

Dana Fraňková

Regulated functions with values in Banach space

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 144 (2019), No. 4, 437–456

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147937>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2019

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

REGULATED FUNCTIONS WITH VALUES IN BANACH SPACE

DANA FRAŇKOVÁ, Zájezd

Received September 2, 2019. Published online November 22, 2019.

Communicated by Jiří Šremr

Cordially dedicated to the memory of Štefan Schwabik

Abstract. This paper deals with regulated functions having values in a Banach space. In particular, families of equiregulated functions are considered and criteria for relative compactness in the space of regulated functions are given.

Keywords: regulated function; bounded variation; function with values in a Banach space; φ -variation; relative compactness; equiregulated function

MSC 2010: 26A45, 46E40

INTRODUCTION

This paper is an extension of the previous one (see [2]), where regulated functions with values in Euclidean spaces were considered. Here, we deal with regulated functions having values in a Banach space. We discuss some of the properties of the space of such regulated functions, including compactness theorems.

Classic results of mathematical analysis are being used (see [4]) and some ideas from previous works on the topic of regulated functions appear here (see [3], [5]).

1. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

- (i) The symbol \mathbb{N} will denote the set of all positive integers, $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$; \mathbb{R}^N (where $N \in \mathbb{N}$) is the N -dimensional Euclidean space with the usual norm $|\cdot|_N$. We write \mathbb{R} and $|\cdot|$ instead of \mathbb{R}^1 and $|\cdot|_1$.
- (ii) Throughout the paper, the symbol X will denote a Banach space with a norm $\|\cdot\|_X$ and $\mathcal{C}([a, b]; X)$ is the set of all continuous functions $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$.

- (iii) We say that a function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is increasing if $a \leq s < t \leq b$ implies $h(s) < h(t)$; the function h is non-decreasing if $a \leq s < t \leq b$ implies $h(s) \leq h(t)$.
- (iv) We say that $g: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is a finite step function, or shortly step function, if it is piecewise constant; i.e., there is a division $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$ such that the function g is constant on each of the intervals (a_{i-1}, a_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.
- (v) We denote by $\mathcal{D}_{a,b}$ the set of divisions $\{a_0, \dots, a_k\}$ such that $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$.
- (vi) For any function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$, we write $\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{\|f(t)\|_X : t \in [a, b]\}$. If $\|f\|_\infty < \infty$, we say that the function f is bounded; $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is called the sup-norm.
- (vii) We say that a sequence of functions $f_n: [a, b] \rightarrow X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is uniformly convergent to a function $f_0: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ (or that f_0 is the uniform limit of $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$) if $\|f_n - f_0\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ with $n \rightarrow \infty$; we denote $f_n \rightrightarrows f_0$.

2. BASIC PROPERTIES OF A REGULATED FUNCTION

Definition 2.1. We say that a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is *regulated* if the limit $f(t-) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow t-} f(\tau)$ exists for every $t \in (a, b]$, and the limit $f(t+) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow t+} f(\tau)$ exists for every $t \in [a, b)$. We denote by $G([a, b]; X)$ the set of all regulated functions $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$.

Obviously, any finite step function on $[a, b]$ and any continuous function on $[a, b]$ are regulated on $[a, b]$. Moreover, any function with bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and any monotone real valued function are regulated on $[a, b]$.

Proposition 2.2. Assume that $f_n: [a, b] \rightarrow X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are regulated functions and $f_0: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is a function such that $f_n \rightrightarrows f_0$. Then the function f_0 is regulated and $f_n(t-) \rightarrow f_0(t-)$ for each $t \in (a, b]$, $f_n(t+) \rightarrow f_0(t+)$ for each $t \in [a, b)$.

Proof. The proof follows easily from the classical Moore-Osgood theorem on exchanging the order of limits, cf. e.g. [4]. □

Theorem 2.3. The following properties of a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ are equivalent:

- (i) The function f is regulated.
- (ii) The function f is the uniform limit of a sequence of step functions.
- (iii) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a step function $g: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f - g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.
- (iv) For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a division $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$ such that if $a_{i-1} < t' < t'' < a_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ then $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X < \varepsilon$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iv): Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. For every $x \in (a, b]$, define

$$s_x = \inf \left\{ s \in (a, x) : \text{if } \tau', \tau'' \in (s, x) \text{ then } \|f(\tau') - f(\tau'')\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

For every $x \in [a, b)$, define

$$(2.1) \quad t_x = \sup \left\{ t \in (x, b) : \text{if } \tau', \tau'' \in (x, t) \text{ then } \|f(\tau') - f(\tau'')\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right\}.$$

It follows from the existence of the limits $f(x-)$, $f(x+)$ that $s_x < x$ and $t_x > x$.

Obviously,

$$[a, t_a) \cup \bigcup_{x \in (a, b)} (s_x, t_x) \cup (s_b, b] = [a, b]$$

and, since $[a, b]$ is compact, there are $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a finite set $\{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ of points in (a, b) such that $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{k-1}$,

$$(2.2) \quad [a, t_a) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} (s_{a_i}, t_{a_i}) \cup (s_b, b] = [a, b].$$

We shall verify that $s_{a_i} < t_{a_{i-1}}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. On the contrary, assume that there is σ such that $t_{a_{i-1}} \leq \sigma \leq s_{a_i}$. Thanks to (2.2), there is $j \notin \{i-1, i\}$ such that $\sigma \in (s_{a_j}, t_{a_j})$. If $j < i-1$ then by (2.1) we have $\|f(\tau') - f(\tau'')\|_X < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ for all $\tau', \tau'' \in (a_j, t_{a_j})$, which specifically holds also for all $\tau', \tau'' \in (a_{i-1}, t_{a_j})$. Hence $t_{a_j} \leq t_{a_{i-1}} \leq \sigma < t_{a_j}$ which is a contradiction. Similarly, if $j > i$ we find that this leads to a contradiction as well.

Consequently, for any $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, the intersection $(s_{a_i}, t_{a_{i-1}}) \cap (a_{i-1}, a_i)$ is nonempty and we choose $b_i \in (s_{a_i}, t_{a_{i-1}}) \cap (a_{i-1}, a_i)$.

Now, if $a_{i-1} < t' < t'' < a_i$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, there are three possibilities: either $a_{i-1} < t' < t'' \leq b_i$ or $b_i \leq t' < t'' < a_i$ or $a_{i-1} < t' \leq b_i \leq t'' < a_i$. In the first case, both t', t'' are in $(a_{i-1}, t_{a_{i-1}})$, and thanks to (2.1)

$$\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Similarly, if $b_i \leq t' < t'' < a_i$ for some i then $t', t'' \in (s_{a_i}, a_i)$ and

$$\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{2};$$

and, if $a_{i-1} < t' \leq b_i \leq t'' < a_i$ for some i then $t', b_i \in (a_{i-1}, t_{a_{i-1}})$, and $b_i, t'' \in (s_{a_i}, a_i)$ and hence

$$\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X \leq \|f(t'') - f(b_i)\|_X + \|f(b_i) - f(t')\|_X < \varepsilon.$$

To summarize, (iv) is true.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii): Given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find the described division $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$; choose points $\tau_i \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$ and define $g(\tau) = f(\tau_i)$ for $\tau \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$; $g(a_i) = f(a_i)$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$. Then g is a step function and $\|g(\tau) - f(\tau)\|_X < \varepsilon$ for every $\tau \in [a, b]$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii): For $\varepsilon = 1/n$, we can find a step function g_n such that $\|f - g_n\|_\infty < 1/n$. Hence, $g_n \rightrightarrows f$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): This implication follows from Proposition 2.2. \square

Let us notice that the equivalences contained in Theorem 2.3 have been already proved in [3] in a slightly different way. The following result also can be found in [3], but no detailed proof is provided therein.

Proposition 2.4. *If a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is regulated, then*

- (i) *for any $c > 0$, the sets $\{t \in [a, b): \|f(t+) - f(t)\|_X \geq c\}$ and $\{t \in (a, b]: \|f(t-) - f(t)\|_X \geq c\}$ are finite;*
- (ii) *the sets $J^+ = \{t \in [a, b): f(t+) \neq f(t)\}$ and $J^- = \{t \in (a, b]: f(t-) \neq f(t)\}$ are at most countable.*

Proof. (i) By Theorem 2.3 (iv), there is a division $a = a_0 < \dots < a_k = b$ such that

$$\|f(u) - f(t)\|_X < \frac{c}{2} \quad \text{whenever } u, t \in (a_{i-1}, a_i) \text{ for some } i.$$

Passing to the limit $u \rightarrow t+$ we get

$$\|f(t+) - f(t)\|_X \leq \frac{c}{2} < c \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b] \setminus \{a_0, \dots, a_k\}.$$

(ii) It is evident that $J^+ = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{t \in [a, b): \|f(t+) - f(t)\|_X \geq 1/n\}$; this is a countable union of finite sets, therefore at most countable. Similarly for the left-sided limits. \square

In the following theorem we are going to use the notion of total φ -variation which appears in [1].

Definition 2.5. Let us denote by Φ the set of all increasing functions $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(0) = \varphi(0+) = 0$, $\varphi(\infty) = \infty$. For $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$, given $\varphi \in \Phi$ and a division $d = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_m\}$; $d \in \mathcal{D}_{a,b}$, we define

$$\mathcal{V}_d^\varphi(f) = \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi(\|f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})\|_X),$$

and the total φ -variation of f by

$$\varphi\text{-Var}_{[a,b]}(f) = \sup \{ \mathcal{V}_d^\varphi(f) : d \in \mathcal{D}_{a,b} \}.$$

Theorem 2.6. *The following properties of a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ are equivalent:*

- (i) *The function f is regulated.*
- (ii) *There is a continuous function $g: [c, d] \rightarrow X$ and a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ such that $f(t) = g(h(t))$ for every $t \in [a, b]$.*
- (iii) *There is a continuous increasing function $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\omega(0+) = 0$, and a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f(t) - f(s)\|_X \leq \omega(|h(t) - h(s)|)$ holds for every $s, t \in [a, b]$.*
- (iv) *There is a non-decreasing function $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\omega(0+) = 0$, and a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f(t) - f(s)\|_X \leq \omega(|h(t) - h(s)|)$ holds for every $s, t \in [a, b]$.*
- (v) *There is a continuous increasing function $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\varphi(0) = \varphi(0+) = 0$, $\varphi(\infty) = \infty$, such that $\varphi\text{-Var}_{[a,b]}(f) < \infty$.*
- (vi) *There is an increasing function $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\varphi(0) = \varphi(0+) = 0$, $\varphi(\infty) = \infty$, such that $\varphi\text{-Var}_{[a,b]}(f) \leq 1$.*

Proof. The scheme of the proof is (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i); (iii) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (vi) \Rightarrow (iv).

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): According to Proposition 2.4, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the sets J_n^-, J_n^+ defined by

$$J_n^- = \left\{ t \in (a, b] : \|f(t-) - f(t)\|_X \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\},$$

$$J_n^+ = \left\{ t \in (a, b] : \|f(t+) - f(t)\|_X \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

are finite. Obviously, we can find non-decreasing functions $h_n: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with left- and right-hand discontinuity points in J_n^- and J_n^+ , respectively. Moreover, h_n can be chosen in such a way that all of them are bounded by 1. Then we can define

$$h(t) = t + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} h_n(t)$$

for $t \in [a, b]$. Denote $h(a) = c$ and $h(b) = d$. The function h is increasing, and it has left-handed and right-handed discontinuities at all points of the sets $J^- = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_n^-$ and $J^+ = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} J_n^+$, respectively.

For every $\tau \in [c, d]$, we can find a unique point $t \in [a, b]$ such that either $\tau = h(t)$ or $h(t-) \leq \tau < h(t)$, or $h(t) < \tau \leq h(t+)$. If $\tau = h(t)$, we define $g(\tau) = f(t)$. If $h(t-) \leq \tau < h(t)$, we define

$$g(\tau) = f(t) + \frac{h(t) - \tau}{h(t) - h(t-)}(f(t-) - f(t));$$

if $h(t) < \tau \leq h(t+)$, we define

$$g(\tau) = f(t) + \frac{\tau - h(t)}{h(t+) - h(t)}(f(t+) - f(t)).$$

It is obvious that $f(t) = g(h(t))$ holds for each $t \in [a, b]$; we shall verify that the function g is continuous. Certainly g is continuous at each interval of the form $[h(t-), h(t)]$ and $[h(t), h(t+)]$. We need to prove that g is left-continuous for every $\tau = h(t-)$, and right-continuous for every $\tau = h(t+)$.

Assume that $\tau_0 = h(t_0-)$ for some $t_0 \in (a, b]$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. There is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(t_0 - \delta, t_0) \subset [a, b] \quad \text{and} \quad \text{if } t_0 - \delta < t < t_0 \text{ then } \|f(t_0-) - f(t)\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Obviously, if $t_0 - \delta < t < t_0$ then

$$\|f(t_0-) - f(t-)\|_X \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f(t_0-) - f(t+)\|_X \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Choose a point $\sigma \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0)$ at which the function h is continuous. Let $s \in (h(\sigma), h(t_0-))$ be an arbitrary point. We can find $t \in (\sigma, t_0)$ such that $h(t-) \leq s \leq h(t+)$. If $s = h(t)$, then

$$\|g(s) - g(h(t_0-))\|_X = \|f(t) - f(t_0-)\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{3};$$

if $h(t-) \leq s < h(t)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(s) - g(h(t_0-))\|_X &\leq \|g(s) - g(h(t))\|_X + \|g(h(t)) - g(h(t_0-))\|_X \\ &= \frac{h(t) - s}{h(t) - h(t-)} \|f(t) - f(t-)\|_X + \|f(t) - f(t_0-)\|_X \\ &\leq \|f(t) - f(t-)\|_X + \|f(t) - f(t_0-)\|_X \\ &\leq 2\|f(t) - f(t_0-)\|_X + \|f(t-) - f(t_0-)\|_X < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $h(t) < s \leq h(t+)$, then $\|g(s) - g(h(t_0-))\|_X < \varepsilon$. We can conclude that the function g is left-continuous at the point $\tau_0 = h(t_0-)$. Analogously, it can be proved that g is right-continuous at every point $\tau_0 = h(t_0+)$ for $t_0 \in [a, b]$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): The function ω can be defined by

$$\omega(r) = r + \sup\{\|g(\tau'') - g(\tau')\|_X; \tau', \tau'' \in [a, b], |\tau'' - \tau'| \leq r\}, \quad \omega(0) = 0.$$

Since a function continuous on a compact interval is uniformly continuous, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\text{if } \tau', \tau'' \in [a, b] \text{ and } |\tau'' - \tau'| < \delta \text{ then } \|g(\tau'') - g(\tau')\|_X < \varepsilon.$$

It follows that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(r) = 0$.

It is obvious that the function ω is increasing, $\omega(\infty) = \infty$. If the function ω were not continuous at a point $r \in (0, \infty)$, then $\omega(r+) > \omega(r-)$ would hold.

(1) Assume that $\omega(r) > \omega(r-)$. By definition of ω , there are points $\tau', \tau'' \in [a, b]$ such that

$$|\tau' - \tau''| \leq r \text{ and } r + \|g(\tau'') - g(\tau')\|_X > \omega(r-).$$

We can find $r_1 \in (0, r)$ such that

$$r_1 + \|g(\tau'') - g(\tau')\|_X > \omega(r-).$$

Since g is continuous, there are $s', s'' \in [a, b]$ such that

$$|s' - s''| < r \text{ and } r_1 + \|g(s'') - g(s')\|_X > \omega(r-).$$

Denote $\varrho = \max\{r_1, |s' - s''|\}$. Then,

$$\varrho + \|g(s'') - g(s')\|_X \geq r_1 + \|g(s'') - g(s')\|_X > \omega(r-) \geq \omega(\varrho),$$

which is in contradiction with the definition of ω .

(2) Assume that $\omega(r+) > \omega(r)$. We can fix a point c such that $\omega(r+) > c > \omega(r)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\omega(r + 1/n) > c$. There are $\tau'_n, \tau''_n \in [a, b]$ such that $|\tau''_n - \tau'_n| \leq r + 1/n$ and

$$\omega\left(r + \frac{1}{n}\right) \geq r + \frac{1}{n} + \|g(\tau''_n) - g(\tau'_n)\|_X > c.$$

We can find convergent subsequences $\tau'_{n_k} \rightarrow \tau'$, $\tau''_{n_k} \rightarrow \tau''$; considering that the function g is continuous, we obtain limits at both sides:

$$\omega(r+) \geq r + \|g(\tau'') - g(\tau')\|_X \geq c > \omega(r);$$

at the same time, $r + \|g(\tau'') - g(\tau')\|_X \leq \omega(r)$ because $|\tau' - \tau''| \leq r$, which is a contradiction.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): This is obvious.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): For $\varepsilon > 0$ given, we can find $r > 0$ such that $\omega(r) < \varepsilon$; considering that the non-decreasing function h is regulated, we can find a division $a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_k = b$ such that if $x_{i-1} < s < t < x_i$ then $|h(t) - h(s)| < r$. Then we have

$$\|f(t) - f(s)\|_X \leq \omega(|h(t) - h(s)|) \leq \omega(r) < \varepsilon.$$

Using Theorem 2.3, we conclude that the function f is regulated.

(iii) \Rightarrow (v): We can assume that $\omega(\infty) = \infty$, otherwise $\omega(r)$ can be replaced by $\omega(r) + r$. Let us define $\varphi = \omega^{-1}$. Then $\varphi \in \Phi$ and for any division $d \in \mathcal{D}_{a,b}$, $d = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_d^\varphi(f) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi(\|f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})\|_X) \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi(\omega(h(t_j) - h(t_{j-1}))) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^k [h(t_j) - h(t_{j-1})] = h(b) - h(a). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\varphi\text{-Var}_{[a,b]}(f) \leq h(b) - h(a)$.

(v) \Rightarrow (vi): Denote $\alpha = \varphi\text{-Var}_{[a,b]}(f)$; if $\alpha = 0$ then $\alpha \leq 1$ is satisfied; if $\alpha > 0$, we can define $\psi(x) = \varphi(x)/\alpha$, $x \in [0, \infty)$; then for any division $d \in \mathcal{D}_{[a,b]}$, $d = \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_k\}$, we have

$$\mathcal{V}_d^\psi(f) = \sum_{j=1}^k \psi(\|f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})\|_X) = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{1}{\alpha} \varphi(\|f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})\|_X) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \mathcal{V}_d^\varphi(f),$$

consequently, $\psi\text{-Var}_{[a,b]}(f) = 1$.

(vi) \Rightarrow (iv): Define $h(t) = \varphi\text{-Var}_{[a,t]}(f)$ for all $t \in [a, b]$; the function h is non-decreasing. For any t', t'' such that $a \leq t' < t'' \leq b$, we have

$$h(t'') - h(t') \geq \varphi(\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X)$$

because $d = \{t', t''\}$ is a division of the interval $[t', t'']$.

Keeping in mind that the function φ is increasing and $\varphi(0) = \varphi(0+) = 0$, $\varphi(\infty) = \infty$, we can define a function $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ so that

$$\omega(0) = 0; \quad \omega(r) = x \quad \text{if } r = \varphi(x) \text{ for some } x \in (0, \infty);$$

and

$$\text{if } r \in (\varphi(x-), \varphi(x+)) \text{ for some } x \in [0, \infty) \text{ then } \omega(r) = x.$$

Apparently $\omega(\varphi(x)) = x$ for every $x \in [0, \infty)$ and the function ω is non-decreasing, $\omega(0+) = 0$ (actually, ω is continuous, however that is not needed here).

For any t', t'' such that $a \leq t' < t'' \leq b$, we have

$$\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X = \omega(\varphi(\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X)) \leq \omega\left(\varphi - \text{Var}_{[t', t'']} (f)\right) = \omega(h(t'') - h(t')).$$

□

The function g as defined in the proof is called the linear prolongation of the function f along the increasing function h (see [2]).

Proposition 2.7. *Assume that a function $f: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ is regulated. Then*

- (i) *the function f is bounded,*
- (ii) *the image $\text{Im}(f) = \{f(t) : t \in [a, b]\}$ is a relatively compact subset of X ,*
- (iii) *there is a sequence of step functions $g_n: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $g_n \rightrightarrows f$ and $\text{Im}(g_n) \subset \text{Im}(f)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. (i) According to Theorem 2.3, we can find a step function $g: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f - g\|_\infty < 1$; then $\|f\|_\infty < \|g\|_\infty + 1$ and a step function is obviously bounded.

(ii) For $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a step function $g: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f - g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. The step function g has finitely many values, i.e., $C = \text{Im}(g) \subset X$ is a finite set. For any $t \in [a, b]$, there is a point $c \in C$ such that $\|c - f(t)\|_X < \varepsilon$ (namely, $c = g(t)$). This means that C is a finite ε -net for the set $\text{Im}(f)$; consequently, $\text{Im}(f)$ is a relatively compact subset of X .

(iii) We can see in the proof of Theorem 2.3 that the step functions can be constructed with values from $\text{Im}(f)$. □

3. UNIFORM CONVERGENCE OF REGULATED FUNCTIONS

Definition 3.1. We say that a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is *equiregulated* if for every $t \in (a, b)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $(t - \delta, t) \subset [a, b]$ and if $\tau \in (t - \delta, t)$, then $\|f(t-) - f(\tau)\|_X < \varepsilon$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$; moreover, for every $t \in [a, b)$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $(t, t + \delta) \subset [a, b]$ and if $\tau \in (t, t + \delta)$, then $\|f(t+) - f(\tau)\|_X < \varepsilon$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proposition 3.2. *A set of functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is equiregulated if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a division $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$ such that if $a_{i-1} < t' < t'' < a_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ then $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X < \varepsilon$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$.*

Proof. It can be obtained in the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.3 (i) \Leftrightarrow (iv). \square

Theorem 3.3. Assume that a sequence of regulated functions $f_n: [a, b] \rightarrow X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is given, and there is a function $f_0: [a, b] \rightarrow X$ such that $f_n(t) \rightarrow f_0(t)$ for every $t \in [a, b]$. Then the function f_0 is the uniform limit of the sequence $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ if and only if the set $\{f_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated.

Proof. Assume that $f_n \Rightarrow f_0$. According to Proposition 2.2, the function f_0 is regulated. Let $t \in (a, b]$ be given. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f_n - f_0\|_\infty < \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$. For every $n = 0, 1, \dots, n_0$, there is $\delta_n > 0$ such that $(t - \delta_n, t) \subset [a, b]$ and if $\tau \in (t - \delta_n, t)$, then $\|f_n(t-) - f_n(\tau)\|_X < \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$.

Denote $\delta = \min\{\delta_0, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n_0}\}$. If $\tau \in (t - \delta, t)$, then $\|f_n(t-) - f_n(\tau)\|_X < \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$ for $n = 1, \dots, n_0$; and if $n \geq n_0$ then

$$\|f_n(t-) - f_n(\tau)\|_X \leq \|f_n(t-) - f_0(t-)\|_X + \|f_0(t-) - f_0(\tau)\|_X + \|f_0(\tau) - f_n(\tau)\|_X < \varepsilon.$$

The proof for right-sided limits is analogous.

Now, assume that the set $\{f_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. By Proposition 3.2, there is a division $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$ such that if $a_{i-1} < t' < t'' < a_i$ then $\|f_n(t'') - f_n(t')\|_X < \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Choose a point $b_i \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. We have $f_n(a_i) \rightarrow f_0(a_i)$, $f_n(b_i) \rightarrow f_0(b_i)$; we can find $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq n_0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n(a_i) - f_0(a_i)\|_X &< \varepsilon && \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \\ \|f_n(b_i) - f_0(b_i)\|_X &< \frac{\varepsilon}{4} && \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

For any $t \in [a, b]$ given, either $t = a_i$ for some i , then $\|f_n(t) - f_0(t)\|_X < \varepsilon$; or $t \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$; since $f_n(t) \rightarrow f_0(t)$, there is a fixed $m \geq n_0$ such that $\|f_m(t) - f_0(t)\|_X < \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon$. For any $n \geq n_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n(t) - f_0(t)\|_X &\leq \|f_n(t) - f_n(b_i)\|_X + \|f_n(b_i) - f_0(b_i)\|_X + \|f_0(b_i) - f_m(b_i)\|_X \\ &\quad + \|f_m(b_i) - f_m(t)\|_X + \|f_m(t) - f_0(t)\|_X < 2\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently $f_n \Rightarrow f_0$. \square

Proposition 3.4. Assume that a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is equiregulated. Then

(i) for any $c > 0$, the sets

$$\begin{aligned} J_c^+ &= \{t \in [a, b); \text{ there is } f \in \mathcal{T} \text{ such that } \|f(t+) - f(t)\|_X \geq c\}, \\ J_c^- &= \{t \in (a, b]; \text{ there is } f \in \mathcal{T} \text{ such that } \|f(t-) - f(t)\|_X \geq c\} \end{aligned}$$

are finite;

(ii) the sets defined by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} J^+ &= \{t \in [a, b]; \text{ there is } f \in \mathcal{T} \text{ such that } f(t+) \neq f(t)\}, \\ J^- &= \{t \in (a, b]; \text{ there is } f \in \mathcal{T} \text{ such that } f(t-) \neq f(t)\} \end{aligned}$$

are at most countable.

PROOF. The proof is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.4. \square

Lemma 3.5. Assume that sets $\mathcal{J} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ and $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ are equiregulated. Then the set $\{f + g: f \in \mathcal{J}, g \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is equiregulated.

PROOF. Let $t \in (a, b]$ be given. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $\delta_1 > 0$ such that $(t - \delta_1, t) \subset [a, b]$ and if $\tau \in (t - \delta_1, t)$ then

$$\|f(t-) - f(\tau)\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{holds for all } f \in \mathcal{J};$$

and we can find $\delta_2 > 0$ such that $(t - \delta_2, t) \subset [a, b]$ and if $\tau \in (t - \delta_2, t)$ then

$$\|g(t-) - g(\tau)\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{holds for all } g \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Then we put $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ and if $\tau \in (t - \delta, t)$ then

$$\|(f + g)(t-) - (f + g)(\tau)\|_X \leq \|f(t-) - f(\tau)\|_X + \|g(t-) - g(\tau)\|_X < \varepsilon.$$

Similarly for right-sided limits. \square

Proposition 3.6. Assume that sequences of regulated functions $f_n: [a, b] \rightarrow X$, $g_n: [a, b] \rightarrow X$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are given such that $\|g_n - f_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$. If the set $\{f_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated, then the set $\{g_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated.

PROOF. Denote $h_n = g_n - f_n$. We have a sequence of regulated functions $\{h_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is uniformly convergent to the zero function. According to Theorem 3.3, the set $\{h_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated. Now we can use Lemma 3.5 to conclude that the set $\{g_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{f_n + h_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated. \square

Definition 3.7. We say that a set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ has *bounded jumps* if for each $t \in (a, b]$ the set $\{f(t) - f(t-): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded, and for each $t \in [a, b)$ the set $\{f(t+) - f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded.

For $t \in (a, b]$ and $s \in [a, b)$, we denote

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} K_t^- &= \sup\{\|f(t) - f(t-)\|_X: f \in \mathcal{T}\}, \\ K_s^+ &= \sup\{\|f(s) - f(s+)\|_X: f \in \mathcal{T}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.8. *Assume that a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is equiregulated and has bounded jumps. Then there is $K > 0$ such that $\|f(t) - f(a)\|_X \leq K$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$, $t \in [a, b]$.*

Moreover, if the set $\{f(a) : f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded, then the set \mathcal{T} is bounded.

Proof. Using Proposition 3.2, we can find a division $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$ such that $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X < 1$ holds for any $f \in \mathcal{T}$, $a_{i-1} < t' < t'' < a_i$.

Let $K_{a_{i-1}}^+$, $K_{a_i}^-$ be given by (3.2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(a_i) - f(a_{i-1})\|_X &\leq \|f(a_i) - f(a_{i-})\|_X + \|f(a_{i-}) - f(a_{i-1+})\|_X + \|f(a_{i-1+}) - f(a_{i-1})\|_X \\ &\leq K_{a_i}^- + 1 + K_{a_{i-1}}^+; \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{then } \|f(a_j) - f(a)\|_X \leq \sum_{i=1}^j \|f(a_i) - f(a_{i-1})\|_X \leq j + \sum_{i=1}^j (K_{a_i}^- + K_{a_{i-1}}^+).$$

If $t \in (a_j, a_{j+1})$ then

$$\|f(t) - f(a)\|_X \leq \|f(t) - f(a_{j+})\|_X + K_{a_j}^+ + \|f(a_j) - f(a)\|_X;$$

we can conclude that

$$\|f(t) - f(a)\|_X \leq K := k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} K_{a_i}^+ + \sum_{i=1}^k K_{a_i}^-$$

holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$, $t \in [a, b]$.

The latter part of the proposition is evident. \square

Proposition 3.9. *If the set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is equiregulated and for every $t \in [a, b]$ the set $\{f(t) : f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded, then the set \mathcal{T} is bounded.*

Proof. According to Proposition 3.2, we can find a division $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$ such that if $a_{i-1} < t' < t'' < a_i$ then $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X < 1$ holds for any $f \in \mathcal{T}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, choose a point $b_i \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$. The set

$$\{f(a_i) : f \in \mathcal{T}, i = 0, 1, \dots, k\} \cup \{f(b_i) : f \in \mathcal{T}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$$

is bounded by a constant K .

Let any $t \in [a, b]$ be given, and $f \in \mathcal{T}$. Either $t = a_i$ for some $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$, then $\|f(t)\|_X = \|f(a_i)\|_X \leq K$; or $t \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, then

$$\|f(t)\|_X \leq \|f(t) - f(b_i)\|_X + \|f(b_i)\|_X < 1 + K,$$

concluding the proof. \square

Theorem 3.10. For any set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) \mathcal{T} is equiregulated and has bounded jumps;
- (ii) there is a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ and an equicontinuous set $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{C}([c, d]; X)$ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ there is a continuous function $g \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $f(t) = g(h(t))$ for $t \in [a, b]$;
- (iii) there is a non-decreasing function $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\omega(0+) = 0$, and a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X \leq \omega(|h(t'') - h(t')|)$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$, $a \leq t' < t'' \leq b$.

PROOF. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): It follows from Proposition 3.4 that the sets J^+ , J^- are at most countable. As was proved in Theorem 2.6, there exists a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J^- &= \{t \in (a, b]: h(t-) \neq h(t)\}, \\ J^+ &= \{t \in [a, b): h(t+) \neq h(t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can assume that the function h is increasing (if not, it can be replaced by $\tilde{h}(t) = h(t) + t$).

For each $f \in \mathcal{T}$, we can define its linear prolongation g_f as in the proof of Theorem 2.6:

If $\tau = h(t)$, we define

$$g_f(\tau) = f(t).$$

If $h(t-) \leq \tau < h(t)$, we define

$$(3.3) \quad g_f(\tau) = f(t) + \frac{h(t) - \tau}{h(t) - h(t-)}(f(t-) - f(t)).$$

If $h(t) < \tau \leq h(t+)$, we define

$$g_f(\tau) = f(t) + \frac{\tau - h(t)}{h(t+) - h(t)}(f(t+) - f(t)).$$

Then $g_f(h(t)) = f(t)$; $g_f(h(t-)) = f(t-)$; $g_f(h(t+)) = f(t+)$. All these functions g_f are continuous and we denote $\mathcal{B} = \{g_f: f \in \mathcal{T}\}$. We will prove that the set \mathcal{B} is equicontinuous.

Let $t \in (a, b]$ be given such that $h(t-) < h(t)$. It is assumed that

$$\|f(t) - f(t-)\|_X \leq K_t^-$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$, where $K_t^- < \infty$ is given by (3.2). We have

$$\|g_f(h(t)) - g_f(h(t-))\|_X = \|f(t) - f(t-)\|_X \leq K_t^-,$$

hence for any $\tau', \tau'' \in [h(t-), h(t)]$ we have

$$\|g_f(h(\tau'')) - g_f(h(\tau'))\|_X \leq \frac{|\tau'' - \tau'|K_t^-}{h(t) - h(t-)};$$

the functions g_f are equicontinuous on $[h(t-), h(t)]$. Analogously, they are equicontinuous on each interval $[h(t), h(t+)]$ where $h(t) \neq h(t+)$.

Now assume that $s_0 = h(t_0-)$ for some $t_0 \in (a, b]$ (regardless if h is left-continuous at t_0 or not); we will prove that the functions in \mathcal{B} are equicontinuous from the left at s_0 . For given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find $\delta > 0$ such that $t_0 - \delta > a$, and if $t_0 - \delta < \tau < t_0$ then $\|f(t_0-) - f(\tau)\|_X < \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$. It is evident that

$$\|f(t_0-) - f(\tau+)\|_X \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \|f(t_0-) - f(\tau-)\|_X \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

holds for any $\tau \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0)$. Fix a point $\tau \in (t_0 - \delta, t_0)$ and denote $\eta = h(t_0-) - h(\tau)$. We have $\eta > 0$ because the function h is increasing. Let $s \in (s_0 - \eta, s_0) = (h(\tau), h(t_0-))$ be an arbitrary point. Considering that h is an increasing function, there is a unique point $t \in (\tau, t_0)$ such that $h(t-) \leq s \leq h(t+)$.

The first case is $h(t-) \leq s \leq h(t)$; then for any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g_f(s) - g_f(s_0)\|_X &\leq \|g_f(s) - g_f(h(t))\|_X + \|g_f(h(t)) - g_f(h(t_0-))\|_X \\ &= \frac{s - h(t)}{h(t-) - h(t)} \|f(t-) - f(t)\|_X + \|f(t) - f(t_0-)\|_X \\ &\leq \|f(t-) - f(t_0-)\|_X + 2\|f(t) - f(t_0-)\|_X < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

or in the case $h(t) \leq s \leq h(t+)$, again we obtain $\|g_f(s) - g_f(s_0)\| < \varepsilon$. This proves the equicontinuity at $h(t_0-)$ from the left; equicontinuity at $h(t_0+)$ from the right can be proved similarly.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Define

$$\omega(r) = \sup\{\|g(s'') - g(s')\|_X; s', s'' \in [c, d], |s'' - s'| \leq r; g \in \mathcal{B}\}, \quad \omega(0) = 0.$$

It is well-known that an equicontinuous set of functions is uniformly continuous; therefore $w(0+) = 0$. We have

$$\|g(s'') - g(s')\|_X \leq \omega(|s'' - s'|) \quad \text{for any } g \in \mathcal{B}, s', s'' \in [c, d].$$

It follows that

$$\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X = \|g_f(h(t'')) - g_f(h(t'))\|_X \leq \omega(|h(t'') - h(t')|)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$, $t', t'' \in [a, b]$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): It is well-known that any non-decreasing function is regulated. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given; there is $r > 0$ such that $\omega(r) < \varepsilon$. For any $t \in [a, b)$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that $h(t + \delta) - h(t+) < r$. If $f \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\tau \in (t, t + \delta)$, then

$$\|f(\tau) - f(t+)\|_X \leq \omega(h(\tau) - h(t+)) \leq \omega(r) < \varepsilon;$$

similarly for the left-sided limits. Further, for any $t \in [a, b)$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$\|f(t+) - f(t)\|_X \leq \omega(h(t+) - h(t));$$

similarly, for any $t \in (a, b]$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$\|f(t) - f(t-)\|_X \leq \omega(h(t) - h(t-)).$$

Consequently, the set \mathcal{T} has bounded jumps. □

Proposition 3.11. *Assume that a sequence of regulated functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is given such that:*

- ▷ *there is a non-decreasing function $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\omega(0+) = 0$, and*
- ▷ *there is a bounded sequence of non-decreasing functions $h_n: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that*

$$\|f_n(t'') - f_n(t)\|_X \leq \omega(h_n(t'') - h_n(t'))$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \leq t' < t'' \leq b$.

The following conditions are sufficient for the set $\{f_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ to be equiregulated:

- (i) *the set $\{h_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated;*
- (ii) *$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} [h_n(t'') - h_n(t')] \leq h_0(t'') - h_0(t')$ holds for any $a < t' < t'' < b$ and the function h_0 is continuous;*
- (iii) *$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(t) = h_0(t)$ for every $t \in [a, b]$ and the function h_0 is continuous.*

P r o o f. (i) Assume that the set $\{h_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated. According to Theorem 3.10, we can find a non-decreasing function $\vartheta: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\vartheta(0+) = 0$ and a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|h_n(t'') - h_n(t')| \leq \vartheta(|h(t'') - h(t')|)$$

holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a \leq t' < t'' \leq b$. Then

$$\|f_n(t'') - f_n(t')\|_X \leq \omega(|h_n(t'') - h_n(t')|) \leq \omega(\vartheta(|h(t'') - h(t')|));$$

using Theorem 3.10, we conclude that the set $\{f_n: n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated.

(ii) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. The continuous function h_0 is uniformly continuous on $[a, b]$; then there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $a \leq t' < t'' \leq b$ and $t'' - t' < \delta$ then $h_0(t'') - h_0(t') < \varepsilon$. We can find a division $a = b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_k = b$ such that

$$h_0(b_j) - h_0(b_{j-1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{for any } i = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

There is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $n \geq n_0$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ then

$$0 \leq h_n(b_j) - h_n(b_{j-1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + h_0(b_j) - h_0(b_{j-1}).$$

Considering that the functions h_n are non-decreasing, we get

$$0 \leq h_n(t'') - h_n(t') \leq h_n(b_j) - h_n(b_{j-1}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + h_0(b_j) - h_0(b_{j-1}) < \varepsilon$$

for any t', t'' such that $b_{j-1} \leq t' < t'' \leq b_j$, $n \geq n_0$.

The functions h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{n_0} are regulated, therefore, for each interval $[b_{j-1}, b_j]$ we can find a subdivision $b_{j-1} = a_{0,j} < a_{1,j} < \dots < a_{l_j,j} = b_j$ such that $0 \leq h_n(t'') - h_n(t') < \varepsilon$ holds for $n \leq n_0$, $a_{i-1,j} \leq t' < t'' \leq a_{i,j}$; it follows that the conditions of Proposition 3.2 are satisfied, and therefore, the set $\{h_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equiregulated. Now, we can use part (i).

Finally, (iii) is a consequence of (ii). □

4. SUP-NORM TOPOLOGY

Proposition 4.1. *The linear space of regulated functions $G([a, b]; X)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a Banach space.*

Proof. Obviously $G([a, b]; X)$ is a linear space and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is a norm. We shall prove that it is a complete normed linear space.

Assume that $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence of regulated functions. For any $t \in [a, b]$, the sequence $\{f_n(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has the Cauchy property, therefore its limit in the Banach space X exists, and it can be denoted by $f_0(t)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|f_n(t) - f_m(t)\|_X < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b] \text{ and all } m, n \geq n_0.$$

Passing to the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|f_n(t) - f_0(t)\|_X \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \in [a, b] \text{ and all } n \geq n_0.$$

We have $f_n \rightrightarrows f_0$ and it follows from Proposition 2.2 that the function f_0 is regulated. □

Theorem 4.2. *A set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is relatively compact in the Banach space $G([a, b]; X)$ if and only if the set \mathcal{T} is equiregulated and satisfies the following condition:*

$$(4.1) \quad \text{for every } t \in [a, b], \text{ the set } \{f(t) : f \in \mathcal{T}\} \text{ is relatively compact in } X.$$

Proof. (i) Assume that \mathcal{T} is relatively compact. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a finite ε -net, i.e., a finite set $\mathcal{P} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{T}$ there is $g \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying $\|f - g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$. For any fixed $t \in [a, b]$, denote $\mathcal{P}_t = \{g(t) : g \in \mathcal{P}\}$; this is a finite subset of X and for any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we can find $p \in \mathcal{P}_t$ ($p = g(t)$) such that $\|f(t) - p\|_X < \varepsilon$; this means that \mathcal{P}_t is a finite ε -net for the set $\{f(t) : f \in \mathcal{T}\}$. Consequently, this is a relatively compact subset of X .

Now, we shall prove that the functions in \mathcal{T} have uniform one-sided limits. Let $\tau \in [a, b]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We can find a finite $\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$ -net $\mathcal{P} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ for \mathcal{T} .

Let us denote the elements of \mathcal{P} as $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$. These are regulated functions, therefore we can find $\delta > 0$ such that if $t \in (\tau - \delta, \tau) \cap [a, b]$ then $\|g_j(t) - g_j(\tau-)\|_X < \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$ and if $t \in (\tau, \tau + \delta) \cap [a, b]$ then $\|g_j(t) - g_j(\tau+)\|_X < \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$ for any $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{T}$ be given, then we can find j such that $\|f - g_j\|_\infty < \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$. For any $t \in (\tau - \delta, \tau) \cap [a, b]$ we have

$$\|f(t) - f(\tau-)\|_X \leq 2\|f - g_j\|_\infty + \|g_j(t) - g_j(\tau-)\|_X < \varepsilon;$$

and for any $t \in (\tau, \tau + \delta) \cap [a, b]$ we have

$$\|f(t) - f(\tau+)\|_X \leq 2\|f - g_j\|_\infty + \|g_j(t) - g_j(\tau+)\|_X < \varepsilon.$$

(ii) Assume that the set \mathcal{T} is equiregulated and satisfies condition (4.1). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. According to Proposition 3.2, there is a division $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$ such that if $a_{i-1} < t' < t'' < a_i$ for an index $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$ then $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X < \varepsilon$.

Let us choose a point $b_i \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Due to (4.1) the set $Y = \{f(a_i), i = 0, 1, \dots, k; f \in \mathcal{T}\} \cup \{f(b_i), i = 1, 2, \dots, k; f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is relatively compact in the Banach space X ; consequently, it has a finite $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ -net $Z \subset X$.

Let us define a set Q of all step functions with values in Z which are constant on each of the intervals (a_{i-1}, a_i) , $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. The set Q is finite. For a given $f \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $f(a_i) \in Y$, $f(b_i) \in Y$, hence there are $\alpha_i \in Z$, $\beta_i \in Z$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(a_i) - \alpha_i\|_X &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, & i = 0, 1, \dots, k, \\ \|f(b_i) - \beta_i\|_X &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, & i = 1, 2, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Define $g(a_i) = \alpha_i$, $g(t) = \beta_i$ for $t \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$; then $g \in Q$ and we have

$$\|f(a_i) - g(b_i)\|_X < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \|f(t) - g(t)\|_X \leq \|f(t) - f(b_i)\|_X + \|f(b_i) - g(b_i)\|_X < \varepsilon$$

for all $t \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$. This means that for an arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{T}$ a function $g \in Q$ was found such that $\|f - g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$; the set Q is a finite ε -net for \mathcal{T} .

We have found that the set \mathcal{T} is totally bounded, and therefore it is relatively compact in the Banach space $G([a, b]; X)$. \square

Corollary 4.3. *A set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^N)$ is relatively compact in $G([a, b]; \mathbb{R}^N)$ if and only if the set \mathcal{T} is equiregulated and for every $t \in [a, b]$ the set $\{f(t); f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is bounded.*

Proposition 4.4. *If a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is relatively compact, then its image $\text{Im}(\mathcal{T}) = \{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}, t \in [a, b]\}$ is a relatively compact subset of X .*

Proof. We are going to prove that the set $\text{Im}(\mathcal{T})$ is totally bounded; i.e., has a finite ε -net for any $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. The relatively compact set \mathcal{T} has a finite $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$ -net $Q \subset G([a, b]; X)$, it means that for every $f \in \mathcal{T}$ there is $g \in Q$ satisfying $\|f - g\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. According to Theorem 2.3, for each $g \in Q$ there is a step function ψ_g such that $\|g - \psi_g\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$. The finite set of step functions $\{\psi_g: g \in Q\}$ has a finite set of values

$$Z = \{\psi_g(t): t \in [a, b], g \in Q\}.$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we can find $g \in Q$ such that $\|f - g\|_\infty < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon$; then

$$\|f(t) - \psi_g(t)\|_X \leq \|f - g\|_\infty + \|g - \psi_g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$$

and $\psi_g(t) \in Z$; this means that Z is a finite ε -net for $\text{Im}(\mathcal{T})$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *For an equiregulated set of functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ its relative compactness is equivalent to relative compactness of its image.*

Proof. (i) If the set \mathcal{T} is equiregulated, then $\text{Im}(\mathcal{T})$ is relatively compact according to Proposition 4.4.

(ii) If $\text{Im}(\mathcal{T})$ is relatively compact, then condition (4.1) holds and we can use Theorem 4.2. \square

Theorem 4.6. For a set of regulated functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$, the following properties are equivalent:

- (i) The set \mathcal{T} is a relatively compact subset of the Banach space $(G([a, b]; X; \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.
- (ii) There is a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ and a set $\mathcal{B} \subset C([c, d]; X)$ of continuous functions which is relatively compact in the sup-norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ so that for every $f \in \mathcal{T}$ there is $g \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $f(t) = g(h(t))$, $t \in [a, b]$.
- (iii) For every $t \in [a, b]$, the set $\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is relatively compact in X and there is a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a non-decreasing function $\omega: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\omega(0+) = 0$ such that $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X \leq \omega(|h(t'') - h(t')|)$ holds for every $f \in \mathcal{T}$, $t', t'' \in [a, b]$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): According to Theorem 4.2, the set \mathcal{T} is equiregulated and satisfies (4.1). Then \mathcal{T} has bounded jumps; using Theorem 3.10, we can find a non-decreasing function $h: [a, b] \rightarrow [c, d]$ where $h(a) = c$, $h(b) = d$ and an equicontinuous set $\mathcal{B} \subset C([c, d]; X)$ defined by $\mathcal{B} = \{g_f: f \in \mathcal{T}\}$, where the function g_f is the linear prolongation of f defined by the formula (3.3).

We are going to prove that the set \mathcal{B} is totally bounded, therefore relatively compact.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite ε -net $Q \subset G([a, b]; X)$ for \mathcal{T} . Define g_ζ by the formula (3.3) for every $\zeta \in Q$. Then the set $\{g_\zeta: \zeta \in Q\}$ is a finite ε -net for the set \mathcal{B} .

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): For each $t \in [a, b]$ we have $\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\} \subset \{g(h(t)): g \in \mathcal{B}\}$; hence the set $\{f(t): f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is relatively compact in X . We can define

$$\omega(r) = \sup\{\|g(s') - g(s'')\|_X: |s' - s''| \leq r; g \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$

It follows from Arzelà-Ascoli theorem (version in Banach space) that the relatively compact set \mathcal{B} is equicontinuous, consequently $\omega(0+) = 0$. Obviously, the function ω is non-decreasing.

For any $f \in \mathcal{T}$ we can find $g \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfying $f(t) = g(h(t))$, $t \in [a, b]$. Then, for any $t', t'' \in [a, b]$ we obtain the inequality $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X = \|g(h(t'')) - g(h(t'))\|_X \leq \omega(|h(t'') - h(t')|)$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Follows from Theorem 4.2. □

Proposition 4.7. Assume that a set $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is equiregulated. Denote the sets J^-, J^+ as in (3.1). Then for any dense subset $M \subset [a, b]$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a division $a = c_0 < c_1 < \dots < c_k = b$ such that $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{k-1}\} \subset M \cup J^- \cup J^+$ and if $c_{i-1} < t' < t'' < c_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ then $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X < \varepsilon$ holds for all $f \in \mathcal{T}$.

Proof. We can find a division $a = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_k = b$ as described in Proposition 3.2. If $a_i \in M \cup J^- \cup J^+ \cup \{a, b\}$, we denote $c_i = a_i$. If $a_i \notin M \cup J^- \cup J^+ \cup \{a, b\}$ then all functions $f \in \mathcal{T}$ are continuous at a_i : there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $|t - a_i| < \delta$ and $f \in \mathcal{T}$ then $\|f(t) - f(a_i)\|_X < \varepsilon$. The set M is dense in $[a, b]$, therefore we can find $c_i \in (a_{i-1}, a_i) \cap M$ such that $|c_i - a_i| < \delta$. In both cases, we have $\|f(c_i) - f(a_i)\|_X < \varepsilon$ for every $f \in \mathcal{T}$, $i \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Now, if $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ and $c_{i-1} < t' < t'' < c_i$, there are several options and it is only a technical matter to verify that $\|f(t'') - f(t')\|_X < 2\varepsilon$ in all possible cases. \square

Theorem 4.8. *Assume that a set of functions $\mathcal{T} \subset G([a, b]; X)$ is equiregulated. Denote the sets J^-, J^+ as in (3.1). Assume that there is a dense subset $M \subset [a, b]$ such that for every $t \in M_0 = M \cup J^- \cup J^+ \cup \{a, b\}$ the set $\{f(t) : f \in \mathcal{T}\}$ is relatively compact in X . Then the set \mathcal{T} is relatively compact in the Banach space $G([a, b]; X)$.*

Proof. The proof can be performed the same way as the second part of the proof of Theorem 4.2, where the points $b_i \in (a_{i-1}, a_i)$ can be chosen so that $b_i \in M_0$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. \square

References

- [1] *M. Brokate, P. Krejčí*: Duality in the space of regulated functions and the play operator. *Math. Z.* **245** (2003), 667–688. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *D. Fraňková*: Regulated functions. *Math. Bohem.* **116** (1991), 20–59. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *C. S. Hönl*: Volterra-Stieltjes Integral Equations. *Functional Analytic Methods; Linear Constraints*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies 16. *Notas de Mathematica* (56), North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-Oxford; American Elsevier Publishing Company, New York, 1975. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [4] *W. Rudin*: *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *Š. Schwabik*: Linear Stieltjes integral equations in Banach spaces. *Math. Bohem.* **124** (1999), 433–457. [zbl](#) [MR](#)

Author's address: Dana Fraňková, Zájezd 5, 27343, Czech Republic.