

Péter Kórus

Necessary and sufficient conditions for the  $L^1$ -convergence of double Fourier series

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 68 (2018), No. 4, 987–996

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/147515>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2018

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR THE  
 $L^1$ -CONVERGENCE OF DOUBLE FOURIER SERIES

PÉTER KÓRUS, Szeged

Received February 2, 2017. Published online April 10, 2018.

*Abstract.* We extend the results of paper of F. Móricz (2010), where necessary conditions were given for the  $L^1$ -convergence of double Fourier series. We also give necessary and sufficient conditions for the  $L^1$ -convergence under appropriate assumptions.

*Keywords:* double Fourier series;  $L^1$ -convergence; logarithm bound variation double sequences

*MSC 2010:* 42B05, 42B99

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $f = f(x, y): \mathbb{T}^2 = [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be an integrable function in Lebesgue's sense, shortly  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , which has the double Fourier series of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(x, y) \sim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{jk} e^{i(jx+ky)}, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

where  $\{c_{jk}\}_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $f$ :

$$c_{jk} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} f(x, y) e^{-i(jx+ky)} dx dy, \quad (j, k) \in \mathbb{N}^2,$$

$\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . In other words, we suppose that the coefficients of at least one negative index are zeros. We use the usual notations for the rectangular sums of the double series in (1.1):

$$s_{mn}(f) = s_{mn}(f; x, y) := \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{k=0}^n c_{jk} e^{i(jx+ky)}, \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$$

and for the  $L^1$ -norm:

$$\|f\|_1 = \iint_{\mathbb{T}^2} |f(x, y)| \, dx \, dy.$$

Our goal is to give conditions for the convergence of the rectangular sums in  $L^1$ -norm in terms of the coefficients. For one-variable functions this problem is well-studied, see for example papers [1], [5]. In the two-variable case, necessary conditions were given by Móricz in [4], from which we have:

**Theorem A** ([4]). *Suppose  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and*

$$(1.2) \quad \|s_{mn} - f\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty \text{ independently of one another.}$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=[m/2]}^{2m} \sum_{k=[n/2]}^{2n} \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(|j-m|+1)(|k-n|+1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\ln m \ln n}{mn} \sum_{j=[m/2]}^{2m} \sum_{k=[n/2]}^{2n} |c_{jk}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m, n \rightarrow \infty.$$

To give sufficient conditions for the convergence in  $L^1$ -norm we need the following notations for the variations of the coefficients,  $j, k \geq 0$ :

$$\Delta_{10}c_{jk} := c_{jk} - c_{j+1,k},$$

$$\Delta_{01}c_{jk} := c_{jk} - c_{j,k+1},$$

$$\Delta_{11}c_{jk} := \Delta_{10}(\Delta_{01}c_{jk}) = \Delta_{01}(\Delta_{10}c_{jk}) = c_{jk} - c_{j+1,k} - c_{j,k+1} + c_{j+1,k+1}.$$

**Theorem B** ([3]). *Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ , and  $\{c_{jk}\}_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{C}$  be its Fourier coefficients. If*

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{01}c_{mk}| \ln m \ln(k+2) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\Delta_{10}c_{jn}| \ln(j+2) \ln n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=m}^{[\lambda m]} |\Delta_{11}c_{jk}| \ln j \ln(k+2) = 0,$$

$$(1.6) \quad \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{[\lambda n]} |\Delta_{11}c_{jk}| \ln(j+2) \ln k = 0,$$

then (1.2) holds.

We note that the previous theorems were stated and proved in a more general context, namely, when it is not supposed that the Fourier coefficients of at least one negative index are zeros.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In the first two theorems we extend the results of Theorem A by establishing further necessary conditions for the convergence in  $L^1$ -norm defined in (1.2).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,  $f$  is in the form (1.1) and (1.2) holds. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{j=[m/2]}^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(|j-m|+1)(k+1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=[n/2]}^{2n} \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(j+1)(|k-n|+1)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that (2.1)–(2.2) hold. Then we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\ln m}{m} \sum_{j=[m/2]}^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c_{jk}|}{k+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\ln n}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=[n/2]}^{2n} \frac{|c_{jk}|}{j+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for the convergence in  $L^1$ -norm in case of coefficients of special type. We use the concept of *logarithm bound variation double sequences*, see [2]. A double sequence  $\{c_{jk}\}_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $c_{jk} \rightarrow 0$  as  $j+k \rightarrow \infty$  is said to be in logarithm bound variation double sequences for some  $N = (N_1, N_2)$  (LBVDS<sub>N</sub>), where  $N_1, N_2 > 0$  are integers, if

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_{11} \left( \frac{c_{jk}}{\ln^{N_1}(j+2) \ln^{N_2}(k+2)} \right) \right| \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \frac{c_{mn}}{\ln^{N_1}(m+2) \ln^{N_2}(n+2)}$$

for all  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,  $f$  is in the form (1.1) and  $\{c_{jk}\}_{j,k=0}^\infty \in \text{LBVDS}_N$  for some positive integer pair  $N = (N_1, N_2)$ . Then (1.2) is satisfied if and only if

$$(2.6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{mk} \ln m}{k+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{jn} \ln n}{j+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

### 3. PROOFS

First we draw a lemma which was seen in [4], Lemma 5, we just use  $c_{jk}$  in place of  $j_k$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** For all  $0 \leq m < \mu$  and  $0 \leq n < \nu$  we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=m}^{\mu} \sum_{k=n}^{\nu} c_{jk} e^{i(jx+ky)} \right\|_1 \geq \frac{1}{\pi^2} \max \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=m}^{\mu} \sum_{k=n}^{\nu} \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(j-m+1)(k-n+1)}, \\ & \sum_{j=m}^{\mu} \sum_{k=n}^{\nu} \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(\mu-j+1)(k-n+1)}, \\ & \sum_{j=m}^{\mu} \sum_{k=n}^{\nu} \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(j-m+1)(\nu-k+1)}, \\ & \sum_{j=m}^{\mu} \sum_{k=n}^{\nu} \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(\mu-j+1)(\nu-k+1)} \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

Now, we shall prove the main results.

**Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Condition (2.1) holds true since by Lemma 3.1 and the fulfillment of (1.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=[m/2]}^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(|j-m|+1)(k+1)} \\ & \leq \sum_{j=[m/2]}^m \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(m-j+1)(k+1)} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{|c_{jk}|}{(j-m)(k+1)} \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{j=[m/2]}^m \sum_{k=0}^n c_{jk} e^{i(jx+ky)} \right\|_1 + \left\| \sum_{j=m+1}^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^n c_{jk} e^{i(jx+ky)} \right\|_1 \\ & \leq \max_{[m/2]-1 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2} \|s_{\mu_2, n}(f) - s_{\mu_1, n}(f)\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m$  and  $n$  tend to infinity. Relation (2.2) follows from the observation

$$\max_{[n/2]-1 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2} \|s_{m,\nu_2}(f) - s_{m,\nu_1}(f)\|_1 \rightarrow 0, \quad m, n \rightarrow \infty$$

in a similar way as we got (2.1). □

**P r o o f** of Theorem 2.2. We state that conditions (2.3) and (2.4) can be obtained using the known fact (see [1], page 746) that for any non-negative sequence  $\{a_l\}$

$$\sum_{l=[n/2]}^{2n} \frac{a_l}{|l-n|+1} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

implies

$$\frac{\ln n}{n} \sum_{l=n}^{2n} a_l \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Indeed, defining

$$a_l := \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{|c_{lk}|}{k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad a_l := \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{|c_{jl}|}{j+1},$$

respectively, (2.1) and (2.2) imply the validity of (2.3) and (2.4). □

Before we prove Theorem 2.3, we need an inequality. A similar inequality was proved in [2], Lemma 2, although we think their proof is incomplete and we hereby give a complete one.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\{c_{jk}\}_{j,k=0}^\infty \in \text{LBVDS}_N$  for some  $N = (N_1, N_2)$ , then*

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} |\Delta_{11} c_{jk}| \ln(j+2) \ln(k+2) \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{j=[\sqrt{m_1}]}^{m_2} \sum_{k=[\sqrt{n_1}]}^{n_2} \frac{c_{jk}}{(j+1)(k+1)}$$

for any  $0 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \infty$ ,  $0 \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \infty$ .

**P r o o f.** For the sake of convenience, we will use the notation

$$\Delta \ln^{N_0} l := \ln^{N_0} (l+1) - \ln^{N_0} l.$$

With a little calculation,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{11} c_{jk} &= \ln^{N_1} (j+3) \ln^{N_2} (k+3) \Delta_{11} \left( \frac{c_{jk}}{\ln^{N_1} (j+2) \ln^{N_2} (k+2)} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\Delta_{01} c_{jk} (\Delta \ln^{N_1} (j+2))}{\ln^{N_1} (j+2)} - \frac{\Delta_{10} c_{jk} (\Delta \ln^{N_2} (k+2))}{\ln^{N_2} (k+2)} \\ &\quad - \frac{c_{jk} (\Delta \ln^{N_1} (j+2)) (\Delta \ln^{N_2} (k+2))}{\ln^{N_1} (j+2) \ln^{N_2} (k+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} |\Delta_{11} c_{jk}| \ln(j+2) \ln(k+2) \\
 & \leq \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \left| \Delta_{11} \left( \frac{c_{jk}}{\ln^{N_1}(j+2) \ln^{N_2}(k+2)} \right) \right| \ln^{N_1+1}(j+3) \ln^{N_2+1}(k+3) \\
 & \quad + C_{N_1} \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \frac{|\Delta_{01} c_{jk}| \ln(k+2)}{j+1} + C_{N_2} \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \frac{|\Delta_{10} c_{jk}| \ln(j+2)}{k+1} \\
 & \quad + C_N \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \frac{c_{jk}}{(j+1)(k+1)} =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4
 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\Delta \ln^{N_0}(l+2)}{\ln^{N_0-1}(l+2)} \leq \frac{C_{N_0}}{l+1}.$$

First, for the estimation of  $I_1$ , set

$$R_{mn} = \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_{11} \left( \frac{c_{jk}}{\ln^{N_1}(j+2) \ln^{N_2}(k+2)} \right) \right|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} (R_{jk} - R_{j+1,k} - R_{j,k+1} + R_{j+1,k+1}) \ln^{N_1+1}(j+3) \ln^{N_2+1}(k+3) \\
 &= \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2-1} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2-1} R_{j+1,k+1} (\Delta \ln^{N_1+1}(j+3)) (\Delta \ln^{N_2+1}(k+3)) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2-1} R_{j+1,n_1} (\Delta \ln^{N_1+1}(j+3)) \ln^{N_2+1}(n_1+3) \\
 & \quad + \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2-1} R_{m_1,k+1} \ln^{N_1+1}(m_1+3) (\Delta \ln^{N_2+1}(k+3)) \\
 & \quad - \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2-1} R_{j+1,n_2+1} (\Delta \ln^{N_1+1}(j+3)) \ln^{N_2+1}(n_2+3) \\
 & \quad - \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2-1} R_{m_2+1,k+1} \ln^{N_1+1}(m_2+3) (\Delta \ln^{N_2+1}(k+3)) \\
 & \quad + R_{m_1 n_1} \ln^{N_1+1}(m_1+3) \ln^{N_2+1}(n_1+3) \\
 & \quad - R_{m_2+1, n_1} \ln^{N_1+1}(m_2+3) \ln^{N_2+1}(n_1+3)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - R_{m_1, n_2+1} \ln^{N_1+1} (m_1 + 3) \ln^{N_2+1} (n_2 + 3) \\
& + R_{m_2+1, n_2+1} \ln^{N_1+1} (m_2 + 3) \ln^{N_2+1} (n_2 + 3).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5) and (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} & \left( \sum_{j=m_1+1}^{m_2} \sum_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{c_{jk}}{(j+1)(k+1)} \right. \\
& + \sum_{j=m_1+1}^{m_2} \frac{c_{jn_1}}{j+1} \ln(n_1 + 2) + \sum_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{c_{m_1k}}{k+1} \ln(m_1 + 2) \\
& + \sum_{j=m_1+1}^{m_2} \frac{c_{jn_2}}{j+1} \ln(n_2 + 2) + \sum_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{c_{m_2k}}{k+1} \ln(m_2 + 2) \\
& + c_{m_1 n_1} \ln(m_1 + 2) \ln(n_1 + 2) + c_{m_2 n_1} \ln(m_2 + 2) \ln(n_1 + 2) \\
& \left. + c_{m_1 n_2} \ln(m_1 + 2) \ln(n_2 + 2) + c_{m_2 n_2} \ln(m_2 + 2) \ln(n_2 + 2) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and since for any non-negative integer  $n$

$$\ln(n + 2) \leq C \sum_{l=\sqrt{n}}^n \frac{1}{l+1},$$

we can obtain

$$I_1 \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{j=\lceil \sqrt{m_1} \rceil}^{m_2} \sum_{k=\lceil \sqrt{n_1} \rceil}^{n_2} \frac{c_{jk}}{(j+1)(k+1)}.$$

Finally, we need estimations on  $I_2$  and  $I_3$ . For this, we use that for any  $\{c_{jk}\} \in \text{LBVDS}_N$ , we have the one-dimensional logarithm bound variation condition [6]

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} \left| \Delta \left( \frac{a_l}{\ln^{N_0} (l+2)} \right) \right| \leq C_{\{a_l\}} \frac{a_n}{\ln^{N_0} (n+2)}$$

satisfied for all the row and column subsequences of  $\{c_{jk}\}$  with the same constant  $C_{\{c_{jk}\}}$ . Indeed, by [2], Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_{10} \left( \frac{c_{jn}}{\ln^{N_1} (j+2) \ln^{N_2} (n+2)} \right) \right| & \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \frac{c_{mn}}{\ln^{N_1} (m+2) \ln^{N_2} (n+2)}, \\
\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left| \Delta_{01} \left( \frac{c_{mk}}{\ln^{N_1} (m+2) \ln^{N_2} (k+2)} \right) \right| & \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \frac{c_{mn}}{\ln^{N_1} (m+2) \ln^{N_2} (n+2)},
\end{aligned}$$

and we have (3.3) for  $a_l := c_{ln} / \ln^{N_2} (n+2)$  with  $N_0 = N_1$  and the same time for  $a_l := c_{ml} / \ln^{N_1} (m+2)$  with  $N_0 = N_2$ . Then we immediately get (3.3) for the row



and column subsequences and we can say  $\{c_{ln}\}_{l=0}^\infty \in \text{LRBVS}_{N_1}$  and  $\{c_{ml}\}_{l=0}^\infty \in \text{LRBVS}_{N_2}$ . Then, by [6], inequality (8) and Theorem 4,

$$\sum_{l=n_1}^{n_2} |\Delta a_l| \ln(l+2) \leq C_{\{a_l\}} \sum_{l=\lfloor \sqrt{n_1} \rfloor}^{n_2} \frac{a_l}{l+1}$$

is satisfied for any  $\{a_l\} \in \text{LRBVS}_{N_0}$ , therefore

$$I_2 \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{j=m_1}^{m_2} \sum_{k=\lfloor \sqrt{n_1} \rfloor}^{n_2} \frac{c_{jk}}{(j+1)(k+1)},$$

$$I_3 \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{j=\lfloor \sqrt{m_1} \rfloor}^{m_2} \sum_{k=n_1}^{n_2} \frac{c_{jk}}{(j+1)(k+1)}.$$

Altogether this means (3.1) holds.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.3. Sufficiency.** Let us assume that conditions (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied. By Theorem B, it is enough to see that the four conditions (1.3)–(1.6) hold. Since  $\{c_{jk}\} \in \text{LBVDS}_N$ , we have  $\{c_{ln}\}_{l=0}^\infty \in \text{LRBVS}_{N_1}$  and  $\{c_{ml}\}_{l=0}^\infty \in \text{LRBVS}_{N_2}$ , moreover by [6], Theorem 4, for any non-negative  $\text{LRBVS}_{N_0}$  sequence  $\{a_l\}$ ,

$$\sum_{l=0}^\infty |\Delta a_l| \ln(l+2) \leq C_{\{a_l\}} \sum_{l=0}^\infty \frac{a_l}{l+1}.$$

If we substitute  $a_l := c_{ml}$  with  $N_0 = N_2$  and  $a_l := c_{ln}$  with  $N_0 = N_1$ , we get (1.3) and (1.4):

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty |\Delta_{01} c_{mk}| \ln m \ln(k+2) \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{c_{mk} \ln m}{k+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty |\Delta_{10} c_{jn}| \ln(j+2) \ln n \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{c_{jn} \ln n}{j+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, from (3.1), we have (for any  $\lambda < m$ ) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{j=m}^{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor} |\Delta_{11} c_{jk}| \ln j \ln(k+2) &\leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \sum_{j=\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor}^{\lfloor \lambda m \rfloor} \frac{c_{jk}}{(j+1)(k+1)} \\ &\leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \max_{\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor \leq j \leq \lfloor \lambda m \rfloor} \frac{c_{jk} \ln \lfloor \lambda m \rfloor}{k+1} \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \max_{\lfloor \sqrt{m} \rfloor \leq j \leq \lfloor \lambda m \rfloor} \frac{c_{jk} \ln j}{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{[\lambda n]} |\Delta_{11} c_{jk}| \ln(j+2) \ln k \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \max_{[\sqrt{n}] \leq k \leq [\lambda n]} \frac{c_{jk} \ln k}{j+1}.$$

Hence (1.5) and (1.6) are obtained:

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=m}^{[\lambda m]} |\Delta_{11} c_{jk}| \ln j \ln(k+2) \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{mk} \ln m}{k+1} = 0,$$

$$\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 1} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=n}^{[\lambda n]} |\Delta_{11} c_{jk}| \ln(j+2) \ln k \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{jn} \ln n}{j+1} = 0.$$

*Necessity.* Let us suppose that (1.2) holds. By Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 we get (2.3)–(2.4). Moreover, we have  $\{c_{ln}\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \in \text{LRBVS}_{N_1}$  and  $\{c_{ml}\}_{l=0}^{\infty} \in \text{LRBVS}_{N_2}$ . It was proved in [6] that for any non-negative  $\{a_l\} \in \text{LRBVS}_{N_0}$ ,

$$a_n \leq C_{\{a_l\}} a_l \quad \text{for } [\sqrt{n}] \leq l \leq n,$$

consequently

$$a_n \leq \frac{C_{\{a_l\}}}{n} \sum_{l=[n/2]}^n a_l.$$

If we substitute  $a_l := c_{lk}$  and  $a_l := c_{jl}$ , then we get

$$c_{mk} \leq \frac{C_{\{c_{jk}\}}}{m} \sum_{j=[m/2]}^m c_{jk} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{jn} \leq \frac{C_{\{c_{jk}\}}}{n} \sum_{k=[n/2]}^n c_{jk}.$$

Finally we obtain (2.6) and (2.7):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{mk} \ln m}{k+1} \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \frac{\ln m}{m} \sum_{j=[m/2]}^{2m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{jk}}{k+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{jn} \ln n}{j+1} \leq C_{\{c_{jk}\}} \frac{\ln n}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=[n/2]}^{2n} \frac{c_{jk}}{j+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

□

### References

- [1] *A. S. Belov*: Remarks on the convergence (boundedness) in the mean of partial sums of a trigonometric series. *Math. Notes* 71 (2002), 739–748. (In English. Russian original.); translation from *Mat. Zametki* 71 (2002), 807–817. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *J. L. He, S. P. Zhou*: On  $L^1$ -convergence of double sine series. *Acta Math. Hung.* 143 (2014), 107–118. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *K. Kaur, S. S. Bhatia, B. Ram*:  $L^1$ -convergence of complex double Fourier series. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci., Math. Sci.* 113 (2003), 355–363. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *F. Móricz*: Necessary conditions for  $L^1$ -convergence of double Fourier series. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 363 (2010), 559–568. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *S. Tikhonov*: On  $L_1$ -convergence of Fourier series. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 347 (2008), 416–427. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *S. P. Zhou*: What condition can correctly generalize monotonicity in  $L^1$ -convergence of sine series? *Sci. Sin., Math.* 40 (2010), 801–812. (In Chinese.) [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

*Author's address*: Péter Kórus, Department of Mathematics, Juhász Gyula Faculty of Education, University of Szeged, Hattyas utca 10, H-6725 Szeged, Hungary, e-mail: korpet@jgypk.u-szeged.hu.