

Zhen Zhang; Xiaosheng Zhu; Xiaoguang Yan

Totally reflexive modules with respect to a semidualizing bimodule

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 63 (2013), No. 2, 385–402

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/143319>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2013

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

TOTALLY REFLEXIVE MODULES WITH RESPECT
TO A SEMIDUALIZING BIMODULE

ZHEN ZHANG, Zibo, XIAOSHENG ZHU, XIAO GUANG YAN, Nanjing

(Received November 25, 2011)

Abstract. Let S and R be two associative rings, let ${}_S C_R$ be a semidualizing (S, R) -bimodule. We introduce and investigate properties of the totally reflexive module with respect to ${}_S C_R$ and we give a characterization of the class of the totally C_R -reflexive modules over any ring R . Moreover, we show that the totally C_R -reflexive module with finite projective dimension is exactly the finitely generated projective right R -module. We then study the relations between the class of totally reflexive modules and the Bass class with respect to a semidualizing bimodule. The paper contains several results which are new in the commutative Noetherian setting.

Keywords: semidualizing bimodule, totally reflexive module, Bass class, precover, preenvelope

MSC 2010: 16D20, 16D40, 16E05, 16E10, 16E30

INTRODUCTION

In 1967, Auslander [1] introduced the *Gorenstein dimension*, or G -dimension for finitely generated modules, and the finer details were developed in his joint paper [2] with Bridger. The G -dimension is a relative homological dimension and Christensen [4] studied the modules that serve as building blocks in the resolutions, which were called modules in the G -class by Auslander [1] and [2]. In 1995, Yassemi [22] studied Gorenstein dimensions for complexes and showed the possibility of defining the G -dimension with respect to a semidualizing complex C . The study of semidualizing modules goes back at least to Vasconcelos [19] who calls them spherical modules. This module is a PG-module, which was defined by Foxby in [7] as a generalization

This research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10971090).

of a projective module and a Gorenstein module. A dualizing module is always a semidualizing module. Relative homological algebra with respect to a semidualizing module has caught many authors' attention. For this topic, we refer the reader to see Holm and White's work [12], but also to [10], [15], [16], [17]. In [8], Golod introduced the totally C -reflexive module with respect to a semidualizing module C over a commutative Noetherian ring, and the homological dimension which arises by resolving a given finitely generated module by totally C -reflexive modules is known as the G_C -dimension of a finitely generated module. In the case $C = R$, totally C -reflexive modules are exactly the modules in the G -class. Hence studying the totally C -reflexive modules is very useful; for this we refer the readers to [14].

On the other hand, Holm and White [12] extended the notion of semidualizing modules to the associative ring, where they defined the semidualizing (S, R) bimodule ${}_S C_R$ for any associative rings R and S (see Definition 1.3), and the Auslander class and Bass class with respect to ${}_S C_R$. Araya, Takahashi and Yoshino [3, Definition 2.1] defined totally C_R -reflexive modules with respect to a semidualizing (S, R) -bimodule ${}_S C_R$ over any associative rings S and R , which extends Golod's notion of totally C -reflexive modules with respect to a semidualizing module C to the non-commutative non-Noetherian setting and generalizes the modules in the G -class within this setting. In this paper, we denote the class of all totally C_R -reflexive modules by $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ (see Definition 2.1), and we show that many conclusions over a commutative Noetherian ring also hold in an associative ring. Moreover, we show several results which are new in the commutative Noetherian setting.

Section 2 is devoted to the study of the totally reflexive modules with respect to a semidualizing bimodule ${}_S C_R$. We get the following result about the class $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ over any ring R , see Theorem 2.3, and for the notation see Section 1:

$$\mathcal{T}_C(R) = \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap \text{cog}^*(C_R) \cap {}^\perp(C_R).$$

Additionally, we show that when $M \cong \text{Hom}_S(N, C)$ for some finitely generated left S -module N , then M is totally C_R -reflexive if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ is totally ${}_S C$ -reflexive, see Corollary 2.7. Moreover, we investigate the \mathcal{T}_C -dimension and the $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ -precover (and preenvelope) for a finitely generated right R -module M with degreewise finitely generated projective resolution, see Proposition 2.8.

On the other hand, recall that $\text{Add}(X_R)$ ($\text{add}(X_R)$) denotes the class of right R -modules M which is a direct summand of a (finite) direct sum of copies of X_R . Particularly, $\text{Add}(R_R)$ is the class of all projective right R -modules and $\text{add}(R_R)$ is the class of all finitely generated projective right R -modules. It is proved in Corollary 2.4 and Remark 2.2(1) that both $\text{add}(C_R)$ and $\text{add}(R_R)$ are all contained in the class $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ (see Definition 2.1), and the totally C_R -reflexive modules with finite

$\text{add}(C_R)$ -projective dimensions must be contained in $\text{add}(C_R)$, see Observation 2.10. It is natural to ask whether a totally C_R -reflexive module with finite projective dimension must be in $\text{add}(R_R)$? The affirmative answer is shown in the following theorem (Theorem 2.11), and it answers a special case of the question put forward by D. White in [21, Question 2.15], i.e., when the semidualizing bimodule ${}_S C_R$ is faithful, White's conjecture is true for the right R -modules with degreewise finitely generated projective resolutions over any rings R and S .

Theorem 2.11. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be faithfully semidualizing (see Definition 1.3), and $M_R \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$. If $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$, then M is finitely generated projective.*

In Section 3, motivated by the work of Mantese and Reiten [13], we show that there exist some relations between the classes $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ (see Definition 1.4).

Theorem 3.2. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be faithfully semidualizing. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$ the class of right R -modules which are in $\text{gen}^*(R_R)$ (see Section 1) and have finite projective dimensions. Then*

- (1) ${}^\perp \mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \text{gen}^*(R_R) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and ${}^\perp \mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty} = \mathcal{T}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$;
- (2) $\mathcal{T}_C(R)^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$.

Throughout this paper, R and S are always two associative rings and ${}_S C_R$ is always a semidualizing (S, R) -bimodule, see Definition 1.3. A subcategory or a class of right R -modules (left S -modules) is a full subcategory of the category of right R -modules (left S -modules), which is closed under isomorphisms. For unexplained concepts and notation, we refer the reader to [13], [20], [14].

1. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall a number of notions and results which will be used throughout this work. First, we employ some notions used by S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Wakamatsu and D. White in [14], [20], [21].

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{X} be a class of right R -modules and M_R a right R -module. A left \mathcal{X} -resolution of M_R is an exact sequence of right R -modules $\mathbf{X} = \dots \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$. The right \mathcal{X} -resolution of M_R is defined dually.

The \mathcal{X} -projective dimension of M_R is the quantity

$$\mathcal{X}\text{-pd}_R(M) = \inf\{\sup\{n \geq 0 : X_n \neq 0\} : \mathbf{X} \text{ is a left } \mathcal{X}\text{-resolution of } M_R\}.$$

Particularly, we denote by $\text{pd}_R M$ the projective dimension of a right R -module M_R .

Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{X}}$ the class of right R -modules with finite \mathcal{X} -projective dimension.

We denote by ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{X}$ the subcategory of right R -modules M such that $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, X) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$ and all $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and similarly, $\mathcal{X}^{\perp} = \{M : \text{Ext}_R^i(X, M) = 0 \text{ for all } i \geq 1 \text{ and all } X \in \mathcal{X}\}$.

Definition 1.2 [15, Definition 1.6]. Let \mathcal{X} be the class of right R -modules. For a right R -module M , an \mathcal{X} -precover of M is a right R -module homomorphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow M$ where $X \in \mathcal{X}$ is such that, for each $X' \in \mathcal{X}$, the homomorphism $\text{Hom}_R(X', \varphi): \text{Hom}_R(X', X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(X', M)$ is surjective. The term *preenvelope* is defined dually.

Following [6, Definition 7.1.6], an \mathcal{X} -precover φ of M is called *special* provided that the sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0$ of right R -modules with $A \in \mathcal{X}$ is exact and $L \in \mathcal{X}^{\perp}$. The term *special preenvelope* is defined dually.

Holm and White [12, Definition 2.1] extended the definition of semidualizing modules to associative rings. They also defined faithfully semidualizing bimodules over non-commutative rings, i.e., a semidualizing bimodule ${}_S C_R$ is *faithfully semidualizing* if $\text{Hom}_S(C, N) = 0$ implies $N = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_{R^{op}}(C, M) = 0$ implies $M = 0$ for all modules ${}_S N$ and M_R , see [12, Definition 3.1], and they showed that if R is commutative, then a semidualizing module is always faithfully semidualizing, see [12, Proposition 3.1].

Definition 1.3 [12, Definition 2.1]. An (S, R) -bimodule $C = {}_S C_R$ is called *semidualizing* if

- (1) ${}_S C$ admits a degreewise finitely generated S -projective resolution;
- (2) C_R admits a degreewise finitely generated R -projective resolution;
- (3) the natural homothety map ${}_S S_S \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, C)$ is an isomorphism;
- (4) the natural homothety map ${}_R R_R \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(C, C)$ is an isomorphism;
- (5) $\text{Ext}_R^{\geq 1}(C, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_S^{\geq 1}(C, C)$.

Holm and White [12] defined the Bass class $\mathcal{B}_C(S)$ with respect to the semidualizing module ${}_S C_R$ over any rings R and S .

Definition 1.4 [12]. The Bass class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ with respect to ${}_S C_R$ consists of all right R -modules N satisfying

- (1) $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, N) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$,
- (2) $\text{Tor}_i^S(\text{Hom}_R(C, N), C) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$,
- (3) the natural evaluation homomorphism $\nu_N: \text{Hom}_R(C, N) \otimes_S C \rightarrow N$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 1.5. Recall that $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ are closed under direct products and direct sums. By [12, Proposition 4.2] we know that $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ is also closed under direct summands and direct limits. Moreover, by [12, Corollary 6.3], if ${}_S C_R$ is a faithfully semidualizing bimodule, $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ has the property that if two modules in a short exact sequence are in $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$, so is the third.

The following lemma is used frequently in this paper, so we present it here and give the proof.

Lemma 1.6. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be a semidualizing bimodule. Then*

- (1) $\text{Add}(C_R) = \{P \otimes_S C : P_S \in \text{Add}(S_S)\} = \mathcal{P}_C(R)$ and $\text{add}(C_R) = \{Q \otimes_S C : Q_S \in \text{add}(S_S)\}$;
- (2) $\text{Hom}_R(P, C) \in \text{add}({}_S C)$ for all $P \in \text{add}(R_R)$ and $\text{Hom}_R(C_i, C) \in \text{add}({}_S C)$ for all $C_i \in \text{add}(C_R)$.

Proof. (1) Let P_S be a projective right S -module. Then there exists a projective right S -module P'_S such that $P \oplus P' = S^{(I)}$ for some index set I , and so $(P \otimes_S C) \oplus (P' \otimes_S C) \cong S^{(I)} \otimes_S C \cong C^{(I)} \in \text{Add}(C_R)$.

Conversely, let $M_R \in \text{Add}(C_R)$, then there exists a right R -module N such that $M \oplus N = C^{(J)}$ for some index set J . Since $C^{(J)} \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ is closed under direct summands by Remark 1.5, we have that $M \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. Thus $M \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, M) \otimes_S C$. On the other hand, $\text{Hom}_R(C, M) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(C, N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(C, C^{(J)}) \cong S^{(J)}$, which implies that $\text{Hom}_R(C, M)$ is S -projective. In the same way we can prove that $\text{add}(C_R) = \{Q \otimes_S C : Q_S \in \text{add}(S_S)\}$.

(2) For a semidualizing bimodule ${}_S C_R$, we have that $\text{Hom}_R(C, C) \cong S$ and $\text{Hom}_S(C, C) \cong R$. Thus the result is easy to prove. \square

At last, we recall notation used in [20]. Let X_R be a right R -module. We denote by $\text{cog}^*(X_R)$ the class of right R -modules M_R which admits an exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots$ such that $X_i \in \text{add } X_R$ and the sequence is $\text{Hom}_R(-, X)$ -exact. Dually, $\text{gen}^*(X_R) = \{M_R : M \text{ admits a } \text{Hom}_R(X, -) \text{ exact sequence: } \dots \rightarrow X^1 \rightarrow X^0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0, \text{ with } X^i \in \text{add } X_R\}$. Particularly, $\text{gen}^*(R_R)$ is exactly the class of all finitely generated right R -modules with degreewise finitely generated projective resolutions.

We will show some properties of these two classes.

Lemma 1.7. *Let X_R be a right R -module with $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, X) = 0$ and let $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of right R -modules. The following assertions hold.*

- (1) *Both the two classes $\text{cog}^*(X_R)$ and $\text{gen}^*(X_R)$ are closed under finite direct sums and direct summands.*
- (2) *If $\text{Ext}_R^1(M'', X) = 0$ and any two of the three modules M', M and M'' are in $\text{cog}^*(X_R)$, so is the third.*
- (3) *If $\text{Ext}_R^1(X, M') = 0$ and any two of the three modules M', M and M'' are in $\text{gen}^*(X_R)$, so is the third.*

Proof. (1) It is easy to see that both the class $\text{cog}^*(X_R)$ and the class $\text{gen}^*(X_R)$

are closed under finite direct sums by their definition. And by [20, Lemma 2.2], both the two classes are closed under direct summands.

(2) Assume that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M'', X) = 0$. If $M' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$ and $M'' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$, then $M \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$ follows from [20, Lemma 2.3(1)].

If $M \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$ and $M'' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$, we will show that $M' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$. In fact, since $M \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$, there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, X)$ exact exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots$ with $X_i \in \text{add } X$ for $i \geq 0$. Let $K_1 = \ker(X_1 \rightarrow X_2)$, then clearly $K_1 \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$. Moreover, by [20, Remark 2.1(1)] we have that $\text{Ext}_R^1(K_1, X) = 0$. We have the following pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M'' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & X_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad \lrcorner \quad} & D \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & K_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & K_1 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow D \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow 0$. As $\text{Ext}_R^1(M'', X) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(K_1, X) = 0$, we have that $\text{Ext}_R^1(D, X) = 0$ and the exact sequence in the middle row of the above pushout is $\text{Hom}_R(-, X)$ -exact. Moreover, since $M'' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$ and $K_1 \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$, we have $D \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$ by [20, Lemma 2.3(1)]. Hence $M' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$.

If $M' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$ and $M \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$, we will show that $M'' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$. In fact, since $M' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow X'_0 \rightarrow K'_1 \rightarrow 0$ with $X'_0 \in \text{add } X$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(K'_1, X) = 0$ which is $\text{Hom}_R(-, X)$ exact and $K'_1 \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$. We have the following pushout:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & M'' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & X'_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad \lrcorner \quad} & D & \longrightarrow & M'' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & K'_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & K'_1 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the exact sequence in the second row: $0 \rightarrow X'_0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$. Since $\text{Ext}_R^1(M'', X) = 0$ and $X'_0 \in \text{add } X$, we have $\text{Ext}_R^1(M'', X'_0) = 0$. Thus the exact sequence splits and M'' is a direct summand of D . On the other hand, we have the exact sequence in the second column: $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow D \rightarrow K'_1 \rightarrow 0$. By the above proof, we know that $K'_1 \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(K'_1, X) = 0$. Moreover, $M \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$, thus $D \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$ by [20, Lemma 2.3(1)]. Hence $M'' \in \text{cog}^*(X_R)$ by (1).

(3) is dual to (2), so we omit the proof. □

2. TOTALLY REFLEXIVE MODULES WITH RESPECT TO A SEMIDUALIZING BIMODULE

In this section, we introduce and investigate properties of the totally reflexive module with respect to a semidualizing bimodule ${}_S C_R$ over any associative rings S and R . Over a commutative Noetherian ring the following definition can be found in [14, Definition 2.1.3]. And over any left Noetherian ring S and right Noetherian R , the notion of the totally C -reflexive module was also given by Araya, Takahashi and Yoshino [3, Theorem 2.1].

Definition 2.1. Let ${}_S C_R$ be a semidualizing bimodule. A finitely generated right R -module M_R is *totally C_R -reflexive* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) M_R admits a degreewise finitely generated R -projective resolution;
- (2) the biduality map $\delta_M^C: M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$ is an R -module isomorphism;
- (3) $\text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ admits a degreewise finitely generated S -projective resolution;
- (4) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0 = \text{Ext}_S^i(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$ for all $i \geq 1$.

We denote the class of all totally C_R -reflexive right R -modules by $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$.

Similarly we can define the totally ${}_S C$ -reflexive left S -modules, denoting them by $\mathcal{T}_C(S)$.

Remark 2.2.

- (1) Clearly, finitely generated projective right R -modules and the semidualizing right R -module C are all totally C_R -reflexive.
- (2) For each $G \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $i \geq 1$, we can get that $\text{Ext}_R^i(G, L) = 0$ for any right R -module L with finite $\text{add } C_R$ -projective dimension by dimension shifting.
- (3) It is easy to see that the functors $\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$ and $\text{Hom}_S(-, C)$ induce a duality between the class $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and the class $\mathcal{T}_C(S)$ by Definition 2.1, which is also proved by Araya, Takahashi and Yoshino [3, Theorem 2.1].

Wakamatsu [20] defined the Wakamatsu tilting module over any ring and proved that a semidualizing (S, R) -bimodule ${}_S C_R$ is always a Wakamatsu tilting module [20, Corollary 3.2]. Note that the Wakamatsu tilting module is called a tilting module in [20]. Hence the semidualizing bimodule shares the same properties with the Wakamatsu tilting modules. Particularly, using results from [20, Sec. 4] we have the following equality for the class of totally C_R -reflexive modules over any ring R .

Theorem 2.3. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be a semidualizing bimodule. Let us denote $(-)_R^C = \text{Hom}_R(-, C)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{T}_C(R) = \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap \text{cog}^*(C_R) \cap {}^\perp(C_R).$$

Proof. Let $M_R \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$, then $M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap {}^\perp C_R$ and $M \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_S(M_R^C, C)$ by Definition 2.1. So we only need to show $M \in \text{cog}^*(C_R)$. In fact, we have that $M_R^C \in \mathcal{T}_C(S)$ by Remark 2.2(3). Thus $M_R^C \in \text{gen}^*({}_S S) \cap {}^\perp {}_S C$ and $M_R^C \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_S(M_R^C, C), C)$. Hence $\text{Hom}_S(M_R^C, C) \in \text{cog}^*({}_S C)$ by [20, Proposition 4.1]. Thus $M \in \text{cog}^*({}_S C)$ as $M \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_S(M_R^C, C)$. Therefore, $M_R \in \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap \text{cog}^*(C_R) \cap {}^\perp(C_R)$. For the reverse inclusion, since $M \in \text{cog}^*(C_R)$, we have $M_R^C \in {}^\perp {}_S C \cap \text{gen}^*({}_S S)$ by [20, Proposition 4.1]. So by Definition 2.1 we only need to show that the biduality map δ_M^C is an isomorphism. In fact, we have the following two commutative diagrams with exact rows by the definition of $\text{cog}^*(C_R)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{f_0} & C_0 & \longrightarrow & \text{cok}f_0 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta_M^C & & \downarrow \delta_{C_0}^C & & \downarrow \delta_{\text{cok}f_0}^C & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(M_R^C, C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S((C_0)_R^C, C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S((\text{cok}f_0)_R^C, C) & & \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{cok}f_0 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & C_1 & \longrightarrow & \text{cok}f_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \delta_{\text{cok}f_0}^C & & \downarrow \delta_{C_1}^C & & \downarrow \delta_{\text{cok}f_1}^C & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S((\text{cok}f_0)_R^C, C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S((C_1)_R^C, C) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_S(\text{cok}(f_1)_R^C, C) & & \end{array}$$

Clearly, $\delta_{C_0}^C$ and $\delta_{C_1}^C$ are isomorphisms. Hence by the Snake Lemma, we get that δ_M^C is an isomorphism. Hence $M \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$. \square

From Theorem 2.3 we can get the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.4. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be a semidualizing (S, R) -bimodule and let $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of right R -modules. Then the following assertions hold.*

- (1) *The class $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands.*
- (2) *If $M'' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$, then $M' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ if and only if $M \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$.*
- (3) *If both $M' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $M \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$, then $M'' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ if and only if $\text{Ext}_R^1(M'', C) = 0$.*

Proof. (1) Clearly ${}^\perp C_R$ is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands. Moreover, by Lemma 1.7 we know that both $\text{cog}^*(C_R)$ and $\text{gen}^*(R_R)$ are closed under finite direct sums and direct summands. Hence the class $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ is closed under finite direct sums and direct summands by Theorem 2.3.

(2) Since $M'' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$, we have $M'' \in {}^\perp(C_R)$ by Definition 2.1. Moreover, ${}^\perp(C_R)$ is closed under extensions and kernels of epimorphisms. Hence (2) follows from Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 1.7.

(3) (\Rightarrow) follows from Definition 2.1. Next we will show (\Leftarrow) . In fact, since $M' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $M \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$, we have $M' \in {}^\perp(C_R)$ and $M \in {}^\perp(C_R)$. Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$, we get that $\text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M'', C) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Hence $M'' \in {}^\perp C_R$. Moreover, $M' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $M \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$, so $M'' \in \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap \text{cog}^*(C_R)$ by Lemma 1.7. Hence $M'' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ by Theorem 2.3. \square

When $R = S$ is a commutative ring and $C = R$, the following proposition is [4, Proposition 1.1.9]. Since the proof is similar, we omit it.

Proposition 2.5. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be a semidualizing bimodule and M a right R -module. If $M \cong \text{Hom}_S(N, C)$ for some finitely generated left S -module N , then M is a direct summand of $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$.*

Remark 2.6. From Remark 2.2(3) we know that if a right R -module M is totally C_R -reflexive, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ is totally ${}_S C$ -reflexive. However, the reverse implication does not hold true in general, see [4, Observation 1.1.7]. But when $M \cong \text{Hom}_S(N, C)$ for some finitely generated left S -module N , we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7. *Let M be a right R -module. Assume that $M \cong \text{Hom}_S(N, C)$ for some finitely generated left S -module N . Then M is a totally C_R -reflexive module if and only if $\text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ is a totally ${}_S C$ -reflexive module.*

Proof. The forward implication follows from Remark 2.2(3). For the converse, since $\text{Hom}_R(M, C)$ is totally ${}_S C$ -reflexive, $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$ is totally C_R -reflexive also by Remark 2.2(3). As M is a direct summand of $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C)$

by Proposition 2.5, we have that M is a totally C_R -reflexive module by Corollary 2.4(1). \square

By Remark 2.2(1) we know that finitely generated projective right R -modules are totally C_R -reflexive, thus we can define \mathcal{T}_C -dimension for every finitely generated right R -module M which admits a degreewise finitely generated projective resolution (e.g., the finitely generated right R -module over the right Noetherian ring R), denoted by $\mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R(M)$, see [20, Sec. 3]. For a non-negative integer n , we write $\mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n$ if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with each $G_i \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$. In the next proposition, we investigate the \mathcal{T}_C -dimension and the $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ -precover (preenvelope) for $M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R)$.

Proposition 2.8. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be a semidualizing bimodule and n a non-negative integer. The following conditions are equivalent for $M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R)$ with finite \mathcal{T}_C dimension:*

- (1) $\mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n$.
- (2) For any degreewise finitely generated projective resolution of M , $\dots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} M \rightarrow 0$, we have that the $\ker(f_i)$ is totally C_R -reflexive for $i \geq n - 1$, and when $n = 0$, then $\ker(f_{-1}) = M$.
- (3) For any exact sequence $\dots \rightarrow G_i \xrightarrow{g_i} G_{i-1} \dots \rightarrow G_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} G_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} M \rightarrow 0$ with $G_j \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ for $j \geq 0$, we have that $\ker(g_i)$ for $i \geq n - 1$ is totally C_R -reflexive, and when $n = 0$, then $\ker(f_{-1}) = M$.
- (4) $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, C) = 0$ for $i \geq n + 1$.
- (5) M_R has a special $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ -precover $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ such that $G \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $\text{add}(C_R)\text{-pd}_R K \leq n - 1$ if $n \geq 1$ and $K = 0$ if $n = 0$.
- (6) M_R has a special $\widehat{\text{add}(C_R)}$ -preenvelope $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$ such that $\text{add}(C_R)\text{-pd}_R L \leq n$ and $G' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$.

Proof. Using a proof similar to [3, Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.2], we can prove that (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) \Leftrightarrow (4).

(5) \Rightarrow (1) It is straightforward to prove.

(1) \Rightarrow (5) Since $\mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n$, using a proof similar to [9, Theorem 2.10] and Lemmas 1.6, 1.7 and Theorem 2.3 we can find an exact sequence of right R -modules, $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0$ such that $G \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $\text{add}(C_R)\text{-pd}_R K = \mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) - 1$. So $\text{add}(C_R)\text{-pd}_R K \leq n - 1$. Moreover, by Remark 2.2(2), we have that $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, K) = 0$ for any $N \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $i \geq 1$. Hence φ is a special $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ -precover of M by Definition 1.2.

At last we will show that (5) \Leftrightarrow (6). In fact, assume that (5) holds, then $\mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n < \infty$. Thus using a proof similar to [5, Lemma 2.17] and Lemmas 1.6

and 1.7, we can find an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} L \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$$

such that $G' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $\text{add}(C_R)\text{-pd}_R L = \mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R(M) \leq n$. Thus $L \in \widehat{\text{add}(C_R)}$, see Definition 1.1. Moreover, we have that $\text{Ext}_R^i(G', L') = 0$ for any $L' \in \widehat{\text{add}(C_R)}$ and $i \geq 1$ by Remark 2.2(2). Hence φ is a special $\widehat{\text{add}(C_R)}$ -preenvelope of M by Definition 1.2.

Conversely, assume that (6) holds. Then there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow L \rightarrow G' \rightarrow 0$ such that $\text{add}(C_R)\text{-pd}_R L \leq n$ and $G' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$. If $n = 0$, then $L \in \text{add}(C_R)$. By Remark 2.2(1) and Corollary 2.4(2), we know that $M \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$. Hence the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\cong} M \rightarrow 0$ satisfies the condition of (5). Next we assume that $n \geq 1$, then we can find an exact sequence of right R -modules, $0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with $C_0 \in \text{add}(C_R)$ and $\text{add}(C_R)\text{-pd}_R L' \leq n - 1$. Thus we have the following pullback diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & L' & \xlongequal{\quad} & L' & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & G'' & \longrightarrow & C_0 & \longrightarrow & G' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow f & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & L & \longrightarrow & G' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

From the second row we know that $G'' \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ by Corollary 2.4(2). Since $L' \in \widehat{\text{add}(C_R)}$, f is a special $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ -precover of M by Remark 2.2(2). Thus the first column $0 \rightarrow L' \rightarrow G'' \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow 0$ is the desired exact sequence and (5) holds true. \square

Because semidualizing modules are Wakamatsu tilting modules, see the argument above Proposition 2.8, so by [20, Proposition 5.6, Theorem 6.6] and the Baer Criterion, we can also obtain the result over the non-commutative Noetherian ring, which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a semidualizing module to be a dualizing module. Note that we can define a dualizing bimodule ${}_S D_R$ over any rings R and S . We call a bimodule ${}_S D_R$ dualizing if it is a semidualizing bimodule with finite left S - and right R -injective dimension.

Proposition 2.9. *Let S be left Noetherian, R right Noetherian and let m, n be nonnegative integers. Then $\mathcal{T}_C(R)\text{-dim}_R M \leq m$ for every finitely generated right R -module M and $\mathcal{T}_C(R)\text{-dim}_R N \leq n$ for every finitely generated left S -module N if and only if $\text{id}_R(C) \leq m$ and $\text{id}_S(C) \leq n$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) For any ideal I of R , R/I is a finitely generated right R -module. Thus $\mathcal{T}_C(R)\text{-dim}_R R/I \leq m$. Consider the injective resolution of C_R :

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_{m-1} \rightarrow C_m \rightarrow 0.$$

Applying $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, -)$, we get that $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, C_m) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{m+1}(R/I, C)$. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, C_m) = 0$ by Proposition 2.8. Thus C_m is injective by the Baer Criterion and $\text{id}_R(C) \leq m$. Using the same method we can prove that $\text{id}_S(C) \leq n$.

(\Leftarrow) Since $\text{id}_R(C) \leq m$, we have $\text{Ext}_R^{m+i}(M, C) = 0$ for each right R -module M and $i \geq 1$. Consider the projective resolution of M :

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega^m M \rightarrow P_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

then we have that $0 = \text{Ext}_R^{m+i}(M, C) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(\Omega^m M, C)$. Thus $\Omega^m M \in {}^\perp C_R$. Since R is right Noetherian, $\Omega^m M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R)$. Moreover, as S is left Noetherian and $\text{id}_S(C) \leq n < \infty$, we have that $\mathcal{T}_C(R) = \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap \text{cog}^* C_R \cap {}^\perp C_R = \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap {}^\perp C_R$ by Theorem 2.3 and [20, Proposition 5.6]. So $\Omega^m M \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $\mathcal{T}_C(R)\text{-dim}_R M \leq m$. Similarly, we have that $\mathcal{T}_C(R)\text{-dim}_R N \leq n$ for every finitely generated left S -module N . \square

Observation 2.10. For every totally C_R -reflexive module M , from Theorem 2.3 we know that there exists a $\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$ -exact exact sequence of right R -modules $\dots \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} C_0 \xrightarrow{g_0} C_1 \xrightarrow{g_1} \dots$ with P_i finitely generated projective and $C_j \in \text{add}(C_R)$ and $M \cong \ker(g_0)$. As $\text{Ext}_R^1(C, C) = 0$, it is easy to see that $\text{Ext}_R^1(\ker(g_j), C) = 0$ for each $j \geq 0$. Moreover, by Remark 2.2(1) we know that P_i and C_j are all totally C_R -reflexive, hence every kernel in this exact sequence is totally C_R -reflexive by Corollary 2.4. Hence we can get an exact sequence: $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow \ker(g_1) \rightarrow 0$ with $\ker(g_1)$ totally C_R -reflexive. If $M \in \widehat{\text{add}}(C_R)$, then the sequence splits by Remark 2.2(2). Thus $M \in \text{add}(C_R)$.

It is natural to ask whether a totally C_R -reflexive module with finite projective dimension is finitely generated projective. When ${}_S C_R$ is a faithfully semidualizing module, the next theorem gives an affirmative answer to this question. Moreover, by [21, Theorem 4.4] we know that a right R -module M with $M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R)$ is G_C -projective if and only if M is totally C_R -reflexive. Note that the conclusion holds true in any ring and the condition $\text{Hom}_R(M, C) \in \text{gen}^*(R_R)$ is not needed in

the proof of [21, Theorem 4.4]. Hence the theorem is also the answer the special case of the question put forward by D. White in [21, Question 2.15], i.e., over a non-commutative non-local ring R , her conjecture is true for the right R -module M with $M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R)$.

Theorem 2.11. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be faithfully semidualizing and $M_R \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$. If $\text{pd}_R M = n < \infty$, then M is finitely generated projective.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 we have that $\mathcal{T}_C(R) = \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap \text{cog}^*(C_R) \cap {}^\perp(C_R)$. Since $M_R \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and $\text{pd}_R M = n$, there exists an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

with P_i finitely generated projective. Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, C)$ to $(*)$, we get a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_0, C) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(P_n, C) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $M \in {}^\perp(C_R)$, the sequence is exact. By Lemma 1.6, $\text{Hom}_R(P_i, C) \in \text{add}({}_S C)$. Assume that $\text{Hom}_R(P_i, C) = C_i$, $K_0 = \text{Hom}_R(M, C)$, $K_n = C_n$ and $K_i = \ker(C_i \rightarrow C_{i+1})$ for $(n-1) \geq i \geq 1$. Then we can get several short exact sequences:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow C_n \rightarrow 0, \\ \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow K_i \rightarrow C_i \rightarrow K_{i+1} \rightarrow 0, \\ \vdots \\ 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, C) \rightarrow C_0 \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{add}({}_S C) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(S)$, we have $K_i \in \mathcal{B}_C(S)$ for $n \geq i \geq 0$ by Remark 1.5. Thus $\text{Ext}_S^1(C, K_i) = 0$. So we get that $\text{Ext}_S^1(C_n, K_{n-1}) = 0$ and the first short exact sequence splits, thus $K_{n-1} \in \text{add}({}_S C)$. Repeating this process we get that $\text{Hom}_R(M, C) \in \text{add}({}_S C)$. As ${}_S C_R$ is a semidualizing bimodule, so $\text{Hom}_S(C, C) \cong R$. Thus $M \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}_S(\text{Hom}_R(M, C), C) \in \text{add}(R_R)$ and M is finitely generated projective. \square

Corollary 2.12. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be faithfully semidualizing and let M_R be a right R -module such that $M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R)$. Then $\mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R M = \text{pd}_R M$ when $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.2(1), we know that finitely generated projective right R -modules are totally C_R -reflexive, so $\mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R M \leq \text{pd}_R M$. On the other hand,

assume that $\mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R M = n < \infty$. Then there exists an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow P_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

such that P_i is finitely generated projective for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $G_n \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ by Proposition 2.8. Since $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$, we have $\text{pd}_R G_n < \infty$. Hence G_n is finitely generated projective by Theorem 2.11. It follows that $\text{pd}_R M \leq n$. Therefore $\mathcal{T}_C\text{-dim}_R M = \text{pd}_R M$. \square

3. CONNECTIONS WITH BASS CLASS

In this section, we will show that there exist some relations between the class $\mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and the class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$. First, we employ the notions of Mantese and Reiten in [13]. For a Wakamatsu tilting right R -module T_R , denote by $\text{Gen}^*(T_R)$ the subcategory of all right R -modules M such that there exists an exact sequence $\dots \rightarrow T^1 \xrightarrow{g_1} T^0 \xrightarrow{g_0} M \rightarrow 0$ where $T^i \in \text{Add}(T_R)$ and $\text{Ext}_R^1(T, \ker g_i) = 0$ for $i \geq 0$. When T_Λ is a Wakamatsu tilting module over an Artin algebra Λ , there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{f_0} T_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} T_1 \rightarrow \dots$ with $T_i \in \text{add}(T_R)$ and $\text{cok} f_i \in {}^\perp(C_R)$ for $i \geq 0$. Denote $K_i = \text{cok} f_i$, Mantese and Reiten [13, Proposition 3.6] showed the following equality:

$$T^\perp \cap \text{Gen}^*(T) = \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K_i \oplus T \right)^\perp.$$

Moreover, it is not hard to see from the proof of [13, Proposition 3.6] that the equality holds over any ring R . On the other hand, by [20, Corollary 3.2] we know that a semidualizing bimodule ${}_S C_R$ is a Wakamatsu tilting, so there exists an exact sequence of right R -modules $0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f_0} C^{n_0} \xrightarrow{f_1} C^{n_1} \rightarrow \dots$ where n_i are positive integers and $\text{cok} f_i \in {}^\perp C$. Denote the modules $\text{cok} f_i$ by K_i for $i \geq 0$, then we have a similar equality for a semidualizing bimodule ${}_S C_R$, that is, $(C_R)^\perp \cap \text{Gen}^*(C_R) = \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K_i \oplus C \right)^\perp$. It is easy to see that $K_i \in \text{cog}^*(C_R) \cap \text{gen}^*(R_R)$ by Lemma 1.7.

Thus $K_i \in \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap \text{cog}^*(C_R) \cap {}^\perp(C_R) = \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ for $i \geq 0$ by Theorem 2.3.

Now, we show the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be an (R, S) semidualizing bimodule. Then $\mathcal{B}_C(R) = \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K_i \oplus C_R \right)^\perp$.*

Proof. By Definition 1.4, we know that for a right R -module M , $M_R \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ if and only if $M \in (C_R)^\perp$, $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^S(\text{Hom}_R(C, M), C) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_R(C, M) \otimes_S C \xrightarrow{\cong} M$.

On the other hand, Takahashi and White [18, Proposition 2.2] proved the following result: over a commutative ring R , for any R -module M , M admits an exact proper \mathcal{P}_C -resolution if and only if $\text{Tor}_{i \geq 1}^R(\text{Hom}_R(C, M), C) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}_R(C, M) \otimes_R C \xrightarrow{\cong} M$. Note that the result holds true over any associative ring R from the proof of Takahashi and White [18, Proposition 2.2]. By Lemma 1.6 and the definition of the proper \mathcal{P}_C -resolution, see [18, 1.5], we have that M admits an exact proper \mathcal{P}_C -resolution if and only if $M \in \text{Gen}^*(C_R)$. Hence we have that $\mathcal{B}_C(R) = (C_R)^\perp \cap \text{Gen}^*(C_R)$. So by the above argument, we have that $\mathcal{B}_C(R) = \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} K_i \oplus C_R\right)^\perp$. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let ${}_S C_R$ be faithfully semidualizing. Denote by $\mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$ the class of right R -modules which are in $\text{gen}^*(R_R)$ and have finite projective dimensions. Then*

- (1) ${}^\perp \mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \text{gen}^*(R_R) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and ${}^\perp \mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty} = \mathcal{T}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$,
- (2) $\mathcal{T}_C^\perp(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$.

Proof. (1) Assume that $M \in {}^\perp \mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \text{gen}^*(R_R)$. Then $M \in {}^\perp (C_R)$ because $C_R \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. The Bass class $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ is preenveloping by [11, Theorem 3.2(b)] and contains all the injective right R -modules, so there exists an exact sequence for any right R -module M , $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\varphi} B \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$ with $B \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, where φ is a $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ -preenvelope. By [18, Corollary 2.4] and Lemma 1.6, there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C^{(I)} \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ for some index set I . Hence we have a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & B' & \xlongequal{\quad} & B' & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C^{(I)} & \longrightarrow & M' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\quad \varphi \quad} & B & \longrightarrow & M' \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

By Remark 1.5, $B' \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, so the first column splits and we have an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow C^{(I)} \rightarrow M' \rightarrow 0$. Since $M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R)$, M is finitely generated. So M is contained in a finite direct sum of copies C . That is, the image of M is contained in a finitely generated submodule C^n of $C^{(I)}$. Thus we have the commutative diagram

with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & C^n & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & C^{(I)} & \longrightarrow & M'' & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B & \longrightarrow & M' & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, B'')$ with $B'' \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ to the first row and the last row of the commutative diagram, we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M', B'') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(B, B'') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M, B'') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M_1, B'') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(C^n, B'') & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_R(M, B'') & &
\end{array}$$

Note that the first row is exact because φ is a $\mathcal{B}_C(R)$ -preenvelope. It is easy to see from the last commutative square of the commutative diagram that $\text{Hom}_R(C^n, B'') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, B'')$ is surjective. By Definition 1.4, we know that $\text{add}(C_R) \subseteq {}^\perp \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, so $\text{Ext}_R^1(C^n, B'') = 0$. Thus we have the long exact sequence induced by $\text{Hom}_R(-, B'')$,

$$\text{Hom}_R(C^n, B'') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, B'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M_1, B'') \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(M, B'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M_1, B'') \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

So we get that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M_1, B'') = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(M_1, B'') \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, B'')$ for $i \geq 1$. Hence $M_1 \in {}^\perp \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. As $\text{add}(C_R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$, repeating this process, we get that $M \in \text{cog}^*(C_R)$. Hence $M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R) \cap \text{cog}^*(C_R) \cap {}^\perp(C_R) = \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ and ${}^\perp \mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \text{gen}^*(R_R) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_C(R)$.

By [18, Proposition 2.2] and Lemma 1.6, we know that for any right R -module $B \in \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ there exists an exact sequence of right R -modules

$$(*) \quad \dots \rightarrow C_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} B \rightarrow 0$$

with $C_i \in \text{Add}(C_R)$ and the sequence is $\text{Hom}_R(C, -)$ -exact. Let $M_R \in {}^\perp(C_R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$, then $M \in \text{gen}^*(R_R)$, so M has degree-wise finitely generated projective resolution. Hence $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, \bigoplus C) \cong \bigoplus \text{Ext}_R^j(M, C)$ for $j \geq 0$ by [6, Lemma 3.1.16]. Thus $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, C_i) = 0$ for $j \geq 1$ and $i \geq 0$. Applying $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$ to $(*)$, we get that $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, B) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{j+n}(M, \ker(f_n))$ for $j \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$. Since $M \in \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$, we

have $\text{pd}_R M < \infty$. So $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, B) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$. Hence ${}^\perp(C_R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty} \subseteq {}^\perp\mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$. But $\mathcal{T}_C(R) \subseteq {}^\perp(C_R)$ by Definition 2.1. So $\mathcal{T}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty} \subseteq {}^\perp(C_R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty} \subseteq {}^\perp\mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$. On the other hand, we have that ${}^\perp\mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$ by the above argument, as $\mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty} \subseteq \text{gen}^*(R_R)$. Therefore, ${}^\perp\mathcal{B}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty} = \mathcal{T}_C(R) \cap \mathcal{P}_R^{<\infty}$.

(2) By Definition 2.1, we know that $C_R \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$, and the argument above Proposition 3.1 indicates that $K_i \in \mathcal{T}_C(R)$ for $i \geq 1$. Let $M_R \in \mathcal{T}_C^\perp(R)$, then $\text{Ext}_R^i(C, M) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. So $\text{Ext}_R^i(\bigoplus K_i, M) \cong \prod \text{Ext}_R^i(K_i, M) = 0$. Hence $M_R \in (\bigoplus K_i \oplus C)^\perp = \mathcal{B}_C(R)$ by Proposition 3.1. It follows that $\mathcal{T}_C^\perp(R) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_C(R)$. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee for his or her careful reading of the manuscript and helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] *M. Auslander, M. Mangeney, Ch. Peskine, L. Szpiro*: Anneaux de Gorenstein, et Torsion en Algèbre Commutative. Ecole Normale Supérieure de Jeunes Filles, Paris, 1967. (In French.)
- [2] *M. Auslander, M. Bridger*: Stable Module Theory. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 94, 1969.
- [3] *T. Araya, R. Takahashi, Y. Yoshino*: Homological invariants associated to semi-dualizing bimodules. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 45 (2005), 287–306.
- [4] *L. W. Christensen*: Gorenstein Dimensions. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1747, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [5] *L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild, H. Holm*: On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions—a functorial description with applications. J. Algebra 302 (2006), 231–279.
- [6] *E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda*: Relative Homological Algebra. De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics 30, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.
- [7] *H.-B. Foxby*: Gorenstein modules and related modules. Math. Scand. 31 (1972), 267–284.
- [8] *E. S. Golod*: G-dimension and generalized perfect ideals. Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 165 (1984), 62–66.
- [9] *H. Holm*: Gorenstein homological dimensions. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 189 (2004), 167–193.
- [10] *H. Holm, P. Jørgensen*: Semi-dualizing modules and related Gorenstein homological dimensions. J. Pure Appl. Algebra 205 (2006), 423–445.
- [11] *H. Holm, P. Jørgensen*: Cotorsion pairs induced by duality pairs. J. Commut. Algebra 1 (2009), 621–633.
- [12] *H. Holm, D. White*: Foxby equivalence over associative rings. J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 47 (2007), 781–808.
- [13] *F. Mantese, I. Reiten*: Wakamatsu tilting modules. J. Algebra 278 (2004), 532–552.
- [14] *S. Sather-Wagstaff*: Semidualizing modules and the divisor class group. Ill. J. Math. 51 (2007), 255–285.
- [15] *S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif, D. White*: AB-contexts and stability for Gorenstein flat modules with respect to semidualizing modules. Algebr. Represent. Theory 14 (2011), 403–428.
- [16] *S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif, D. White*: Tate cohomology with respect to semidualizing modules. J. Algebra 324 (2010), 2336–2368.

- [17] *S. Sather-Wagstaff, T. Sharif, D. White*: Comparison of relative cohomology theories with respect to semidualizing modules. *Math. Z.* *264* (2010), 571–600.
- [18] *R. Takahashi, D. White*: Homological aspects of semidualizing modules. *Math. Scand.* *106* (2010), 5–22.
- [19] *W. V. Vasconcelos*: *Divisor Theory in Module Categories*. North-Holland Mathematics Studies 14. Notas de Matematica 5. North-Holland Publishing Comp., Amsterdam, 1974.
- [20] *T. Wakamatsu*: Tilting modules and Auslander’s Gorenstein property. *J. Algebra* *275* (2004), 3–39.
- [21] *D. White*: Gorenstein projective dimension with respect to a semidualizing module. *J. Commut. Algebra* *2* (2010), 111–137.
- [22] *S. Yassemi*: G -dimension. *Math. Scand.* *77* (1995), 161–174.

Authors’ addresses: Zhen Zhang, Department of Primary Education, Zibo Normal College, Zibo 255100, China, e-mail: zhangzhenbiye@gmail.com; Xiaosheng Zhu, Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China, e-mail: zhuxs@nju.edu.cn; Xiaoguang Yan, Department of Mathematics and Information Technology, Nanjing Xiaozhuang University, Nanjing 211171, China, e-mail: yanxg1109@163.com.