Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Kevin Selker

Ideal independence, free sequences, and the ultrafilter number

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 56 (2015), No. 1, 117–124

Persistent URL: `http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144193`

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* `http://dml.cz`

Ideal independence, free sequences, and the ultrafilter number

Kevin Selker

Abstract. We make use of a forcing technique for extending Boolean algebras. The same type of forcing was employed in Baumgartner J.E., Komjáth P., *Boolean algebras in which every chain and antichain is countable*, Fund. Math. **111** (1981), 125–133, Koszmider P., *Forcing minimal extensions of Boolean algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 8, 3073–3117, and elsewhere. Using and modifying a lemma of Koszmider, and using CH, we obtain an atomless BA, A such that $\mathfrak{f}(A) = \mathrm{s_{mm}}(A) < \mathfrak{u}(A)$, answering questions raised by Monk J.D., *Maximal irredundance and maximal ideal independence in Boolean algebras*, J. Symbolic Logic **73** (2008), no. 1, 261–275, and Monk J.D., *Maximal free sequences in a Boolean algebra*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **52** (2011), no. 4, 593–610.

Keywords: free sequences; Boolean algebras; cardinal functions; ultrafilter number

Classification: 06E05, 54A25

This paper is concerned with some "small" cardinal functions defined on Boolean algebras. To describe the results we need the following definition. For notation concerning Boolean algebras, we follow [KMB89].

Definition 1.1.
1. A subset Y of a BA is ideal-independent if $\forall y \in Y$, $y \notin \langle Y \setminus \{y\}\rangle^{\mathrm{id}}$.
2. We define $\mathrm{s_{mm}}(\mathrm{A})$ to be the minimal size of an ideal-independent family of A that is maximal with respect to inclusion.
3. A free sequence in a BA is a sequence $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \gamma\}$ such that whenever F and G are finite subsets of γ such that $\forall i \in F\ \forall j \in G[i < j]$, then

$$\left(\prod_{\alpha\in F} x_\alpha\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{\beta\in G} -x_\beta\right) \neq 0.$$

Here empty products equal 1 by definition.

DOI 10.14712/1213-7243.015.110

4. We define $\mathfrak{f}(A)$ to be the minimal size of a free sequence in A that is maximal with respect to end-extension.
5. We define $\mathfrak{u}(A)$ to be the minimal size of a nonprincipal ultrafilter generating set of A.
6. If A is a Boolean algebra and u is a nonprincipal ultrafilter on A, let $P(A,u)$ be the partial order consisting of pairs (p_0,p_1) where $p_0,p_1 \in A\backslash u$, and $p_0 \cap p_1 = \emptyset$, ordered by $(p_0,p_1) \leq (q_0,q_1)$ ("(p_0,p_1) is stronger than (q_0,q_1)") iff $q_i \subseteq p_i$ for $i = 0,1$.

The main result of this paper is that under CH there is an atomless BA B such that $\omega = \mathfrak{f}(B) = \mathrm{s_{mm}(B)} < \mathfrak{u}(\mathrm{B}) = \omega_1$. Theorem 2.10 in [Mon08] asserts the existence of an atomless BA with $\mathrm{s_{mm}(B)} < \mathfrak{u}(\mathrm{B})$, but the proof is faulty. The existence of an atomless BA B with $\mathfrak{f}(B) < \mathfrak{u}(B)$ is a problem raised in [Mon11].

From now on, fix a countable, atomless subalgebra A of $\mathscr{P}(\omega)$. Fix some maximal ideal-independent $\mathcal{X} \subseteq A$. Also let $C = \langle c_i : i < \xi \rangle \subseteq A$ be a maximal free sequence such that $c_i \subseteq c_j$ for each $i > j \in \xi$. We will always use u to denote a nonprincipal ultrafilter on A.

We will now define many subsets of $P(A,u)$ and prove their density.

Definition 1.2. 1. For each $a \notin u$ put

$$K_a = \Big\{(p_0,p_1) \in P(A,u) : a \subseteq (p_0 \cup p_1), p_0 \setminus a \neq \emptyset \neq p_1 \setminus a\Big\}.$$

2. For $i \in \omega$, put $F_i = \{(p_0,p_1) \in P(A,u) : i \in p_0 \cup p_1\}$.

   For the next two definitions, we need the following. Fix some $e,f \in A$. For any $p \in P(A,u)$ we define $p^* = (e \cap p_0) \cup (f \cap p_1)$, and $a_p = \omega \setminus (p_0 \cup p_1)$.
3. We define $D_{e,f}$ as follows.
   $p \in D_{e,f}$ iff one of the following conditions holds:
   (a) $p_0 \cup p_1 \supseteq e \triangle f$,
   (b) $\exists n \in \omega\, \exists x_0,\ldots,x_n \in \mathcal{X}\, [x_0 \subseteq p^* \cup x_1 \cup \ldots \cup x_n]$,
   (c) $\exists n \in \omega\, \exists x_0,\ldots,x_n \in \mathcal{X}\, [p^* \cup a_p \subseteq x_0 \cup \ldots \cup x_n]$.
4. We define $E_{e,f}$ as follows.
   $p \in E_{e,f}$ iff one of the following conditions holds:
   (a) $p_0 \cup p_1 \supseteq e \triangle f$,
   (b) $\exists i < j \in \xi\, [p^* \supseteq c_i \setminus c_j]$,
   (c) $\exists i \in \xi\, [p^* \cup a_p \subseteq \omega \setminus c_i]$,
   (d) $\omega \setminus c_0 \subseteq p^*$.

Lemma 1.1. *The subsets of $P(A,u)$ defined above are dense.*

Proof: 1. (K_a is dense.) If $p = (p_0,p_1) \in P(A,u)$, then we have that $b := p_0 \cup p_1 \cup a \notin u$. Because A is atomless, there are disjoint $x_0,x_1 \subseteq \omega \setminus b$ such that each $x_i \notin u$. Define $q_0 = p_0 \cup x_0$ and $q_1 = p_1 \cup x_1 \cup (a \setminus p_0)$. We have $q_0 \setminus a \neq 0$ since $x_0 \subseteq \omega \setminus a$, hence $x_0 = x_0 \setminus a \subseteq q_0 \setminus a$. Similarly $q_1 \setminus a \neq 0$. So (q_0,q_1) is an extension of p in K_a.

2. (F_i is dense.) Since u is nonprincipal, $\{i\}$ is not a member of u for any $i \in \omega$. Thus if $p = (p_0, p_1) \notin F_i$ then $(p_0 \cup \{i\}, p_1)$ is an extension of p that is a member of F_i.

3. ($D_{e,f}$ is dense.) First note the following observation:

($\otimes$) If $p \in P(A, u)$ and $x \notin u$, then there is a $q \leq p$ such that $x \subseteq q_0 \cup q_1$.

In fact, ($\otimes$) follows from the fact that K_x is dense. Now, to show density, let $p \in P(A, u)$. Recall that for any $p \in P(A, u)$ we define $p^* = (e \cap p_0) \cup (f \cap p_1)$, and $a_p = \omega \setminus (p_0 \cup p_1)$. We also define $e_p = a_p \cap e$, and $f_p = a_p \cap f$. One of the following holds:

(i) $e_p \cap f_p \in u$,
(ii) $\omega \setminus (e_p \cup f_p) \in u$,
(iii) $e_p \setminus f_p \in u$,
(iv) $f_p \setminus e_p \in u$.

Note that $e_p \setminus f_p = a_p \cap (e \setminus f)$, $f_p \setminus e_p = a_p \cap (f \setminus e)$, and $e_p \triangle f_p = a_p \cap (e \triangle f)$. If (i) or (ii) is the case, then $e_p \triangle f_p \notin u$, so also $e \triangle f \notin u$ (as $p_0 \cup p_1 \notin u$). By ($\otimes$) there is $q \leq p$ such that $q_0 \cup q_1 \supseteq e \triangle f$, so that (a) of the definition of $D_{e,f}$ is satisfied.

Next, suppose that (iii) is the case. Then also $e \setminus f \in u$; by ($\otimes$) there is $q \leq p$ such that $-(e \setminus f) \subseteq q_0 \cup q_1$, so that $a_q \subseteq e \setminus f$. Now by maximality of $\mathcal{X}$ in A we have that for some $n \in \omega$ and some $x_0, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}$,

(v) $x_0 \subseteq q^* \cup x_1 \cup \ldots \cup x_n$, or
(vi) $q^* \subseteq x_0 \cup \ldots \cup x_n$.

If (v) is the case, then condition (b) in the definition of $D_{e,f}$ is satisfied. So suppose that (vi) is the case. Again, by maximality of $\mathcal{X}$ in A, there is an $m \in \omega$ and some $y_0, \ldots, y_m \in \mathcal{X}$ such that either:

(vii) $a_q \subseteq y_0 \cup \cdots \cup y_m$, or
(viii) $y_0 \subseteq y_1 \cup \ldots \cup y_m \cup a_q$.

If (vii) holds then $q^* \cup a_q \subseteq x_0 \cup \ldots \cup x_n \cup y_0 \cup \ldots \cup y_m$, so condition (c) of the definition of $D_{e,f}$ is satisfied. Suppose then that (viii) holds.

- Case 1. $a_q \cap y_0 \in u$. Then $a_q \setminus y_0 \notin u$. Let $r_0 = q_0$ and $r_1 = q_1 \cup (a_q \setminus y_0)$. We claim that $r^* \cup a_r \subseteq y_0 \cup x_0 \cup \ldots \cup x_n$, so r satisfies (c) in the definition of $D_{e,f}$. In fact, $a_r = a_q \cap y_0 \subseteq y_0$. Now recall $r^* = (e \cap r_0) \cup (f \cap r_1)$. Note that $r_0 \setminus q_0 = \emptyset$ and $r_1 \setminus q_1 \subseteq a_q$. In particular, since $a_q \subseteq e \setminus f$, $f \cap r_1 = f \cap q_1$. Hence $r^* = q^*$, and by (vi) $q^* \subseteq x_0 \cup \ldots \cup x_n$. So r satisfies condition (c) of $D_{e,f}$.

- Case 2. $a_q \cap y_0 \notin u$. Then let $r_0 = q_0 \cup (a_q \cap y_0)$ and let $r_1 = q_1$. Now using (viii) we have that $y_0 \subseteq y_1 \cup \ldots \cup y_m \cup (a_q \cap y_0)$. Also $a_q \cap y_0 \subseteq a_q \subseteq e$, so $a_q \cap y_0 \subseteq r^*$. Thus we have $y_0 \subseteq y_1 \cup \ldots \cup y_m \cup r^*$. So condition (b) in the definition of $D_{e,f}$ is satisfied.

The case when $f_p \setminus e_p \in u$ is treated similarly. Thus we have proved that the sets $D_{e,f}$ are indeed dense.

4. ($E_{e,f}$ is dense.) We will use the following fact several times:

$$(*) \qquad \forall a \in A \Big(\exists i \in \xi \left[a \subseteq (\omega \setminus c_i)\right] \text{ or } \exists i < j \in \xi \left[(c_i \setminus c_j) \subseteq a\right] \text{ or } \omega \setminus c_0 \subseteq a\Big)$$

To see this, suppose that $a \in A$. Clearly the desired conclusion holds if $a = \emptyset$ or $a = \omega$; so suppose that $a \neq \emptyset, \omega$. By maximality of C we have that either

(A) $\exists F \in [\xi]^{<\omega}$ such that $(\bigcap_{i\in F} c_i) \cap a = \emptyset$, or
(B) $\exists F, G \in [\xi]^{<\omega}$, with $\forall i \in F \forall j \in G[i < j]$ such that $(\bigcap_{i\in F} c_i) \cap (\bigcap_{j\in G} \omega \setminus c_j) \cap (\omega \setminus a) = \emptyset$.

If (A) holds then $F \neq \emptyset$ since $a \neq \emptyset$ and then $c_{\max F} \cap a = \emptyset$ so that $a \subseteq (\omega \setminus c_{\max F})$, hence the first part of $(*)$ holds.

If (B) holds then $F \neq \emptyset$ or $G \neq \emptyset$ since $a \neq \omega$. If $F \neq \emptyset \neq G$ then $(c_{\max F} \setminus c_{\min G}) \subseteq a$, giving the second condition of $(*)$. If $F \neq \emptyset = G$ then $c_{\max F} \subseteq a$, giving the second condition of $(*)$ again. Finally if $F = \emptyset \neq G$ then $(\omega \setminus c_{\min G}) \subseteq a$, giving the second or third condition of $(*)$.

Now we will prove that $E_{e,f}$ is dense. Let $p \in P(A, u)$. Recall that for any $p \in P(A, u)$ we define $p^* = (e \cap p_0) \cup (f \cap p_1)$, $a_p = \omega \setminus (p_0 \cup p_1)$, $e_p = a_p \cap e$, and $f_p = a_p \cap f$. One of the following holds:

(i) $e_p \cap f_p \in u$,
(ii) $\omega \setminus (e_p \cup f_p) \in u$,
(iii) $e_p \setminus f_p \in u$,
(iv) $f_p \setminus e_p \in u$.

If (i) or (ii) is the case, then $e_p \triangle f_p \notin u$, so also $e \triangle f \notin u$ (as $p_0 \cup p_1 \notin u$). Thus we can extend p to a condition q such that $q_0 \cup q_1 \supseteq e \triangle f$, so that (a) of the definition of $E_{e,f}$ is satisfied.

Next, suppose that (iii) is the case. Then also $e \setminus f \in u$, so we can first extend p to some condition q so that $a_q \subseteq e \setminus f$. Now $q^* \in A$, so, by $(*)$, either

(v) $\exists i < \xi\, [q^* \subseteq \omega \setminus c_i]$, or
(vi) $\exists i < j \in \xi\, [q^* \supseteq c_i \setminus c_j]$, or
(vii) $\omega \setminus c_0 \subseteq q^*$.

If (vi) holds then q is in $E_{e,f}$ by virtue of condition (b). If (vii), then q is in $E_{e,f}$ by virtue of (d). So we assume now that (v) is the case, and fix $i \in \xi$ as guaranteed by (v). Now also $a_q \in A$, so either

(viii) $\exists j < \xi\, [a_q \subseteq \omega \setminus c_j]$, or
(ix) $\exists j < k \in \xi\, [a_q \supseteq c_j \setminus c_k]$, or
(x) $\omega \setminus c_0 \subseteq a_q$.

First suppose that (viii) holds. Then $a_q \cup q^* \subseteq (\omega \setminus c_i) \cup (\omega \setminus c_j) = \omega \setminus (c_i \cap c_j) = \omega \setminus c_{\max\{i,j\}}$, so $q \in E_{e,f}$ by virtue of condition (c). Next assume that (ix) holds and fix $j < k \in \xi$ as in that case. We consider two cases.

- Case 1. $(c_j \setminus c_k) \in u$. Then extend q to a condition r such that $r_0 = q_0$, and $r_1 = q_1 \cup (-q_0 \cap -(c_j \setminus c_k))$. Then $-(c_j \setminus c_k) \subseteq r_0 \cup r_1$, so $a_r \subseteq c_j \setminus c_k$. Note that $r_1 \setminus q_1 \subseteq a_q \subseteq e \setminus f$, so $(r_1 \setminus q_1) \cap f = 0$. Then $r^* = (r_0 \cap e) \cup (r_1 \cap f) = (q_0 \cap e) \cup (r_1 \cap f)$, and $(r_1 \setminus q_1) \cap f = \emptyset$, so in fact $r^* = q^*$. Recall that $q^* \subseteq (\omega \setminus c_i)$ so $r^* \cup a_r \subseteq (\omega \setminus c_{\max\{i,k\}})$. Thus condition (c) holds for r.

- Case 2. $(c_j \setminus c_k) \notin u$. Then we extend q to a condition r so that $r_0 = q_0 \cup (c_j \setminus c_k)$ and $r_1 = q_1$. Recall that $(c_j \setminus c_k) \subseteq a_q \subseteq e$, so $r^* \supseteq (r_0 \cap e) \supseteq (c_j \setminus c_k) \cap e = c_j \setminus c_k$. Thus r satisfies condition (b) in the definition of $E_{e,f}$.

Finally suppose that (x) is the case. Again, we consider two cases.

- Case 1. $a_q \cap c_0 \notin u$. Then we extend q to a condition r where $r_0 = q_0$ and $r_1 = q_1 \cup (a_q \cap c_0)$. Then $a_r \subseteq (\omega \setminus c_0)$. Also $r^* = q^*$ by the same argument as in Case 1 above. So $a_r \cup r^* \subseteq (\omega \setminus c_i)$, and r satisfies condition (c) of the definition of $E_{e,f}$.

- Case 2. $a_q \cap c_0 \in u$. Then we extend q to a condition r by setting $r_0 = q_0 \cup (a_q \setminus c_0)$ and $r_1 = q_1$. Then $r^* \supseteq r_0 \cap e \supseteq \omega \setminus c_0$, so condition (d) in the definition of $E_{e,f}$ holds.

Thus the sets $E_{e,f}$ are dense. □

We will denote by G a filter in $P(A,u)$ that intersects all the sets mentioned above (for the fixed $\mathcal{X}$ and C, but for all parameters e, f, a, and i). Such a G exists as we have only specified countably many dense sets. Given such a G we define a subset g of ω by

$$g = \bigcup_{(p_0,p_1)\in G} p_0.$$

For brevity in what follows, we may not mention the dense sets or G, but will simply say that a g as above is *generic for $P(A,u)$*. In the following lemmas we prove the crucial facts about extending A by a generic g.

Lemma 1.2. *If g is generic for $P(A,u)$, then $g \notin A$, u does not generate an ultrafilter in $\langle A \cup \{g\}\rangle$, and $\langle A \cup \{g\}\rangle$ is still atomless.*

Proof: First, suppose for a contradiction that $g \in A$. Then either $g \in u$ or $-g \in u$. If $-g \in u$ then $K_g \cap G \neq \emptyset$, so choose $p = (p_0,p_1) \in K_g \cap G$. By definition of g we have $p_0 \subseteq g$. But $p \in K_g$, so also $p_0 \setminus g \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. We reach a contradiction similarly if $g \in u$. In fact, the same argument works since if $p \in K_{-g} \cap G$ then $p_1 \subseteq -g$. For, if $q \in G$, choose $r \in G$ with $r \leq p, q$. Then $q_0 \cap p_1 \subseteq r_0 \cap r_1 = \emptyset$. So $p_1 \cap q_0 = \emptyset$. Hence $p_1 \cap g = \emptyset$.

Next, suppose that u were to generate an ultrafilter in $\langle A \cup \{g\}\rangle$. So there is an $a \in A \setminus u$ such that either $g \leq a$ or $-g \leq a$. If $g \leq a$ then consider $(p_0,p_1) \in G \cap K_a$. We claim that $g = g \cap a = p_0 \cap a \in A$, a contradiction. In fact, clearly $g \cap a \supseteq p_0 \cap a$. For the other inclusion, consider an arbitrary $q \in G$ and let $r \in G$ be such that $r \leq q, p$. Then since $p \in K_a$, we get $q_0 \cap a \subseteq r_0 \cap (p_0 \cup p_1) \cap a \subseteq p_0$, since $r_0 \cap r_1 = 0$ and $p_1 \subseteq r_1$. Thus $g \cap a \subseteq p_0 \cap a$. To carry out a symmetrical argument in case $-g \leq a$ we just need to see that $-g = \bigcup_{(p_0,p_1)\in G} p_1$. For ($\subseteq$), suppose that $i \in -g$. Let $p \in G \cap F_i$. So $i \in p_0 \cup p_1$. We must have $i \notin p_0$ or else $i \in g$, so $i \in p_1$. For the opposite inclusion, suppose that $p \in G$ and $i \in p_1$. Letting $q \in G$ be arbitrary, it suffices to show that $i \notin q_0$. Find $r \in G$ such that $r \leq p, q$. Then $r_0 \cap r_1 = \emptyset$ implies that $r_0 \cap p_1 = \emptyset$, so $i \notin r_0$. Now, because $r_0 \supseteq q_0$, we see that also $i \notin q_0$.

Next, we will check that $\langle A \cup \{g\}\rangle$ is atomless (since A is). Suppose for a contradiction that $g \cap a$ is an atom for some $a \in A$. If $a \notin u$ then $g \cap a = p_0 \cap a$ for $(p_0, p_1) \in K_a \cap G$ (as proved and used above). As $p_0 \cap a \in A$ this contradicts the fact that A is atomless. So $a \in u$. Now, consider $p := (p_0, p_1) \in K_{-a} \cap G$. We have that $p_0 \setminus (-a) = p_0 \cap a$ is not empty. Also $p_0 \cap a \notin u$. So there is a $q \in K_{a\cap p_0} \cap G$. Then as above we have $q_0 \cap (a \cap p_0) = g \cap (a \cap p_0)$. Note that $g \cap p_0 = p_0$, so the set on the right hand side is equal to $p_0 \cap a$, hence is nonempty, and is in fact equal to the atom $g \cap a$. But the set on the left hand side is in A, a contradiction. If $-g \cap a$ were assumed to be the atom, a symmetric argument yields a contradiction. □

Lemma 1.3. *Assume that $G \subseteq P(A,u)$ is as above. Let $e, f \in A$ and suppose that for some $p \in G$ we have $e \triangle f \subseteq p_0 \cup p_1$. Then the set $b := (g \cap e) \cup (f \setminus g)$ is a member of A.*

Proof: We observe that whenever $p = (p_0, p_1) \in G$ we have $p_0 \subseteq g$ and $p_1 \subseteq \omega \setminus g$. So for $p = (p_0, p_1) \in G$, and $d \in A$ satisfying $d \subseteq p_0 \cup p_1$ we have $d \cap g = d \cap p_0$ and $d \cap (\omega \setminus g) = d \cap p_1$. Applying this observation twice with $d = (e \setminus f)$ and $d = (f \setminus e)$ together with trivial $(g \cap e) \cup ((\omega \setminus g) \cap f) \supseteq e \cap f$ we get that

$$b = (g \cap e) \cup (f \setminus g) = (e \cap f) \cup [p_0 \cap (e \setminus f)] \cup [p_1 \cap (f \setminus e)],$$

so $b \in A$. □

Next, we prove a version of Proposition 3.6 from [Kos99].

Lemma 1.4. *With the above notation, $\mathcal{X}$ is still maximal ideal-independent in the algebra $\langle A \cup \{g\}\rangle$.*

Proof: Suppose that $b \in \langle A \cup \{g\}\rangle$, we will show that $\mathcal{X} \cup \{b\}$ is not ideal-independent. Write $b = (e \cap g) \cup (f \cap (-g))$ for some $e, f \in A$. Now let $p \in D_{e,f}$ be such that $p \in G$. Note that $p_0 \subseteq g$. Also $p_1 \subseteq (-g)$. Suppose that $q \in G$. We want to show that $p_1 \cap q_0 = 0$. Choose $r \in G$ such that $r \leq p, q$. Then $p_1 \cap q_0 \subseteq r_1 \cap r_0 = 0$. So $p^* \subseteq b$. We consider cases according to the definition of $D_{e,f}$.

- Case 1. $p_0 \cup p_1 \supseteq e \triangle f$. Then Lemma 1.3 gives that $b \in A$, so $\mathcal{X} \cup \{b\}$ is not ideal-independent by maximality of $\mathcal{X}$ in A.

- Case 2. $\exists n \in \omega\, \exists x_0, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}\, [x_0 \subseteq p^* \cup x_1 \cup \ldots \cup x_n]$. Then $x_0 \subseteq b \cup x_1 \cup \ldots \cup x_n$.

- Case 3. $\exists n \in \omega\, \exists x_0, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}\, [p^* \cup a_p \subseteq x_0 \cup \ldots \cup x_n]$. Clearly $b \cap (p_0 \cup p_1) = p^*$, so $b \subseteq p^* \cup a_p$. So also $b \subseteq x_0 \cup \ldots \cup x_n$. □

Lemma 1.5. *With the above notation, C remains maximal in $\langle A \cup \{g\}\rangle$.*

Proof: Letting $b \in \langle A \cup \{g\}\rangle$ we can write $b = (g \cap e) \cup (f \setminus g)$ for some $e, f \in A$. Let $p \in G \cap E_{e,f}$; we will show that $C^\frown\{b\}$ is no longer free, considering cases according to the definition of $E_{e,f}$.

- Case 1. $p_0 \cup p_1 \supseteq e \triangle f$. By Lemma 1.3, in this case $b \in A$. So b does not extend C by maximality in A.
- Case 2. $\exists i < j \in \xi\, [p^* \supseteq c_i \setminus c_j]$. We have that $p^* \subseteq b$, so also $c_i \setminus c_j \subseteq b$. Then $(c_i) \cap (\omega \setminus c_j) \cap (\omega \setminus b) = \emptyset$, so b does not extend C.
- Case 3. $\exists i \in \xi\, [p^* \cup a_p \subseteq \omega \setminus c_i]$. Clearly $b \cap (p_0 \cup p_1) = p^*$, so $b \subseteq p^* \cup a_p$. So $b \subseteq \omega \setminus c_i$. Thus $c_i \cap b = \emptyset$, and again b does not extend C.
- Case 4. $\omega \setminus c_0 \subseteq p^*$. Since $p^* \subseteq b$, also $\omega \setminus c_0 \subseteq b$ so $(\omega \setminus c_0) \cap (\omega \setminus b) = \emptyset$. □

Theorem 1.6 (CH)**.** *Assuming CH there is an atomless Boolean algebra B such that* $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{mm}}(\mathrm{B}) = \mathfrak{f}(\mathrm{B}) = \omega < \omega_1 = \mathfrak{u}(\mathrm{B})$.

Proof: Let $A_0 = A$, and let $C, \mathcal{X} \subseteq A_0$ be as above. Let $\langle \ell_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\rangle$ enumerate the limit ordinals below ω_1. Partition ω_1 into the sets $\{M_i : i \in \omega_1\}$, with each part of size ω_1. For each $i \in \omega_1$ let $\langle k^i_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\rangle$ enumerate $M_i \setminus (\ell_i + 1)$. Now we construct a sequence $\langle A_\alpha : \alpha < \omega_1\rangle$ of countable atomless subalgebras of $\mathscr{P}(\omega)$ as follows. We have already defined A_0. For any limit ordinal $\alpha = \ell_i$ let $A_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta<\alpha} A_\beta$ and let $\langle u^i_\beta : \beta < \omega_1\rangle$ enumerate all the nonprincipal ultrafilters on A_α. Now suppose α is the successor ordinal $\gamma + 1$. If $\gamma = k^i_\beta$, we proceed as follows. Note that $\ell_i < k^i_\beta$ and so $u^i_\beta \subseteq A_\gamma$. Let $\overline{u^i_\beta}$ denote the filter on A_γ generated by u^i_β. If $\overline{u^i_\beta}$ is not an ultrafilter or if γ is not in any of the sets $M_i \setminus (\ell_i + 1)$ let $A_\alpha = A_\gamma$. If $\overline{u^i_\beta}$ is an ultrafilter then we let x_γ be generic for $P(A_\gamma, \overline{u^i_\beta})$. Define $A_\alpha = \langle A_\gamma \cup \{x_\gamma\}\rangle$. Note that A_α is atomless and $\overline{u^i_\beta}$ does not generate an ultrafilter on A_α.

Now define $B = \bigcup_{\alpha<\omega_1} A_\alpha$. B is atomless as it is a union of atomless algebras. Suppose that some countable $X \subseteq B$ generates an ultrafilter on B. Then pick a limit ordinal $\alpha = \ell_i < \omega_1$ such that $X \subseteq A_\alpha$. So X generates an ultrafilter of A_α; say it generates u^i_β. Let $\gamma = k^i_\beta$. Then by construction, X does not generate an ultrafilter on $A_{\gamma+1}$, contradiction. Therefore $|B| = \omega_1 = \mathfrak{u}(B)$.

Finally, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{mm}}(\mathrm{B}) = \omega$ and $\mathfrak{f}(B) = \omega$ by Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5, respectively. □

References

[BK81] Baumgartner J.E., Komjáth P., *Boolean algebras in which every chain and antichain is countable*, Fund. Math. **111** (1981), 125–133.

[KMB89] Koppelberg S., Monk J.D., Bonnet R., *Handbook of Boolean Algebras*, vol. 1989, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1989.

[Kos99] Koszmider P., *Forcing minimal extensions of Boolean algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), no. 8, 3073–3117.

[Mon08] Monk J.D., *Maximal irredundance and maximal ideal independence in Boolean algebras*, J. Symbolic Logic **73** (2008), no. 1, 261–275.

[Mon11] Monk J.D., *Maximal free sequences in a Boolean algebra*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **52** (2011), no. 4, 593–610.

Department of Mathematics, University of Colorado at Boulder, Campus Box 395, Boulder, CO 80309-0395, USA

E-mail: Kevin.Selker@Colorado.edu

(*Received* October 2, 2013, *revised* March 3, 2014)