

Javier Gutiérrez García; Tomasz Kubiak  
Inserting measurable functions precisely

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 64 (2014), No. 3, 743–749

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144054>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2014

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

## INSERTING MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS PRECISELY

JAVIER GUTIÉRREZ GARCÍA, Bilbao, TOMASZ KUBIAK, Poznań

(Received April 24, 2013)

*Abstract.* A family of subsets of a set is called a  $\sigma$ -topology if it is closed under arbitrary countable unions and arbitrary finite intersections. A  $\sigma$ -topology is perfect if any its member (open set) is a countable union of complements of open sets. In this paper perfect  $\sigma$ -topologies are characterized in terms of inserting lower and upper measurable functions. This improves upon and extends a similar result concerning perfect topologies. Combining this characterization with a  $\sigma$ -topological version of Katětov-Tong insertion theorem yields a Michael insertion theorem for normal and perfect  $\sigma$ -topological spaces.

*Keywords:* insertion;  $\sigma$ -topology;  $\sigma$ -ring; perfectness; normality; upper measurable function; lower measurable function; measurable function

*MSC 2010:* 28A05, 28A20

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let us recall that Vedenisoff's theorem states that a topological space  $X$  is normal and perfect if and only if two disjoint closed sets  $F, K \subseteq X$  can precisely be separated by a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , meaning:  $f = 1$  only on  $F$  and  $f = 0$  only on  $K$ . Equivalently,  $\chi_F \leq f \leq \chi_{X \setminus K}$  and  $\chi_F(x) < f(x) < \chi_{X \setminus K}(x)$  if  $\chi_F(x) < \chi_{X \setminus K}(x)$ . Michael's insertion theorem of [10] arises by replacing the characteristic functions with semicontinuous functions:

**Michael insertion theorem.** *A topological space  $X$  is normal and perfect if and only if, given  $u, l: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u \leq l$ ,  $u$  is upper semicontinuous and  $l$*

---

The authors acknowledge financial support from the Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness of Spain under grant MTM2012-37894-C02-02. The first named author also acknowledges financial support from the UPV/EHU under grants GIU12/39 and UFI11/52.

is lower semicontinuous, there is a continuous  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u \leq f \leq l$  and  $u(x) < f(x) < l(x)$  whenever  $u(x) < l(x)$ .

Speaking ahistorically, a theorem which dismantles Michael's theorem from perfectness is the Katětov-Tong theorem [7], [13]:

**Katětov-Tong insertion theorem.** *A topological space  $X$  is normal if and only if, given  $u, l: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u \leq l$ ,  $u$  is upper semicontinuous and  $l$  is lower semicontinuous, there is a continuous  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u \leq f \leq l$ .*

One might choose to act the other way around: instead of avoiding perfectness one might choose to avoid normality. An attempt at doing so has recently been made in [14]: *a topological space  $X$  is perfect if and only if, given a lower semicontinuous  $l: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ , there exists an upper semicontinuous  $u: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $u \leq l$  and  $0 < u(x) < l(x)$  whenever  $u(x) < l(x)$ .* One shortcoming of this result is that there is no direct implication from it (using Katětov-Tong theorem) to Michael's theorem.

The purpose of this paper is twofold. First, we improve upon and extend the above characterization of [14]. In contrast to [14], we characterize perfectness in  $\sigma$ -topological spaces (only countable unions are allowed) and we do not assume the involved functions to be non-negative (see Theorem 3.2). Second, by combining our characterization with the insertion theorem of [8] we obtain a  $\sigma$ -topological version of Michael's insertion theorem (see Theorem 4.2). We also show how the related extension and separation theorems look like (see Theorems 3.3 and 4.3).

## 2. $\sigma$ -TOPOLOGIES INSTEAD OF TOPOLOGIES

A family  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of a set  $X$  is called a  $\sigma$ -topology [1], [2] ( $\sigma$ -ring in [3], [6]) if it is closed under countable unions and finite intersections, and  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is a  $\sigma$ -topological space. If  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $[f > t] = \{x \in X: f(x) > t\}$ , and similarly for  $[f \geq t]$ ,  $[f < t]$ , etc. Following [3] and [11], an  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called lower [upper]  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable if  $[f > t] \in \mathcal{A}$  [if  $[f < t] \in \mathcal{A}$ ] for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable if it is both lower and upper  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable. We denote by  $\text{Lm}(X)$ ,  $\text{Um}(X)$  and  $\text{M}(X)$  the collections of all lower  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable, upper  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable and  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions from a  $\sigma$ -topological space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ , respectively. Needless to say,  $f \in \text{Lm}(X)$  iff  $-f \in \text{Um}(X)$ . Also, if  $f, g \in \text{Lm}(X)$ , then  $f + g, \alpha f \in \text{Lm}(X)$  for all  $\alpha > 0$ . The characteristic function  $\chi_A$  of a subset  $A \subseteq X$  is in  $\text{Lm}(X)$  iff  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . We let  $\mathcal{A}^c = \{F: X \setminus F \in \mathcal{A}\}$ . If  $S \subseteq X$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_S = \{A \cap S: A \in \mathcal{A}\}$  is a  $\sigma$ -topology on  $S$ . For  $\mathcal{A}$  a topology on  $X$ , lower and upper  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurability, and  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurability become, respectively, lower and upper

semicontinuity, and continuity. Topological concepts of normality and perfectness extend to  $\sigma$ -topologies:  $\mathcal{A}$  is normal if, given any two disjoint members of  $\mathcal{A}^c$ , there are disjoint members of  $\mathcal{A}$  containing them. And  $\mathcal{A}$  is perfect if any its member is a countable union of members of  $\mathcal{A}^c$ .

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is understood, the  $\sigma$ -topological space  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  is referred to as the space  $X$  in which case we also speak about lower measurable, upper measurable and measurable functions.

The concept of a  $\sigma$ -topology is not merely a formal generalization. Even if any topology is a  $\sigma$ -topology, there are many important  $\sigma$ -topologies which are not topologies (see [3] and [11]; also see [8]).

We mention one important example: given a topological space  $X$  a subset  $A$  is called a cozero set if it is of the form  $[f \neq 0]$  for some continuous  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then the family  $\text{Coz } X$  of all cozero sets is a  $\sigma$ -topology which is always perfect and normal and need not be a topology (see [4], 1.14, 1.15, for details). Lower and upper  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions with respect to  $\mathcal{A} = \text{Coz } X$  have been considered in [2], [9], [12] among others.

### 3. PERFECT $\sigma$ -TOPOLOGIES

We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -topology on  $X$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an arbitrary function such that there is a non-decreasing sequence  $(F_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^c$  such that  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = [f > 0]$  and  $F_n \subseteq [f > 1/n]$  for all  $n$ . Then there is an upper  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function  $u: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $u \leq f$  and  $[f > 0] = [u > 0]$ .*

**Proof.** Define  $u: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$u = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \min \left( \frac{1}{n}, \chi_{F_n} \right).$$

Then  $[u \geq t] = \emptyset$  if  $t > 1$ , and  $[u \geq t] = X$  if  $t \leq 0$ . If  $t \in (0, 1]$ , we have

$$[u \geq t] = \bigcup_{1/n \geq t} F_n = \bigcup_{1/t \geq n} F_n = F_m$$

where  $m$  is the integer part of  $1/t$ . This shows that  $u \in \text{Um}(X)$ . We also have

$$u \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \min \left( \frac{1}{n}, 1_{[f > 1/n]} \right) \leq f$$

and  $[f > 0] = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [u \geq 1/n] = [u > 0]$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** For  $X$  a  $\sigma$ -topological space the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is perfect.
- (2) If  $u, l: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are such that  $u \leq l$ ,  $u$  is upper measurable and  $l$  is lower measurable, then there exist  $u', l': X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u \leq u' \leq l' \leq l$ ,  $u'$  is upper and  $l'$  is lower measurable, and  $u(x) < u'(x) \leq l'(x) < l(x)$  whenever  $u(x) < l(x)$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the  $\sigma$ -topology on  $X$ . If  $u \leq l$ , then  $0 \leq l - u \in \text{Lm}(X)$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is perfect and  $[l - u > 1/n] \in \mathcal{A}$ , there is an infinite matrix  $(K_{n,m})_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}}$  of members of  $\mathcal{A}^c$  with  $[l - u > 1/n] = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{n,m}$  for each  $n$ . Let

$$F_n = \bigcup_{i,j \leq n} K_{i,j}.$$

Then  $F_n \subseteq F_{n+1}$  in  $\mathcal{A}^c$ . Also,

$$\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} F_n = \bigcup_{n,m \in \mathbb{N}} K_{n,m} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [l - u > \frac{1}{n}] = [l - u > 0]$$

and

$$F_n \subseteq \bigcup_{i \leq n} [l - u > \frac{1}{i}] \subseteq [l - u > \frac{1}{n}]$$

for each  $n$ . Now, by Lemma 3.1 there is a  $k \in \text{Um}(X)$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq l - u$  and  $[l - u > 0] = [k > 0]$ . Further,  $u' = u + k/2 \in \text{Um}(X)$ ,  $u \leq u' \leq l$  and  $u(x) < u'(x) < l(x)$  whenever  $u(x) < l(x)$ . By the same argument, there is a  $v \in \text{Um}(X)$  such that  $-l \leq v \leq -u'$  and  $-l(x) < v(x) < -u'(x)$  whenever  $-l(x) < -u'(x)$ . Now,  $u'$  and  $l' = -v$  are as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $u = 0 \leq \chi_A = l$  with  $l \in \text{Lm}(X)$ . Then with  $u'$  of (2) one has

$$A = [\chi_A > 0] = [u' > 0] = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [u' \geq \frac{1}{n}],$$

a countable union of members of  $\mathcal{A}^c$ . □

The following may be regarded as counterparts of Tietze's extension theorem and Urysohn's lemma for perfect  $\sigma$ -topological spaces.

**Theorem 3.3.** For  $X$  a  $\sigma$ -topological space the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is perfect.
- (2) Precise extension: For every  $F \in \mathcal{A}^c$  each  $\mathcal{A}_F$ -measurable function  $m: F \rightarrow [0, 1]$  has an upper  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable extension  $u: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and a lower  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable extension  $l: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $0 < u(x) \leq l(x) < 1$  whenever  $x \in X \setminus F$ .
- (3) Precise separation: Given disjoint  $F, G \in \mathcal{A}^c$ , there are  $u, l: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $u \leq l$ ,  $u$  is upper and  $l$  is lower  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable,  $u = l = 1$  on  $F$ ,  $u = l = 0$  on  $G$ , and  $0 < u(x) \leq l(x) < 1$  whenever  $x \in X \setminus (F \cup G)$ .

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $F \in \mathcal{A}^c$  and let  $m: F \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be  $\mathcal{A}_F$ -measurable. Let  $u, l: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be such that  $u = m = l$  on  $F$ ,  $u = 0$  and  $l = 1$  on  $X \setminus F$ . Then  $u \leq l$  and  $u, -l \in \text{Um}(X)$ . By Theorem 3.2 there are  $u', -l' \in \text{Um}(X)$  such that  $u \leq u' \leq l' \leq l$  and  $u(x) < u'(x) \leq l'(x) < l(x)$  whenever  $u(x) < l(x)$ . Hence  $m = u \leq u' \leq l' \leq l = m$  on  $F$ , and  $0 < u'(x) \leq l'(x) < 1$  if  $x \in X \setminus F$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): Let  $F, K \in \mathcal{A}^c$  be disjoint. Let  $m: F \cup K \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be given by  $m = 1$  on  $F$  and  $m = 0$  on  $K$ . Then  $m$  is  $\mathcal{A}_{F \cup K}$ -measurable. By hypothesis, there are  $u', -l' \in \text{Um}(X)$  which extend  $m$  to the whole of  $X$  and which are such that  $0 < u'(x) \leq l'(x) < 1$  for  $x \in X \setminus (F \cup K)$ . Consequently,  $u' = l' = 1$  on  $F$ ,  $u' = l' = 0$  on  $K$ , and  $0 < u'(x) \leq l'(x) < 1$  if  $x \in X \setminus (F \cup K)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $F \in \mathcal{A}^c$  and  $K = \emptyset$ . Then there exists an  $l \in \text{Lm}(X)$  such that  $l = 1$  on  $F$  and  $l(x) \in (0, 1)$  if  $x \in X \setminus F$ . With  $A_n = [l > 1 - 1/n]$  we get  $F = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ , so that  $X$  is perfect.  $\square$

#### 4. MICHAEL'S THEOREM FOR $\sigma$ -TOPOLOGIES

We first record a  $\sigma$ -topological version of the Katětov-Tong theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** ([8], Theorem 4.4). *A  $\sigma$ -topological space  $X$  is normal if and only if, given  $u, l: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u \leq l$ ,  $u$  is upper and  $l$  is lower measurable, there is a measurable  $m: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u \leq m \leq l$ .*

Combining Theorem 4.1 with Theorem 3.2 yields a  $\sigma$ -topological version of Michael's theorem:

**Theorem 4.2.** A  $\sigma$ -topological space  $X$  is normal and perfect if and only if, given  $u, l: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u \leq l$ ,  $u$  is upper and  $l$  is lower measurable, there is a measurable  $m: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u \leq m \leq l$  and  $u(x) < m(x) < l(x)$  whenever  $u(x) < l(x)$ .

When adding normality to Theorem 3.3 we obtain the following (cf. [5]):

**Theorem 4.3.** For  $X$  a  $\sigma$ -topological space the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is normal and perfect.
- (2) Precise extension: For every  $F \in \mathcal{A}^c$  each  $\mathcal{A}_F$ -measurable function  $m: F \rightarrow [0, 1]$  has a  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable extension  $\overline{m}: X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\overline{m}(X \setminus F) \subseteq (0, 1)$ .
- (3) Precise separation: For every  $F, G \in \mathcal{A}^c$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{A}_F$ -measurable function  $m: F \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $m = 1$  on  $F$ ,  $m = 0$  on  $G$ , and  $m(X \setminus (F \cup G)) \subseteq (0, 1)$ .

**Remark.** Prof. Miklós Laczkovich has read our paper and has made an important observation: the “only if” parts of both Michael’s insertion theorem and its  $\sigma$ -topological version (Theorem 4.2) can be deduced from F. Hausdorff’s *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre*, 1914 (cf. [6], pages 267, 275, 276).

#### References

- [1] *A. D. Alexandroff*: Additive set-functions in abstract spaces. II. *Rec. Math. Moscou, Nouvelle Série* 9 (1941), 563–628.
- [2] *J. Blatter, G. L. Seever*: Interposition of semicontinuous functions by continuous functions. *Analyse Fonctionnelle et Applications (Comptes Rendus Colloq. d’Analyse, Inst. Mat., Univ. Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil, 1972)*, *Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles*, No. 1367. Hermann, Paris, 1975, pp. 27–51.
- [3] *L. Bukovský*: The Structure of the Real Line. *Instytut Matematyczny Polskiej Akademii Nauk. Monografie Matematyczne (New Series)* 71, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2011.
- [4] *L. Gillman, M. Jerison*: Rings of Continuous Functions. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* 43, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [5] *J. Gutiérrez García, T. Kubiak*: A new look at some classical theorems on continuous functions on normal spaces. *Acta Math. Hung.* 119 (2008), 333–339.
- [6] *F. Hausdorff*: Set Theory. (3rd ed.), Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1978.
- [7] *M. Katětov*: On real-valued functions in topological spaces. *Fundam. Math.* 38 (1951), 85–91; *Fundam. Math.* 40 (1953), 203–205 (Correction).
- [8] *W. Kotzé, T. Kubiak*: Insertion of a measurable function. *J. Aust. Math. Soc., Ser. A* 57 (1994), 295–304.
- [9] *E. P. Lane*: Insertion of a continuous function. *The Proceedings of the Topology Conference, Ohio Univ., Ohio, 1979, Topol. Proc.* 4 (1979), 463–478.
- [10] *E. Michael*: Continuous selections. *I. Ann. Math. (2)* 63 (1956), 361–382.
- [11] *R. Sikorski*: Real Functions, Vol. 1. *Monografie Matematyczne* 35, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warszawa, 1958. (In Polish.)
- [12] *M. H. Stone*: Boundedness properties in function-lattices. *Can. J. Math.* 1 (1949), 176–186.

- [13] *H. Tong*: Some characterizations of normal and perfectly normal spaces. *Duke Math. J.* 19 (1952), 289–292.
- [14] *P.-F. Yan, E.-G. Yang*: Semi-stratifiable spaces and the insertion of semi-continuous functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 328 (2007), 429–437.

*Authors' addresses:* Javier Gutiérrez García, Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología de la Universidad del País Vasco UPV/EHU, Apartado 644, 480 80 Bilbao, Spain, e-mail: javier.gutierrezgarcia@ehu.es; Tomasz Kubiak, Wydział Matematyki i Informatyki, Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza, ul. Umultowska 87, 61-614 Poznań, Poland, e-mail: tkubiak@amu.edu.pl.