

Luděk Zajíček

A remark on functions continuous on all lines

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 60 (2019), No. 2, 211–218

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147816>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2019

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A remark on functions continuous on all lines

LUDĚK ZAJÍČEK

Abstract. We prove that each linearly continuous function f on \mathbb{R}^n (i.e., each function continuous on all lines) belongs to the first Baire class, which answers a problem formulated by K. C. Ciesielski and D. Miller (2016). The same result holds also for f on an arbitrary Banach space X , if f has moreover the Baire property. We also prove (extending a known finite-dimensional result) that such f on a separable X is continuous at all points outside a first category set which is also null in any usual sense.

Keywords: linear continuity; Baire class one; discontinuity set; Banach space

Classification: 26B05, 46B99

1. Introduction

Separately continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^n (i.e., functions continuous on all lines parallel to a coordinate axis) and also linearly continuous functions (i.e., functions continuous on all lines) were investigated in a number of articles, see the survey [1].

Recall here Lebesgue's result of [4] which asserts that

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{each separately continuous function on } \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{belongs to the } (n-1)\text{th Baire class.} \end{array}$$

We prove, see Theorem 3.5 below, that each linearly continuous function f with the Baire property on a Banach space X belongs to the first Baire class. Of course, if X is infinite-dimensional, then there exists an (everywhere) discontinuous linear functional f on X (which is linearly continuous), which shows that, in Theorem 3.5, it is not possible to omit the assumption that f has the Baire property. However, using Lebesgue result (1.1), we obtain that each linearly continuous function f on \mathbb{R}^n belongs to the first Baire class, which answers [1, Problem 2, page 12].

The natural question how big can be the set $D(f)$ of all discontinuity points of a separately (linearly, respectively) continuous function was considered in several works, see [1].

A complete characterization of sets $D(f)$ for separately continuous functions in \mathbb{R}^n was given in [2] (and independently in [8]), cf. [1]. This characterization,

in particular, shows that $D(f)$ is a first category set, but it can have positive Lebesgue measure (even its complement can be Lebesgue null).

S. G. Slobodnik proved in [8] that for each linearly continuous f on \mathbb{R}^n

(1.2) $D(f)$ is contained in a countable union of Lipschitz hypersurfaces,

in particular, the Hausdorff dimension of $D(f)$ is at most $(n - 1)$ (and so $D(f)$ is Lebesgue null). We show that (1.2) holds also in each separable Banach space X under the additional assumption that f has the Baire property. Consequently, $D(f)$ is null in any usual sense, in particular it is Aronszajn null and Γ -null.

2. Preliminaries

In the following, by a Banach space we mean a real Banach space. If X is a Banach space, we set $S_X := \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$. The symbol $B(x, r)$ will denote the open ball with center x and radius r . The oscillation of a function f at a point x will be denoted by $\text{osc}(f, x)$.

Let X be a Banach space, $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$ an open set and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function. Then we say that f is *linearly continuous* if the restriction $f \upharpoonright_{L \cap G}$ is continuous for each line $L \subset X$ intersecting G .

We will essentially use the following well-known characterization of Baire class one functions, see e.g. [5, Theorem 2.12].

Lemma 2.1. *Let X be a strong Baire metric space and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) *The function f is a Baire class one function.*
- (ii) *For every nonempty closed set $F \subset X$ and for any two real numbers $\alpha < \beta$, the sets $\{z \in F : f(z) \leq \alpha\}$ and $\{z \in F : f(z) \geq \beta\}$ cannot be dense in F simultaneously.*

Recall that X is called strong Baire if every closed subspace of X is a Baire space. Thus each topologically complete metric space (and so each G_δ subspace of a complete space) is strong Baire.

We will use the classical Baire terminology concerning his category theory. So complements of first category sets (= meager sets) are called residual (= comeager) sets and sets of the second category are those which are not of the first category. We will need the following well-known fact which follows e.g. from [3, §10, (7) and (11)] (cf. the text below (11)).

Lemma 2.2. *If M is a second category subset of a metric space X , then there exists an open set $\emptyset \neq U \subset X$ such that $M \cap V$ is of the second category for each open $\emptyset \neq V \subset U$.*

In a metric space (X, ϱ) , the system of all sets with the Baire property is the smallest σ -algebra containing all open sets and all first category sets. We will say that a mapping $f: (X, \varrho_1) \rightarrow (Y, \varrho_2)$ has the Baire property if f is measurable

with respect to the σ -algebra of all sets with the Baire property. In other words, f has the Baire property if and only if $f^{-1}(B)$ has the Baire property for all Borel sets $B \subset Y$, see [3, § 32]. We will need the following fact, see e.g. [3, § 32, II].

Lemma 2.3. *If Y is separable, then f has the Baire property if and only if there exists a residual set R in X such that the restriction $f \upharpoonright_R$ is continuous.*

Let X be a Banach space, $x \in X$, $v \in S_X$ and $\delta > 0$. Then we define the open cone $C(x, v, \delta)$ as the set of all $y \neq x$ for which $\|v - (y - x)/\|y - x\| \| < \delta$.

The following easy inequality is well known, see e.g. [6, Lemma 5.1]:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{if } v, w \in X \setminus \{0\}, \text{ then } \left\| \frac{v}{\|v\|} - \frac{w}{\|w\|} \right\| \leq \frac{2}{\|v\|} \|v - w\|.$$

We will need the following special case of [7, Lemma 2.4]. It can be proved by the Kuratowski–Ulam theorem (as is noted in [7]), but the proof given in [7] is more direct.

Lemma 2.4. *Let U be an open subset of a Banach space X . Let $M \subset U$ be a set residual in U and $z \in U$. Then there exists a line $L \subset X$ such that z is a point of accumulation of $M \cap L$.*

3. Baire class one

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a Banach space, $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$ an open set and let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property. Then for each $x \in G$ and $\eta > 0$ there exist $u \in S_X$, $\delta > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \eta \quad \text{whenever } y \in C(x, u, \delta) \cap B\left(x, \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

PROOF: Let $x \in G$ and $\eta > 0$ be given; we can and will suppose that $x = 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set

$$S_k := \left\{ v \in S_X : |f(x + tv) - f(x)| \leq \eta \text{ for each } 0 < t < \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

Since S_X is clearly covered by all sets S_k , by the Baire theorem (in S_X) we can choose $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that S_p is a second category set (in S_X). Since the sequence (S_k) is increasing, we can suppose that $B(0, 1/p) \subset G$. So Lemma 2.2 implies that we can find $u \in S_X$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $S_p \cap V$ is of the second category in S_X whenever $\emptyset \neq V \subset S_X \cap B(u, \delta)$ is an open subset in S_X . Set

$$U := C(0, u, \delta) \cap B\left(0, \frac{1}{p}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad M := \{y \in U : |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \eta\}.$$

Then (3.1) is equivalent to the equality $M = U$.

We will first prove that M is residual in U . To this end consider the product metric space

$$U^* := (S_X \cap B(u, \delta)) \times \left(0, \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

and the mapping

$$\varphi: U^* \rightarrow U, \quad \varphi((v, t)) := tv.$$

Then φ is clearly a homeomorphism (with $\varphi^{-1}(z) = (z/\|z\|, \|z\|)$ for $z \in U$). Since f has the Baire property, we obtain that M has the Baire property in G (and consequently also in U). Therefore $M^* := \varphi^{-1}(M)$ has the Baire property in U^* . Consequently (cf. e.g. [3, § 11, IV, Corollary 2]), to prove that M^* is residual in U^* , it is sufficient to prove that $M^* \cap (V \times W)$ is of the second category in U^* whenever $\emptyset \neq V \subset S_X \cap B(u, \delta)$ is an open subset of S_X and $\emptyset \neq W \subset (0, 1/p)$ is open. To prove this last statement, observe that the definition of S_p implies that

$$(S_p \cap V) \times W \subset M^* \cap (V \times W).$$

Further, since $S_p \cap V$ is of the second category in $S_X \cap B(u, \delta)$ and W is of the second category in $(0, 1/p)$, we obtain, see e.g. [3, § 22, V, Corollary 1b], that $M^* \cap (V \times W)$ is of the second category in U^* .

Thus we have proved that M^* is residual in U^* and consequently M is residual in U . Now consider an arbitrary $z \in U$. By Lemma 2.4 there exists a line $L \subset X$ and points $z_n \in M \cap L \cap U$ with $z_n \rightarrow z$. Since the restriction of f to $L \cap U$ is continuous, we obtain $f(z_n) \rightarrow f(z)$, and consequently $z \in M$. So $M = U$, which implies (3.1). \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a Banach space, $u \in S_X$, $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and $0 < \xi < \delta/2$. Then, for each $x, y \in X$ with $\|x - y\| < \delta\xi/4$, we have*

- (i) $z := y + (\xi/2)u \in C(x, u, \delta) \cap B(x, \delta)$ and
- (ii) $C(x, u, \delta) \cap B(x, \delta) \cap C(y, u, \delta) \cap B(y, \delta) \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF: Since

$$\|z - x\| \leq \|z - y\| + \|y - x\| \leq \frac{\xi}{2} + \frac{\delta\xi}{4} \leq \frac{\delta}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4} < \delta,$$

we have $z \in B(x, \delta)$. Since

$$\|z - x\| \geq \|z - y\| - \|y - x\| \geq \frac{\xi}{2} - \frac{\xi}{4} > 0,$$

we can apply (2.1) to $v := (\xi/2)u = z - y$ and $w := z - x \neq 0$. Because $\|w - v\| = \|y - x\| < \delta\xi/4$, the inequality (2.1) gives

$$\left\|u - \frac{w}{\|w\|}\right\| = \left\|\frac{v}{\|v\|} - \frac{w}{\|w\|}\right\| < \frac{2}{\xi/2} \frac{\delta\xi}{4} = \delta.$$

Consequently $z \in C(x, u, \delta)$ and so (i) follows.

Since $z \in C(y, u, \delta) \cap B(y, \delta)$, (i) implies (ii). \square

The following result is not labeled as a theorem, since it will be generalized to all Banach spaces.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a separable Banach space, $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$ an open set and let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property. Then f belongs to the first Baire class.*

PROOF: We can suppose $\dim X > 1$. Suppose to the contrary that f is not in the first Baire class. Then by Lemma 2.1 there exists a set $\emptyset \neq F \subset G$ closed in G and reals $\alpha < \beta$ such that both sets

$$A := \{z \in F: f(z) \leq \alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \{z \in F: f(z) \geq \beta\}$$

are dense in F . Set $\eta := (1/7)(\beta - \alpha)$. Now choose a dense sequence $(u_n)_1^\infty$ in S_X and for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ set

$$P_n := \left\{x \in F: |f(y) - f(x)| \leq \eta \text{ whenever } y \in C\left(x, u_n, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap B\left(x, \frac{1}{n}\right)\right\}.$$

Lemma 3.1 implies that $F = \bigcup_1^\infty P_n$. Indeed, for each $x \in F$ we can choose $u \in S_X$, $\delta > 0$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}$ for which (3.1) holds. Further choose $n > p$ such that $1/n < \delta/2$ and $\|u_n - u\| < \delta/2$. Then clearly

$$C\left(x, u_n, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap B\left(x, \frac{1}{n}\right) \subset C(x, u, \delta) \cap B\left(x, \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

and consequently $x \in P_n$ by (3.1).

Since F is closed in G , the Baire theorem in F holds and thus there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that P_k is not nowhere dense in F . Therefore there exist $c \in F$ and $0 < r < 1/(32k^2)$ such that P_k is dense in $B(c, r) \cap F$.

Now choose $y \in A \cap B(c, r)$ and $y^* \in B \cap B(c, r)$. Since f is linearly continuous, we can choose $0 < \xi < 1/(2k)$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad f(z) \leq \alpha + \eta \quad \text{for } z := y + \left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)u_k.$$

Further choose $x \in P_k \cap B(c, r)$ with $\|y - x\| < \xi/(4k)$. Applying Lemma 3.2 (i) with $u := u_k$ and $\delta := 1/k$ we obtain that $z \in C(x, u_k, 1/k) \cap B(x, 1/k)$, and consequently $|f(z) - f(x)| \leq \eta$ since $x \in P_k$. So (3.2) gives $f(x) \leq \alpha + 2\eta$.

Proceeding quite analogously as above (working now with y^* and B instead of y and A) we find $x^* \in P_k \cap B(c, r)$ with $f(x^*) \geq \beta - 2\eta$. Since $0 < r < 1/(32k^2)$, we have $\|x - x^*\| < 1/(16k^2)$. So we can apply Lemma 3.2 (ii) with $u := u_k$, $\delta := 1/k$, $\xi := 1/(4k)$, x and $y := x^*$ to find a point

$$b \in C\left(x, u_k, \frac{1}{k}\right) \cap B\left(x, \frac{1}{k}\right) \cap C\left(x^*, u_k, \frac{1}{k}\right) \cap B\left(x^*, \frac{1}{k}\right).$$

Since $x, x^* \in P_k$, we have $|f(b) - f(x)| \leq \eta$, $|f(b) - f(x^*)| \leq \eta$, and therefore $\beta - 3\eta \leq f(b) \leq \alpha + 3\eta$. Consequently, $\beta - \alpha \leq 6\eta$, which contradicts the choice of η . □

Since each function from $(n-1)$ th Baire class has the Baire property, Lebesgue's result (1.1) and Proposition 3.3 give the following main result of the present note which answers [1, Problem 2].

Theorem 3.4. *Each linearly continuous function on \mathbb{R}^n belongs to the first Baire class.*

Using easy “separable reduction” arguments, we obtain that the assumption of separability of X in Proposition 3.3 can be deleted.

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be an arbitrary Banach space, $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$ an open set and let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property. Then f belongs to the first Baire class.*

PROOF: Suppose to the contrary that f is not in the first Baire class. Then by Lemma 2.1 there exist a set $\emptyset \neq F \subset G$ closed in G and reals $\alpha < \beta$ such that the both sets

$$A := \{z \in F: f(z) \leq \alpha\} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \{z \in F: f(z) \geq \beta\}$$

are dense in F .

Now we will define inductively a nondecreasing sequence $(M_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of countable subsets of F . We set $M_1 := \{a\}$, where $a \in F$ is an arbitrarily chosen point. If $n > 1$ and a countable set M_{n-1} is defined, we choose for each point $\mu \in M_{n-1}$ sequences $(a_k^\mu)_{k=1}^\infty, (b_k^\mu)_{k=1}^\infty$ converging to μ with $a_k^\mu \in A$ and $b_k^\mu \in B, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we set

$$M_n := M_{n-1} \cup \bigcup_{\mu \in M_{n-1}} \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{a_k^\mu, b_k^\mu\}.$$

Setting

$$\tilde{F} := \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} M_n} \cap G,$$

we easily see that \tilde{F} is a separable subset of F which is closed in F and

$$(3.3) \quad \text{both } A \cap \tilde{F} \text{ and } B \cap \tilde{F} \text{ are dense in } \tilde{F}.$$

Denote by X_1 the closure of the linear span of \tilde{F} . Then X_1 is a closed separable subspace of X . By Lemma 2.3 there exists a residual set R in G such that the restriction $f \upharpoonright_R$ is continuous. [11, Lemma 4.6] implies that there exists a separable closed subspace X_2 of X such that $X_2 \supset X_1$ and $R \cap X_2$ is residual in X_2 . Consequently, the function $g := f \upharpoonright_{X_2 \cap G}$ has the Baire property. Since g is linearly continuous on $X_2 \cap G$, Proposition 3.3 implies that g is in the first Baire class. But this contradicts Lemma 2.1, since $X_2 \cap G$ is a strong Baire space (even a topologically complete space), \tilde{F} is closed in $X_2 \cap G$ and (3.3) holds. \square

4. Set of discontinuity points

In this short section we will show that Lemma 3.1 easily implies a result of S. G. Slobodnik from [8] (Corollary 4.3 below) and its analogues in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces. First we recall some definitions and facts.

Let X be a Banach space. We say that $A \subset X$ is a Lipschitz hypersurface if there exists a 1-dimensional linear space $F \subset X$, its topological complement E and a Lipschitz mapping $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$ such that $A = \{x + \varphi(x) : x \in E\}$.

Recall, see [10, 4C], that if X is separable, then each $M \subset X$ which can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces (note that such sets are sometimes called “sparse”, see [10]) is not only a first category set but is also Aronszajn (\equiv Gauss) null and Γ -null (in Lindenstrauss–Preiss sense).

A natural generalization of “sparse sets” to arbitrary (nonseparable) spaces are σ -cone supported sets. Their definition, see e.g. [10, Definition 4.4], works with cones defined in a slightly different way than the cones $C(x, v, \delta)$ in Preliminaries; namely with cones $A(v, c) := \bigcup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda B(v, c)$, where $\|v\| = 1$ and $0 < c < 1$. However, for such v and c , obviously $C(0, v, c) \subset A(v, c)$ and (2.1) easily implies $A(v, c/2) \subset C(0, v, c)$. Consequently, [10, Definition 4.4] can be equivalently rewritten as follows:

We say that a subset M of a Banach space X is *cone supported* if for each $x \in M$ there exist $v \in S_X$, $\delta > 0$ and $r > 0$ such that $M \cap C(x, v, \delta) \cap B(x, r) = \emptyset$. A set is called *σ -cone supported* if it is a countable union of cone supported sets.

Recall that [9, Lemma 1] easily implies that if X is separable, then

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{l} M \subset X \text{ is } \sigma\text{-cone supported if and only if} \\ \text{it can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces.} \end{array}$$

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be an arbitrary Banach space, $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$ an open set and let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property. Then the set $D(f)$ of all discontinuity points of f is σ -cone supported.*

PROOF: Denote $D_n := \{x \in G : \text{osc}(f, x) \geq 1/n\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $D(f) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$, it is sufficient to prove that each D_n is a cone supported set. To this end fix an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider an arbitrary point $x \in D_n$. By Lemma 3.1 there exist $v \in S_X$, $\delta > 0$ and $r > 0$ such that

$$|f(y) - f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{3n} \quad \text{whenever } y \in C(x, v, \delta) \cap B(x, r).$$

Consequently the oscillation of f on the open set $C(x, v, \delta) \cap B(x, r)$ is at most $2/(3n)$ and therefore $D_n \cap C(x, v, \delta) \cap B(x, r) = \emptyset$. So we have proved that D_n is cone supported. \square

Using (4.1), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let X be a separable Banach space, $\emptyset \neq G \subset X$ an open set and let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linearly continuous function having the Baire property.*

Then the set $D(f)$ of all discontinuity points of f can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces. In particular, $D(f)$ is a first category set which is Aronszajn null and also Γ -null.

We obtain also the following result which was proved by S. G. Slobodnik in [8] by an essentially different way.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\emptyset \neq G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linearly continuous function. Then the set $D(f)$ of all discontinuity points of f can be covered by countably many Lipschitz hypersurfaces.*

PROOF: If $G = \mathbb{R}^n$, it is sufficient to use Theorem 4.1 together with (1.1). If G is an open interval we can use instead of (1.1) its generalization [3, § 31, V, Theorem 2]. Using this special case, we easily obtain the general one, if we write $G = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$, where I_n are open intervals. \square

Acknowledgement. The author thanks the anonymous referee for careful reading the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ciesielski K. C., Miller D., *A continuous tale on continuous and separately continuous functions*, Real Anal. Exchange **41** (2016), no. 1, 19–54.
- [2] Kershner R., *The continuity of functions of many variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1943), 83–100.
- [3] Kuratowski K., *Topology. Vol. I*, Academic Press, New York, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1966.
- [4] Lebesgue H., *Sur les fonctions représentable analytiquement*, J. Math. Pure Appl. (6) **1** (1905), 139–212 (French).
- [5] Lukeš J., Malý J., Zajíček L., *Fine Topology Methods in Real Analysis and Potential Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1189, Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [6] Massera J. L., Schäffer J. J., *Linear differential equations and functional analysis. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **67** (1958), 517–573.
- [7] Shkarin S. A., *Points of discontinuity of Gateaux-differentiable mappings*, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **33** (1992), no. 5, 176–185 (Russian); translation in Siberian Math. J. **33** (1992), no. 5, 905–913.
- [8] Slobodnik S. G., *Expanding system of linearly closed sets*, Mat. Zametki **19** (1976), 67–84 (Russian); translation in Math. Notes **19** (1976), 39–48.
- [9] Zajíček L., *On the points of multivaluedness of metric projections in separable Banach spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **19** (1978), no. 3, 513–523.
- [10] Zajíček L., *On σ -porous sets in abstract spaces*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2005** (2005), no. 5, 509–534.
- [11] Zajíček L., *Generic Fréchet differentiability on Asplund spaces via a.e. strict differentiability on many lines*, J. Convex Anal. **19** (2012), no. 1, 23–48.

L. Zajíček:

CHARLES UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, SOKOLOVSKÁ 83,
186 75 PRAHA 8 KARLÍN, CZECH REPUBLIC

E-mail: zajicek@karlin.mff.cuni.cz

(Received December 28, 2017, revised June 29, 2018)