

Stoyan Dimitrov

Pairs of square-free values of the type $n^2 + 1, n^2 + 2$

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 71 (2021), No. 4, 991–1009

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/149232>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2021

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

PAIRS OF SQUARE-FREE VALUES OF THE TYPE $n^2 + 1$, $n^2 + 2$

STOYAN DIMITROV, Sofia

Received April 26, 2020. Published online June 17, 2021.

*Cordially dedicated to Professor Ivan Trendafilov
on the occasion of his 71th birthday*

Abstract. We show that there exist infinitely many consecutive square-free numbers of the form $n^2 + 1$, $n^2 + 2$. We also establish an asymptotic formula for the number of such square-free pairs when n does not exceed given sufficiently large positive number.

Keywords: square-free number; asymptotic formula; Kloosterman sum

MSC 2020: 11L05, 11N25, 11N37

1. NOTATIONS

Let X be a sufficiently large positive number. By ε we denote an arbitrary small positive number, not necessarily the same, in different occurrences. As usual, $\mu(n)$ is Möbius' function and $\tau(n)$ denotes the number of positive divisors of n . Further, $[t]$ and $\{t\}$ denote the integer part and the fractional part of t , respectively. We shall use the convention that a congruence $m \equiv n \pmod{d}$ will be written as $m \equiv n(d)$. As usual, (m, n) is the greatest common divisor of m and n . The letter p will always denote prime number. We put

$$(1.1) \quad \psi(t) = \{t\} - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Moreover $e(t) = \exp(2\pi it)$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we write $x \equiv y(1)$ when $x - y \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any n and q such that $(n, q) = 1$ we denote by \bar{n}_q the inverse of n modulo q . The number of distinct prime factors of a natural number n we denote by $\omega(n)$. For any odd prime number p we denote by $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ the Legendre symbol. By $K(r, h)$ we shall

denote the incomplete Kloosterman sum

$$(1.2) \quad K(r, h) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \leq x \leq \beta \\ (x, r) = 1}} e\left(\frac{h\bar{x}|r|}{r}\right),$$

where

$$h, r \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad hr \neq 0, \quad 0 < \beta - \alpha \leq 2|r|.$$

2. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

In 1931 Estermann in [6] proved that there exist infinitely many square-free numbers of the form $n^2 + 1$. More precisely, he proved that for $X \geq 2$ the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{n \leq X} \mu^2(n^2 + 1) = c_0 X + \mathcal{O}(X^{2/3} \log X)$$

holds. Here

$$c_0 = \prod_{p \equiv 1(4)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^2}\right).$$

Afterwards, Heath-Brown in [8] used a variant of the determinant method and improved the remainder term in the formula of Estermann with $\mathcal{O}(X^{7/12+\varepsilon})$.

On the other hand, in 1932 Carlitz in [1] showed that there exist infinitely many pairs of consecutive square-free numbers. More precisely, he proved the asymptotic formula

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n \leq X} \mu^2(n)\mu^2(n+1) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^2}\right) X + \mathcal{O}(X^{\theta+\varepsilon}),$$

where $\theta = 2/3$. Formula (2.1) was sharpened by Heath-Brown (see [7]) to $\theta = \frac{7}{11}$ and by Reuss (see [10]) to $\theta = \frac{1}{81}(26 + \sqrt{433})$.

The existence of infinitely many consecutive square-free numbers of a special form was demonstrated by the author in [2], [3], [4], [5]. In particular, in [5] he proved that there exist infinitely many consecutive square-free numbers of the form $x^2 + y^2 + 1$, $x^2 + y^2 + 2$. While in [5] the main role was played by the properties of Gauss sums, in this paper we use a surjective correspondence between the number of representations of numbers by binary quadratic form and the incongruent solutions of quadratic congruence.

Define

$$(2.2) \quad \Gamma(X) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} \mu^2(n^2 + 1) \mu^2(n^2 + 2),$$

$$(2.3) \quad S(q_1, q_2) = \{n \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leq n \leq q_1 q_2, n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(q_1), n^2 + 2 \equiv 0(q_2)\}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda(q_1, q_2) = \sum_{n \in S(q_1, q_2)} 1.$$

We establish our result by combining the tasks of Estermann and Carlitz. Thus, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *For the sum $\Gamma(X)$ defined by (2.2), the asymptotic formula*

$$(2.5) \quad \Gamma(X) = \sigma X + \mathcal{O}(X^{8/9+\varepsilon})$$

holds. Here

$$(2.6) \quad \sigma = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{(-1/p) + (-2/p) + 2}{p^2}\right).$$

From Theorem 2.1 it follows that there exist infinitely many consecutive square-free numbers of the form $n^2 + 1$, $n^2 + 2$, where n runs over naturals.

3. LEMMAS

The first lemma we need gives us important expansions.

Lemma 3.1. *For any $M \geq 2$ we have*

$$\psi(t) = - \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M} \frac{e(mt)}{2\pi i m} + \mathcal{O}(f_M(t)),$$

where $f_M(t)$ is a positive function of t which is infinitely many times differentiable and periodic with period 1. It can be expanded into the Fourier series

$$f_M(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_M(m) e(mt)$$

with coefficients $b_M(m)$ such that

$$b_M(m) \ll \frac{\log M}{M} \quad \forall m$$

and

$$\sum_{|m| > M^{1+\varepsilon}} |b_M(m)| \ll M^{-A}.$$

Here $A > 0$ is arbitrarily large and the constant in the \ll symbol depends on A and ε .

Proof. See [11], Theorem 1. □

The next lemma we need is well-known.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $A, B \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $(A, B) = 1$. Then*

$$\frac{\bar{A}_{|B|}}{B} + \frac{\bar{B}_{|A|}}{A} \equiv \frac{1}{AB}(1).$$

Proof. See [12], Lemma 17.5.1. □

Lemma 3.3. *For the sum denoted by (1.2) the estimate*

$$K(r, h) \ll |r|^{1/2+\varepsilon} (r, h)^{1/2}$$

holds.

Proof. Follows easily from Weil's estimate for the Kloosterman sum. See [9], Chapter 11, Corollary 11.12. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n \geq 5$. There exists a surjective function from the solution set of the equation*

$$(3.1) \quad x^2 + 2y^2 = n, \quad (x, y) = 1, \quad x \in \mathbb{N}, \quad y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

to the incongruent solutions modulo n of the congruence

$$(3.2) \quad z^2 + 2 \equiv 0(n).$$

Proof. Let F denote the set of ordered pairs (x, y) satisfying (3.1) and E denote the set of solutions of the congruence (3.2). We consider each residue class modulo n with representatives satisfying (3.2) as one solution of (3.2).

Let $(x, y) \in F$. From (3.1) it follows that $(n, y) = 1$. Therefore, there exists a unique residue class z modulo n such that

$$(3.3) \quad zy \equiv x(n).$$

For this class we have

$$(z^2 + 2)y^2 \equiv (zy)^2 + 2y^2 \equiv x^2 + 2y^2 \equiv 0(n).$$

From the last congruence and $(n, y) = 1$ we deduce $z^2 + 2 \equiv 0(n)$ which means that $z \in E$. We define the map

$$(3.4) \quad \beta: F \rightarrow E$$

that associates to each pair $(x, y) \in F$ the residue class $z = x\bar{y}_n$ satisfying (3.3).

We shall prove that the map (3.4) is a surjection. Let $z \in E$. From Dirichlet's approximation theorem it follows that there exist integers a and q such that

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \frac{z}{n} - \frac{a}{q} \right| < \frac{1}{q\sqrt{n}}, \quad 1 \leq q \leq \sqrt{n}, \quad (a, q) = 1.$$

Replace

$$(3.6) \quad r = zq - an.$$

Hence

$$(3.7) \quad r^2 + 2q^2 = z^2q^2 - 2zqan + a^2n^2 + 2q^2 \equiv (z^2 + 2)q^2(n).$$

From (3.2) and (3.7) it follows

$$(3.8) \quad r^2 + 2q^2 \equiv 0(n).$$

By (3.5) and (3.6) we deduce

$$(3.9) \quad |r| < \sqrt{n}.$$

Using (3.5) and (3.9) we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad 0 < r^2 + 2q^2 < 3n.$$

Bearing in mind (3.8) and (3.10) we conclude that $r^2 + 2q^2 = n$ or $r^2 + 2q^2 = 2n$. Consider two cases.

Case 1:

$$(3.11) \quad r^2 + 2q^2 = n.$$

From (3.6) and (3.11) we get

$$n = (zq - an)^2 + 2q^2 = (zq - an)zq - (zq - an)an + 2q^2 = (zq - an)zq - ran + 2q^2$$

and therefore

$$(3.12) \quad ra + 1 = kq,$$

where

$$(3.13) \quad k = \frac{z^2 + 2}{n}q - az.$$

By (3.2) and (3.13) it follows that $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and taking into account (3.12) we deduce

$$(3.14) \quad (r, q) = 1.$$

Using (3.11), (3.14) and $n \geq 5$ we establish that $r \neq 0$.

Consider first $r > 0$. Replace

$$(3.15) \quad x = r, \quad y = q.$$

From (3.11), (3.14) and (3.15) it follows that $(x, y) \in F$. Also (3.6) and (3.15) give us (3.3). Consequently $\beta(x, y) = z$.

Next we consider $r < 0$. Put

$$(3.16) \quad x = -r, \quad y = -q.$$

Again (3.11), (3.14) and (3.16) lead to $(x, y) \in F$. As well from (3.6) and (3.16) follows (3.3). Therefore $\beta(x, y) = z$.

Case 2:

$$(3.17) \quad r^2 + 2q^2 = 2n.$$

From (3.6) and (3.17) we find

$$2n = (zq - an)^2 + 2q^2 = (zq - an)zq - (zq - an)an + 2q^2 = (zq - an)zq - ran + 2q^2$$

and thus

$$(3.18) \quad ra + 2 = kq,$$

where k is defined by (3.13). From (3.18) we conclude

$$(3.19) \quad (r, q) \leq 2.$$

By (3.17), (3.19) and $n \geq 5$ we deduce that $r \neq 0$.

On the other hand, from (3.17) it follows that r is even. We replace $r = 2r_0$ in (3.17) and obtain

$$(3.20) \quad q^2 + 2r_0^2 = n.$$

We shall verify that

$$(3.21) \quad (r_0, q) = 1.$$

If we assume that $(r_0, q) > 1$, then (3.19) gives us

$$(3.22) \quad (r_0, q) = 2.$$

From (3.20) and (3.22) it follows

$$(3.23) \quad n \equiv 0(4).$$

Finally (3.2) and (3.23) imply

$$z^2 + 2 \equiv 0(4),$$

which is impossible. This proves (3.21).

No matter whether r is positive or negative we replace

$$(3.24) \quad x = q, \quad y = -r_0.$$

Using (3.20), (3.21) and (3.24) we deduce that $(x, y) \in F$.

By (3.6) and (3.24) we get

$$(3.25) \quad 2(z y - x) = -2(z r_0 + q) = -z r - 2q = -(z^2 + 2)q + z a n.$$

From (3.2) and (3.25) we conclude

$$(3.26) \quad 2(z y - x) \equiv 0(n).$$

If n is odd, then (3.26) gives us (3.3). Consequently $\beta(x, y) = z$.

Let n be even. Since (3.23) is impossible,

$$(3.27) \quad n = 2n_0, \quad n_0 \text{ is odd.}$$

By (3.20) and (3.27) it follows

$$(3.28) \quad q \equiv 0(2),$$

i.e., q is even.

On the other hand, (3.2) and (3.27) imply that

$$(3.29) \quad z \equiv 0(2),$$

i.e., z is even.

Now (3.24), (3.28) and (3.29) give us

$$(3.30) \quad zy - x \equiv 0(2),$$

i.e., $zy - x$ is even.

Finally from (3.26), (3.27) and (3.30) we obtain (3.3). Therefore $\beta(x, y) = z$.

The lemma is proved. □

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM

Using (2.2) and the well-known identity $\mu^2(n) = \sum_{d^2|n} \mu(d)$ we get

$$(4.1) \quad \Gamma(X) = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \mu(d_1)\mu(d_2) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq X \\ n^2+1 \equiv 0(d_1^2) \\ n^2+2 \equiv 0(d_2^2)}} 1 = \Gamma_1(X) + \Gamma_2(X),$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad \Gamma_1(X) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 \leq z \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)\Sigma(X, d_1^2, d_2^2),$$

$$(4.3) \quad \Gamma_2(X) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 > z \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)\Sigma(X, d_1^2, d_2^2),$$

$$(4.4) \quad \Sigma(X, d_1^2, d_2^2) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq n \leq X \\ n^2+1 \equiv 0(d_1^2) \\ n^2+2 \equiv 0(d_2^2)}} 1,$$

$$(4.5) \quad \sqrt{X} \leq z < X,$$

where z is to be chosen later.

4.1. Estimation of $\Gamma_1(X)$. Suppose that $q_1 = d_1^2$, $q_2 = d_2^2$, where d_1 and d_2 are square-free, $(q_1, q_2) = 1$ and $d_1 d_2 \leq z$.

Denote

$$(4.6) \quad \Omega(X, q_1, q_2, n) = \sum_{\substack{m \leq X \\ m \equiv n \pmod{q_1 q_2}}} 1.$$

Using (2.3), (4.4) and (4.6) we obtain upon partitioning sum (4.4) into residue classes modulo $q_1 q_2$

$$(4.7) \quad \Sigma(X, q_1, q_2) = \sum_{n \in S(q_1, q_2)} \Omega(X, q_1, q_2, n).$$

It is easy to see that

$$(4.8) \quad \Omega(X, q_1, q_2, n) = \frac{X}{q_1 q_2} + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

From (2.4), (4.7) and (4.8) we find

$$(4.9) \quad \Sigma(X, q_1, q_2) = X \frac{\lambda(q_1, q_2)}{q_1 q_2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda(q_1, q_2)).$$

Taking into account (2.3), (2.4), Chinese remainder theorem and that the number of solutions of the congruence $n^2 \equiv a(q_1 q_2)$ is less than or equal to $\tau(q_1 q_2)$, we get

$$(4.10) \quad \lambda(q_1, q_2) \ll \tau(q_1 q_2).$$

From (4.9), (4.10) and the inequalities

$$\tau(q_1 q_2) \ll (q_1 q_2)^\varepsilon \ll X^\varepsilon$$

it follows

$$(4.11) \quad \Sigma(X, q_1, q_2) = X \frac{\lambda(q_1, q_2)}{q_1 q_2} + \mathcal{O}(X^\varepsilon).$$

Bearing in mind (4.2), (4.5) and (4.11) we obtain

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_1(X) &= X \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 \leq z \\ (d_1, d_2) = 1}} \frac{\mu(d_1) \mu(d_2) \lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2)}{d_1^2 d_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(z X^\varepsilon) \\ &= \sigma X - X \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 > z \\ (d_1, d_2) = 1}} \frac{\mu(d_1) \mu(d_2) \lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2)}{d_1^2 d_2^2} + \mathcal{O}(z X^\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.13) \quad \sigma = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2=1 \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)\lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2)}{d_1^2 d_2^2}.$$

Using (4.10) we find

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 > z \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \frac{\mu(d_1)\mu(d_2)\lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2)}{d_1^2 d_2^2} \ll \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 > z \\ (d_1, d_2)=1}} \frac{(d_1 d_2)^\varepsilon}{(d_1 d_2)^2} \ll \sum_{n > z} \frac{\tau(n)}{n^{2-\varepsilon}} \ll z^{\varepsilon-1}.$$

It remains to see that product (2.6) and sum (4.13) coincide. From definition (2.4) it follows that the function $\lambda(q_1, q_2)$ is multiplicative, i.e. if

$$(q_1 q_2, q_3 q_4) = (q_1, q_2) = (q_3, q_4) = 1,$$

then

$$(4.15) \quad \lambda(q_1 q_2, q_3 q_4) = \lambda(q_1, q_3)\lambda(q_2, q_4).$$

The proof is elementary and we leave it to the reader.

From property (4.15) and $(d_1, d_2) = 1$ it follows

$$(4.16) \quad \lambda(d_1^2, d_2^2) = \lambda(d_1^2, 1)\lambda(1, d_2^2).$$

Bearing in mind (4.13) and (4.16) we get

$$(4.17) \quad \sigma = \sum_{d_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \sum_{d_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_2)\lambda(1, d_2^2)}{d_2^2} f_{d_1}(d_2),$$

where

$$f_{d_1}(d_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (d_1, d_2) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } (d_1, d_2) > 1. \end{cases}$$

Clearly the function

$$\frac{\mu(d_2)\lambda(1, d_2^2)}{d_2^2} f_{d_1}(d_2)$$

is multiplicative with respect to d_2 and the series

$$\sum_{d_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_2)\lambda(1, d_2^2)}{d_2^2} f_{d_1}(d_2)$$

is absolutely convergent.

Applying the Euler product we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \sum_{d_2=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_2)\lambda(1, d_2^2)}{d_2^2} f_{d_1}(d_2) = \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \\ = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

From (4.17) and (4.18) it follows

$$(4.19) \quad \sigma = \sum_{d_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1} \\ = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \sum_{d_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

Obviously the function

$$\frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}$$

is multiplicative with respect to d_1 and the series

$$\sum_{d_1=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d_1)\lambda(d_1^2, 1)}{d_1^2} \prod_{p|d_1} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}$$

is absolutely convergent.

Applying again the Euler product from (2.4) and (4.19) we find

$$(4.20) \quad \sigma = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^2, 1)}{p^2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right)^{-1}\right) \\ = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{\lambda(p^2, 1) + \lambda(1, p^2)}{p^2}\right) = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{(-1/p) + (-2/p) + 2}{p^2}\right).$$

Bearing in mind (4.5), (4.12), (4.14) and (4.20) we get

$$(4.21) \quad \Gamma_1(X) = \sigma X + \mathcal{O}(zX^\varepsilon),$$

where σ is given by product (2.6).

4.2. Estimation of $\Gamma_2(X)$. Using (4.3), (4.4) and splitting the range of d_1 and d_2 into dyadic subintervals of the form $D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1$, $D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2$ we write

$$(4.22) \quad \Gamma_2(X) \ll (\log X)^2 \sum_{n \leq X} \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1 \\ n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d_1^2)}} \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2 \\ n^2 + 2 \equiv 0(d_2^2)}} 1,$$

where

$$(4.23) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq D_1, \quad D_2 \leq \sqrt{X^2 + 2}, \quad D_1 D_2 > \frac{z}{4}.$$

On the one hand, (4.22) gives us

$$(4.24) \quad \Gamma_2(X) \ll X^\varepsilon \Sigma_1,$$

where

$$(4.25) \quad \Sigma_1 = \sum_{n \leq X} \sum_{\substack{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1 \\ n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d_1^2)}} 1.$$

On the other hand, (4.22) implies

$$(4.26) \quad \Gamma_2(X) \ll X^\varepsilon \Sigma_2,$$

where

$$(4.27) \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{n \leq X} \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2 \\ n^2 + 2 \equiv 0(d_2^2)}} 1.$$

Estimation of Σ_1 . Define

$$(4.28) \quad \mathcal{N}_1(d) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq n \leq d, n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d)\},$$

$$(4.29) \quad \mathcal{N}'_1(d) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq n \leq d^2, n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d^2)\}.$$

By (4.25) and (4.29) we obtain

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_1 &= \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \sum_{\substack{m \leq X \\ m \equiv n(d_1^2)}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \left(\left[\frac{X-n}{d_1^2} \right] - \left[\frac{-n}{d_1^2} \right] \right) \\ &= \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} + \psi\left(\frac{-n}{d_1^2}\right) - \psi\left(\frac{X-n}{d_1^2}\right) \right) \\ &\ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_1^{-1} + |\Sigma'_1| + |\Sigma''_1|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.31) \quad \Sigma'_1 = \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{-n}{d_1^2}\right),$$

$$(4.32) \quad \Sigma''_1 = \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{X-n}{d_1^2}\right)$$

and $\psi(t)$ is defined by (1.1).

Firstly, we consider the sum Σ' . We note that the sum over n in (4.31) does not contain terms with $n = \frac{1}{2}d_1^2$ and $n = d_1^2$. Moreover, for any n satisfying the congruences $n^2 + 1 \equiv 0(d_1^2)$ and such that $1 \leq n < \frac{1}{2}d_1^2$, the number $d_1^2 - n$ satisfies the same congruence and we have,

$$\psi\left(\frac{-n}{d_1^2}\right) + \psi\left(\frac{-(d_1^2 - n)}{d_1^2}\right) = 0.$$

Bearing in mind these arguments for the sum Σ' denoted by (4.31) we have that

$$(4.33) \quad \Sigma' = 0.$$

Next, we consider the sum Σ'' denoted by (4.32). Let $D_1 \leq X^{1/2}$. The trivial estimation gives us

$$(4.34) \quad \Sigma''_1 \ll \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} d_1^\varepsilon \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Let

$$(4.35) \quad D_1 > X^{1/2}.$$

From the theory of the quadratic congruences we know that when $\#\mathcal{N}'_1(d) \neq 0$, then d is odd and

$$(4.36) \quad \#\mathcal{N}_1(d) = \#\mathcal{N}'_1(d) = 2^{\omega(d)}.$$

Denote

$$(4.37) \quad k = 2^{\omega(d)},$$

$$(4.38) \quad n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathcal{N}_1(d), \quad n'_1, \dots, n'_k \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d).$$

From (4.28), (4.29), (4.35)–(4.38) and $d \geq D_1 > X^{1/2}$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.39) \quad & \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{X-n}{d_1^2}\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X-n}{d_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}'_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{n'_1 + \dots + n'_{k/2} + (d_1^2 - n'_1) + \dots + (d_1^2 - n'_{k/2})}{d_1^2} \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{n_1 + \dots + n_{k/2} + (d_1 - n_1) + \dots + (d_1 - n_{k/2})}{d_1} \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \frac{n}{d_1} \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{\sqrt{X}}{d_1}\right) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{\sqrt{X}-n}{d_1} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
 &= \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(\frac{X}{d_1^2} - \frac{\sqrt{X}}{d_1}\right) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}-n}{d_1}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.32), (4.35) and (4.39) we obtain

$$(4.40) \quad \Sigma''_1 \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon} + |\Sigma_3|,$$

where

$$(4.41) \quad \Sigma_3 = \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \psi\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}-n}{d_1}\right).$$

Using (4.41) and Lemma 3.1 with

$$(4.42) \quad M_1 = X^{1/2}$$

we find

$$\Sigma_3 = \sum_{D_1 \leq d_1 < 2D_1} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_1(d_1)} \left(- \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M_1} \frac{e(m(\sqrt{X}-n)/d_1)}{2\pi im} + \mathcal{O}\left(f_{M_1}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}-n}{d_1}\right)\right) \right).$$

Arguing as in [12], Theorem 17.1.1 we deduce

$$(4.43) \quad \Sigma_3 \ll X^\varepsilon (D_1 M_1^{-1} + D_1^{3/4} + X^{1/2} M_1 D_1^{-1/4}).$$

Bearing in mind (4.30), (4.33), (4.34), (4.40), (4.42) and (4.43) we get

$$(4.44) \quad \Sigma_1 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_1^{-1/4}.$$

Estimation of Σ_2 . Our argument is a modification of Tolev (see [12], Theorem 17.1.1) argument.

Define

$$(4.45) \quad \mathcal{N}_2(d) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq n \leq d, n^2 + 2 \equiv 0(d)\}.$$

Working as in Σ_1 , from (4.27) and (4.45) we find

$$(4.46) \quad \Sigma_2 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_2^{-1}$$

for $D_2 \leq X^{1/2}$ and

$$(4.47) \quad \Sigma_2 \ll X^{1/2+\varepsilon} + |\Sigma_4|$$

for

$$(4.48) \quad D_2 > X^{1/2},$$

where

$$(4.49) \quad \Sigma_4 = \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} \psi\left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - n}{d_2}\right).$$

From (4.49) and Lemma 3.1 with

$$(4.50) \quad M_2 = X^{1/2}$$

we obtain

$$(4.51) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma_4 &= \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} \left(- \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M_2} \frac{e(m((\sqrt{X} - n)/d_2))}{2\pi i m} + \mathcal{O}\left(f_{M_2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - n}{d_2}\right)\right) \right) \\ &= \Sigma_5 + \Sigma_6, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.52) \quad \Sigma_5 = \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M_2} \frac{\Theta_m}{2\pi i m},$$

$$(4.53) \quad \Theta_m = \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} e\left(\frac{\sqrt{X}m}{d_2}\right) \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} e\left(-\frac{nm}{d_2}\right),$$

$$(4.54) \quad \Sigma_6 = \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} f_{M_2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - n}{d_2}\right).$$

By (4.53), (4.54) and Lemma 3.1 it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.55) \quad \Sigma_6 &= \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d_2)} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{M_2}(m) e\left(\frac{\sqrt{X} - n}{d_2} m\right) \\
&= \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_{M_2}(m) \Theta_m \\
&\ll \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} |\Theta_0| + \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} \sum_{1 \leq |m| \leq M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |\Theta_m| + \sum_{|m| > M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |b_{M_2}(m)| |\Theta_m| \\
&\ll \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} D_2^{1+\varepsilon} + \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |\Theta_m| + D_2^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{|m| > M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |b_{M_2}(m)| \\
&\ll \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} D_2^{1+\varepsilon} + \frac{\log M_2}{M_2} \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} |\Theta_m|.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.51), (4.52) and (4.55) we get

$$(4.56) \quad \Sigma_4 \ll X^\varepsilon \left(\frac{D_2}{M_2} + \sum_{1 \leq m \leq M_2^{1+\varepsilon}} \frac{|\Theta_m|}{m} \right).$$

Define

$$(4.57) \quad \mathcal{F}(d) = \{(u, v) : u^2 + 2v^2 = d, (u, v) = 1, u \in \mathbb{N}, v \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

According to Lemma 3.4 there exists a surjection

$$\beta : \mathcal{F}(d) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2(d)$$

from $\mathcal{F}(d)$ to $\mathcal{N}_2(d)$ defined by (4.45) that associates to each couple $(u, v) \in \mathcal{F}(d)$ the element $n \in \mathcal{N}_2(d)$ satisfying

$$(4.58) \quad nv \equiv u(d).$$

Consequently, there exists a subset $\mathcal{F}_0(d) \subset \mathcal{F}(d)$ such that the restriction

$$\beta|_{\mathcal{F}_0(d)} : \mathcal{F}_0(d) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_2(d)$$

of β to $\mathcal{F}_0(d)$ is bijection.

Let $\beta|_{\mathcal{F}_0(d)}(u, v) = n_{u,v}$. Now (4.58) gives us

$$n_{u,v} \equiv u\bar{v}_d(d)$$

and therefore

$$(4.59) \quad \frac{n_{u,v}}{d} \equiv u \frac{\bar{v}u^2+2v^2}{u^2+2v^2} (1).$$

Bearing in mind (4.59) and Lemma 3.2 we deduce

$$(4.60) \quad \frac{n_{u,v}}{d} \equiv \frac{u}{v(u^2+2v^2)} - \frac{\bar{u}|v|}{v} (1),$$

$$(4.61) \quad \frac{n_{u,v}}{d} \equiv -\frac{2v}{u(u^2+2v^2)} + \frac{\bar{v}u}{u} (1).$$

From (4.53), (4.60) and (4.61) we find

$$(4.62) \quad \begin{aligned} \Theta_m &= \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{d_2}\right) \sum_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{F}_0(d_2)} e\left(-\frac{n_{u,v}}{d_2} m\right) \\ &= \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{d_2}\right) \sum_{\substack{(u,v) \in \mathcal{F}_0(d_2) \\ 0 < u < |v|}} e\left(-\frac{mu}{v(u^2+2v^2)} + \frac{m\bar{u}|v|}{v}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{D_2 \leq d_2 < 2D_2} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{d_2}\right) \sum_{\substack{(u,v) \in \mathcal{F}_0(d_2) \\ 0 < |v| < u}} e\left(\frac{2mv}{u(u^2+2v^2)} - \frac{m\bar{v}u}{u}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq u^2+2v^2 < 2D_2 \\ 0 < u < |v| \\ (u,v)=1}} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{u^2+2v^2} - \frac{mu}{v(u^2+2v^2)} + \frac{m\bar{u}|v|}{v}\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{D_2 \leq u^2+2v^2 < 2D_2 \\ 0 < |v| < u \\ (u,v)=1}} e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{u^2+2v^2} + \frac{2mv}{u(u^2+2v^2)} - \frac{m\bar{v}u}{u}\right) \\ &= \Theta'_m + \Theta''_m. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider Θ'_m . Denote

$$(4.63) \quad f(u) = e\left(\frac{m\sqrt{X}}{u^2+2v^2} - \frac{mu}{v(u^2+2v^2)}\right),$$

$$(4.64) \quad \eta_1(v) = \sqrt{\max(0, D_2 - 2v^2)}, \quad \eta_2(v) = \sqrt{\min(v^2, 2D_2 - 2v^2)},$$

$$(4.65) \quad K_{v,m}(t) = \sum_{\substack{\eta_1(v) \leq u \leq t \\ (u,v)=1}} e\left(\frac{m\bar{u}|v|}{v}\right).$$

Using (4.62)–(4.65) and Abel’s summation formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.66) \quad \Theta'_m &= \sum_{\sqrt{D_2/3} \leq |v| < \sqrt{D_2}} \sum_{\substack{\eta_1(v) \leq u \leq \eta_2(v) \\ (u,v)=1}} f(u) e\left(\frac{m\bar{u}|v|}{v}\right) \\
&= \sum_{\sqrt{D_2/3} \leq |v| < \sqrt{D_2}} \left(f(\eta_2(v)) K_{v,m}(\eta_2(v)) - \int_{\eta_1(v)}^{\eta_2(v)} K_{v,m}(t) \left(\frac{d}{dt} f(t)\right) dt \right) \\
&\ll \sum_{\sqrt{D_2/3} \leq |v| < \sqrt{D_2}} \left(1 + \frac{m\sqrt{X}}{v^2}\right) \max_{\eta_1(v) \leq t \leq \eta_2(v)} |K_{v,m}(t)|.
\end{aligned}$$

We are now in a good position to apply Lemma 3.3 because the sum defined by (4.65) is incomplete Kloosterman sum. Thus,

$$(4.67) \quad K_{v,m}(t) \ll |v|^{1/2+\varepsilon} (v, m)^{1/2}.$$

By (4.66) and (4.67) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.68) \quad \Theta'_m &\ll \sum_{\sqrt{D_2/3} \leq |v| < \sqrt{D_2}} \left(1 + \frac{m\sqrt{X}}{v^2}\right) |v|^{1/2+\varepsilon} (v, m)^{1/2} \\
&\ll X^\varepsilon (D_2^{1/4} + mX^{1/2} D_2^{-3/4}) \sum_{0 < v < \sqrt{D_2}} (v, m)^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.69) \quad \sum_{0 < v < \sqrt{D_2}} (v, m)^{1/2} &\leq \sum_{l|m} l^{1/2} \sum_{\substack{v \leq \sqrt{D_2} \\ v \equiv 0(l)}} 1 \\
&\ll D_2^{1/2} \sum_{l|m} l^{-1/2} \ll D_2^{1/2} \tau(m) \ll X^\varepsilon D_2^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Estimations (4.68) and (4.69) imply

$$(4.70) \quad \Theta'_m \ll X^\varepsilon (D_2^{3/4} + mX^{1/2} D_2^{-1/4}).$$

Proceeding in a similar way for Θ''_m from (4.62) we deduce

$$(4.71) \quad \Theta''_m \ll X^\varepsilon (D_2^{3/4} + mX^{1/2} D_2^{-1/4}).$$

Now (4.62), (4.70) and (4.71) give us

$$(4.72) \quad \Theta_m \ll X^\varepsilon (D_2^{3/4} + mX^{1/2} D_2^{-1/4}).$$

From (4.56) and (4.72) it follows

$$(4.73) \quad \Sigma_4 \ll X^\varepsilon (D_2 M_2^{-1} + D_2^{3/4} + X^{1/2} M_2 D_2^{-1/4}).$$

Taking into account (4.50) and (4.73) we find

$$(4.74) \quad \Sigma_4 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_2^{-1/4}.$$

Using (4.46), (4.47) and (4.74) we obtain

$$(4.75) \quad \Sigma_2 \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} D_2^{-1/4}.$$

Estimation of $\Gamma_2(X)$. Summarizing (4.23), (4.24), (4.26), (4.44) and (4.75) we get

$$(4.76) \quad \Gamma_2(X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} z^{-1/8}.$$

4.3. The end of the proof. Bearing in mind (4.1), (4.21), (4.76) and choosing $z = X^{8/9}$ we establish the asymptotic formula (2.5).

The theorem is proved. □

References

- [1] *L. Carlitz*: On a problem in additive arithmetic. II. *Q. J. Math., Oxf. Ser. 3* (1932), 273–290. zbl doi
- [2] *S. I. Dimitrov*: Consecutive square-free numbers of the form $[n^c], [n^c] + 1$. *JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl.* 40 (2018), 945–956. zbl doi
- [3] *S. I. Dimitrov*: On the distribution of consecutive square-free numbers of the form $[\alpha n], [\alpha n] + 1$. *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* 22 (2019), 463–470. zbl MR doi
- [4] *S. I. Dimitrov*: Consecutive square-free values of the form $[\alpha p], [\alpha p] + 1$. *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.* 23 (2020), 519–524. MR
- [5] *S. I. Dimitrov*: On the number of pairs of positive integers $x, y \leq H$ such that $x^2 + y^2 + 1, x^2 + y^2 + 2$ are square-free. *Acta Arith.* 194 (2020), 281–294. zbl MR doi
- [6] *T. Estermann*: Einige Sätze über quadratfreie Zahlen. *Math. Ann.* 105 (1931), 653–662. (In German.) zbl MR doi
- [7] *D. R. Heath-Brown*: The square sieve and consecutive square-free numbers. *Math. Ann.* 266 (1984), 251–259. zbl MR doi
- [8] *D. R. Heath-Brown*: Square-free values of $n^2 + 1$. *Acta Arith.* 155 (2012), 1–13. zbl MR doi
- [9] *H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski*: *Analytic Number Theory*. Colloquium Publications 53. American Mathematical Society, 2004. zbl MR doi
- [10] *T. Reuss*: Pairs of k -free numbers, consecutive square-full numbers. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1212.3150v2> (2014), 28 pages.
- [11] *D. I. Tolev*: On the exponential sum with square-free numbers. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 37 (2005), 827–834. zbl MR doi
- [12] *D. I. Tolev*: *Lectures on Elementary and Analytic Number Theory. II*. St. Kliment Ohridski University Press, Sofia, 2016. (In Bulgarian.)

Author's address: Stoyan Dimitrov, Technical University of Sofia, 1000, 8 Kl. Ohridski Blvd, Sofia, Bulgaria, e-mail: sdimitrov@tu-sofia.bg.