

Tomáš Kepka; Petr Němec
Ideal-simple semirings. II.

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 53 (2012), No. 2, 17--29

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143697>

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

IDEAL-SIMPLE SEMIRINGS II

TOMÁŠ KEPKA, PETR NĚMEC

Praha

Received April 25, 2012

Left- and right-ideal simple semirings are characterized.

This note is an immediate continuation of [1]. Any prospective reader is fully referred to the first part as concerns various prerequisites, terminology, references, etc. (e.g., Lemma 1.14 from [1] is referred to as I.1.14).

1. Elementary observations (a)

Let S be a non-trivial semiring such that the multiplicative semigroup $S(\cdot)$ is a group. Then, of course, the semiring S is both left- and right-ideal-free.

1.1 Proposition. *S is infinite and the group $S(\cdot)$ is torsionfree.*

Proof. First, let $a \in S$ and $m \geq 1$ be such that $a^m = 1 (= 1_S)$. Put $b = a + a^2 + \dots + a^m$. Then $ab = b$ (see I.1.14), and hence $a = 1$. It follows that $S(\cdot)$ is torsionfree and S is infinite. \square

1.2 Proposition. *Either S is additively idempotent or S has no additively idempotent element.*

Department of Algebra, MFF UK, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic (T. Kepka)
Department of Mathematics, Czech University of Life Sciences, Kamýcká 129, 165 21 Praha 6 – Suchbát, Czech Republic (P. Němec)

Supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic, grant GAČR 201/09/0296.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y60

Key words and phrases. semiring, ideal, ideal-simple

E-mail address: keпка@karlin.mff.cuni.cz, nemec@tf.czu.cz

Proof. It is easy. □

1.3 (The dual semiring.) Put $a * b = (a^{-1} + b^{-1})^{-1}$ for all $a, b \in S$. Clearly, $a * b = b * a$, $a + b = (a^{-1} * b^{-1})^{-1}$ and $a * a = \frac{a}{2}$. Furthermore, $a * (b * c) = (a^{-1} + (b * c)^{-1})^{-1} = (a^{-1} + b^{-1} + c^{-1})^{-1} = ((a * b)^{-1} + c^{-1})^{-1} = (a * b) * c$. Consequently, $S(*)$ is a commutative semigroup. We have $(a(b * c))^{-1} = (a(b^{-1} + c^{-1})^{-1})^{-1} = (b^{-1} + c^{-1})a^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1} + c^{-1}a^{-1} = (ab)^{-1} + (ac)^{-1} = ((ab)^{-1} + (ac)^{-1})^{-1} = (ab * ac)^{-1}$ so that $a(b * c) = ab * ac$. Symmetrically, $(b * c)a = ba * ca$. We see that the algebraic structure $S(*, \cdot)$ is again a semiring – the dual of or the conjugate to $S(+, \cdot)$. The mapping $a \mapsto a^{-1}$ is an antiisomorphism of the semirings $((a + b)^{-1} = a^{-1} * b^{-1}$ and $(ab)^{-1} = b^{-1}a^{-1}$). Notice that the semiring $S(*, \cdot)$ is additively idempotent if and only if the semiring $S(+, \cdot)$ is such.

The dual (or conjugate) semiring $S(*, \cdot)$ is a parastrophe of the original semiring $S(+, \cdot)$. Of course, we also have the usual parastrophe, namely the opposite semiring $S(+, \circ)$, where $a \circ b = ba$. Notice that the mapping $a \mapsto a^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of $S(+, \circ)$ onto $S(*, \cdot)$ and conversely.

1.4 Assume that $S (= S(+, \cdot))$ is additively idempotent. Then $S(*, \cdot)$ is so and $(a^{-1} + b^{-1})(a + (a * b)) = 1 + b^{-1}a + 1 = 1 + b^{-1}a = (a^{-1} + b^{-1})a$. Thus $a + (a * b) = a$ and, symmetrically, $a * (a + b) = a$ for all $a, b \in S$. It means that the algebraic structure $S(+, *)$ is a lattice and $S(\cdot, +, *)$ is a lattice ordered group.

Conversely, let $G = G(\cdot, \vee, \wedge)$ be a lattice ordered group, i.e., $G(\cdot)$ is a group, $G(\vee, \wedge)$ is a lattice and $a(b \vee c) = ab \vee ac$, $(b \vee c)a = ba \vee ca$, $a(b \wedge c) = ab \wedge ac$, $(b \wedge c)a = ba \wedge ca$ for all $a, b, c \in G$.

We have $(a \vee (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1})(a^{-1} \vee b^{-1}) = a(a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1}$, and so $a \vee (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1} = a$. Similarly, $b \vee (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1} = b$, $(a \wedge (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1}) \cdot (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1}) = a(a^{-1} \vee b^{-1}) \wedge 1 = (1 \vee ab^{-1}) \wedge 1 = 1$, $a \wedge (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1} = (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1}$, $b \wedge (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1} = (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1}$, and hence $(a \wedge b) \wedge (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1} = (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1}$. On the other hand, $a \wedge a \wedge b = a \wedge b$, $(a \wedge b)^{-1} a \wedge 1 = 1$, $(a \wedge b)^{-1} \wedge b^{-1} = b^{-1}$. Now, $(a \wedge b)^{-1} \vee a^{-1} = (a \wedge b)^{-1} \vee ((a \wedge b)^{-1} \wedge a^{-1}) = (a \wedge b)^{-1}$ and $(a \wedge b)^{-1} \vee b^{-1} = (a \wedge b)^{-1}$. Thus $a^{-1} \vee b^{-1} \vee (a \wedge b)^{-1} = (a \wedge b)^{-1}$, $(a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})(a \wedge b) \vee 1 = 1$, $(a \wedge b) \vee (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1} = (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1}$ and, finally, $a \wedge b = (a \wedge b) \wedge ((a \wedge b) \vee (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1}) = (a \wedge b) \wedge (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1}$. Consequently, $a \wedge b = (a^{-1} \vee b^{-1})^{-1}$ and, dually, $a \vee b = (a^{-1} \wedge b^{-1})^{-1}$. Now, it is clear that the algebraic structures $G(\vee, \cdot)$ and $G(\wedge, \cdot)$ are conjugate semirings.

Finally, let $G = G(\cdot, \leq)$ be an ordered group, i.e., \leq is a reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive relation defined on G and $a \leq b$ implies $ca \leq cb$ and $ac \leq bc$. Assume that the ordered set $G(\leq)$ is a lattice and put $a \vee b = \sup(a, b)$, $a \wedge b = \inf(a, b)$, so that $G(\vee, \wedge)$ is an algebraic lattice. Now, $ca \leq c(a \vee b)$, $cb \leq c(a \vee b)$, and hence $ca \vee cb \leq c(a \vee b)$ and $c^{-1}(ca \vee cb) \leq a \vee b = c^{-1}ca \vee c^{-1}cb$. From this, $d(e \vee f) \leq de \vee df$ for all $d, e, f \in G$ and we have proved that $w(u \vee v) = wu \vee wv$ for all $u, v, w \in G$. Quite similarly, $w(u \wedge v) = wu \wedge wv$. This means that $G(\cdot, \vee, \wedge)$ is a lattice ordered group in the algebraic sense.

1.5 Proposition. $Z(S(\cdot))$ is a subsemiring of S .

Proof. It is easy. □

1.6 Lemma. S is additively idempotent if and only if $1_S + 1_S = 1_S$.

Proof. It is easy. □

1.7 Proposition. Let $1_S + 1_S \neq 1_S$ (see 1.2, 1.6) and let Q be the subgroup of $S(\cdot)$ generated by all the elements $n1_S$, $n \geq 1$. Then Q is a subsemiring of S and $Q \cong \mathbb{Q}^+$ (the parasemifield of positive rational numbers). Moreover, $Q \subseteq Z(S(\cdot))$ (see 1.5).

Proof. We have $n1_S \cdot a = (1_S + \dots + 1_S)a = a + \dots + a = na = a \cdot n1_S$ for all $a \in S$ and all positive integers n . Thus $n1_S \in Z(S(\cdot))$ and $Q \subseteq Z(S(\cdot))$ follows from 1.5.

Let n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 be positive integers. Then $(n_1 1_S)(m_1 1_S) + (n_2 1_S)(m_2 1_S)^{-1} = (n_1 1_S)(m_2 1_S)(m_1 1_S)^{-1}(m_2 1_S)^{-1} + (n_2 1_S)(m_1 1_S)(m_1 1_S)^{-1}(m_2 1_S)^{-1} = ((n_1 m_2 1_S) + (m_2 m_1 1_S))(m_1 m_2 1_S)^{-1}$ and, further, $(n_1 1_S)(m_1 1_S)^{-1} \cdot (n_2 1_S)(m_2 1_S)^{-1} = (n_1 n_2 1_S)(m_1 m_2 1_S)^{-1}$. If $n_1 m_1^{-1} = n_2 m_2^{-1}$ then $n_1 m_2 = n_2 m_1$, $n_1 m_2 1_S = n_2 m_1 1_S$ and $(n_1 1_S)(m_1 1_S)^{-1} = (n_2 1_S)(m_2 1_S)^{-1}$. Using these observations, we get a semiring homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Q}^+ \rightarrow Q$ such that $\varphi(nm^{-1}) = (n1_S)(m1_S)^{-1}$. Clearly, $\varphi(1) = 1_S$ and $\varphi(\mathbb{Q}^+) = Q$. Since the parasemifield \mathbb{Q}^+ is congruence-simple, the homomorphism φ is an isomorphism. □

2. Elementary observation (b)

In this section, let S be a left-ideal-simple semiring.

2.1 Proposition. Just one of the following four cases takes place:

- (1) $Sa = S$ for every $a \in S$;
- (2) S contains a multiplicatively absorbing element w and $Sa = S$ for every $a \in S \setminus \{w\}$;
- (3) S is a zero multiplication ring of finite prime order;
- (4) S is isomorphic to one of Z_1, Z_3, Z_4, Z_9 (see I.2.1).

Proof. Assume that (1) is not true. Then $A = \{a \in S \mid Sa \neq S\} \neq \emptyset$. If $a \in A$ then Sa is a proper left ideal, and therefore $Sa = \{w_a\}$, where w_a is right multiplicatively absorbing. The set B of right multiplicatively absorbing elements is an ideal. If $B = S$ then $uv = v$ for all $uv \in S$ and every subsemigroup of $S(+)$ is a left ideal. Then $S(+)$ has no non-trivial proper subsemigroups and we see that either $|S| = 2$ or $S(+)$ is a p -element group for some prime number p . Since $uv = v$, $v = uv = (u + u)v = uv + uv = v + v$, $S(+)$ is idempotent and $S \cong Z_9$ (see I.2.1). On the other hand, if $B \neq S$ then $B = \{w\}$, w being multiplicatively absorbing. We have $Sa = \{w\}$ for every $a \in A$ and it is easy to see that A is an ideal of S . If $|A| = 1$ then $A = \{w\}$ and (2) is true. Finally, if $|A| \geq 2$ then $A = S$, $|SS| = 1$ and I.5.3 applies. □

2.2 Proposition. *Just one of the following seven cases takes place:*

- (1) $Sa = S$ for every $a \in S$;
- (2) $0_S \in S$ is both additively neutral and multiplicatively absorbing, $T = S \setminus \{0\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $Ta = T$ for every $a \in T$;
- (3) $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $T = S \setminus \{o\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $Ta = T$ for every $a \in T$;
- (4) $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $Ta = T$ and $o \in T + a$ for every $a \in T = S \setminus \{o\}$,
- (5) S is a skew-field;
- (6) S is a zero multiplication ring of finite prime order;
- (7) S is isomorphic to one of Z_1, Z_3, Z_4, Z_9 .

Proof. Assume that neither (1) nor (6) nor (7) is true. According to 2.1, S contains a multiplicatively absorbing element w and $Sa = S$ for every $a \in T = S \setminus \{w\}$.

First, let $w = 0_S$ be additively neutral. For every $a \in T$, $Sa = S$ and the set $B_a = \{b \in S \mid ba = 0\}$ is a left ideal. Since $B_a \neq S$, we have $B_a = \{0\}$ and it follows that $TT \subseteq T$ and $Ta = T$ for every $a \in T$. If S is not a ring then (2) follows from I.3.6. On the other hand, if S is a ring then, for every $a \in T$, there is $l_a \in T$ with $l_a = a$ and, for every $b \in T$, we have $bl_a a = ba$, $(bl_a - b)a = 0$ and $bl_a = b$. It follows that $l_a = 1_S$ is the unity of the ring S ($b(l_{a_1} - l_{a_2}) = 0$ and $l_{a_1} = 1_S = l_{a_2}$). For every $c \in T$ there is $d \in T$ with $dc = 1$. Then $(cd - 1)c = cdc - c = c - c = 0$ and $cd = 1$. Thus S is a skew-field.

Next, let $w \neq 0_S$. By I.3.6, $w = o_S$ is bi-absorbing. For every $a \in T$, we have $Sa = S$ and the set $C_a = \{c \in S \mid ca = o\}$ is a left ideal. Since $C_a \neq S$, we have $C_a = \{o\}$ and it follows that $TT \subseteq T$ and $Ta = T$. If $T + T \not\subseteq T$ then the set $D = \{d \in T \mid o \in d + T\}$ is non-empty. But $D \cup \{o\}$ is an ideal of S . \square

2.3 Lemma. *Assume that $Sa = S$ for every $a \in S$ such that a is not multiplicatively absorbing (see 2.1). Define a relation ϱ on S by $(a, b) \in \varrho$ iff $xa = xb$ for every $x \in S$. Then:*

- (i) ϱ is a congruence of the semiring S .
- (ii) If $a, b, c \in S$ are such that $ab = ac$ then either $(b, c) \in \varrho$ or a is multiplicatively absorbing.
- (iii) If $a, b, c \in S$ are such that $(ab, ab) \in \varrho$ then either $(b, c) \in \varrho$ or a is multiplicatively absorbing.

Proof. It is easy. \square

2.4 Proposition. *Let S be finite. Then just one of the following eight cases takes place:*

- (1) S is additively idempotent, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $Ta = T$, $Sa = S$ and $o \in T + a$ for every $a \in T = S \setminus \{o\}$;
- (2) S is additively idempotent, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $T = S \setminus \{o\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in T$;

- (3) S is additively idempotent and $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in S$;
- (4) S is additively idempotent $0_S \in S$ is additively neutral and multiplicatively absorbing, $T = S \setminus \{0\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in T$;
- (5) S is additively constant, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing and $Ta = T$, $Sa = S$ for every $a \in T = S \setminus \{o\}$;
- (6) S is a (finite) field;
- (7) S is a zero multiplication ring of (finite) prime order;
- (8) S is isomorphic to one of Z_1, Z_3, Z_4, Z_9 (see I.2.1).

Proof. We can assume that either 2.1(1) or 2.1(2) is true. The rest of the proof is divided into four parts (use 2.2).

(i) Let 2.1(1) be true and let $\varrho \neq S \times S$, where ϱ is defined in 2.3. Then $R = S/\varrho$ is a non-trivial semiring and the multiplicative semigroup $R(\cdot)$ is left cancellative. Since $Sa = S$ for every $a \in S$, both the semigroups $S(\cdot)$ and $R(\cdot)$ are right divisible. Since S is finite, the semigroups are right quasigroups. Consequently, $R(\cdot)$ is a quasigroup, and hence a group. This contradicts 1.1.

(ii) Let 2.1(1) be true and let $\varrho = S \times S$. Then $ab = ac$ for all $a, b, c \in S$ and (3) follows from I.5.2.

(iii) Let 2.1(2) be true and let $w = 0_S$ be additively neutral and multiplicatively absorbing. If S is a ring then S is a (finite) field by 2.2. If S is not a ring then $T = S \setminus \{0\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $Ta = T$ for every $a \in T$. Now, by (i) and (ii), we have $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in T$. Thus (4) is true.

(iv) Let 2.1(2) be true and let $w = o_S$ be bi-absorbing. If $T+T \subseteq T$, where $T = S \setminus \{o\}$, then T is a subsemiring of S and $Ta = T$ for every $a \in T$ (use 2.2). Again, $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in T$ and (2) is true. Finally, assume that $T+T \not\subseteq T$. By 2.2, $Ta = T$ for every $a \in T$ and $o \in T+a$. If S is additively idempotent then (1) is true. If S is not additively idempotent then S is additively constant by I.3.10. Thus (5) is true. \square

2.5 Proposition. *Let S be left-ideal-free. Then $Sa = S$ for every $a \in S$. Moreover, if S is finite then $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in S$.*

Proof. Use 2.2 and 2.4. \square

3. Elementary observations (c)

In this section, let S be a non-trivial finite semiring containing a bi-absorbing element o_S such that $Ta = T$ and $o \in T+a$ for every $a \in T = S \setminus \{o\}$ (see 2.4).

3.1 Lemma. (i) S is left-ideal-simple.

(ii) The multiplicative semigroup $T(\cdot)$ is a right quasigroup.

(iii) $A = \{a \in T \mid a^a = a\} \neq \emptyset$.

(iv) Every element from A is right multiplicatively neutral in S .

(v) $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in A$.

(vi) For every $a \in T$ there is a uniquely determined element $\underline{l}(a) \in A$ with $\underline{l}(a)a = a$.

Proof. The semigroup $T(\cdot)$ is right divisible and it is a right quasigroup, since it is finite. Consequently, for every $a \in T$ there is a uniquely determined element $\underline{l}(a) \in T$ with $\underline{l}(a)a = a$. We have $b\underline{l}(a)a = ba$ for every $b \in T$, so that $b\underline{l}(a) = b$ and $\underline{l}(a)$ is right multiplicatively neutral in S . Of course, $A = \{a \in T \mid \underline{l}(a) = a\}$ and the rest is clear. \square

3.2 Lemma. *Let $f \in A$. Then:*

(i) $(fT)(\cdot)$ is a group.

(ii) $(fT) \cup \{o\}$ is a subsemiring of S .

(iii) $fa + fb = o$ for all $a, b \in T$ such that $fa \neq fb$.

Proof. By 3.1(iv), $fafb = fab$, $ffa = fa$ and $faf = fa$ for all $a, b \in S$. Consequently, fT is a subsemigroup of $T(\cdot)$ and f is the neutral element of fT . We have $fTfa = fTa = fT$ for every $a \in T$, and so $(fT)(\cdot)$ is a right quasigroup. Now, it is clear that, in fact, it is a group. Furthermore, $fa + fb = f(a + b) \in (fT) \cup \{o\}$ for all $a, b \in T$ and $R = (fT) \cup \{o\}$ is a subsemiring of S . The assertions (i) and (ii) are proved. If S is additively constant then (iii) is clear.

Assume that S is not additively constant. By 2.4, S is additively idempotent. Put $Q = \{a \in R \mid a \notin a + (R \setminus \{a\})\}$. Then $o \notin Q$ and $bQ \subseteq Q$ for every $b \in R \setminus \{o\}$.

Let $Q \neq \emptyset$. Then $Q = R \setminus \{o\} = fT$ and if $a, b \in R$ are such that $a + b \neq o$ then $a + b \in Q$. But $a + b = (a + b) + a$ and $a + b = (a + b) + b$. It means that $a = a + b = b$ and (iii) is true.

Finally, let $Q = \emptyset$. Choose $a_1 \in R \setminus \{o\}$. Since $a_1 \notin Q$, there is $a_2 \in R \setminus \{a_1\}$ with $a_1 = a_1 + a_2$. Clearly, $a_2 \neq o$ and there is $a_3 \in R \setminus \{a_2\}$ with $a_2 = a_2 + a_3$. Since $a_1 \neq a_2$, we have $a_3 \neq a_1$. Proceeding in this way, we find an infinite sequence of pair-wise different elements a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots , a contradiction. \square

3.3 Lemma. *Let $f \in A$. Then:*

(i) $\underline{l}(a), fa \neq \underline{l}(b), fb$ for all $a, b \in T$, $a \neq b$.

(ii) $\underline{l}(a)\underline{l}(b) = \underline{l}(a) = \underline{l}(ab)$ and $fafb = fab$ for all $a, b \in T$.

Proof. (i) If $\underline{l}(a) = \underline{l}(b)$ and $fa = fb$ then $a = \underline{l}(a)a = \underline{l}(a)fa = \underline{l}(a)fb = \underline{l}(a)b = \underline{l}(b)b = b$ (use 3.1).

(ii) $fafb = fab$ by 3.1(iv). \square

3.4 Lemma. *Assume that S is additively idempotent. The following conditions are equivalent for $a, b \in T$:*

(i) $a + b \neq o$ (i.e., $a + b \in T$).

(ii) $a + b \neq o$ and $\underline{l}(a + b) = \underline{l}(a) + \underline{l}(b)$.

(iii) $fa = fb$ for some $f \in A$.

- (iv) $fa = fb$ for every $f \in A$.
- (v) $ca = cb$ for some $c \in T$.
- (vi) $ua = ub$ for every $u \in S$.

Proof. If $ca = cb$ for some $c \in T$ then $S = Sc$ implies (vi). Consequently, the conditions (iii), ..., (vi) are equivalent. If (iv) is true then $f(a+b) = fa + fb = fa \neq o$ (S is additively idempotent), and hence $a + b \neq o$ and (i) is true. Finally, if $a + b \neq o$ then $fa + fb = f(a+b) \neq o$ and $fa = fb$ by 3.2(iii). Hence (iv) is true and $(\underline{l}(a) + \underline{l}(b)) \cdot (a+b) = \underline{l}(a)a + \underline{l}(a)b + \underline{l}(b)a + \underline{l}(b)b = a+b$. Thus $\underline{l}(a+b) = \underline{l}(a) + \underline{l}(b)$. \square

3.5 Lemma. Let $e, f \in A$ and $a \in T$. Then $\underline{l}(ea) = e$ and $fea = fa$ (i.e., $(\underline{l}(ea), fea) = (e, fa)$).

Proof. It is obvious. \square

4. Examples

4.1 Every two-element semiring is both left- and right-ideal-simple (see I.2.1).

4.2 Let $S(+)$ be semilattice (i.e., an idempotent commutative semigroup). Define a multiplication on S by $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in S$. Then $S = S(+, \cdot)$ becomes a bi-idempotent semiring. If $|S| \geq 2$ then this semiring is left-ideal-free and contains no right multiplicatively absorbing element.

4.3 Let S_1 be a semiring of type 4.2. Let $0 \notin S_1$ and put $S = S_1 \cup \{0\}$, where 0 is additively neutral and multiplicatively absorbing. Then S becomes a bi-idempotent semiring that is left-ideal-simple. If $|S_1| \geq 2$ then the semiring S is not congruence-simple.

4.4 Let S_1 be a semiring of type 4.2. Let $o \notin S_1$ and put $S = S_1 \cup \{o\}$, where o is bi-absorbing. Then S becomes a bi-idempotent semiring that is left-ideal-simple. If $|S_1| \geq 2$ then S is not congruence-simple.

4.5 Let $G = G(\cdot)$ be a group, $o \notin G$, $S = G \cup \{o\}$, $a + b = a + o = o + a = o$, $a + a = a$ and $ao = o = oa$ for all $a, b \in G$, $a \neq b$. Then S is an additively idempotent semiring, $o = o_S$ is bi-absorbing and the unity of G is multiplicatively neutral. The semiring S is both left- and right-ideal-simple. If $|G| \geq 2$ then S has no additively neutral element. If $|G| = 1$ then $S \cong Z_6$ (see I.2.1).

4.6 Let $A = A(+)$ be a semilattice, $G = G(\cdot)$ a group and $S = (A \times G) \cup \{o\}$, where $o \notin A \times G$. Define an addition and a multiplication on S by the following rules:

- (a) $x + o = o + x = xo = ox = o$ for every $x \in S$;
- (b) $(a, u) + (b, v) = o$ for all $a, b \in A$, $u, v \in G$, $u \neq v$;
- (c) $(a, u) + (b, u) = (a + b, u)$ for all $a, b \in A$, $u \in G$;
- (d) $(a, u) \cdot (b, v) = (a, uv)$ for all $a, b \in A$, $u, v \in G$.

It is moderately tedious but easy to check that S becomes an additively idempotent semiring and $o = o_S$ is bi-absorbing. Furthermore, $Sx = S$ for every $x \in T = S \setminus \{o\}$, and hence S is left-ideal-simple. We have $o \in T + y$ for every $y \in S$. For every $a \in A$, the set $(\{a\} \times G) \cup \{o\}$ is a right ideal. Consequently, S is right-ideal-simple if and only if $|A| = 1$ (see 4.4). For every $a \in A$, the element $(a, 1)$ is right multiplicatively neutral. If $|A| \geq 2$ then the semiring S has no multiplicatively neutral element. The semiring S has an additively neutral element if and only if $|G| = 1$ and $A(+)$ has such an element (then $0_S = (0_A, 1_G)$).

4.7 Let $G = G(\cdot)$ be a group, $o \notin G$, $S = G \cup \{o\}$ and $x + y = xo = ox = o$ for all $x, y \in S$. Then S is an additively constant semiring, $o = o_S$ is bi-absorbing and the unity of G is multiplicatively neutral. The semiring S is both left- and right-ideal-simple and has no additively neutral element. If $|G| = 1$ then $S \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ (see I.2.1).

4.8 Let A be a non-empty set, $o \notin A$ and $S = A \cup \{o\}$. Put $x + y = xo = ox = o$ for all $x, y \in S$ and $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in A$. Then S is an additively constant semiring, $o = o_S$ is bi-absorbing and $Sa = S$ for every $a \in A$. Consequently, S is left-ideal-simple.

4.9 Let A be a non-empty set, $G (= G(\cdot))$ a group and $S = (A \times G) \cup \{o\}$, where $o \notin A \times G$. Define an addition and a multiplication on S by the following rules:

- (a) $x + y = o = ox = xo$ for all $x, y \in S$;
- (b) $(a, u)(b, v) = (a, uv)$ for all $a, b \in A, u, v \in G$.

It is easy to check that S becomes an additively constant semiring and that $o = o_S$ is bi-absorbing. Furthermore, $Sx = S$ for every $x \in T = S \setminus \{o\}$, and hence S is left-ideal-simple. We have $o \in T + y$ for every $y \in S$. For every $a \in A$, the set $(\{a\} \times G) \cup \{o\}$ is a right ideal. Consequently, S is right-ideal-simple if and only if $|A| = 1$ (see 4.7). For every $a \in A$, the element $(a, 1)$ is right multiplicatively neutral. If $|A| \geq 2$ then the semiring S has no multiplicatively neutral element. The semiring S has no additively neutral element.

4.10 Let R be a semiring such that the multiplicative semigroup $R(\cdot)$ is a group. Let the group $R(\cdot)$ be subgroup of a group $T(\cdot)$. Let $o \notin T$ and $S = T \cup \{o\}$. Define an addition on S by $x + o = o = o + x$ for every $x \in S$, $a + b = o$ for $a, b \in T$, $a^{-1}b \notin R$ (equivalently, $b^{-1} \notin R$) and $a + b = a(1 + a^{-1}b)$ for $a, b \in T$, $a^{-1}b \in R$ (equivalently, $b^{-1}a \in R$). Setting $xo = o = ox$ for every $x \in S$, we get an algebraic structure $S = S(+, \cdot)$ with two binary operations, where $o = o_S$ is apparently bi-absorbing. $T(\cdot)$ is a subgroup of $S(\cdot)$ and $S(\cdot)$ is a monoid, $1_S = 1_T$. If $a, b \in T$ are such that $a^{-1}b \notin R$ then $b^{-1}a \notin R$ and $a + b = o = b + a$. If $a^{-1}b \in R$ then $b^{-1}a \in R$, $a^{-1}b(1 + b^{-1}a) = a^{-1}b + 1$ and $a + b = a(1 + a^{-1}b) = b(1 + b^{-1}a) = b + a$. It means that $S(+)$ is a commutative groupoid.

- (i) If $x, y, z \in S$ are such that $o \in \{x, y, z\}$ then $x + (y + z) = o = (x + y) + z$.
- (ii) Let $a, b, c \in T$ be such that $a^{-1}b \in R$ and $b^{-1}c \in R$. Then $a^{-1}c \in R$, $a + b = a(1 + a^{-1}b)$, $b + c = b(1 + b^{-1}c)$, $c^{-1}a(1 + a^{-1}b) = c^{-1}a + c^{-1}b \in R$, $a^{-1}b(1 + b^{-1}c) = a^{-1}b + a^{-1}c \in R$ and $(a + b) + c = c + (a + b) = c(1 + c^{-1}a(1 + a^{-1}b)) = c(1 + c^{-1}a + c^{-1}b) \neq o$, $a + (b + c) = a(1 + a^{-1}b(1 + b^{-1}c)) = a(1 + a^{-1}b + a^{-1}c) \neq o$.

But $a^{-1}c(1 + c^{-1}a + c^{-1}b) = a^{-1}c + 1 + a^{-1}b$ and $(a + b) + c = c(1 + c^{-1}a + c^{-1}b) = a(1 + a^{-1}b + a^{-1}c) = a + (b + c)$.

(iii) Let $a, b, c \in T$ be such that $a^{-1}b \in R$ and $b^{-1}c \notin R$. Then $a + b = a(1 + a^{-1}b)$, $c^{-1}a = c^{-1}bb^{-1}a \notin R$, $1 + a^{-1}b \in R$, $c^{-1}a(1 + a^{-1}b) \notin R$ and $(a + b) + c = c + (a + b) = o = a + o = a + (b + c)$.

(iv) Let $a, b, c \in T$ be such that $a^{-1}b \notin R$ and $b^{-1}c \in R$. Similarly as in (iii), we have $(a + b) + c = o = a + (b + c)$.

(v) Let $a, b, c \in T$ be such that $a^{-1}b \notin R$ and $b^{-1}c \notin R$. Then $(a + b) + c = o + c = o = a + o = a + (b + c)$.

(vi) Combining (i), ..., (v), we have verified that $S(+)$ is a commutative semigroup.

(vii) If $x, y, z \in S$ are such that $0 \in \{x, y, z\}$ then $x(y + z) = o = xy + xz$ and $(y + z)x = o = yx + zx$.

(viii) Let $a, b, c \in T$ be such that $b^{-1}c \in R$. Then $(ab)^{-1}(ac) = b^{-1}c \in R$ and $a(b + c) = ab(1 + b^{-1}c) = ab(1 + (an)^{-1}(ac)) = ab + ac$.

(ix) Let $a, b, c \in T$ be such that $b^{-1}c \notin R$. Then $(ab)^{-1}(ac) = b^{-1}c \notin R$ and $a(b + c) = o = ab + ac$.

(x) Combining (vii), (viii) and (ix), we have verified that the multiplication is left distributive over the addition. It means that $S = S(+, \cdot)$ is a left near-semiring.

(xi) Let $a, b, c \in T$ be such that $b^{-1}c \in R$. Then $b + c = b(1 + b^{-1}c)$ and $(b + c)a = a^{-1}b^{-1}ca$. If $a^{-1}b^{-1}ca \notin R$ then $ba + ca = o \neq (b + c)a$. If $a^{-1}b^{-1}ca \in R$ then $ba + ca = ba(1 + a^{-1}b^{-1}ca) \neq o$. In the latter case, $ba + ca = (b + c)a$ iff $a(1 + a^{-1}b^{-1}ca)a^{-1} = 1 + b^{-1}c$ or $1 + a^{-1}b^{-1}ca = a^{-1}(1 + b^{-1}c)a$.

(xii) Let $a, b, c \in T$ be such that $b^{-1}c \notin R$. Then $b + c = o$ and $(b + c)a = o$. If $a^{-1}b^{-1}ca \in R$ then $ba + ca \neq o = (b + c)a$.

(xiii) Let $a, b \in T$ and $u \in R$. then $b^{-1}bu = u$, $b + bu = b(1 + u)$, $(b + bu)a = b(1 + u)a$. If $a^{-1}ua \in R$ then $ba + bua = ba(1 + a^{-1}ua)$. Thus $(b + bu)a = ba + bua$ iff $a^{-1}(1 + u)a = 1 + a^{-1}ua$. If $a^{-1}ua \notin R$ then $ba + bua = o$.

(xiv) Combining (xi), (xii) and (xiii), we have verified that the near-semiring S is a semiring if and only if $R(\cdot)$ is a normal subgroup of $T(\cdot)$ and $a^{-1}(1 + ua) = 1 + a^{-1}ua$ for all $a \in T$ and $u \in R$. (Notice that these conditions are satisfied if $R \subseteq Z(T(\cdot))$.)

(xv) Assume that S is a semiring (see (xiv)). The unity $1_T = 1_S$ is multiplicatively neutral and $o = o_S$ is bi-absorbing. If $|T| = 1$ then $S \cong Z_6$. If $|T| \geq 2$ then S has no additively neutral element. If $|R| = 1$ then S is as in 4.5.

We have $a + a = (1 + 1)a = 2a$ for every $a \in T$. Consequently, either S is additively idempotent or o is the only additively idempotent element of S . We have also $Sa = S - aS$ for every $a \in T$. It follows that S is both left- and right-ideal-simple. Of course, $R = \{a \in T \mid 1 + a \neq o\}$. If $R \neq T$ then $o \in T + x$ for every $x \in S$.

If S is not additively idempotent then $2_S = 1_S + 1 + S \neq 1_S$ and the subgroup Q of the semiring R generated by all n_S , $n \geq 1$, is a subsemiring of R and $Q \cong \mathbb{Q}^+$ (the parasemifield of positive rational numbers). Clearly, $Q \subseteq Z(T(\cdot))$.

In particular, if $R(\cdot)$ is an infinite cyclic group then S is additively idempotent and we claim that $R \subseteq Z(T(\cdot))$.

Indeed, if $R \not\subseteq Z(T(\cdot))$ then there is $a \in T$ such that $a^{-1}ua = u^{-1}$ for every $u \in R$. Now, $(1 + u)^{-1} = a^{-1}(1 + u)a = 1 + a^{-1}ua = 1 + u^{-1}$, $1 = (1 + u)(1 + u^{-1}) = 1 + u^{-1} + u + 1 = 1 + u + u^{-1}$, $1 + u = 1$, $1 + u^{-1} = 1$ for every $u \in R$. On the other hand, $1 + u^{-1} = 1$ implies $u = u + 1$, so that $u = 1$ and $|R| = 1$, a contradiction.

5. Finite left-ideal-simple semirings

In this part, let S be a finite left-ideal-simple semiring.

5.1 Theorem. *Just one of the following eleven cases takes place:*

- (1) S is additively idempotent, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $1_S \in S$ is multiplicatively neutral, $T(\cdot)$ is a subgroup of $S(\cdot)$, where $T = S \setminus \{o_S\}$ and $a + b = o$ for all $a, b \in T$, $a \neq b$ (see 4.5);
- (2) S is additively idempotent, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, S has no multiplicatively neutral element, $T(\cdot)$ is a subgroup of $S(\cdot)$, where $T = S \setminus \{o_S\}$, $o \in a + T$ for every $a \in T$ and S is constructed in the way described in 4.6 (where $|A| \geq 2$ and $|G| \geq 2$; then $|S| \geq 5$);
- (3) S is additively idempotent, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $T = S \setminus \{o_S\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $ab = a$ for all $a \in S$, $b \in T$ (see 4.4);
- (4) S is additively idempotent and $ab = a$ for all $a, b \in S$ (see 4.2);
- (5) S is additively idempotent, $0_S \in S$ is additively neutral and multiplicatively absorbing, $T = S \setminus \{0_S\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $ab = a$ for all $a \in S$, $b \in T$ (see 4.3);
- (6) S is additively constant, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $1_S \in S$ is multiplicatively neutral and $T(\cdot)$ is a subgroup of $S(\cdot)$, where $T = S \setminus \{o_S\}$ (see 4.7);
- (7) S is additively constant, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing and $ab = a$ for all $a \in S$ and $b \in S \setminus \{o_S\}$ (see 4.8);
- (8) S is additively constant, o_S is bi-absorbing, S has no multiplicatively neutral element, $ab \neq a$ for some $a, b \in S \setminus \{o_S\}$ and S is constructed in the way described in 4.9 (where $|A| \geq 2$ and $|G| \geq 2$; then $|S| \geq 5$);
- (9) S is a (finite) field;
- (10) S is a zero multiplication ring of prime order;
- (11) $S \cong Z_1, Z_3, Z_4, Z_9$ (see I.2.1).

Proof. In view of 2.4, we will assume that either 2.4(1) or 2.4(5) is satisfied. In both cases, S contains a bi-absorbing element o and we put $T = S \setminus \{o\}$. We have $Ta = T$, $o \in T + a$ and $Sa = S$ for every $a \in T$. Now, as in 3.1, put $A = \{a \in T \mid a^2 = a\}$ and choose $f \in A$. Define a mapping $\varphi : T \rightarrow A \times G$, where $G = fT$, by $\varphi(a) = (\underline{l}(a), fa)$. By 3.3(i), the mapping φ is injective and, by 3.5, it is projective as well. Thus φ is a biunique mapping of T onto $A \times G$ and, by 3.3(ii), $\varphi(ab) = (\underline{l}(a), fab)$ for all $a, b \in T$. The rest of the proof is divided into six parts.

(i) Let S be additively idempotent and let $|A| = 1$. We have $A = \{f\}$, where $f = 1_S$

is multiplicatively neutral, and hence $T = 1T = fT = G$ and $T(\cdot)$ is a group. Now, using 3.4, we see that (1) is true.

(ii) Let S be additively idempotent and let $|G| = 1$. We have $G = fT = \{f\}$, so that $fa = f$ for every $a \in T$. Then $e = ef = efa = ea$ for all $e \in A, a \in T$, and hence $\underline{l}(a) = \underline{l}(a)a = a$. Thus $A = T, ab = a$ for all $a, b \in T$. Moreover, $a(a + b) = a^2 + ab = a + a = a$ and it follows that $a + b \in T$. Now, it is clear that (3) is true.

(iii) Let S be additively idempotent and let $|A| \geq 2$ and $|G| \geq 2$. By 3.2(i), $G(\cdot)$ is a group. If $e_1, e_2 \in A$ then $e_1e_2 = e_1, e_1(e_1 + e_2) = e_1^2 + e_1e_2 = e_1 + e_1 = e_1$, so that $e_1 + e_2 \neq o$. Of course, $(e_1 + e_2)^2 = e_1^2 + e_1e_2 + e_2e_1 + e_2^2 = e_1 + e_1 + e_2 + e_2 = e_1 + e_2$ and $e_1 + e_2 \in A$. Thus A is a subsemiring of S . By 3.4, if $a, b \in T$ then $a + b \neq o$ iff $fa = fb$; then $\underline{l}(a + b) = \underline{l}(a) + \underline{l}(b)$. By 3.1, $\underline{l}(ab) = \underline{l}(a) = \underline{l}(a)\underline{l}(b)$ and $fab = fafb$ for all $a, b \in T$. Now, it is clear that (2) is true.

(iv) Let S be additively constant and let $|A| = 1$. By 3.2(i), $T(\cdot) = G(\cdot)$ is a group and (6) is true.

(v) Let S be additively constant and let $|G| = 1$. We have $G = fT = \{f\}$ and $A = T, ab = a$ for all $a, b \in T$. Thus (7) is true.

(vi) Let S be additively constant, $|A| \geq 2$ and $|G| \geq 2$. Then (8) is true. \square

5.2 Corollary. *Assume that $0_S \in S$. Then either 5.1(3) is true (and $0_T \in T$) or 5.1(4) is true (and $0 \in S(+)$) or 5.1(5) is true or 5.1(9) is true or 5.1(10) is true or $S \cong Z_3, Z_4, Z_9$.* \square

5.3 Corollary. *Assume that $0_S \in S$ is multiplicatively absorbing. Then either 5.1(5) is true or 5.1(9) is true or 5.1(10) is true or $S \cong Z_4$.* \square

5.4 Corollary. *Assume that $1_S \in S$. Then either 5.1(1) is true or 5.1(6) is true or 5.1(9) is true or $S \cong Z_5, Z_6$.* \square

5.5 Corollary. *If $0_S \in S$ and $1_S \in S$ then either S is a field or $S \cong Z_5, Z_6$.* \square

5.6 Corollary. *If S has no multiplicatively absorbing element then either 5.1(4) is true or $S \cong Z_9$.* \square

5.7 Corollary. *If S is left-ideal-free then 5.1(4) is true.* \square

5.8 Corollary. *If S is both left- and right-ideal-simple then either 5.1(1) is true or 5.1(6) is true or 5.1(9) is true or 5.1(10) is true or 5.1(11) is true or $S \cong Z_5, Z_6, Z_{10}$.* \square

6. Left- and right-ideal-simple semirings

Let S be a left- and right-ideal-simple semiring.

6.1 Theorem. *Just one of the following nine cases takes place:*

- (1) $S(\cdot)$ is a group (then S is infinite);
- (2) $0_S \in S$ is multiplicatively absorbing, $T = S \setminus \{0_S\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $T(\cdot)$ is a group (then either $S \cong Z_5$ or S is infinite);
- (3) $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $T = S \setminus \{o_S\}$ is a subsemiring of S and $T(\cdot)$ is a group (then either $S \cong Z_6$ or S is infinite);
- (4) S is additively idempotent, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $T(\cdot)$ is a group and $o_S \in T + a$ for every $a \in T = S \setminus \{o_S\}$;
- (5) $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, o_S is the only additively idempotent element of S , $T(\cdot)$ is a group and $2a \neq o_S \in T + a$ for every $a \in T = S \setminus \{o_S\}$;
- (6) S is additively constant, $o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing and $T(\cdot)$ is a group, where $T = S \setminus \{o_S\}$ (see 4.7; then either $S \cong Z_2$ or S is infinite);
- (7) S is a skew-field;
- (8) S is a zero-multiplication ring of prime order;
- (9) $S \cong Z_1, Z_3, Z_4, Z_9, Z_{10}$.

Proof. Assume that none of (1), (2), (3), (7), (8), and (9) is true. Now, considering 2.2 and the right hand form of 2.2, we conclude that $o = o_S \in S$ is bi-absorbing, $T(\cdot)$ is a group and $o \in T + a$ for every $a \in T = S \setminus \{o\}$. If S is additively idempotent then (4) is true. If S is additively constant then (6) is true. Henceforth, assume that $a+b \neq o$ and $2c \neq c$ for some $a, b, c \in S$. Clearly, $a, b, c \in T$, $1+a^{-1}b \neq o \neq 1+b^{-1}a$, $o \neq (1+a^{-1}b)(1+b^{-1}a) = 1+1+a^{-1}b+b^{-1}a$, $1+1 \neq o$, $d+d \neq o$ and $2d = 2cc^{-1}d \neq cc^{-1}d = d$ for every $d \in T$. Thus (5) is true. \square

6.2 Theorem. *Let S satisfy 6.1(4) or (5). Then:*

- (i) The set $R = \{a \in T \mid 1+a \neq o\}$ is a subsemiring of S , the multiplicative semigroup $R(\cdot)$ is a subgroup of the group $T(\cdot)$, $|T| \geq 2$ and $T \neq R$.
- (ii) $R(\cdot)$ is a normal subgroup of $T(\cdot)$.
- (iii) $a^{-1}(1+u)a = 1+a^{-1}ua$ for all $a \in T$ and $u \in R$.
- (iv) If $a, b \in T$ then $a+b \neq o$ if and only if $a^{-1}b \in R$ ($a+b = a(1+a^{-1}b)$).
- (v) $1_T = 1_S$ is multiplicatively neutral.
- (vi) S has no additively neutral element.
- (vii) If $1+1 = 1$ then S is additively idempotent.
- (viii) If $1+1 \neq 1$ then o is the only additively idempotent element of S .
- (ix) If $R = \{1\}$ then S is additively idempotent and $a+b = o$ for all $a, b \in T$, $a \neq b$ (see 4.5; of course, $|T| \geq 2$).
- (x) If $|R| \geq 2$ then S is infinite. (Notice that S is constructed in the way described in 4.10.)

Proof. (i) We have $1 + 1 \neq o$, and therefore $1 \in R$. If $a, b \in R$ then $a^{-1} + 1 = a^{-1}(1 + a) \neq o$, $1 + a + b + ab = (1 + a)(1 + b) \neq o$, and so $1 + ab \neq o$ and $1 + a + b \neq o$. It follows that R is a subsemiring of S and $R(\cdot)$ is a subgroup of the group $T(\cdot)$. Since $1 + 1 \neq o \in T + 1$, we have $|T| \geq 2$ and $T \neq R$.

(ii) If $a \in T$ and $u \in R$ then $o \neq a^{-1}(1 + u)a = 1 + a^{-1}ua$. Thus $a^{-1}ua \in R$.

The remaining assertions are now easy. □

6.3 Corollary. *Assume that $0_S \in S$. Then either 6.1(2) is true or 6.1(7) is true or 6.1(8) is true or $S \cong Z_3, Z_4, Z_6, Z_9, Z_{10}$. (Notice that 0_S is multiplicatively absorbing in the first three cases and also if $S \cong Z_4$.)* □

6.4 Corollary. *If $1_S \notin S$ then either 6.1(8) is true or $S \cong Z_1, Z_3, Z_4, Z_9, Z_{10}$.* □

6.5 Corollary. *Assume that $0_S \in S$ and $1_S \in S$. Then either 6.1(2) is true or 6.1(7) is true or $S \cong Z_6$. (If either 0_S is not multiplicatively absorbing or $0_S = 1_S$ then $S \cong Z_6$.)* □

6.6 Corollary. *Assume that $0_S \in S$, $1_S \in S$, $a + b = 0_S$ for some $a \in S$ and $b \in S \setminus \{0_S\}$ and either $0_S \neq 1_S$ or $|S| \geq 3$. Then S is a skew-field.* □

6.7 Corollary. *If S is left- and right-ideal-free then $S(\cdot)$ is a group.* □

References

[1] T. KEPKA AND P. NĚMEC: *Ideal-simple semirings I*.