

Jin-Zhi Du; Jian Hua Yin

The potential-Ramsey number of K_n and K_t^{-k}

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 72 (2022), No. 2, 513–522

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/150414>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

THE POTENTIAL-RAMSEY NUMBER OF K_n AND K_t^{-k}

JIN-ZHI DU, JIAN-HUA YIN, Haikou

Received January 19, 2021. Published online March 1, 2022.

Abstract. A nonincreasing sequence $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ of nonnegative integers is a graphic sequence if it is realizable by a simple graph G on n vertices. In this case, G is referred to as a realization of π . Given two graphs G_1 and G_2 , A. Busch et al. (2014) introduced the potential-Ramsey number of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $r_{\text{pot}}(G_1, G_2)$, as the smallest nonnegative integer m such that for every m -term graphic sequence π , there is a realization G of π with $G_1 \subseteq G$ or with $G_2 \subseteq \overline{G}$, where \overline{G} is the complement of G . For $t \geq 2$ and $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$, let K_t^{-k} be the graph obtained from K_t by deleting k independent edges. We determine $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k})$ for $t \geq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ and $n \geq \lceil \sqrt{2k} \rceil + 2$, which gives the complete solution to a result in J. Z. Du, J. H. Yin (2021).

Keywords: graphic sequence; potentially H -graphic sequence; potential-Ramsey number

MSC 2020: 05C35, 05C07

1. INTRODUCTION

Graphs in this paper are finite, undirected and simple. Terms and notation not defined here are from [1]. A nonincreasing sequence $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ of nonnegative integers is a *graphic sequence* if it is realizable by a (simple) graph G on n vertices. In this case, G is referred to as a *realization* of π . Two well-known characterizations of graphic sequences were given by Havel and Hakimi, see [12], [13], and Erdős and Gallai, see [7]. Given a graph H , a graphic sequence π is *potentially H -graphic* if there is a realization of π containing H as a subgraph. The complementary sequence of π is denoted by $\overline{\pi} = (\overline{d}_1, \dots, \overline{d}_n) = (n - 1 - d_n, \dots, n - 1 - d_1)$. Additionally, we let $\sigma(\pi)$ denote the sum of the terms of π .

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11961019) and Key Laboratory of Engineering Modeling and Statistical Computation of Hainan Province.

Degree sequence problems can be broadly classified into two types, first described as “forcible” problems and “potential” problems by Rao in [14]. In a forcible degree sequence problem, a specified graph property must exist in every realization of the degree sequence π , while in a potential degree sequence problem, the desired property must be found in at least one realization of π . Results on forcible degree sequences are often stated as traditional problems in extremal graph theory.

There are a number of degree sequence analogues to well-known problems in extremal graph theory, including potentially graphic sequence analogues of the Turán problem, see [8], [9], [10], the Erdős-Sós conjecture, see [16], Hadwiger’s conjecture, see [6], [15] and the Sauer-Spencer theorem, see [3]. Motivated in part by this previous work, Busch et al. in [2] proposed a degree sequence analogue to the classical graph Ramsey number. Given two graphs G_1 and G_2 , Busch et al. in [2] defined the *potential-Ramsey number* of G_1 and G_2 , denoted by $r_{\text{pot}}(G_1, G_2)$, to be the smallest nonnegative integer m such that for every m -term graphic sequence π , there is a realization G of π with $G_1 \subseteq G$ or with $G_2 \subseteq \overline{G}$ (that is, either π is potentially G_1 -graphic or $\overline{\pi}$ is potentially G_2 -graphic). When the phrase “a realization” in the prior sentence is replaced with “all realizations”, the smallest such integer m is the classical *Ramsey number* $r(G_1, G_2)$. Busch et al. in [2] gave a lower bound of $r_{\text{pot}}(G, K_t)$ and determined $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t)$, $r_{\text{pot}}(C_n, K_t)$ and $r_{\text{pot}}(P_n, K_t)$, where K_n , C_n and P_n are the complete graph, the cycle and the path, respectively, on n vertices. Du and Yin in [4] determined $r_{\text{pot}}(C_n, K_r \vee \overline{K_s})$ and $r_{\text{pot}}(P_n, K_r \vee \overline{K_s})$, where \vee is the join operation. For $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$, denote by K_t^{-k} the graph obtained from K_t by deleting k independent edges. Recently, Du and Yin in [5] gave a lower bound of $r_{\text{pot}}(G, K_t^{-k})$ for $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$, and then determined $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k})$ for $1 \leq k \leq 2$. The *i -dependence number* of a graph G , denoted $\alpha^{(i)}(G)$, is the maximum order of an induced subgraph H of G with $\Delta(H) \leq i$, where $\Delta(H)$ is the maximum degree of H .

Theorem 1.1 ([2]). *Let G be a graph of order t with no isolated vertices such that $\alpha^{(1)}(G) \leq t-1$, and let $n \geq 2$. Then $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, G) \geq \max\{2n+t-\alpha^{(1)}(G)-2, n+t-2\}$.*

Theorem 1.2 ([2]). *For $n \geq t \geq 3$, $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t) = 2n + t - 4$ except when $n = t = 3$, in which case $r_{\text{pot}}(K_3, K_3) = 6$.*

Theorem 1.3 ([5]). *Let $t \geq 2$ and $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$, and let G be a graph of order n with no isolated vertices such that $\alpha^{(i)}(G) \leq n - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \Delta(G) - 1$ and $i + \lfloor \frac{2k}{i} \rfloor \leq 2t - 3$. Then*

$$r_{\text{pot}}(G, K_t^{-k}) \geq \max\left\{\max\left\{f(i) : 1 \leq i \leq \Delta(G)-1 \text{ and } i + \left\lfloor \frac{2k}{i} \right\rfloor \leq 2t-3\right\}, n+t-c\right\},$$

where

$$f(i) = \begin{cases} 2t + n - \alpha^{(i)}(G) - \lfloor \frac{2k}{i} \rfloor - 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is even or if } \lfloor \frac{2k}{i} \rfloor \text{ is odd or} \\ & \text{if } i \mid 2k + 1 \text{ does not hold,} \\ 2t + n - \alpha^{(i)}(G) - \lfloor \frac{2k}{i} \rfloor - 2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$c = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 3 & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.4 ([5]). *If $t \geq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq 2$ and $n \geq 4$, then*

$$r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) = \begin{cases} 2n + t - 4 & \text{if } t < n + k, \\ 2t + n - k - 4 & \text{if } t \geq n + k. \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$, we now determine $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k})$ completely.

Theorem 1.5. *If $t \geq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ and $n \geq \lceil \sqrt{2k} \rceil + 2$, then*

$$r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) = \begin{cases} 2n + t - 4 & \text{if } t < n + \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2, \\ 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2 & \text{if } t \geq n + \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, Theorem 1.5 is an extension of Theorem 1.4.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

In order to prove Theorem 1.5, we need some useful lemmas and known results. Let $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers and let $d'_1 \geq \dots \geq d'_{n-1}$ be a rearrangement in nonincreasing order of $d_2 - 1, \dots, d_{d_1+1} - 1, d_{d_1+2}, \dots, d_n$. We say that $\pi' = (d'_1, \dots, d'_{n-1})$ is the *residual sequence* of π .

Theorem 2.1 ([12], [13]). *Let $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers. Then π is graphic if and only if π' is graphic.*

Theorem 2.2 ([11]). *If $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ is a potentially H -graphic sequence, then π has a realization containing H on those vertices with degrees $d_1, \dots, d_{|V(H)|}$.*

Theorem 2.3 ([17]). Let $p \geq n$ and $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_p)$ be a graphic sequence.

- (1) If $d_n \geq n - 1$ and $d_{2n} \geq n - 2$, then π is potentially K_n -graphic.
- (2) If $d_n \geq n - 1$ and $d_i \geq 2(n - 1) - i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 2$, then π is potentially K_n -graphic.

Theorem 2.4 ([18]). Let $n \geq t$ and $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a graphic sequence with $d_{t-2} \geq t - 1$ and $d_t \geq t - 2$. If $d_i \geq 2t - 3 - i$ for $i = 1, \dots, t - 1$, then π is potentially K_t^{-1} -graphic.

Let $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$, and let $\pi = (\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_t, d_{t+1}, \dots, d_n)$ be a sequence of nonnegative integers so that $\sigma(\pi)$ is even, $n - t \geq \varrho_1 \geq \dots \geq \varrho_{t-2k} \geq 0$, $n - t + 1 \geq \varrho_{t-2k+1} \geq \dots \geq \varrho_t \geq 1$, $\varrho_{t-2k} \geq \varrho_{t-2k+1} - 1$ and $\varrho_t + t - 2 = d_{t+1} = \dots = d_{t+\varrho_1+1} \geq d_{t+\varrho_1+2} \geq \dots \geq d_n$. We define sequences π_0, \dots, π_t as follows. Let $\pi_0 = \pi$. Let

$$\pi_1 = (\varrho_2, \dots, \varrho_t, d_{t+1}^{(1)}, \dots, d_n^{(1)}),$$

where $d_{t+1}^{(1)} \geq \dots \geq d_n^{(1)}$ is a rearrangement in the nonincreasing order of $d_{t+1} - 1, \dots, d_{t+\varrho_1} - 1, d_{t+\varrho_1+1}, \dots, d_n$. For $2 \leq i \leq t$, given

$$\pi_{i-1} = (\varrho_i, \dots, \varrho_t, d_{t+1}^{(i-1)}, \dots, d_n^{(i-1)}),$$

let

$$\pi_i = (\varrho_{i+1}, \dots, \varrho_t, d_{t+1}^{(i)}, \dots, d_n^{(i)}),$$

where $d_{t+1}^{(i)} \geq \dots \geq d_n^{(i)}$ is a rearrangement in the nonincreasing order of $d_{t+1}^{(i-1)} - 1, \dots, d_{t+\varrho_i}^{(i-1)} - 1, d_{t+\varrho_i+1}^{(i-1)}, \dots, d_n^{(i-1)}$.

Lemma 2.1 ([17]). For each i , the definition of $\pi_i = (\varrho_{i+1}, \dots, \varrho_t, d_{t+1}^{(i)}, \dots, d_n^{(i)})$ is as above. Let $t_i = \max\{j: d_{t+1}^{(i)} - d_{t+j}^{(i)} \leq 1\}$. Then $t_t \geq t_{t-1} \geq \dots \geq t_0 \geq \varrho_1 + 1$.

Lemma 2.2 ([5]). The definition of $\pi_t = (d_{t+1}^{(t)}, \dots, d_n^{(t)})$ is as above. Let $d_{t+1}^{(t)} = l$ and $d_{t+1}^{(t)} = m$. If $t_t \leq m$, then $\varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_t \leq (l - m + 1)m - 1$.

Lemma 2.3 ([5]). The definition of $\pi_t = (d_{t+1}^{(t)}, \dots, d_n^{(t)})$ is as above. If π_t is graphic, then π has a realization G so that the t vertices with degrees $\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_t$ form an independent set of G .

Lemma 2.4 ([17]). Let $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers, where $d_1 = t$ and $\sigma(\pi)$ is even. If $d_{t+1} \geq t - 1$, then π is graphic.

Lemma 2.5. Let $\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor = b$ and $c = \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor$. If $k \geq 1$ is an integer and $a = c + 1$, then $a^2 - 2a - 3 \leq 8k$ with equality if and only if $2k = b^2 + 2b$ and $a = 2b + 3$.

Proof. If $k = 1$, then $b = 1$, $c = 3$ and $a = 4$, implying that $a^2 - 2a - 3 = 5 < 8$. Assume $k \geq 2$. We prove Lemma 2.5 by the parity of b .

Case 1: b is odd. It follows from $b \leq \sqrt{2k} < b + 1$ that $b^2 + 1 \leq 2k \leq (b + 1)^2 - 2$. If $b^2 + 1 \leq 2k \leq b^2 + b - 2$, then $k \geq 5$ and $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = b$. Hence, $a = c + 1 = 2b + 1$ and $a^2 - 2a - 3 = 4b^2 - 4$. By $2k \geq b^2 + 1$, we have $8k \geq 4(b^2 + 1) = 4b^2 + 4 > a^2 - 2a - 3$. If $b^2 + b \leq 2k \leq b^2 + 2b - 1$, then $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = b + 1$. Hence, $a = 2b + 2$ and $a^2 - 2a - 3 = 4b^2 + 4b - 3$. By $2k \geq b^2 + b$, we have $8k \geq 4b^2 + 4b > a^2 - 2a - 3$.

Case 2: b is even. It follows from $b \leq \sqrt{2k} < b + 1$ that $b^2 \leq 2k \leq b^2 + 2b$. If $b^2 \leq 2k \leq b^2 + b - 2$, then $k \geq 2$ and $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = b$. Hence, $a = 2b + 1$ and $a^2 - 2a - 3 = 4b^2 - 4$. By $2k \geq b^2$, we have $8k \geq 4b^2 > a^2 - 2a - 3$. If $b^2 + b \leq 2k \leq b^2 + 2b - 2$, then $k \geq 2$ and $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = b + 1$. Hence, $a = 2b + 2$ and $a^2 - 2a - 3 = 4b^2 + 4b - 3$. By $2k \geq b^2 + b$, we have $8k \geq 4b^2 + 4b > a^2 - 2a - 3$. If $2k = b^2 + 2b$, then $k \geq 4$ and $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = b + 2$. Hence, $a = c + 1 = 2b + 3$ and $a^2 - 2a - 3 = 4b^2 + 8b = 8k$. \square

Lemma 2.6. Let $c = \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor$ and $c' = \lfloor \sqrt{2(k-1)} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2(k-1)}{\lfloor \sqrt{2(k-1)} \rfloor} \rfloor$. Then $c' + 1 \geq c$ for $k \geq 2$.

Proof. It is obvious for $k = 2$. We assume $k \geq 3$. Let $b = \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor$ and $b' = \lfloor \sqrt{2(k-1)} \rfloor$. If $b = b'$, then $c \leq b' + \lfloor \frac{2(k-1)+b'}{b'} \rfloor = c' + 1$ as $2k \leq 2(k-1) + b'$. If $b = b' + 1$, then $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor \leq \lfloor \frac{2(k-1)}{b'} \rfloor$, implying that $c' + 1 \geq c$. Clearly, $b > b' + 1$ does not hold. \square

Lemma 2.7. Let $n \geq t \geq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ and $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a graphic sequence with $n - 2 \geq d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_t = \dots = d_{d_1+2} \geq d_{d_1+3} \geq \dots \geq d_n$. If $d_t \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1$, then π is potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic.

Proof. Put $d_t = l$. We first claim that $l \geq t - 1$. By $t \geq 2k$, it is easy to check that $l \geq t - 1$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Assume $k \geq 5$. By $t \geq 2k \geq 10$ and $\lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor \leq 2k / \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor \leq 2k / (\sqrt{2k} - 1) \leq \sqrt{2k} + 2$, we have that $l \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1 \geq 2t - \sqrt{t} - (\sqrt{t} + 2) - 1 = 2t - 2\sqrt{t} - 3 = (t - 1) + (\sqrt{t} - 1)^2 - 3 \geq t - 1$. Therefore, $l \geq t - 1$. If $l \geq 2t - 3$, by Theorem 2.3(2), then π is potentially K_t -graphic, implying that π is potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic. Assume $l \leq 2t - 4$. Let $\pi_0 = (\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_t, d_{t+1}, \dots, d_n)$, where

$$\varrho_i = \begin{cases} d_i - (t - 1) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq t - 2k, \\ d_i - (t - 2) & \text{if } t - 2k + 1 \leq i \leq t. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we only need to prove that π_t is graphic. Put $d_{t+1}^{(t)} = m$. If $t_t \geq m+1$, then π_t is graphic by Lemma 2.4. Assume $t_t \leq m$. Clearly,

$$\varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_t = d_1 + \dots + d_t - t(t-1) + 2k \geq tl - t(t-1) + 2k.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we get $(l-m+1)m-1 \geq tl - t(t-1) + 2k$. It is easy to see that $(l-m+1)m-1$, considered as a function of m , attains its maximum value when $m = \frac{1}{2}(l+1)$. Hence, $(\frac{1}{2}(l+1))^2 - 1 \geq tl - t(t-1) + 2k$, i.e., $l^2 - (4t-2)l \geq -4t(t-1) + 8k + 3$. Let $a = \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor + 1$. Clearly, $a \geq 4$. By $l \geq 2t-a$, we can see that $l^2 - (4t-2)l$ attains its maximum value when $l = 2t-a$. Hence, $(2t-a)^2 - (4t-2)(2t-a) \geq -4t(t-1) + 8k + 3$, i.e., $a^2 - 2a - 3 \geq 8k$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $a^2 - 2a - 3 = 8k$, $2k = \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor^2 + 2\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor$ and $a = 2\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + 3$. Then we must have $l = 2t-a$, $d_1 = \dots = d_{d_1+2} = l$, $m = t_t = \frac{1}{2}(2t-a+1) = t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - 1$, $d_{t+1}^{(t)} = m$, and $d_{t+2}^{(t)} = \dots = d_{t+t_t}^{(t)} = m-1$. Thus, $\pi_t = (t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - 1, (t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - 2)^{t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - 2}, d_{2t-a+3}, \dots, d_n)$, where $d_{2t-a+3} \leq t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - 3$. Let π'_t be the residual sequence of π_t . Then $\pi'_t = ((t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - 3)^{t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - 2}, d'_{2t-a+3}, \dots, d'_n)$. It follows from $\sigma(\pi'_t)$ being even and Lemma 2.4 that π'_t is graphic, and hence so is π_t by Theorem 2.1. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let $n \geq t \geq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ and $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a graphic sequence with $n-2 \geq d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_t = \dots = d_{d_1+2} \geq d_{d_1+3} \geq \dots \geq d_n$. If $d_{t-3} \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1$ and $d_t \geq t-1$, then π is potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic.*

Proof. Let $d_{t-3} = l$ and $d_t = x$. If $k = 1$, by $l \geq 2t-4$ and Theorem 2.4, then π is potentially K_t^{-1} -graphic. Assume $k \geq 2$. Then $t \geq 2k \geq 4$. Let $\pi_0 = (\varrho_1, \dots, \varrho_t, d_{t+1}, \dots, d_n)$, where

$$\varrho_i = \begin{cases} d_i - (t-1) & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq t-2k, \\ d_i - (t-2) & \text{if } t-2k+1 \leq i \leq t. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we only need to prove that π_t is graphic. Put $d_{t+1}^{(t)} = m$. If $t_t \geq m+1$, then π_t is graphic by Lemma 2.4. Assume $t_t \leq m$. If $x \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1$, then π is potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic by Lemma 2.7. Assume $x \leq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2$. Then $t \geq 5$ by $x \geq t-1$. Clearly, $\varrho_1 + \dots + \varrho_t \geq (t-3)l + 3x - t(t-1) + 2k$. By Lemma 2.2, we get

$$(x-m+1)m-1 \geq (t-3)l + 3x - t(t-1) + 2k,$$

that is

$$(1) \quad (x-m+1)m-1 + 3(l-x) \geq tl - t(t-1) + 2k.$$

If $l \geq 2t - 3$, by Theorem 2.4, then π is potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic. Assume $l \leq 2t - 4$. If $t = 5$, then $k = 2$, $l = 5$ or 6 , and $x = 4$, it follows from (1) that $(5 - m)m \geq 7$ or 9 , which is impossible. Assume $t \geq 6$. We now show that $(\frac{1}{2}(l+1))^2 - 1 \leq tl - t(t-1) + 2k$, that is, $l^2 - (4t - 2)l \leq -4t(t - 1) + 8k + 3$. Let $a = \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor + 1$. Clearly, $a \geq 5$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $a^2 - 2a - 3 \leq 8k$, that is, $(2t - a)^2 - (4t - 2)(2t - a) \leq -4t(t - 1) + 8k + 3$. Since $2t - a \leq l \leq 2t - 4$, we can see that $l^2 - (4t - 2)l$ attains its maximum value when $l = 2t - a$. Hence, $l^2 - (4t - 2)l \leq (2t - a)^2 - (4t - 2)(2t - a) \leq -4t(t - 1) + 8k + 3$. Thus, $(\frac{1}{2}(l + 1))^2 - 1 \leq tl - t(t - 1) + 2k$. By (1), we have $(x - m + 1)m - 1 + 3(l - x) \geq (\frac{1}{2}(l + 1))^2 - 1$, i.e., $(x - m + 1)m - 3x \geq (\frac{1}{2}(l + 1))^2 - 3l$. Since $(x - m + 1)m - 3x$ attains its maximum value when $m = \frac{1}{2}(x + 1)$, we have $(\frac{1}{2}(x + 1))^2 - 3x \geq (\frac{1}{2}(l + 1))^2 - 3l$, i.e., $x^2 - 10x \geq l^2 - 10l$, which is impossible as $l > x \geq t - 1 \geq 5$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *Let $n \geq t \geq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ and $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_n)$ be a graphic sequence. If $d_{t-3} \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1$ and $d_t \geq t - 1$, then π is potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic.*

Proof. We use induction on k . If $k = 1$, by $d_{t-3} \geq 2t - 4$ and Theorem 2.4, then π is potentially K_t^{-1} -graphic. Assume that $k \geq 2$ and Lemma 2.9 holds for $k - 1$. If $d_1 = n - 1$ or if there exists an integer j , $t \leq j \leq d_1 + 1$, such that $d_j > d_{j+1}$, by Lemma 2.6, then the residual sequence $\pi' = (d'_1, \dots, d'_{n-1})$ satisfies $d'_i = d_{i+1} - 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, $d'_{t-4} = d_{t-3} - 1 \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2 \geq 2(t - 1) - \lfloor \sqrt{2(k - 1)} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2(k-1)}{\lfloor \sqrt{2(k-1)} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1$ and $d'_{t-1} = d_t - 1 \geq (t - 1) - 1$. By $t - 1 \geq 2(k - 1)$ and the induction hypothesis, π' is potentially $K_{t-1}^{-(k-1)}$ -graphic. Thus, by Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, π is potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic. So we may assume that $n - 2 \geq d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_t = \dots = d_{d_1+2} \geq d_{d_1+3} \geq \dots \geq d_n$. By Lemma 2.8, π is also potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic. \square

Lemma 2.10. *If $t \geq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ and $n \geq \lceil \sqrt{2k} \rceil + 2$, then*

$$r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) \geq \begin{cases} 2n + t - 4 & \text{if } t < n + \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2, \\ 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2 & \text{if } t \geq n + \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By $\alpha^{(1)}(K_t^{-k}) = 2$ and Theorem 1.1, $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) \geq 2n + t - 4$. Let $\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor = b$. Then $1 \leq b \leq n - 2$ (by $n \geq \lceil \sqrt{2k} \rceil + 2$). Clearly, $b^2 \leq 2k \leq (b + 1)^2 - 1 = b^2 + 2b$. We consider two cases in terms of the parity of b .

Case 1: b is even. If $b^2 \leq 2k \leq b^2 + b - 2$, then $b + \lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = 2b \leq b^2 \leq 2k \leq t \leq 2t - 3$. If $b^2 + b \leq 2k \leq b^2 + 2b$, then $b + \lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor \leq 2b + 2 \leq b^2 + b \leq 2k \leq t \leq 2t - 3$. It follows from $\alpha^{(b)}(K_n) = b + 1 \leq n - 1$ and Theorem 1.3 that $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) \geq 2t + n - (b + 1) - \lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor - 1 = 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2$.

Case 2: b is odd. Then $b^2 + 1 \leq 2k \leq b^2 + 2b - 1$. Clearly, $\lceil \sqrt{2k} \rceil = \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + 1$ by $b^2 < 2k < (b + 1)^2$ and $n \geq \lceil \sqrt{2k} \rceil + 2 = b + 3$. If $b^2 + 1 \leq 2k \leq b^2 + b - 2$, i.e., $(b + 1)(b - 1) + 2 \leq 2k \leq (b + 1)(b - 1) + (b - 1)$, then $b \geq 3$, $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b+1} \rfloor = b - 1$ and $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = b$. Hence, $(b + 1) + \lfloor \frac{2k}{b+1} \rfloor = b + \lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = 2b \leq b^2 + 1 \leq 2k \leq t \leq 2t - 3$. By $\alpha^{(b+1)}(K_n) = b + 2 \leq n - 1$ and Theorem 1.3, $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) \geq 2t + n - (b + 2) - \lfloor \frac{2k}{b+1} \rfloor - 1 = 2t + n - (b + 1) - \lfloor \frac{2k}{b+1} \rfloor - 2 = 2t + n - b - \lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor - 2 = 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2$. If $b^2 + b \leq 2k \leq b^2 + 2b - 1$, i.e., $(b + 1)b \leq 2k \leq (b + 1)b + (b - 1)$, then $b \geq 1$, $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b+1} \rfloor = b$ and $\lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = b + 1$. Hence, $(b + 1) + \lfloor \frac{2k}{b+1} \rfloor = b + \lfloor \frac{2k}{b} \rfloor = 2b + 1 \leq \max\{3, b^2 + b\} \leq \max\{3, 2k\} \leq t \leq 2t - 3$. By $\alpha^{(b+1)}(K_n) = b + 2 \leq n - 1$ and Theorem 1.3, $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) \geq 2t + n - (\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + 2) - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + 1} \rfloor - 1 = 2t + n - (\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + 2) - (\lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1) - 1 = 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2$. \square

We now prove Theorem 1.5.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Let $t \geq 3$, $1 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{t}{2} \rfloor$ and $n \geq \lceil \sqrt{2k} \rceil + 2$. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max \left\{ 2n + t - 4, 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \right\rfloor - 2 \right\} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2n + t - 4 & \text{if } t < n + \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \right\rfloor - 2, \\ 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \right\rfloor - 2 & \text{if } t \geq n + \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \right\rfloor - 2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, by Lemma 2.10, $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) \geq \max\{2n + t - 4, 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2\}$.

Now, we prove that $r_{\text{pot}}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) \leq \max\{2n + t - 4, 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2\}$. We use induction on k . Theorem 1.4 is the case $1 \leq k \leq 2$ of Theorem 1.5. Assume $k \geq 3$. We consider two cases.

Case 1: $2n + t - 4 \leq 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2$. Let $\pi = (d_1, \dots, d_m)$ be a graphic sequence with $m = 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2$. If $\bar{d}_t \leq t - 2$, then $d_{m+1-t} = m - 1 - \bar{d}_t \geq (2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2) - 1 - (t - 2) \geq (2n + t - 4) - 1 - (t - 2) = 2n - 3$. By $m + 1 - t = (2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2) + 1 - t \geq (2n + t - 4) + 1 - t \geq n$, we have $d_n \geq d_{m+1-t} \geq 2n - 3 > n - 1$. Hence, π is potentially K_n -graphic by Theorem 2.3 (2). If $d_n \leq n - 2$, then $\bar{d}_{m+1-n} = \overline{d_{2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1}} = m - 1 - d_n \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1$. By $m + 1 - n \geq (2n + t - 4) + 1 - n = n + t - 3 \geq t$, we have $\bar{d}_t \geq \overline{d_{2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1}} \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1 \geq t - 1$. By

Lemma 2.9, $\bar{\pi}$ is potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic. Assume $d_n \geq n - 1$ and $\bar{d}_t \geq t - 1$. Clearly, $t \geq 2k \geq 6$ and $m \geq 2n + t - 4 > 2n$. If $d_{2n} \geq n - 2$, then π is potentially K_n -graphic by Theorem 2.3 (1). If $d_{2n} \leq n - 3$, then $\bar{d}_{m+1-2n} = m - 1 - d_{2n} \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor$. By $m + 1 - 2n \geq (2n + t - 4) + 1 - 2n = t - 3$, we have $\bar{d}_{t-3} \geq \bar{d}_{m+1-2n} \geq 2t - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor$. Thus, by Lemma 2.9, $\bar{\pi}$ is potentially K_t^{-k} -graphic. Therefore, $r_{pot}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) \leq 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2$.

Case 2: $2n + t - 4 \geq 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 that $2n + t - 4 \geq 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2k}{\lfloor \sqrt{2k} \rfloor} \rfloor - 1 \geq 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2(k-1)} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{2(k-1)}{\lfloor \sqrt{2(k-1)} \rfloor} \rfloor - 2$. Thus, by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{pot}(K_n, K_t^{-k}) &\leq r_{pot}(K_n, K_t^{-(k-1)}) \\ &\leq \max \left\{ 2n + t - 4, 2t + n - \lfloor \sqrt{2(k-1)} \rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{2(k-1)}{\lfloor \sqrt{2(k-1)} \rfloor} \right\rfloor - 2 \right\} \\ &= 2n + t - 4. \end{aligned}$$

□

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty: Graph Theory with Applications. American Elsevier, New York, 1976. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] A. Busch, M. J. Ferrara, S. G. Hartke, M. S. Jacobson: A degree sequence variant of graph Ramsey numbers. *Graphs Comb.* 30 (2014), 847–859. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] A. Busch, M. J. Ferrara, S. G. Hartke, M. S. Jacobson, H. Kaul, D. B. West: Packing of graphic n -tuples. *J. Graph Theory* 70 (2012), 29–39. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] J. Du, J. Yin: A further result on the potential-Ramsey number of G_1 and G_2 . *Filomat* 36 (2019), 1605–1617. [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] J.-Z. Du, J.-H. Yin: A new lower bound on the potential-Ramsey number of two graphs. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin., Engl. Ser.* 37 (2021), 176–182. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] Z. Dvořák, B. Mohar: Chromatic number and complete graph substructures for degree sequences. *Combinatorica* 33 (2013), 513–529. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] P. Erdős, T. Gallai: Graphs with prescribed degrees of vertices. *Mat. Lapok* 11 (1960), 264–274. (In Hungarian.) [zbl](#)
- [8] P. Erdős, M. S. Jacobson, J. Lehel: Graphs realizing the same degree sequences and their respective clique numbers. *Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications*. Vol. 1. John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1991, pp. 439–449. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [9] M. J. Ferrara, T. D. Lesaulnier, C. K. Moffatt, P. S. Wenger: On the sum necessary to ensure a degree sequence is potentially H -graphic. *Combinatorica* 36 (2016), 687–702. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] M. J. Ferrara, J. Schmitt: A general lower bound for potentially H -graphic sequences. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 23 (2009), 517–526. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

- [11] *R. J. Gould, M. S. Jacobson, J. Lehel*: Potentially G -graphical degree sequences. *Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Algorithms. Vol. I. New Issues Press, Kalamazoo, 1999*, pp. 451–460. [MR](#)
- [12] *S. L. Hakimi*: On realizability of a set of integers as degrees of vertices of a linear graph. *I. J. Soc. Ind. Appl. Math.* *10* (1962), 496–506. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [13] *V. Havel*: A remark on the existence of finite graphs. *Čas. Pěstování Mat.* *80* (1955), 477–480. (In Czech.) [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *A. R. Rao*: The clique number of a graph with a given degree sequence. *Proceedings of the Symposium on Graph Theory. ISI Lecture Notes 4. Macmillan, New Delhi, 1979*, pp. 251–267. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [15] *N. Robertson, Z.-X. Song*: Hadwiger number and chromatic number for near regular degree sequences. *J. Graph Theory* *64* (2010), 175–183. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] *J. Yin, J. Li*: A variation of a conjecture due to Erdős and Sós. *Acta Math. Sin., Engl. Ser.* *25* (2009), 795–802. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [17] *J.-H. Yin, J.-S. Li*: Two sufficient conditions for a graphic sequence to have a realization with prescribed clique size. *Discrete Math.* *301* (2005), 218–227. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [18] *J.-H. Yin, L. Meng, M.-X. Yin*: Graphic sequences and split graphs. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin., Engl. Ser.* *32* (2016), 1005–1014. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' address: Jin-Zhi Du, Jian-Hua Yin (corresponding author), School of Science, Hainan University, Renmin Rd, Meilan Qu, Haikou 570228, P. R. China, e-mail: yinjh@hainanu.edu.cn.