomorphism τ^{\sharp} then $\mathrm{H}^*(\mathfrak{g}_\tau,V)\cong H^*_\varrho(\mathfrak{g},V).$

Proof. Recall that $H^*_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \text{Ext}^*_{\text{U}(\mathfrak{g})}(\mathbb{C}, V)$. Since $\text{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a projective module of $U(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau})$, by the theorem of change of rings any projective resolution of the trivial representation of g is also a projective resolution of the trivial representation of g_{τ} , and hence the result follows.

7. Some comparison of cohomologies arising from quasi-trace functions

In this section g is a Lie algebra and τ is a quasi-trace function on g. We fix a representation (V, ρ) of g. Then we have a representation (V, ρ_{τ}) of the 3-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_{τ} given by Corollary 6.2. We construct some cocycles of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} from those of \mathfrak{g} , and compare the cohomologies of $\mathfrak g$ and the Leibniz algebra $\wedge^2 \mathfrak g_\tau$ associated to the 3-Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_{τ} .

In the case that τ is a trace function, 1-cocycles and 2-cocyles of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} are studied in [3] for the trivial representation and adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} . Note that the trivial representation of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} is induced by the trivial representation of g via Corollary 6.2, while the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} cannot be induced by the adjoint representation of g via Corollary 6.2 in general, see Corollary 6.1.

At first we consider 1-cocyles. Note that $C^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}, V) = C^0(\mathfrak{g}_\tau, V)$.

Proposition 7.1. It holds that $Z^1_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \subseteq \mathcal{Z}^0_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, V)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

(7.1)
$$
(d_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\lambda))(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = \underset{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(\mathbf{x}) (\delta_{\varrho}(\lambda))(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}),
$$

where δ_{ϱ} (or $d_{\varrho_{\tau}}$) is given by (6.10) (or (5.10), respectively), and $\lambda \in C_{\varrho}^{1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}_{\tau} = \mathfrak{g}$. Indeed,

$$
(d_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\lambda))(x, y, z) = -\lambda([x, y, z]_{\tau}) + \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \varrho_{\tau}(x, y)\lambda(z)
$$
(by (5.10))
\n
$$
= -\lambda(\underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x)[y, z])
$$
(by (5.10))
\n
$$
+ \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} (\tau(x)\varrho(y) - \tau(y)\varrho(x))\lambda(z)
$$
(by (1.2), (6.9))
\n
$$
= \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} (\tau(x)(-\lambda([y, z]) + \varrho(y)\lambda(z) - \varrho(z)\lambda(y)))
$$

\n
$$
= \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x)(\delta_{\varrho}(\lambda))(y, z)
$$
(by (6.10))

as required. \Box

Proposition 7.1 generalizes Theorem 4.3 of [3]. More precisely, the identity (7.1) generalizes Lemma 4.2 of [3] where τ is a trace function on g.

For 2-cocycles we consider the linear map, denoted again by τ^{\sharp} , from $C^2(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ = Hom $(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}, V)$ to $\mathcal{C}^1(\mathfrak{g}_\tau, V)$ = Hom $(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}, V)$, given by

(7.2)
$$
(\tau^{\sharp}(\omega))(x,y,z) = \underset{x,y,z}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x)\omega(y,z), \ \omega \in C^{2}(\mathfrak{g},V), \quad x,y,z \in \mathfrak{g}.
$$

With respect to the trivial representation and the adjoint representation of \mathfrak{g}_{τ} , (7.2) is defined for a trace function τ in Theorems 4.2 and 4.4 of [3].

Proposition 7.2. Let τ be a quasi-trace function on g and (V, ρ) be a representation of $\mathfrak g$. Then there is a morphism $H^2_{\varrho}(\mathfrak g, V) \to \mathcal{H}^1_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\mathfrak g_{\tau}, V)$ given by $[\omega] \mapsto [\tau^{\sharp}(\omega)].$

Proof. At first we show that $d_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\tau^{\sharp}(\omega)) = 0$ for any $\omega \in Z_{\varrho}^{2}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$, i.e., $\tau^{\sharp}(\omega) \in \mathcal{Z}^1(\mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, V)$. Since $\delta_{\varrho}(\omega) = 0$, by (6.10) it follows that

(7.3)
$$
0 = (\delta_{\varrho}(\omega))(x, y, z) = \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \varrho(x)\omega(y, z) - \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \omega([x, y], z), \quad x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.
$$

Fix any $x_i \in \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$, $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Since $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g}), \tau([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}) = 0$ by (2.5). So, by (7.2) it follows that

$$
\tau^{\sharp}(\omega)([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}, x_4, x_5) = \tau(x_4)\omega(x_5, [x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}) + \tau(x_5)\omega([x_1, x_2, x_3]_{\tau}, x_4).
$$

By this and similar identities we deduce from (5.10) that

$$
(7.4) \qquad (d_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\tau^{\sharp}(\omega)))(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5})
$$
\n
$$
= -(\tau(x_{4})\omega(x_{5}, [x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}]_{\tau}) + \tau(x_{5})\omega([x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}]_{\tau}, x_{4}))
$$
\n
$$
- (\tau(x_{3})\omega([x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}]_{\tau}, x_{5}) + \tau(x_{5})\omega(x_{3}, [x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}]_{\tau}))
$$
\n
$$
- (\tau(x_{3})\omega(x_{4}, [x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}]_{\tau}) + \tau(x_{4})\omega([x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}]_{\tau}, x_{3}))
$$
\n
$$
+ (\tau(x_{1})\omega(x_{2}, [x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}]_{\tau}) + \tau(x_{2})\omega([x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}]_{\tau}, x_{1}))
$$
\n
$$
+ (\tau(x_{1})\varrho(x_{2}) - \tau(x_{2})\varrho(x_{1}))(\bigotimes_{x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}} \tau(x_{3})\omega(x_{4}, x_{5}))
$$
\n
$$
- (\tau(x_{3})\varrho(x_{4}) - \tau(x_{4})\varrho(x_{3}))(\bigotimes_{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{5}} \tau(x_{1})\omega(x_{2}, x_{5}))
$$
\n
$$
- (\tau(x_{4})\varrho(x_{5}) - \tau(x_{5})\varrho(x_{4}))(\bigotimes_{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}} \tau(x_{1})\omega(x_{2}, x_{3}))
$$
\n
$$
- (\tau(x_{5})\varrho(x_{3}) - \tau(x_{3})\varrho(x_{5}))(\bigotimes_{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{4}} \tau(x_{1})\omega(x_{2}, x_{4})).
$$

By (1.2) , (7.3) and anti-symmetry of ω , the right hand side of (7.4) can be rewritten as

$$
(d_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\tau^{\sharp}(\omega)))(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5)
$$

= $\tau(x_1)\tau(x_4)(\delta_{\varrho}(\omega))(x_2, x_5, x_3) + \tau(x_2)\tau(x_4)(\delta_{\varrho}(\omega))(x_3, x_5, x_1)$
+ $\tau(x_1)\tau(x_5)(\delta_{\varrho}(\omega))(x_2, x_3, x_4) + \tau(x_2)\tau(x_5)(\delta_{\varrho}(\omega))(x_3, x_1, x_4)$
+ $\tau(x_1)\tau(x_3)(\delta_{\varrho}(\omega))(x_2, x_4, x_5) + \tau(x_2)\tau(x_3)(\delta_{\varrho}(\omega))(x_4, x_1, x_5)$
= 0,

which means $d_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\tau^{\sharp}(\omega)) = 0$ as required.

Now we show that, if $[\omega_1] = [\omega_2]$ then $[\tau^{\sharp}(\omega_1)] = [\tau^{\sharp}(\omega_2)]$, where $\tau^{\sharp}(\omega_1)$, $\tau^{\sharp}(\omega_2)$ are given by (7.2). Assume that $\omega_2 - \omega_1 = \delta_\varrho(\lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in C^1_\varrho(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \mathcal{Z}^0(\mathfrak{g}_\tau, V)$. For any $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$, by (7.2) it follows that

$$
(\tau^{\sharp}(\omega_2))(x, y, z) - (\tau^{\sharp}(\omega_1))(x, y, z)
$$

\n
$$
= \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x)\omega_2(y, z) - \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x)\omega_1(y, z) = \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x)(\omega_2 - \omega_1)(y, z)
$$

\n
$$
= \underset{x, y, z}{\circlearrowleft} \tau(x)(\delta_{\varrho}(\lambda))(y, z) = (d_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\lambda))(x, y, z) \quad \text{(by (7.1))}.
$$

So, $\tau^{\sharp}(\omega_2) - \tau^{\sharp}(\omega_1) = d_{\varrho_{\tau}}(\lambda)$, and hence $[\tau^{\sharp}(\omega_2)] = [\tau^{\sharp}(\omega_1)]$ as required.

Let g be a Lie algebra and τ a quasi-trace function. By Lemma 5.3 and Corollary 6.2, $(V, \rho_{\tau}, -\rho_{\tau})$ is a representation of the Leibniz algebra $\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}$, whose bracket is given by (1.3). For any integer $p \geq 0$, define $\tau^{(p)}$: $C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \text{Hom}(\wedge^p \mathfrak{g}, V) \to$ $CL^p(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, V) = \text{Hom}(\otimes^p(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}), V)$ by

(7.5)
$$
\omega \mapsto \tau^{(p)}(\omega) \triangleq \omega \circ \tau^{\sharp},
$$

where τ^{\sharp} is given by (6.1). For the cochain complex $(\bigoplus_p C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V), \delta_{\varrho})$ associated to (V, ϱ) (see (6.10)) and the cochain complex $(\bigoplus_p CL^p(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\tau, V), \partial)$ associated to $(V, \varrho_{\tau}, -\varrho_{\tau})$ (see (5.7)), we have the following result.

Proposition 7.3. Let $\tau \in F_{\text{qtr}}(\mathfrak{g})$ and (V, ϱ) be a representation of \mathfrak{g} . Then $\{\tau^{(p)}\}$ is a cochain map from $(\bigoplus_p C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V), \delta_\varrho)$ to $(\bigoplus_p CL^p(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_\tau, V), \partial)$. In particular, there is a map from $H^p_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ to $HL^p_{\varrho_{\tau}, -\varrho_{\tau}}(\wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau}, V)$ given by $[\omega] \to [\tau^{(p)}(\omega)], \omega \in Z^p_{\varrho}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$.

P r o o f. It suffices to show that $\partial \circ \tau^{(p)} = \tau^{(p+1)} \circ \delta_{\varrho}$. Fix any $X_i \in \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}_{\tau} = \wedge^2 \mathfrak{g}$, $1 \leq i \leq p+1$, and any $\omega \in C^p(\mathfrak{g}, V)$. Note that $l(X_i) = \varrho_\tau(X_i)$, $r(X_i) = -\varrho_\tau(X_i)$. By (5.7) and Lemma 6.2 it follows that

(7.6)
$$
((\partial \circ \tau^{(p)})(\omega))(X_1, ..., X_{p+1})
$$

\n
$$
= (\partial(\tau^{(p)}\omega))(X_1, ..., X_{p+1})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \varrho_{\tau}(X_j) \omega(\tau^{\sharp}(X_1), ..., \widehat{\tau^{\sharp}(X_j)}, ..., \tau^{\sharp}(X_{p+1}))
$$

\n
$$
+ \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq p+1} (-1)^{j} \omega(\tau^{\sharp}(X_1), ..., \widehat{\tau^{\sharp}(X_j)}, ..., \tau^{\sharp}(X_{k-1}),
$$

\n
$$
[\tau^{\sharp}(X_j), \tau^{\sharp}(X_k)], \tau^{\sharp}(X_{k+1}), ..., \tau^{\sharp}(X_{p+1})).
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
(7.7) \left((\tau^{(p+1)} \circ \delta_{\varrho})(\omega) \right) (X_1, \ldots, X_{p+1})
$$
\n
$$
= (\tau^{(p+1)} (\delta_{\varrho}\omega)) (X_1, \ldots, X_{p+1}) = (\delta_{\varrho}(\omega)) (\tau^{\sharp}(X_1), \ldots, \tau^{\sharp}(X_{p+1})) \text{ (by (7.5))}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{j+1} \varrho(\tau^{\sharp}(X_j)) \omega(\tau^{\sharp}(X_1), \ldots, \widehat{\tau^{\sharp}(X_j)}, \ldots, \tau^{\sharp}(X_{p+1}))
$$
\n
$$
+ \sum_{1 \leq j < k \leq p+1} (-1)^{j+k} \omega([\tau^{\sharp}(X_j), \tau^{\sharp}(X_k)],
$$
\n
$$
\tau^{\sharp}(X_1), \ldots, \widehat{\tau^{\sharp}(X_j)}, \ldots, \widehat{\tau^{\sharp}(X_k)}, \ldots, \tau^{\sharp}(X_{p+1})) \text{ (by (6.10))}.
$$

Since ω is anti-symmetric, by (7.6) and (7.7) we have $\partial \circ \tau^{(p)} = \tau^{(p+1)} \circ \delta_{\varrho}$.

References

Authors' addresses: You jun Tan, Mathematical College, Sichuan University, No. 24 South Section 1, Yihuan Road, Chengdu, 610064, P. R. China, e-mail: ytan@scu.edu.cn; Senrong Xu (corresponding author), School of Mathematical Sciences, Jiangsu University, 301 Xuefu Road, Zhenjiang, 212013, P. R. China, e-mail: senrongxu@ujs.edu.cn.