

Václav Flaška; Tomáš Kepka; Juha Kortelainen  
On separating sets of words. IV.

*Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica*, Vol. 51 (2010), No. 1, 65--71

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143647>

## Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 2010

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

# On Separating Sets of Words IV

VÁCLAV FLAŠKA, TOMÁŠ KEPKA and JUHA KORTELAJNEN

Praha and Oulu

*Received November 17, 2009*

Further properties of transitive closures of special replacement relations in free monoids are studied.

## 1. Introduction

This article is an immediate continuation of [1], [2] and [3]. References like I.3.3 (II.3.3, III.3.3, resp.) lead to the corresponding section and result of [1] ([2], [3], resp.) and all definitions and preliminaries are taken from the same source.

## 2. Complementary sequences

Troughout this note, let  $Z \subseteq A^+$  be a strongly separating set of words and let  $\psi : Z \rightarrow A^*$  be a mapping with  $\psi(z) \neq z$  for every  $z \in Z$ . Notice that then the corresponding replacement relation  $\rho (= \rho_{Z,\psi})$  is irreflexive.

Two sequences  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  and  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_m$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , of words will be called  $((Z, \psi)$ - or  $\rho$ -) *complementary* if, for every  $0 \leq i < m$ , either  $(p_i, p_{i+1}) \in \rho$  and  $q_i = q_{i+1}$  or  $p_i = p_{i+1}$  and  $(q_i, q_{i+1}) \in \rho$ . Notice that due to the irreflexivity of  $\rho$ , just one of the two cases holds.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  and  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_m$  be complementary sequences. Then:*

---

Department of Algebra, MFF UK, Sokolovská 83, 18600 Praha 8

Department of Information Processing Science, University of Oulu, P. O. BOX 3000 FIN-90014, Oulu

The work is a part of the research project MSM0021620839 financed by MŠMT and the second author was supported by the Grant Agency of Czech Republic, No. 201/09/0296.

*E-mail address:* flaska@matfyz.cz, kepka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz, juha.kortelainen@oulu.fi

- (i) Both the sequences are  $\lambda$ -sequences.
- (ii)  $(p_0, p_m) \in \xi$  and  $(q_0, q_m) \in \xi$ .
- (iii) If  $(p_0, p_m) \notin \tau$  ( $(q_0, q_m) \notin \tau$ , resp.), then  $p_0 = p_1 = \dots = p_m$  ( $q_0 = q_1 = \dots = q_m$ , resp.),  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_m$  ( $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$ , resp.) is a  $\rho$ -sequence and  $(q_0, q_m) \in \tau$  ( $(p_0, p_m) \in \tau$ , resp.).
- (iv) Either  $(p_0, p_m) \in \tau$  or  $(q_0, q_m) \in \tau$ .

*Proof.* Easy. □

Let  $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m$  be a  $\rho$ -sequence and let  $z \in Z$ . Furthermore, let  $\alpha_i = (p_i, z, q_i) \in \text{Tr}(w_i)$  (so that  $w_i = p_i z q_i$ ) for all  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . We will say that the  $\rho$ -sequence is  $(z, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_m)$ -fluent if the sequences  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m$  and  $q_0, q_1, \dots, q_m$  are complementary.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $(w_0, w_1) \in \rho$  and  $\alpha = (p_0, z, q_0) \in \text{Tr}(w_0)$ . Then  $w_0 = p_0 z q_0$  and at least one of the following two cases holds:*

- (1)  $w_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha} w_1$ ,  $(w_0, w_1) \in \rho_z$  and  $w_1 = p_0 \psi(z) q_0$ ;
- (2)  $w_1 = p_1 z q_1$  and the sequences  $p_0, p_1$  and  $q_0, q_1$  are complementary (and hence the sequence  $w_0, w_1$  is  $(z, \alpha, \beta)$ -fluent,  $\beta = (p_1, z, q_1)$ ).

*Proof.* Assume that (1) is not true. Then there is  $\gamma = (r, z_1, s) \in \text{Tr}(w_0)$  such that  $\gamma \neq \alpha$  and  $w_1 = r \psi(z_1) s$ . We have  $p_0 z q_0 = w_0 = r z_1 s$ , where  $p_0 \neq r$  and  $q_0 \neq s$ . Consequently,  $|p_0| \neq |r|$  and  $|q_0| \neq |s|$ .

First, assume that  $|p_0| < |r|$ . Then  $r = p_0 r_1$ ,  $r_1 \neq \varepsilon$ ,  $z q_0 = r_1 z_1 s$ ,  $|q_0| > |s|$ ,  $q_0 = s_1 s$ ,  $s_1 \neq \varepsilon$  and  $z s_1 = r_1 z_1$ . From this,  $r_1 = z t$  and  $s_1 = t z_1$  and we get  $w_0 = p_0 z q_0 = p_0 r_1 z_1 s = p_0 z t z_1 s$ ,  $q_0 = t z_1 s$ ,  $r = p_0 z t$ ,  $w_1 = r \psi(z_1) s = p_0 z t \psi(z_1) s = p_1 z q_1$ , where  $p_0 = p_1$ ,  $q_1 = t \psi(z_1) s$  and  $(q_0, q_1) \in \rho$ .

Next assume that  $|r| < |p_0|$ . Then  $p_0 = r r_1$ ,  $r_1 \neq \varepsilon$ ,  $r_1 z q_0 = z_1 s$ ,  $|r_1| \geq |z_1|$ ,  $r_1 = z_1 t$ ,  $s = t z q_0$ ,  $p_0 = r z_1 t$ . Now,  $w_0 = r z_1 t z q_0$ ,  $w_1 = r \psi(z_1) s = r \psi(z_1) t z q_0 = p_1 z q_1$ , where  $q_0 = q_1$ ,  $p_1 = r \psi(z_1) t$  and  $(p_0, p_1) \in \rho$ .

The lemma follows easily from I.6.4 as well. □

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_m$  be a  $\rho$ -sequence and let  $\alpha_0 = (p_0, z, q_0) \in \text{Tr}(w_0)$  (so that  $w_0 = p_0 z q_0$ ). Then at least one of the following two cases holds:*

- (1)  $w_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} w_1$ ,  $(w_0, w_1) \in \rho_z$  and  $w_1 = p_0 \psi(z) q_0$ ;
- (2) There are  $1 \leq n \leq m$  and  $\alpha_i = (p_i, z, q_i) \in \text{Tr}(w_i)$  (so that  $w_i = p_i z q_i$ ),  $0 \leq i \leq n$ , such that the sequence  $w_0, w_1, \dots, w_n$  is  $(z, \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ -fluent and either  $n = m$  or  $n < m$  and  $w_{n+1} = p_n \psi(z) q_n$  (so that  $(w_n, w_{n+1}) \in \rho_z$  and  $w_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} w_{n+1}$ ).

*Proof.* Assume that (1) is not true and proceed by induction on  $m$ . If  $m = 1$  then 2.2 applies. If  $m \geq 2$ , we consider the sequence  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m$ . □

**Remark 2.4** Consider the situation from 2.3 (2) and assume that  $n < m$ . Put  $v_0 = p_0 z q_0 = w_0$ ,  $v_1 = p_0 \psi(z) q_0$ ,  $v_2 = p_1 \psi(z) q_1$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $v_n = p_{n-1} \psi(z) q_{n-1}$  and  $v_{n+1} = p_n \psi(z) q_n = w_{n+1}$ . Clearly,  $w_0 = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} = w_{n+1}$  is a  $\rho$ -sequence,

$v_0 = w_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} v_1, w_1 \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} v_2, \dots, w_n \xrightarrow{\alpha_n} v_{n+1} = w_{n+1}$  and  $w_0 = v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1} = w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, \dots, w_m$  is a  $\rho$ -sequence. In particular,  $(p_0\psi(z)q_0, w_m) = (v_1, w_m) \in \tau$ .

### 3. Auxiliary results (a)

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$  and  $r \in A^*$ . Then  $z_1 r \psi(z_2) = \psi(z_1) r z_2$  iff at least (and then just) one of the following two cases holds:*

- (1) *There are  $s, t \in A^+$  such that  $sr = rt$  (see I.3.5) and  $z_1 = \psi(z_1)s, z_2 = t\psi(z_2)$ ;*
- (2) *There are  $s, t \in A^+$  such that  $sr = rt$  and  $\psi(z_1) = z_1s, \psi(z_2) = tz_2$ .*

*Proof.* Easy. □

**Corollary 3.2** *The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$z_1 r \psi(z_2) \neq \psi(z_1) r z_2$  for all  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$  and  $r \in A^*$ .*
- (ii)  *$sr \neq rt$  for every  $r \in A^*$  whenever  $s, t \in A^+$  and  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$  are such that either  $z_1 = \psi(z_1)s$  and  $z_2 = t\psi(z_2)$  or  $\psi(z_1) = z_1s$  and  $\psi(z_2) = tz_2$  (the latter case does not take place when  $\psi$  is strictly length decreasing).*

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $(w_0, w_1) \in \rho$  and  $\alpha = (p_0, z, q_0) \in \text{Tr}(w_0)$  (see 2.2). If the equivalent conditions of 3.2 are satisfied, then just one of the cases 2.2 (1), (2) holds.*

*Proof.* If both 2.2 (1), (2) are true, then  $p_0\psi(z)q_0 = w_1 = p_1zq_1$  and either  $p_0 = p_1$  and  $(q_0, q_1) \in \rho$  or  $(p_0, p_1) \in \rho$  and  $q_0 = q_1$ . Assume the first case, the other one being similar. Then  $\psi(z)q_0 = zq_1, q_0 = rz_1s, q_1 = r\psi(z_1)s$  and  $\psi(z)r z_1 = zr\psi(z_1)$ . The rest is clear from 3.2. □

**Remark 3.4** Assume that the equivalent conditions of 3.2 are satisfied and let  $(w_0, w_1) \in \rho$ . Then it follows from 3.3 that  $w_0 \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} w_1$  for a uniquely determined instance  $\alpha \in \text{Tr}(w_0)$ .

**Remark 3.5** Assume that the equivalent conditions of 3.2 are satisfied and consider the situation from 2.3. Then just one of the cases 2.3 (1), (2) holds. Furthermore, if 2.3 (2) is true, then the number  $n$  and the instances  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  are determined uniquely.

### 4. Auxiliary results (b)

In this section, let  $z_1, z_2 \in Z, z_1 \neq z_2, r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2 \in A^*, t_1 = r_1z_1s_1$  and  $t_2 = r_2z_2s_2$ .

**Lemma 4.1**  *$t_1 \neq t_2$  in each of the following six cases:*

- (1)  *$r_1 = r_2$ ;*
- (2)  *$s_1 = s_2$ ;*
- (3)  *$r_1, s_1$  are reduced;*
- (4)  *$r_2, s_2$  are reduced;*

- (5)  $r_1, r_2$  are reduced;
- (6)  $s_1, s_2$  are reduced.

*Proof.* Easy to see (use I.6.4). □

**Lemma 4.2** *Assume that the mapping  $\psi$  is length decreasing. Then  $(t_1, t_2) \notin \tau$  in each of the following three cases:*

- (1)  $|r_1| + |s_1| \leq |r_2| + |s_2|$ ,  $|z_1| \leq |z_2|$  and at least one of these inequalities is sharp (equivalently,  $|t_1| < |t_2|$ );
- (2)  $r_1, s_1$  are reduced,  $|r_1| + |s_1| \leq |r_2| + |s_2|$ ,  $|\psi(z_1)| \leq |z_2|$  and at least one of these inequalities is sharp;
- (3)  $r_1, s_1$  are reduced and  $|\psi(z_1)| < |z_1|$ .

*Proof.* Easy (if  $r_1, s_1$  are reduced and  $(t_1, t_2) \in \tau$ , then  $(r_1\psi(z_1)s_1, t_2) \in \xi$ ). □

**Corollary 4.3** *Assume that the mapping  $\psi$  is strictly length decreasing and the words  $r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2$  are reduced. Then  $(t_1, t_2) \notin \xi$  and  $(t_2, t_1) \notin \xi$ .*

## 5. Auxiliary results (c)

In this section, let  $z_i \in Z$ ,  $r_i, s_i \in A^*$  and  $t_i = r_i z_i s_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be such that  $(t_1, t_2) \notin \xi$  and  $(t_2, t_1) \notin \xi$  (see the preceding section). Put  $(P(t_1, t_2) =) P = \{w \in A^* \mid (w, t_1) \in \xi, (w, t_2) \in \xi\}$  and denote by  $(Q(t_1, t_2) =) Q$  the set of  $w \in P$  such that  $w = w'$  whenever  $w' \in P$  and  $(w, w') \in \xi$ .

### Lemma 5.1

- (i) *If  $w \in P$ , then  $(w, t_1) \in \tau$ ,  $(w, t_2) \in \tau$  and  $t_1 \neq w \neq t_2$ .*
- (ii) *If  $w \in P$ , then  $w \in Q$  if and only if  $(w, w') \notin \rho$  for every  $w' \in P$ .*

*Proof.* Easy. □

**Remark 5.2** *Assume that  $P \neq \emptyset$ . By III.6.4 (i), there exists at least one word  $t \in A^*$  with  $(t_1, t) \in \xi$  and  $(t_2, t) \in \xi$ . Then  $(t_1, t) \in \tau$  and  $(t_2, t) \in \tau$ . Furthermore, if  $r_i, s_i$  are reduced, then  $(r_1\psi(z_1)s_1, t) \in \xi$  and  $(r_2\psi(z_2)s_2, t) \in \xi$ .*

**Lemma 5.3** *Assume that the relation  $\rho$  is regular (e.g., if  $\psi$  is strictly length decreasing – see III.7.7). Then for every  $w \in P$  there exists at least one  $w' \in Q$  with  $(w, w') \in \xi$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $R = \{v \in P \mid (w, v) \in \xi\}$  and  $M = \{\text{dist}(v, t_1) + \text{dist}(v, t_2) \mid v \in R\}$ . Then  $M$  is a non-empty set of positive integers and if  $w' \in R$  is such that  $\text{dist}(w', t_1) + \text{dist}(w', t_2)$  is the smallest number in  $M$ , then  $w' \in Q$ . Notice that if  $\psi$  is strictly length decreasing and  $w' \in R$  is such that  $|w'|$  is the smallest number in  $|R|$ , then  $w' \in Q$ . □

Now, take  $w \in Q$  and let  $w_0^{(i)}, w_1^{(i)}, \dots, w_{m_i}^{(i)}$ ,  $m_i \geq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be  $\rho$ -sequences such that  $w_0^{(i)} = w$  and  $w_{m_i}^{(i)} = t_i$ .

**Lemma 5.4** *If  $(w_j^{(i_1)}, w_k^{(i_2)}) \in \xi$  for  $\{i_1, i_2\} = \{1, 2\}$  and some  $0 \leq j \leq m_{i_1}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m_{i_2}$ , then  $w_j^{(i_1)} = w$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $(w_j^{(i_1)}, t_{i_1}) \in \xi$  and  $(w_k^{(i_2)}, t_{i_2}) \in \xi$ . Since  $i_1 \neq i_2$ , it follows that  $w_j^{(i_1)} \in P$ . But  $w \in Q$  and  $(w, w_j^{(i_1)}) \in \xi$ . Consequently,  $w_j^{(i_1)} = w$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5**  $w_1^{(1)} \neq w_1^{(2)}$ .

*Proof.* If  $w_1^{(1)} = v = w_1^{(2)}$ , then  $v \in P$ ,  $(w, v) \in \rho$  and  $v = w$ , since  $w \in Q$ . Thus  $(w, w) \in \rho$ , a contradiction with the irreflexivity of  $\rho$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6** *Assume that either  $\tau$  is irreflexive (see III.3.3) or that the sum  $m_1 + m_2$  is minimal (for the word  $w$ ). Then:*

(i)  $(w_j^{(i_1)}, w_k^{(i_2)}) \notin \xi$  for all  $\{i_1, i_2\} = \{1, 2\}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq m_{i_1}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m_{i_2}$ .

(ii) *All the words  $w = w_0^{(1)} = w_0^{(2)}$ ,  $w_{j_i}^{(i)}$ ,  $j_i = 1, 2, \dots, m_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , are pair-wise different.*

*Proof.* Easy (use 5.4).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.7**  $\text{tr}(w) \geq 2$  (i. e.,  $w$  is not meagre).

*Proof.* Clearly,  $w$  is not reduced. On the other hand, if  $\text{tr}(w) = 1$ , then  $w = p z q$ , where  $z \in Z$  and  $p, q$  are reduced. Consequently,  $w_1^{(i)} = p \psi(z) q$ ,  $w_1^{(1)} = w_1^{(2)}$ , a contradiction with 5.5.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.8**  $\text{alph}(w) \subseteq \text{alph}(t_1) \cup \text{alph}(t_2)$ .

*Proof.* Let, on the contrary,  $w = p z q$ , where  $z \in Z$  and  $z \notin \text{alph}(t_1) \cup \text{alph}(t_2)$ . Using 2.3 and 2.4, we get  $\rho$ -sequences  $v_0^{(i)}, v_1^{(i)}, \dots, v_{m_i}^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , such that  $v_0^{(i)} = w$ ,  $v_1^{(i)} = p \psi(z) q$  and  $v_{m_i}^{(i)} = t_i$ . Then  $v_1^{(i)} = v$ , where  $(v, t_i) \in \xi$ ,  $v \in P$  and  $v = w$  a contradiction with the irreflexivity of  $\rho$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9** *Assume that  $z_1 \neq z_2$  and  $z_1 \notin \text{alph}(r_2) \cup \text{alph}(s_2)$  (i. e.,  $z_1 \notin \text{alph}(t_2)$ ). If  $w = p_0 z_1 q_0$ , then the sequence  $w = w_0^{(1)}, w_1^{(1)}, \dots, w_{m_1}^{(1)} = t_1$  is  $(z_1, \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{m_1})$ -fluent, where  $\alpha_0 = (p_0, z_1, q_0)$ ,  $\alpha_1 = (p_1, z_1, q_1)$ ,  $\alpha_{m_1} = (p_{m_1}, z_1, q_{m_1})$ ,  $p_{m_1} = r_1$ ,  $q_{m_1} = s_1$  (then  $(p_0, r_1) \in \xi$  and  $(q_0, s_1) \in \xi$ ).*

*Proof.* Proceeding by contradiction, assume that our result is not true. According to 2.3 and 2.4, there is a  $\rho$ -sequence  $w = v_0^{(2)}, p_0 \psi(z_1) q_0 = v_1^{(2)}, v_2^{(2)}, \dots, v_{m_2}^{(2)} = t_2$ . Thus  $v_1^{(2)} = v = v_1^{(2)}$ ,  $(v, t_1) \in \xi$ ,  $(v, t_2) \in \xi$ ,  $v \in P$  and  $v = w$ ,  $(w, w) \in \rho$ , a contradiction with the irreflexivity of  $\rho$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.10** *Assume that  $z_1 \neq z_2$  and  $z_1 \notin \text{alph}(r_1) \cup \text{alph}(r_2) \cup \text{alph}(s_2)$ . Then  $w \neq y_0 z_1 y_1 z_1 y_2$  for all  $y_0, y_1, y_2 \in A^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let, on the contrary,  $w = y_0 z_1 y_1 z_1 y_2$ . Then  $(y_0 z_1 y_1, r_1) \in \xi$  and  $(y_2, s_1) \in \xi$  by 5.9 and 2.1 (ii). Since  $z_1 \notin \text{alph}(r_1)$ , we have  $(y_0 \psi(z_1) y_1, r_1) \in \xi$  by 2.4,

and therefore  $(y_0\psi(z_1)y_1z_1y_2, t_1) \in \xi$ . On the other hand,  $z_1 \notin \text{alph}(t_2)$ , and so  $(y_0\psi(z_1)y_1z_1y_2, t_2) \in \xi$  as well. Thus  $y_0\psi(z_1)y_1z_1y_2 \in P$ , a contradiction with  $w \in Q$  and  $\psi(z_1) \neq z_1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.11** *Assume that  $z_1 \neq z_2$  and  $r_i, s_i$  are reduced,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then there exist reduced words  $x_0, x_1, x_2 \in A^*$  such that just one of the following two cases takes place:*

- (1)  $w = x_0z_1x_1z_2x_2$ ,  $x_0 = r_1$ ,  $(x_1z_2x_2, s_1) \in \tau$ ,  $(x_0z_1x_1, r_2) \in \tau$  and  $x_2 = s_2$  (then  $w = r_1z_1x_1z_2s_2$ ,  $(x_1\psi(z_2)s_2, s_1) \in \xi$ ,  $(r_1\psi(z_1)x_1, r_2) \in \xi$  and  $r_1, s_2$  are reduced);
- (2)  $w = x_0z_2x_1z_1x_2$ ,  $x_0 = r_2$ ,  $(x_1z_1x_2, s_2) \in \tau$ ,  $(x_0z_2x_1, r_1) \in \tau$  and  $x_2 = s_1$  (then  $w = r_2z_2x_1z_1s_1$ ,  $(x_1\psi(z_1)s_1, s_2) \in \xi$ ,  $(r_2\psi(z_2)x_1, r_1) \in \xi$  and  $r_2, s_1$  are reduced).

*Proof.* Combining 5.7, 5.8 and 5.10 (and the dual), we see that  $\text{tr}(w) = 2$  and  $\text{alph}(w) = \{z_1, z_2\}$ . According to I.6.4, either  $w = x_0z_1x_1z_2x_2$  or  $w = x_0z_2x_1z_1x_2$ , where  $x_0, x_1$  and  $x_2$  are reduced. Assume the former equality, the latter being dual. Now, it follows from 5.9 that  $(x_0, r_1) \in \xi$ . Since  $x_0$  is reduced, we get  $x_0 = r_1$ . Furthermore,  $(x_1z_2x_2, s_1) \in \xi$  and, since  $z_2 \notin \text{alph}(s_1)$ , we have  $(x_1z_2x_2, s_1) \in \tau$ . The rest is similar.  $\square$

**Remark 5.12** Consider the situation from 5.11 (and its proof) and assume that (1) is true (the other case being dual). Put  $u_1 = x_1\psi(z_2)s_2$  and  $u_2 = r_1\psi(z_1)x_1$ . We have  $(u_1, s_1) \in \xi$  and  $(u_2, r_2) \in \xi$ .

- (i) If  $u_1$  is reduced, then  $u_1 = s_1, t_1 = r_1z_1x_1\psi(z_2)s_2$ ,  $(w, t_1) \in \rho$  and  $(t_1, u_3) \in \rho$ , where  $u_3 = r_1\psi(z_1)x_1\psi(z_2)s_2$ .
- (ii) If  $u_2$  is reduced, then  $u_2 = r_2, t_2 = r_1\psi(z_1)x_1z_2s_2$ ,  $(w, t_2) \in \rho$  and  $(t_2, u_3) \in \rho$ , where  $u_3 = r_1\psi(z_1)x_1\psi(z_2)s_2$ .
- (iii) If the equivalent conditions of II.7.3 are satisfied, then all the words  $u_1, u_2, s_1, r_2$  are meagre. Now, if  $s_1$  is not reduced, then  $s_1 = y_0z_3y_1, z_3 \in Z, z_1 \neq z_3 \neq z_2, y_0, y_1$  are reduced and  $t_1 = r_1z_1y_0z_3y_1$ . If  $r_2$  is not reduced, then  $r_2 = y_2z_4y_3, z_4 \in Z, z_1 \neq z_4 \neq z_2, y_2, y_3$  are reduced and  $t_2 = y_2z_4y_3z_2s_2$ .

**Remark 5.13** Assume that  $P \neq \emptyset$  and choose  $w' \in P$  such that  $m'_1 + m'_2$  is minimal, where  $m'_1$  and  $m'_2$  is the length of a  $\rho$ -sequence from  $w'$  to  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , resp. It is easy to see that 5.5, 5.7, 5.8 and 5.9 remain true.

## 6. The ultimate consequence

**Theorem 6.1** *Assume that the mapping  $\psi$  is strictly length decreasing. Let  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$  and  $r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2 \in A^*$  be such that  $z_1 \neq z_2$ , the words  $r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2$  are reduced and  $P(t_1, t_2) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $t_1 = r_1z_1s_1$  and  $t_2 = r_2z_2s_2$ . Then  $Q(t_1, t_2) \neq \emptyset$  and, if  $w \in Q(t_1, t_2)$ , then just one of the following two cases takes place:*

- (1)  $w = r_1z_1xz_2s_2$ ,  $(r_1z_1x, r_2) \in \tau$ ,  $(xz_2s_2, s_1) \in \tau$  and  $x$  is reduced;

(2)  $w = r_2 z_2 y z_1 s_1$ ,  $(r_2 z_2 y, r_1) \in \tau$ ,  $(y z_1 s_1, s_2) \in \tau$  and  $y$  is reduced.

*Proof.* By 5.3,  $Q(t_1, t_2) \neq \emptyset$ . The rest follows from 5.11. □

### References

- [1] FLAŠKA, V., KEPKA, T. AND KORTELAINEIN, J.: *On separating sets of words I*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys., **49/1** (2008), 33–51.
- [2] FLAŠKA, V., KEPKA, T. AND KORTELAINEIN, J.: *On separating sets of words II*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys., **50/1** (2009), 15–28.
- [3] FLAŠKA, V., KEPKA, T. AND KORTELAINEIN, J.: *On separating sets of words III*, Acta Univ. Carolin. Math. Phys., **51/1** (2010), 57–64.